SuSpect takes a SUSY-HIT

Jean-Loic Kneur (PI), Gilbert Moultaka, Margarete Mühlleitner (PI),
Michael Spira, Dirk Zerwas



DMLab

Kick-off Meeting



Status Quo Particle Physics

Discovery of a SM-like Higgs boson
SM tested to highest precision



Still open questions, BUT
no direct discovery of New Physics
so far

Supersymmetry one of the most popular New Physics extensions
very well motivated and able to address open questions



- solve hierarchy problem
- candidate vor DM
- gauge coupling unification
- window on physics up to GUT scale



Moreover, the Higgs boson with mass
m_H=125 GeV
could still be a SUSY-Higgs boson



Higher-Order Corrections and SUSY Parameter Space

The Higgs boson mass: in supersymmetry Higgs boson mass given in terms of the gauge couplings

=> mass of lightest Higgs boson $m_H \leq M_Z^2$ at tree level

Higher-Order Corrections and SUSY Parameter Space

The Higgs boson mass: in supersymmetry Higgs boson mass given in terms of the gauge couplings

=> mass of lightest Higgs boson $m_H \leq M_Z^2$ at tree level

Higher-order corrections to the Higgs boson mass:

- crucial to shift the Higgs boson mass to the measured 125 GeV
- include as many loop corrections as possible to reduce the theory uncertainty to match the experimental accuracy

Higher-Order Corrections and SUSY Parameter Space

The Higgs boson mass:

in supersymmetry Higgs boson mass given in terms of the gauge couplings

=> mass of lightest Higgs boson $m_H \leq M_Z^2$ at tree level

Higher-order corrections to the Higgs boson mass:

- crucial to shift the Higgs boson mass to the measured 125 GeV
- include as many loop corrections as possible to reduce the theory uncertainty to match the experimental accuracy

Higher-order corrections to the Higgs boson decays:

- Higgs decays determine the phenomenology of the Higgs particle: model has to be consistent with measured SM-like Higgs data and the exclusion bounds from additional Higgs and SUSY searches
- Experimental constraints hence indirectly constrain the viable parameter space of the model
- A meaningful deduction of the allowed parameter space requires highest precision in the Higgs observables and hence also the decays

Higher-Order Corrections and SUSY Parameter Space

The Higgs boson mass:

in supersymmetry Higgs boson mass given in terms of the gauge couplings

=> mass of lightest Higgs boson $m_H \leq M_Z^2$ at tree level

Higher-order corrections to the Higgs boson mass:

- crucial to shift the Higgs boson mass to the measured 125 GeV
- include as many loop corrections as possible to reduce the theory uncertainty to match the experimental accuracy

Higher-order corrections to the Higgs boson decays:

- Higgs decays determine the phenomenology of the Higgs particle: model has to be consistent with measured SM-like Higgs data and the exclusion bounds from additional Higgs and SUSY searches
- Experimental constraints hence indirectly constrain the viable parameter space of the model
- A meaningful deduction of the allowed parameter space requires highest precision in the Higgs observables and hence also the decays

SUSY breaking

- no SUSY particles discovered so far => SUSY is broken => introduces many new parameters
- Number of parameters reduced by well motivated boundary conditions at some high scale

Higher-Order Corrections and SUSY Parameter Space

The Higgs boson mass: in supersymmetry Higgs boson mass given in terms of the gauge couplings

=> mass of lightest Higgs boson $m_H \leq M_Z^2$ at tree level

Higher-order co … 125 GeV
to the Higgs bos … he theory

Higher-order co … s particle:
to the Higgs bos … s data and … earches … e viable

… requires … so the

How can we combine these requirements in a consistent way at highest precision, i.e. including higher-order corrections, to make meaningful predictions and thus test our model?

SUSY breaking

- no SUSY particles discovered so far => SUSY is broken => introduces many new parameters
- Number of parameters reduced by well motivated boundary conditions at some high scale

SUSY-HIT

Program Package SUSY-HIT - SU(spect)-S(deca)Y-H(decay)-I(n)Terface

A program package for the calculation of the particle spectrum and the decay widths and branching ratios of the Higgs bosons and supersymmetric particles in the framework of the MSSM, including higher order corrections.

released by A.Djouadi, M.Mühlleitner, M.Spira

SuSpect

Authors: A. Djouadi,
J.-L. Kneur
G. Moultaka,
M. Ughetto,
D. Zerwas

SDECAY

Authors: A. Djouadi,
M. Mühlleitner,
Y. Mambrini

HDECAY

Authors: A. Djouadi,
J. Kalinowski,
M. Mühlleitner,
M. Spira

Goal of the Project

Update SuSpect

Update SDECAY

Update the Interface to C++

Project Team:
Jean-Loic Kneur (PI), Gilbert Moultaka,
M. Mühlleitner (PI), Michael Spira, Dirk Zerwas

SuSpect

Authors: A. Djouadi,
J.-L. Kneur
G. Moultaka,
M. Ughetto,
D. Zerwas

SuSpect

Code Description:

- computation of the MSSM Higgs and SUSY particle mass spectrum including higher-order corrections
- taking into account boundary conditions of specific models: pMSSM, mSUGRA, GMSB, AMSB

Programming language:

- originally Fortran

Method:

- RGEs (at 1- and 2-loop) for the evolution of the particles from one scale to the other (up to 5 different energy scales)
- Model-dependent boundary conditions applied at appropriate scale
- Electroweak symmetry breaking calculated iteratively at the EW scale
- Radiative corrections to the Higgs and sparticle masses => precise pole masses

SuSpect

Authors: A. Djouadi,
J.-L. Kneur
G. Moultaka,
M. Ughetto,
D. Zerwas

SuSpect

Code Descri

Programming

Method:

NEW Update to SuSpect3 NEW

Autors: A. Djouadi, J.-L. Kneur, G. Moultaka, M. Ughetto, D. Zerwas

- Rewrite of code in C++
- 1st & 2nd generation SUSY sfermion parameters now independent
- Full one-loop radiative corrections in the gaugino sector (pole masses)
- Extended range of supported models
- Added option for tree-level pseudoscalar mass as input for EWSB
- Added option for h mass as input in EWSB to determine A_t
- Input compatible with SLHA format [Skands et al.]
- EWSB defined by input values instead of a special variable
- Block QEXTPAR added for input scale of the mu parameter
- Option to get scale dependent parameters at other scales than those defined by the model available for all models now

um

ne scale

le

/ scale

se pole

R. El-Kosseifi, J.-L. Kneur,
G. Moultaka, D. Zerwas

The mh MSSM

Authors: A. Djouadi,
J.-L. Kneur
G. Moultaka,
M. Ughetto,
D. Zerwas

SuSpect

Idea:
- Replace 1 of the MSSM input parameters by a measured quantity inspired by [Djouadi et al., Habemus MSSM,'13]
- A_t replaced by m_h
- Inversion algorithm instead of a scan

Proof of concept - full algorithm:

R. El-Kosseifi, J.-L. Kneur,
G. Moultaka, D. Zerwas

The mh MSSM

Authors: A. Djouadi,
J.-L. Kneur
G. Moultaka,
M. Ughetto,
D. Zerwas

SuSpect

Idea:
- Replace 1 of the MSSM input parameters by a measured quantity inspired by [Djouadi et al., Habemus MSSM,'13]
- A_t replaced by m_h
- Inversion algorithm instead of a scan

Proof of concept - full algorithm:

Benchmark: stop cliff

EW	2.0 TeV
$m^2_{H_d}$	3.65740418 TeV^2
$m^2_{H_u}$	-0.213361994 TeV^2
sign(μ)	+
A_t	3.610 TeV
$m_{\tilde{t}_R}$	1.27 TeV
$m_{\tilde{q}3_L}$	3 TeV
M_1	300 GeV
M_2	2 TeV
M_3	3 TeV
A_b, A_τ	0 GeV
$\tan\beta$	10
$m_{\tilde{e}_L} = m_{\tilde{\mu}_L} = m_{\tilde{\tau}_L} = m_{\tilde{e}_R} = m_{\tilde{\mu}_R} = m_{\tilde{\tau}_R}$	2 TeV
$m_{\tilde{q}1_L} = m_{\tilde{q}2_L} = m_{\tilde{u}_R} = m_{\tilde{c}_R} = m_{\tilde{d}_R} = m_{\tilde{s}_R} = m_{\tilde{b}_R}$	3 TeV
m_h	125.012 GeV
$m_{\tilde{t}_1}$	1306 GeV
$m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$	294 GeV



EWSB	stop cliff	s1	s2	s3	s4
$m^2_{H_d}$, $m^2_{H_u}$, sign(μ)	A_t [GeV]	-5617.8	-3795.0	3610.5	6085.9
	m_h [GeV]	125.012	125.012	125.012	125.012
$m^2_A(Q)$, μ	A_t [GeV]	-5606.9	-3795.1	3610.7	6090.1
	m_h [GeV]	125.012	125.012	125.012	125.012
m_A, μ	A_t [GeV]	-5607.2	-3794.7	3610.7	6089.9
	m_h [GeV]	125.012	125.012	125.012	125.012

R. El-Kosseifi, J.-L. Kneur,
G. Moultaka, D. Zerwas

The mh MSSM

Authors: A. Djouadi,
J.-L. Kneur
G. Moultaka,
M. Ughetto,
D. Zerwas

SuSpect

Idea:
- Replace 1 of the MSSM input parameters by a measured quantity inspired by [Djouadi et al., Habemus MSSM,'13]
- A_t replaced by m_h
- Inversion algorithm instead of a scan

Proof of concept - full algorithm:

Benchmark: stop cliff

EW	2.0 TeV
$m^2_{H_d}$	3.65740418 TeV^2
$m^2_{H_u}$	-0.213361994 TeV^2
sign(μ)	+
A_t	3.610 TeV
$m_{\tilde{t}_R}$	1.27 TeV
$m_{\tilde{q}3_L}$	3 TeV
M_1	300 GeV
M_2	2 TeV
M_3	3 TeV
A_b, A_τ	0 GeV
$\tan\beta$	10
$m_{\tilde{e}_L} = m_{\tilde{\mu}_L} = m_{\tilde{\tau}_L} = m_{\tilde{e}_R} = m_{\tilde{\mu}_R} = m_{\tilde{\tau}_R}$	2 TeV
$m_{\tilde{q}1_L} = m_{\tilde{q}2_L} = m_{\tilde{u}_R} = m_{\tilde{c}_R} = m_{\tilde{d}_R} = m_{\tilde{s}_R} = m_{\tilde{b}_R}$	3 TeV
m_h	125.012 GeV
$m_{\tilde{t}_1}$	1306 GeV
$m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$	294 GeV



EWSB	stop cliff			s3	s4
$m^2_{H_d}$, $m^2_{H_u}$, sign(μ)	A_t [GeV]	-5617.8		3610.5	6085.9
	m_h [GeV]	125.012	125.012	125.012	125.012
$m^2_A(Q)$, μ	A_t [GeV]	-5606.9	-3795.1	3610.7	6090.1
	m_h [GeV]	125.012	125.012	125.012	125.012
m_A, μ	A_t [GeV]	-5607.2	-3794.7	3610.7	6089.9
	m_h [GeV]	125.012	125.012	125.012	125.012

HDECAY

HDECAY

Authors: A. Djouadi,
J. Kalinowski,
M. Mühlleitner,
M. Spira

Code Description:

- computation of the partial decays widths and branching ratios of the Higgs bosons within the SM (w/ 3&4 generations), a general two-Higgs doublet model and the MSSM
- it includes the dominant higher-order effects: radiative corrections and multi-body channels

Programming language:

- Fortran

Method:

- Computation of partial decay widths and branching ratios from input parameters
- Link to SuSpect to get particle spectrum and soft SUSY breaking parameters, also possible link to FeynHiggs or input from SLHA file

HDECAY

HDECAY

Authors: A. Djouadi,
J. Kalinowski,
M. Mühlleitner,
M. Spira

Code Descriptio

Programming lan

Method:

the
-Higgs

ions and

nput

ng
file

Updates

Autors: A. Djouadi, J. Kalinowski, M. Mühlleitner, M. Spira

- Continuous updates over the years

- New manual more than 20 years after first publication describing updates since then ['18]

HDECAY: Twenty++ Years After

Abdelhak Djouadi[a], Jan Kalinowski*[b,c], Margarete Mühlleitner[d], and Michael Spira[e]

SDECAY

Code Description:

- computation of the partial decays widths and branching ratios of the SUSY particles of the MSSM
- it includes the dominant higher-order effects, loop induced 2-body decays and important 3- and 4-body decays

Programming language:

- Fortran

Method:

- Computation of partial decay widths and branching ratios from input parameters
- Link to SuSpect to obtain the mass spectrum and the soft-SUSY breaking parameters, or input from SLHA file
- Within SUSY-HIT it is linked also to HDECAY to get the MSSM Higgs boson decay widths and branching ratios

SDECAY

Code Descriptio

f the

dy

Programming lan

Method:

Planned update

within DMLab project

- Update links to newest SuSpect and HDECAY versions

- Improve treatment of decays at kinematic thresholds

- Computation of partial decay widths and branching ratios from input parameters
- Link to SuSpect to obtain the mass spectrum and the soft-SUSY breaking parameters, or input from SLHA file
- Within SUSY-HIT it is linked also to HDECAY to get the MSSM Higgs boson decay widths and branching ratios

DMLab Support

DMLab Support

- No CNRS sabbaticals planned at KIT yet
- Short term trips would definitely support the project
- Help from DMLab: financial support for visits

Update SuSpect

Update SDECAY

Update the Interface to C++

SUSY-HIT



Project Team:
Jean-Loic Kneur (PI), Gilbert Moultaka,
M. Mühlleitner (PI), Michael Spira, Dirk Zerwas


Thank You
For Your
Attention!