

CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2017-Oct-18 16:07:04.866439 GMT Run / Event / LS: 305237 / 1277785997 / 682

# Highlights of CMS Offline SW and Computing in Run 3 and Beyond

J. Letts (UCSD), D. Piparo (CERN) - LCG France - November 18, 2021

### **Topics**

- Offline Software and Computing in CMS
  - The meaning of Run 3 for us
- Computing resources in 2022 and preview of 2023
- Highlights of innovations delivered during LS2
  - Computing tools and (common) software



CMS,

### CMS Offline Software and Computing



#### The Goal of O&C



Deliver datasets to enable the CMS Physics Programme and the software to produce, process and analyse them

Many, many interesting **activities at the bleeding edge of software and hardware technologies** stem from this simple formulation!

We strive to make our computing model more and more flexible to be able to adapt to future price fluctuations of computer hardware

#### The O&C Area



We are on <u>Mattermost</u>, come and chat with us!

Coordinators	Devops approach			
Core Software	Computing Ops	Dyn. Res. Provisioning	Facility Services	
Simulation	Workload/Data Mgmt Devel	Reconstruction	Resource Management	
Monitoring & Analytics	Release Planning Ops	Upgrade Software	Submission Infra	
Analysis Infra & Support	Machine Learning *	Upgrade R&D and TDR	Web Services & Security	
Generators *	LI Software **	DPOA ***	* Joint with Physics ** Joint with L1 DPG *** Joint with CB	
Computing Resources Board			*** Joint with LI DPG *** Joint with CB	

### **Offline Software**

- A crucial asset, built during the years, condensing invaluable (detector) expertise
  - 1100+ commits/month, 100+ committers/month
- Same codebase for HLT & Offline, of today & HL-LHC
  - Big advantage for CMS
- CMSSW is <u>on Github</u> since 2012
  - And was open source since the start
- ~5M of C++ + other languages
  - ~400 external packages supporting that code



Overview 289 Active Pull Requests		79 Active Issues	
<b>⊱ 239 ្រុ 50</b> Merged Pull Requests Open Pull Requests		Closed Issues	() 34 New Issues

CMS<sub>×</sub>

CMS Software: an asset to be preserved and grown with dedicated human effort

#### Run 3

- Run 3: our top priority
- Challenging running parameters, e.g. lumi levelling @40 PU in 2022 and @50/55 in 2023/2024
  - Approaching HL-LHC scenarios
  - Run 4 planned to start with ~100 PU for 1-2 years (see HL-LHC ultimate lumi projections <u>here</u>)

#### Very ambitious physics programme by CMS: not just more of the same!

- High rate prompt reconstruction: ~1.5 kHz
- Data Parking: write on tape at Tier-0, re-construct at the end of the year
  - Very successful during Run 1 and Run 2, e.g. 10B unbiased B decays recorded in 2018
- Data Scouting: stream reconstructed at HLT to explore phase space otherwise not accessible, e.g. low mass resonances
- Heavy lons: very high statistics, virtually no pt cut, push our infrastructure to the limit
  - E.g. 10 GB/s to be recorded on tape at CERN



7





#### Computing and Software in Run 3



### **Usage of Resources and the Role of France**





France provides a fundamental contribution that allows to enable the Physics Programme of CMS

#### French contributions:

- Tier-1: 9.8% of all 3 resources
- Tier-2 resources (<u>CRIC</u>)
  - Disk: 6.1%
  - CPU: 6.5%

#### + Excellent network!



TOTAL 302 K 13.0 K T1\_FR\_CCIN2P3 5.29 K 138 K 3.93 K 5.75 K 5.31 K T2\_FR\_GRIF\_LLR 3.66 K 84.1 K 2.15 K 4.29 K 3.24 K T2\_FR\_IPHC 655 2.46 K 1.96 K 2.30 K 51.0 K 0 3.59K 1.10K 1.73K 28.5K T2\_FR\_GRIF\_IRFU

Tier-1: not only a key resource for CMS, but allows to participate hands-on to ongoing R&D activities for Run 4!

### **HPCs and Challenges**



- Substantial national and supranational investments in HPCs globally: they are there to stay!
  - Exascale machines will be well available by HL-LHC
- Being able to use accelerators helps leveraging HPCs
  - But is not sufficient
- There are other hurdles to overcome to use HPCs for HEP
  - HEP and HPC: language spoken by experts can be different
  - **Data access** (access, bandwidth, caches ...): HEP has data processing applications (HTC)
    - HPCs are "storageless sites"
  - **Submission of tasks** (MPI vs Batch systems vs proprietary systems)
  - Environment less open than Grid one (OS, access policies, ...)
  - Node configuration (low RAM/Disk, ...)
  - Primary architecture (x86\_64, Power9, ARM, proprietary, ...)
  - Relationships between providers and CMS are decades long

#### **HPCs: Current Status**

- CMS uses HPCs in production for all steps of the processing: gen, sim, digi/pu-mimx, reco, mini/nano creation: not a prototypal utilisation!
  - Capacity used by CMS at HPCs tripled in 2020 wrt 2019 and tripled again in 2021 (so far) wrt 2020
- Our philosophy: integrate HPCs at no cost for computing operations. Two main approaches:
  - HepCloud: a single entry point to all US HPCs, for operations effectively it is a single site.
  - Site extension: preferred solution in EU, success stories in Italy and Germany. Transparent to operations.



HPCs: an additional opportunity for France to contribute to CMS?

CMS HPC usage in '20 and '21: Number of Cores



#### (Some) HPC Usage in the last 6 Months



CMS

## Beyond x86 CPUs

- Working on the integration of Marconi 100 at INFN
  CINECA (IBM Power 9 + NVidia V100s)
- INFN got 3.5 MCoreH in 2021 to:
  - Enable multi-arch support for CMS prod/analysis jobs
  - Perform physics validation on Power 9 for CMS
- Achieved so far:
  - Full CMS SW stack for Power (since 2016)
  - Established a complete integration of the CMS Workload Management (both central production and user jobs)
  - Technical test of analyses and release validation workflows
    successful
- This first attempt was really promising.
- Physics validation ongoing
  - Large samples, physics objects and analysis experts evaluating the physics performance of the produced samples with respect to a known reference (same sw run on x86)



CMS



Preparing for the future acquiring more integration expertise

### **Computing Resources in 2023: Preliminary request**



#### Information from <u>RRB-53 plenary</u> (public)



R&D work ongoing to evaluate this approach for pp

		202	21		2022		20	23
С	MS	C-RSG recomm.	Pledged	Request	2022 req. /2021 C-RSG	C-RSG recomm.	Preliminary Request	2023 req. /2022 C-RSG
	Tier-0	500	500	540	108%	540	720	133%
	Tier-1	670	764	730	109%	730	800	110%
CPU	Tier-2	1070	1151	1200	112%	1200	1350	113%
	HLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Total	2240	2415	2470	110%	2470	2870	116%
	Others							
	Tier-0	30.0	30.0	35.0	117%	35.0	45.0	129%
Disk	Tier-1	77.0	76.0	83.0	108%	83.0	98.0	118%
DISK	Tier-2	92.0	96	98.0	107%	98.0	117.0	119%
	Total	199.0	202	216.0	109%	216.0	260.0	120%
	Tier-0	120.0	120.0	155.0	129%	155.0	228.0	147%
Tape	Tier-1	230.0	219.0	260.0	113%	260.0	316.0	122%
	Total	350.0	339	415.0	119%	415.0	544.0	131%

- **CMS-1** The C-RSG finds the CMS resource projections justified, as they are based on the currently known 2023 parameter seems justified. The C-RSG expects that these parameters will become more firm by Spring 2022, resulting in more refined resource requests for 2023.
- **CMS-2** The C-RSG recognizes CMS for its continued effort to support non-X86 architectures, as this is expected to increase the robustness of its software as well as prepares for the future hardware landscape.
- **CMS-3** The C-RSG supports CMS plans to adapt lossy compression algorithms for heavy-ion data, algorithms that promises a high level of compression without sacrificing the accuracy of the physics data.
- **CMS-4** The C-RSG applaud CMS for its continued effort to decrease the size of the analysis data and especially its plans to have 50% of all analysis to be on nano-AODs by the end of Run 3.

## Highlights of Innovations During LS2



### **Innovations during LS2**

- The CMS software stack and comp. tools were adequate for needs in Run 2, and then some
- No real hint that Run 3 would pose irresolvable problems either; but, since Phase-2 could be a
  - different story, CMS planned to try and test any disruptive technology already in Run 3
- Example innovations that happened during LS2
  - Offload to accelerators
  - CRIC: Grid resource catalogue (click <u>here</u> to see the public resource requests of experiments!)
  - DD4Hep: Geometry description tool
  - Rucio: data management tool
  - WebDav protocol for data transfers
  - Migration of internal CMS portfolio of services to k8s
  - NanoAOD
  - EOS advanced features
- Common solutions with other experiments are a way to mitigate the support cost

CMS<sub>×</sub>

### Accelerators Support in the CMSSW Framework

- CMSSW software framework: the orchestrator of CMS data processing units ("Modules")
  - A powerful engine that makes data processing very efficient
- CMSSW supports multithreaded execution
  - All data processing steps: gen, sim, digi/mix, reco, Mini/Nano production, HLT, Tier-O) are multithreaded since Run 2 start
- During LS2, support for "external work" was added: a generic mechanism to offload calculations
  - Keep CPUs busy during offload if needed

Usage of accelerators today:

- Non-ML: offload of CUDA code on GPU on the same wn (Solution chosen for the Run 3 HLT)
  ML:
  - Offload through Tensor Flow or ONNX on GPU on the same wn
  - Offload through <u>SONIC</u> (<u>Services for Optimized Network Inference on Coprocessors</u>) on accelerators mounted on a different node. A very promising R&D, potentially giving even greater flexibility to CMS computing model

CMS

### Offloading non-ML code on GPUs

- GPUs will be in production at the HLT in 2022 already: ~30% of the runtime of the HLT sequence offloaded to GPUs
- We are actively working to expand the usage of GPUs for offline computing already for
  - **Run 3**: not only for the lower cost per unity capacity, but also for the flexibility of our computing model
  - Allocations on HPCs may become possible only if GPUs are used
- Exciting times for Physicists-developers
  - Lots of opportunities in CMS for working on GPU related projects!  $\frac{g}{2}$
- Early to make any statement about needs for pledged GPUs



18

CMS Preliminary HLT Scouting Reconstruction Time HLT Scouting Reconstruction Time Run2 Tracks : <time> = 498ms Patatrack pixel tracks : <time> = 355ms CPU: "Skylake" Xeon Gold 6130 no GPU offloading  $10^{0}$  Moreresults <u>here</u> 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1200 1400processing time [ms]





#### CRIC

Common catalogue for all LHC experiments (click on the image to access CRIC)





In production

Image: The second s	✓ Columns 8/10		e comparison (VO) View arison (Federation) View	VO Requirement lis	t	Show	/ All ~ entries
filter by Tier	filter by Pledge Type	2021	filter by ALICE	filter by ATLAS	filter by CMS	filter by LHCb	filter by Sum
Tier 🏨	Pledge Type	Year 👫	ALICE Jî	ATLAS 1	CMS	LHCb	Sum 4
0	Disk	2021	45500	29000	30000	18800	123300
0	Таре	2021	86000	95000	120000	43800	344800
0	CPU	2021	471000	525000	500000	175000	1671000
1	Таре	2021	57000	235000	230000	75900	597900
1	Disk	2021	53300	105000	77000	37600	272900
1	CPU	2021	498000	1170000	670000	574000	2912000
2	Disk	2021	44800	130000	92000	7300	274100
2	CPU	2021	515000	1430000	1070000	321000	3336000
Tier	Pledge Type	Year	ALICE	ATLAS	CMS	LHCb	Sum

J. Letts, D. Piparo, LCG France November 18, 2021

#### **Geometry Description: DD4HEP**

- Until Run 2 geometry description done with a in-house tool: <u>DDD</u>
- LS2: transition to the community tool DD4HEP
  - Used, among the others, by LHCb and FCC studies
  - Natively integrated with ROOT and Geant 4
- Some advantages for CMS:
  - A more sustainable software stack for Run 3 and beyond
  - More modern, thread friendly geometry description
  - An opportunity to review our geometry, converging on an improved description!
  - Stringent battery of unit tests developed
- In the process, contributions and improvements delivered to DD4Hep and ROOT
  - Not only benefits for CMS, but also for common software
- Run 3 in production, Phase-2 almost done, Run 2 and then Run 1 to migrate next





In production

#### **K8s Backend for CMS Internal Services**

- CMSWEB: internal CMS portfolio of services
  - E.g. Workload/data mgt, dataset catalogue
- Previously running on VMs
  - Only relatively flexible, obliged us to release monolithic new versions of the portfolio, load balancing difficulties
- Moved to CERN IT's K8s service
  - Excellent support and collaboration with IT
  - Better performance of services
  - Deploy new versions of individual components
  - Better usage of resources
  - Operations easier than before

21

In production Old K8S Cluster (6FEs) VM Testbed cluster **Production Cluster** New K8S Cluster (6FEs) Full paper here Old K8S Cluster (4FEs) Old K8S Cluster (8FEs) New K8S Cluster (8FEs) New K8S Cluster (4FEs) 300 Requests/second 200 100 frontend dbs regmgr2 das

Services

and computing resources

K8s for internal services:

better usage of human



#### **RUCIO:**The Data Management tool of CMS

#### CMS transitioned from PhEDEx + Dynamo to Rucio for data management

- PhEDEx (data movement) and Dynamo (Dynamic Disk Manager) were custom CMS services
- Rucio: community supported, shared with ATLAS and other experiments
- Transition: coordination of many moving parts e.g. workload management
- Could not afford downtime or interruption in any CMS computing service
  - Data management, production, or analysis
  - Preparatory work ongoing for months
- Extremely smooth transition

at all of CPU

usage during

the switch!

RUCIO deployed on Kubernetes from day zero

A well planned transition, no disruption during the switch, more sustainable sw stack



TA MANAGEMENT

CMS

In production





09/01 09/04 09/07 09/10 09/13 09/16 09/19 09/22 09/25 09/28



#### WebDav Protocol

- Migrate away from GridFTP to WebDav protocol for our transfers
- A milestone of the roadmap which will lead us to the usage of token based authentication
- Migration started in collaboration with our sites during Q1 2021
- Tier-1's and Tier-2's basically migrated
  - Working now on Tier-3's
- French Tier-1, Tier-2's all migrated: Thanks!
  - T3\_FR\_IPNL working on it
- 65% of transfer volume between sites through WebDav
  - It was 20% in August

In production

23

CMS<sub>x</sub>

Migration to WebDav: a successful joint effort of CMS and our sites

#### FTS Transfer throughput excluding same site transfers



#### **NanoAOD**

- CMS created two small analysis formats <u>MiniAOD</u> (~50 kB/evt) and <u>NanoAOD</u> (1/2 kB/evt)
- MiniAOD: used throughout Run 2 by the vast majority of analyses
  - Adopted by HI for Run 3!
- NanoAOD: adopted by 30% of the analyses
  - Target 50% by the end of Run 3
  - Official CMS Ntuples: columns of fundamental types and arrays thereof
- Will be produced at the Tier-0 for prompt reconstruction
  - Looking at data will be fast and easy

#### Crucial ingredient to face the HL-LHC storage challenge



NanoAOD: a powerful way to meet the HL-LHC storage challenge, in production today

CMS can produce natively NanoAOD in RNTuple format, the successor of TTree in ROOT.





CMS<sub>x</sub>

collection	items/evt	kb/evt	b/item
Jet	5.46	0.164	30.8
Electron	0.66	0.061	94.9
Tau	0.64	0.039	63.0
TrigObj	2.93	0.036	12.7
Photon	0.85	0.035	42.0
sv	1.09	0.033	30.7
SoftActivityJet	5.82	0.033	5.8
Muon	0.48	0.031	66.3
SubJet	1.08	0.026	24.3
FatJet	0.60	0.022	38.0
MET	1.00	0.017	17.9
HLT	1.00	0.013	13.6

#### (8.379 Mb, 10000 events, 0.86 kb/event)

#### **EOS Advanced Features**

- EOS: storage technology at CERN with a veritable world wide community behind
- Created for HEP (even if used also elsewhere): actively testing new features for the benefit of CMS

at the Tier-O in collaboration with CERN IT. Examples: Erasure Coding, prioritised writings

#### Erasure Coding (EC)

- More logical space for the same raw disk: divide data in blocks and add parity blocks to ensure recovery (from replica 2x to replica 1.2-1.4x)
- Providing new EOS space to analysis groups through nodes with EC enabled: promising results so far

#### **IO** priorities

- Give more priority to some writes wrt to others
- Very useful when analysis/grid and data taking activities are ongoing at the Tier-O
- Planning a test with Tier-0 dominantly saturating the EOS bandwidth





### **Data Caches: More Flexibility to the Computing Model**

- Cache: non-custodial storage space used in several ways in CMS
  - An additional QoS on top disk and tape, *de facto*
- <u>SOCAL Cache</u> serving UCSD and Caltech Tier-2s, used in production (since 2019)
  - 200 Km, 100 gbps, below 3ms
- <u>CNAF Cache</u> to sustain IO from Marconi HPC @ CINECA to CNAF
  Tier-1 storage, used at the time in production
- Experimentation at CERN with a cache dedicated to mini/nano not stored on EOS
- Potential way to serve storageless sites
- Useful building block for future analysis facilities



CMS

#### Conclusions



### Conclusions

CMIS

- Run 3 is the priority for CMS O&C: ambitious physics program to enable
- Several innovations were put in production during LS2, targeting Run 3 and beyond
  - In the area of HPCs, data management, non-x86 architectures, caches...
  - Common software solutions adopted: reduce the cost of our sw toolset
- A successful Run 3 can only happen thanks to the support of our sites
  - France: substantial and reliable Tier-1 and Tier-2 resources provided to CMS fundamental to enable the physics programme of CMS
- Beyond resource provision, many opportunities to contribute to O&C activities:
  - Innovative algorithms, HPC integration, data management...
- Exciting times for curious physicist/developers/integrators/computing experts: a single person can make the difference!