



Rare Charm decays at LHCb

Alessandro Scarabotto
LPNHE (Paris)



GDR-InF workshop
Paris, France
15-17 November 2021



Why study Rare Charm decays?


- Rare Charm decays receive contributions from flavor-changing neutral-current (FCNC) $c \rightarrow u\ell\ell$ processes
- Decays containing the charm quark are a unique up-type quark probe for these processes (complementary to the down-type quark studies in the K and B sectors)
- The FCNC transitions at tree level are forbidden in the SM, CKM and GIM suppressed (tiny SM prediction of $\mathcal{B} < 10^{-9}$) [[J. High Energ. Phys. 2013, 135 \(2013\)](#)]
- Some New Physics (NP) models predict large enhancement in rates and CP and angular asymmetries
- Lepton Flavour Universality (LFU) in charm decays is still a relatively unexplored area:

$$R_{P_1 P_2}^D = \frac{\int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} d\mathcal{B}/dq^2(D \rightarrow P_1 P_2 \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} d\mathcal{B}/dq^2(D \rightarrow P_1 P_2 e^+ e^-)}$$



Challenges

- Rare Charm decays are dominated by Long Distance (LD) interactions (resonant component) with tree-level dynamics
- Precise theoretical predictions are difficult on the branching fractions (the resonances contribution are dominated by QCD effects at very low energy and are evaluated with non-perturbative methods with high uncertainty)



$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$
non-resonant component
resonant component

LD different model
BSM model (dashed)

Rare Charm decays at LHCb

- Branching fractions:

- Search for $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ [[PLB 725 15-24 \(2013\)](#)]
- Search for $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$ [[PRD 97 091101 \(2018\)](#)]
- Observation $D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- V(\mu^+ \mu^-)$ [[PRL 119 \(2017\) 181805](#)]

- SM null tests (Lepton Flavor Violation and Asymmetries):

- Search for $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ e^-$ [[PLB 754 167 \(2016\)](#)]
- Search for $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow h^\pm \ell^+ \ell'^\pm$ [[JHEP06\(2021\)044](#)]
- Asymmetries in $D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ [[PRL 121 \(2018\) 091801](#)]
- Angular analysis and CP violation in $D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ [[arXiv:2111.03327](#)]

$$D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- V(\mu^+ \mu^-)$$


$$D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow h^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$$

$$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$$

$$D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$

$$D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow h^\pm \ell^+ \ell'^\pm$$

$$D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ e^-$$



My ongoing analysis:

Search for $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- e^+ e^-$

Search for $D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- e^+ e^-$ decays

- Make first observation of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- e^+ e^-$

Channel	Total BF	BF: $675 < m(ee) < 875 \text{ MeV}/c^2$	BF: $1005 < m(ee) < 1035 \text{ MeV}/c^2$
$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-$	$< 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$ [1]	$(4.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}$ [2]	$< 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ [2]
$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$ [1]	/	/
$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- e^+ e^-$	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ [1]	/	/

[1] [Phys. Rev. D **97**, 072015, Apr 2018](#) (BESIII)

[2] [Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 081802, Feb 2019](#) (BABAR)

Measured muon modes BFs



Channel	Branching fraction
$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	$(4.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$ in dimuon range $675\text{-}875 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ [3]
$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	$(9.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-7}$ [4]
$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	$(1.54 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-7}$ [4]

[3] [Phys.Lett.B **757** \(2016\), 558-567](#) (LHCb)

[4] [Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 181805, Oct 2017](#) (LHCb)

Challenges with electrons

- The main challenge with electrons at LHCb is the bremsstrahlung effects due to the interactions of the electrons with the detector material
- Compared to muons, decays involving electrons show tails in their mass distributions due to the missed brem photon energy
- The Brem recovery procedure has a 50% efficiency on photons emitted before the magnet [Electron reconstruction at LHCb and Belle II - presentation](#)




Analysis strategy

- Search for the decays and possible BF measurement relative to the $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-$ decay:

$$BF(D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- e^+ e^-) = \frac{N(D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- e^+ e^-)}{N(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-)} \frac{\epsilon(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-)}{\epsilon(D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- e^+ e^-)} \times \boxed{BF(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-)}$$

- Data sample: Run2 (2015 to 2018)
- Selecting D^* -tagged decays: $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0(\rightarrow h^+ h^- e^+ e^-) \pi_{soft}^+$
- Measure integrated BF as well as differential BF in regions of di-electron mass
- Blind analysis: data removed in the signal D^0 mass range [1700-1900] MeV/ c^2
- Samples split into decays with and without brem photons attached to the electrons (brem categories)

input from BaBar
measurement with 13 %
relative uncertainty





Background studies

- There two main backgrounds:
 - Combinatorial background, reduced with a multivariate analysis
 - Mis-identified backgrounds, reduced using particle identification (PID) variables
- Probability of mis-id two π as two e is on the order of 10^{-4}

Decay	Branching fraction
$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-$	4×10^{-6}
$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	$\sim 10^{-6}$
$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- e^+ e^-$	$\sim 10^{-7}$
$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	8×10^{-2}
$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	8×10^{-3}
$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	2×10^{-3}

Multivariate discriminator

- Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) implemented to reject the combinatorial background
- Input: kinematic and topological variables of particle candidates
- Signal proxy: simulation signal sample
- Background proxy: data in the D^0 high mass region




Selection optimization

- The PID and BDT selection can be optimized maximizing the Punzi figure of merit:

$$FoM = \frac{\epsilon_{signal}}{\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{N_{bkg}}}$$

[\[eConf C030908 \(2003\), MODT002\]](#)

- ϵ_{signal} : selection signal efficiency from simulation
- N_{bkg} : background yield in the signal region
- Background yield composed of:
 - Combinatorial: extrapolated from the D^0 high mass region
 - Mis-id: estimated from normalization channel





BDT vs PID FoM optimization

Fit on the normalization decay

Preliminary fit on $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-$ after selection optimized on the signal

Total signal yield: ~ 1400 events $\rightarrow$ Very promising!



Expectations for the signal modes:

$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^- : \sim 350$ events

$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- e^+ e^- : \sim 35$ events

Fit on Run2 data $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ e^-$: without brem photons attached (left) and with 1 or more (right). **Signal**, **Combinatorial** and **Mis-ID** $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$.

Conclusion and prospects

- Rare Charm decays constitutes a unique environment to look for New Physics, either with BF measurements or as SM null tests
- First LHCb study on the $D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- e^+ e^-$ decays
- The goal is to make a first observation of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- e^+ e^-$
- Expecting to observe $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$ and observe/set limit for $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- e^+ e^-$

For the future:

- Study of LFU ratio with muon modes
- CP and Angular asymmetries




Backup

The LHCb experiment

- LHCb is a single arm forward spectrometer optimized for b and c physics
- Good vertex/momentum resolution and excellent particle identification
- LHCb has the world's largest sample of charm decays
- $\sigma(pp \rightarrow c\bar{c}) \sim 0(\text{mb})$
 $\rightarrow \sim 10^{13}$ pairs produced up to now

[[Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30, 1530022 \(2015\)](#)]



PID and Mis-id efficiencies

Ring Imaging Cherenkov (RICH) detectors


RICH 1 and RICH 2 with PID for kaons, pions, protons, and low-momentum leptons

Muon stations

Five muon stations (M1-M5) with high purity PID for muons

Calorimeters

Scintillating Pad Detector (SPD), Pre-Shower detector (PS), Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL) and Hadronic Calorimeter (HCAL) with PID for electrons, photons and neutral pions



The PID variables are built as:

1. log likelihood difference particle hypotheses of X and π as reference: $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{RICH} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{MUON} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{CALO}$
2. Neural network output, trained on simulation with info from the sub-detectors and tracking

For example, the π to electron mis-id efficiency for different cuts on PID variables:

- $\text{ProbNNe} > 0.2 \rightarrow 0.7 \%$
- $\text{ProbNNe} > 0.5 \rightarrow 0.3 \%$
- $\text{ProbNNe} > 0.9 \rightarrow 0.04\%$

PID performance in Run 2 at LHCb

Prospects for the LHCb Upgrades

[Physics Case Upgrade2](#)

- Limits on BF's for Run3-4 (Upgrade1 2022-2030) and Run5 (Upgrade2 2030-...):

Mode	Run1-2 (1-6 fb ⁻¹)	Upgrade1 (50 fb ⁻¹)	Upgrade2 (300 fb ⁻¹)
$D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	6.2×10^{-9}	4.2×10^{-10}	1.3×10^{-10}
$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	6.7×10^{-8}	10^{-8}	3×10^{-9}
$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	2.6×10^{-8}	10^{-8}	3×10^{-9}
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$	9.6×10^{-8}	1.1×10^{-8}	4.4×10^{-9}
$D^0 \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\mp$	1.3×10^{-8}	10^{-9}	4.1×10^{-9}

- Statistical precision on asymmetries:

Mode	Run1-2 (1-6 fb ⁻¹)	Upgrade1 (50 fb ⁻¹)	Upgrade2 (300 fb ⁻¹)
$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$		0.2 %	0.08 %
$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	3.8 %	1 %	0.4 %
$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$		0.3 %	0.13 %
$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$		12 %	5 %
$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	11 %	4 %	1.7 %

LFU in Rare Charm decays


- Why these decays are interesting:
 - **Search for New Physics:** contributions from FCNC processes that can be sensitive to new and unknown particles and interactions
 - **Promising channels to test LFU in $c \rightarrow u\ell\ell$ transitions :**

$$R_{P_1 P_2}^D = \frac{\int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} d\mathcal{B}/dq^2(D \rightarrow P_1 P_2 \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} d\mathcal{B}/dq^2(D \rightarrow P_1 P_2 e^+ e^-)}$$

BSM effects can be seen even in the full q^2 region and soon within the reach of LHCb

full q^2	SM	BSM	LQ	hi q^2 SM	LQs	lo q^2 SM	BSM
$R_{\pi\pi}^D$	$1.00 \pm \mathcal{O}(\%)$	0.85 ...0.99	SM-like	$1.00 \pm \mathcal{O}(\%)$	0.7 ...4.4		
R_{KK}^D	$1.00 \pm \mathcal{O}(\%)$	SM-like	SM-like	NA	NA	$0.83 \pm \mathcal{O}(\%)$	0.60..0.87

BDT input variables



- D0 flight direction angle
- D0 flight distance from PV
- D0 daughters distance of local approach (DOCA)
- D0 impact parameter from PV
- Soft pion P and PT
- Soft pion impact parameter from PV
- PT asymmetry around Dst direction of flight
- D0 vertex chi2