LSST France meeting

The small-scale dark matter content in galaxies and clusters of galaxies from weak and strong lensing



Raphael Gavazzi



Introduction

- Project proposed during the 2016 call for INSU-IN2P3 sharing of LSST data rights.
 - Combination of strong and weak lensing in the core of galaxies and clusters to constrain DM properties
- Between 2016 and 2020
 - I got further involved in Euclid (co-Lead of the Strong Lensing SWG)
 - Pushed a project aiming at modeling cluster weak lensing data from ground based data (AMALGAM). Merged with the CHEX-MATE cluster project
 - Focused on the ray-tracing through Hydrodynamical cosmo sims
- Worked at IAP until 2020, then spent 1 year at IoA, Cambridge. Since Sept 2021, at LAM!... busy period...
- As of yet, only weakly acquainted with LSST paradigm!
 - Should get into closer contact with C. Combet's group and DESC-Clusters at large
 - Had a substantial contribution to the writing of Euclid Rubin/LSST Derived Data Products proposal for Strong Lensing

Mass content

- From masses...
 - Overall halo masses and beyond (virial, turnaround, 2-halo & linear bias)
 - Relation between halo and baryons
- ... profiles & shapes ...
 - DM properties (cusp-core, ellipticity
 - Severe cross-talk with baryons
- ... to substructure



$$p(M_{\rm h},\cdots|M_*,\cdots)$$



24 nov 2021

Mass content

- From masses...
 - Overall halo masses and beyond (virial, turnaround, 2-halo & linear bias)
 - Relation between halo and baryons
- ... profiles & shapes ...
 - DM properties (cusp-core, ellipticity)
 - Severe cross-talk with baryons
- ... to substructure





Einstein Ring Gravitational Lenses

Hubble Space Telescope - ACS

Strong Lensing: Euclid/LSST synergies

 Replied to the call (Apr 21 - now) for sharing Derived Data Products.
 W/ T Collett, P Marshall, G Smith, T Anguita, A Verma, ...

Propose maximal sharing of pixels (3% of LSST & Euclid sky)

FND-19-SL: Combining Rubin and Euclid data is critical to strong lens discovery and exploitation REC-31-SL: Multiband simulations of Euclid and LSST strong lenses DDP-57-SL: Pansharpenned images of all strong lens candidates DDP-58-SL: Deblended foreground lens and background source photometry for strong lens candidates DDP-59-SL: A joint colour and morphology catalogue for strong lens searches DDP-60-SL: A strong lens probability for every early type galaxy DDP-61-SL: ugrizy-VIS-YJH postage stamps of strong lens candidates

- Coordinating spectroscopic follow-up
 - Pure SL 4MOST Proposal (PI Collett)
- SL reply for SV during Rubin commissioning

A -X/: - 0111 00010

Strong Lensing Science Collaboration input to the on-sky commissioning of the Vera Rubin Observatory

Graham P. Smith^a, Timo Anguita^{b.c}, Simon Birrer^d, Paul L. Schechter^e, Aprajita Verma^f, Tom Collett^g, Frederic Courbin^h, Brenda Fryeⁱ, Raphael Gavazzi^j, Cameron Lemon^h, Anupreeta More^k, Dan Ryczanowski^a, Sherry H. Suyu^l, on behalf of the Strong Lensing Science Collaboration





) LSST Y2 is when the survey depths be

Cluster mass estimation (WL)

- The case for weak lensing masses
 - Accurate total masses! (No need to assume hydrostatic nor dynamical equilibrium)
 - Wide field (multi)band imaging \rightarrow r₅₀₀, r₂₀₀, r_{vir}, ... LSS environment (ie 2-halo component)
 - Probes dark matter + baryons + ...
- Weak lensing is weak
 - Shape noise mitigation requires many backgrd sources: good seeing, deep...
 - Shape noise mitigation requires many foregrd lenses: stacking, very large surveys (10² 10⁴ deg²)
- Not only total mass: access to profile, halo shape, subhalos... (possibly aided with strong lensing)
- Wealth of optical ground-based data (<u>CFHT</u>, DECam, <u>Subaru</u>, VST,...)

Should allow to measure a lot of cluster masses in a unified way...

Towards an "automated" lensing pipeline

Devise a function Lensing_pipeline(RA, Dec, Width, facilities) \rightarrow

- Fetch raw or detrended images from archive of facility(ies) within "width" of a cluster
- Perform astrometric and photometric calibration against GAIA, PS1
- Build a model of the PSF and its spatial variations
- Cherry-pick the "good" exposures
- Combine exposures into "stacked images" for so many available bands (defects...)
- Detect sources on stack
- Go back to individual exposures, fit for PSF-convolved surface brightness model
- Photo/morphometric catalog \rightarrow derive photo-z, and shear profile/map, mass...



AMALGAM / CHEX-MATE project

with A. Donnarumma & E. Bertin and CHEX-MATE Collaboration

- 140 clusters in CFHT/Subaru archives (510 optical stacks, 11000 exposures, ~CFHTLS).
- 124 clusters with 2 to 9 optical bands. seeing~0.65", depth i_{AB} ~24 25, n_{bg} = 5-25 arcmin⁻². Substantial overlap with CCCP, LOCUSS, WtG, CLASH. But selection function is obscure
- Sample redefinition based on Planck M_{500,SZ} criteria (arXiv: 2010.11972) CHEX-MATE : 118 targeted by XMM Heritage 4Msec project. AMALGAM U CHEX-MATE ~ 200 clusters (~130 already processed +70 ongoing)
- If lensing mass is accurate to 20-40 %, should enable a 4% calibration of mass proxy (either HE or Yx)
- Shapes measured with model fitting capabilities of Sextractor+PSFEx.
- Source redshifts PDFs computed with k-NN technics with COSMOS photo-z (poorman-z).
- Ongoing improvements : VST, HSC images, photometric calibration against PS1 (issue: u band)
 24 nov 2021
 LSST France meeting

Stands for "Ajustement de Modèles Appliqué aux Lentilles Gravitationnelles dans les AMas de galaxies",

http://amalgam.iap.fr/



Lensing_pipeline(RA, Dec, Width, facilities) \rightarrow

	PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 u band	PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 B band	PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 g band
184884p 2006-12-21 r.MP9601 650.1 Genevieve Soucait Distributio 184885p 2006-12-21 r.MP9601 650.1 Genevieve Soucail Distributio 184888p 2006-12-21 r.MP9601 650.1 Genevieve Soucail Distributio 180429p 2006-11-22 i.MP9701 320.1 Genevieve Soucail Distributio 1366354p 2019-01-08 u.MP9302 80.1 Jean-Charles Cuillandre CFIS 1365609p 2019-01-05 u.MP9302 80.0 Jean-Charles Cuillandre CFIS	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.4 \\ \hline 0.2 \\ \hline 0.2 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline 0.2 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline 0 \\ -0.2 \\ \hline 0 \\ -0.4 \end{array} \qquad $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.4 \\ \hline \hline 0.2 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline 0 \\ -0.2 \\ \hline -0.4 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} -0.2 \\ -0.4 \\ \hline 0 \\ -0.2 \\ -0.4 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} -0.2 \\ \hline 0 \\ -0.2 \\ -0.4 \\ \hline 0 \\ -0.0 $	0.4 (D) 0.2 (D) 0.2 (D) 0.0 (D) 0.
33055010 2019-01-07 u.MP9302 80.1 Jean-Charles Cuillandre CFIS 3365510 2019-01-08 u.MP9302 80.0 Jean-Charles Cuillandre CFIS 3365510 2019-01-08 u.MP9302 80.1 Jean-Charles Cuillandre CFIS 34534557 2019-10-28 u.MP9302 80.1 Jean-Charles Cuillandre CFIS 4453458 2019-10-28 u.MP9302 80.1 Jean-Charles Cuillandre CFIS 4453110 2019-10-27 u.MP9302 80.1 Jean-Charles Cuillandre CFIS 4453111 2019-10-27 u.MP9302 80.1 Jean-Charles Cuillandre CFIS 3660900 2019-01-07 w.C-IC 240.0 a=1.01 UPA0017067 2002-12-03 W-C-IC 240.0 a=1.00 UPA0017070 2002-12-03 W-C-IC <t< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.0 - 0.2 \ 0.4 \\ PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 \ V \text{ band} \\ \hline 0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.4 \\ \hline 0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.0 \\ \hline 0.4 \ 0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.0 \\ \hline 0.4 \ 0.4$</td><td>$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 0.2 0.0-0.2 0.4 \\ \xrightarrow{A RA [deg]} \\ PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 r band \\ 0.4 \\ \xrightarrow{B}{0} \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.4 0.2 0.0-0.2 0.4 \\ 0.4 0.2 0.0-0.2 0.4 \\ \xrightarrow{A RA [deg]} \\ PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 r band \\ \xrightarrow{A RA [deg]} \\ A RA$</td><td>$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.0 \\ PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 \text{ R band} \\ \hline 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0$</td></t<>	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.0 - 0.2 \ 0.4 \\ PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 \ V \text{ band} \\ \hline 0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.4 \\ \hline 0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.0 \\ \hline 0.4 \ 0.4 \ 0.2 \ 0.0 \\ \hline 0.4 \ 0.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 0.2 0.0-0.2 0.4 \\ \xrightarrow{A RA [deg]} \\ PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 r band \\ 0.4 \\ \xrightarrow{B}{0} \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.4 0.2 0.0-0.2 0.4 \\ 0.4 0.2 0.0-0.2 0.4 \\ \xrightarrow{A RA [deg]} \\ PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 r band \\ \xrightarrow{A RA [deg]} \\ A RA $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.0 \\ PSZ2_G111.61-45.71 \text{ R band} \\ \hline 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0$
UIPA0017074 2002-12-03 W-C-IC 240.0 a=1.00 UIPA0017075 2002-12-03 W-C-IC 240.0 a=1.00 24 nov 2021	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 \\ \hline 0.2 \\ \hline 0.2 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline 0 \\ -0.2 \\ \hline -0.4 \\ \hline 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ -0.2 \\ \hline 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ -0.2 \\ \hline 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ -0.2 \\ \hline 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ -0.2 \\ \hline 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ -0.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$	0.4 9 0.2 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.4 0.2 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.4 0.2 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.4 \\ \hline 0.2 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.$

Lensing_pipeline(RA, Dec, Width, facilities)



24 nov 2021

Lensing_pipeline(RA, Dec, Width, facilities) \rightarrow



24 nov 2021

LSST France meeting

Lensing_pipeline(RA, Dec, Width, facilities) \rightarrow



Lensing_pipeline(RA, Dec, Width, facilities) \rightarrow

multiband catalog x,y,ra,dec,... e1,e2,... {mag1, mag2... magN}

A probabilistic approach is highly desirable to get p(z|m) but standard photometric redshifts require many bands, only feasible for ~20 clusters.

POORMAN-Z : k-NN redshift picker from COSMOS2015 (Laigle++16) photo-z (COSMOS photometry degraded to our depth in each band)

multiband catalog x,y,ra,dec,... e1,e2,... {mag1, mag2...}, p(z) or (z,σ_z) , membership probability

Lensing_pipeline(RA, Dec, Width, facilities) \rightarrow



2D bayesian fit

No radial binning. Accounting

- correlated LSS noise
- multimodal clusters!

- marginalizing over center, ellipticity, concentration... AND all source redshifts $p(z_s|mags)$

Fully non-linear shear regime, allowing for combined Strong+Weak lensing modeling.

 $p(z_s|mags)$ can be updated given M and richness to correct for signal dilution 14

Some results

Stacked shear profile



Some results





Some results

Crude preliminary mass-observable relation for M_{yx} - M_{yy}

M2C Sample (36 clusters): (w/ Bartalucci, Arnaud, Pratt... to be updated with full CHEX-MATE Sample)

Simple regression

- assuming slope=1
- no uncertainties on M_{Yx}
- accounting for non-gaussian M_{wi}
- No redshift evolution 0.1-0.7

 $\log M_{500WL} - \log M_{500Yx} = 0.06 \pm 0.02$

• => 1-b ~ 0.87 ± 0.04

sigma_logM ~ 0.14 ± 0.02 (but should subtract off some 7% uncertainties on X)

 Lensing Masses totally consistent with Herbonnet++20



Shear around truncated sub-halos zout din 70ut 70Ut dout Preliminary results by internship student (J Christiano) dout SDSS spectro (1e4 lenses w/ z and $150 < \sigma < 300$ km/s) AMALGAM (9e5 sources) zin zin At same σ (or M_{*}), cluster member galaxies have lost 7ir dou dou ~80% of their mass 0.04 members near center ection near cente rout zout 0.03 dout din dout 0.02 0.01 0.00 24 nov 2021 18 -0.01 \pm 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.6 0.4 See also Niemiec et al 17 distance to galaxy center [Mpc]

Note on WL mass accuracy

- Statistical: leading factors
 - Bckgrd source density (depth, seeing)
 - Line of sight structure (mostly for low z)
 - Ability to isolate high z sources (multiband)
- Systematics
 - Shape measurements
 - Redshift of sources (photo-z)
 - Magnification, screening & contamination by cluster members
 - Centering?!?!?
 - Range over which the mass profile is fitted (typically between 0.5 and 2 Mpc or so...



Conclusions

· Strong lensing activity took off

- Ambitious Rubin/Euclid DDP proposal (finding/modeling lenses with multiband, high resolution, time domain information)
- Coordinating spectroscopic follow-up with Euclid. First attempt: a joint 4MOST proposal on galaxy-scale SL.
- Contributed to the proposition of SV targets for Rubin commissioning

· Weak lensing mass estimates "made easy"

- Lensing_pipeline() function able to ingest Megacam/SuprimeCam data (soon HSC/VST/DECam) and deliver images, catalogs, Masses, mass map/profiles,... at almost any position!
- Application to sizeable and well defined samples underway
- Bayesian modeling able to fit parametric 2D clusters with substructures (mergers) (unbinned, SL+WL possible, p(z_s) marginalisation downweigh cluster members)
- Ongoing effort to fold magnification in (angular fg/bg cross-correlation w(theta) → magnitude,[size] shifts) Thesis M. Shuntov
- Able to pin down normalisation of scaling relations to within a few percents (systematics floor)

· Willing to better understand LSST landscape and contribute on WL

- My recent moves did not allow me to supervise new students yet.
- Extend my Euclid credentials at CC-IN2P3
- Investigate the merits of my "pipeline" at catalog level on DC2 and eventually at pixel level using new (ML) features of SourceXtractor++

Questions?







EXTRA MATERIAL



Shape measurements

- Great perfs in GREAT3 challenge: 2nd team out of a dozens.
- With appropriate weighting, and snr cut :
 - Multiplicative biases ~< 10⁻³
 - Additive biases ~<2 10⁻⁴







Overall Leaderboard

Name -	Notes ÷	Score	Number of entries +
<u>sFIT</u>	Modified DLS stackfit algorithm	80001	162
Amalgam@IAP	Some fellows developing software based around SExtractor and PSFex for real-life shape measurements.	80000	215
CEA-EPFL	The team wants to investigate if we could improve shear estimation by combining gfit with sparse representation methods.	72000	340
MegaLUT	Evolutions of the MegaLUT technique : how far can we go with SExtractor + Machine Learning ?	52000	234
Fourier Quad	Our team uses the quadrupole moments of the spectral density of galaxy images in Fourier space to measure shear.	32000	36
EPFL gfit	Using the gfit shear measurement method, testing how far one can go by using forward model fitting + new approaches for bias	24000	124

Redshift distribution of sources

- Needed to convert shear into actual surface mass density and to discard cluster members.
- color cuts... hard to handle with different combinations of filters from one cluster to the next.
- A probabilistic approach is highly desirable to get p(z|m) but standard photometric redshifts require many bands, only feasible for ~20 clusters.
- POORMAN-Z : k-NN redshift picker from latest COSMOS2015 (Laigle++16) photo-z (COSMOS photometry degraded to our depth in each band)





Poorman-z



- About 20000 existing spec-z for comparison (CLASH-VLT, SDSS,...)
- Spectroscopic sample highly biased (bright).
- Cluster members are generally more luminous than field galaxies
- Sources are also magnified.

Additional cluster Lensing in **CFIS/UNIONS**



- UNIONS: UV NIR Optical Northern Sky Survey
 - u,r (CFHT/Megacam) \rightarrow CFIS (PI Cuillandre/McConnachie)
 - g (HSC and JPAS)

 - i (PanSTARRs) (Chambers++) z (HSC) \rightarrow WISHES (Oguri+)



- Main goal is to provide photometry for Euclid
- + Stand alone Science \rightarrow in particular r band with 0.6" seeing
- 4500 deg² with good overlap with SDSS spectroscopy \rightarrow lensing with r-band, red sequence with r+z. Galactic science with shallower u



Galactic plane BOSS CFIS-u area goal : 11,400 deg.² CFIS-r area goal : 4.900 deg.²

- CFIS-u covered with 3 exposures (full depth) : 5650 deg.² CFIS-r covered with 3 exposures (full depth) : 2860 deg.
- CFIS priority area : Euclid space survey best northern 2600 deg.
- ⇒ yellow ecliptic isolines track in the CFIS-r area the Euclid survey coverage per yea assuming a start at the ecliptic pole (NEP): total area given for both galactic caps



Note on WL mass accuracy



Note on WL mass accuracy

