Conformal Freeze-In of Dark Matter

Gowri Kurup

Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics

PLANCK 2022 June 2nd

What is Dark Matter?

We have lots of evidence through gravitational interactions:

What is Dark Matter?

We have lots of evidence through gravitational interactions:

But its microscopic nature is completely unknown.

S. Hong, G. Kurup and M. Perelstein [arXiv: 1910.10160, 2206.*****]

➢ Models so far – based on assumption of particle nature of DM.*

*See Seung's talk after this for a different approach!

S. Hong, G. Kurup and M. Perelstein [arXiv: 1910.10160, 2206.*****]

➢ Models so far – based on assumption of particle nature of DM.

➢ What if the thermal history of DM was dominated by a conformal phase?

S. Hong, G. Kurup and M. Perelstein [arXiv: 1910.10160, 2206.*****]

- ➢ Models so far based on assumption of particle nature of DM.
- ➢ What if the thermal history of DM was dominated by a conformal phase?
	- 1. CFT \Rightarrow No notion of particles possible.
	- 2. Large anomalous dimensions \Rightarrow non-integer operator dimensions.
	- 3. Naturally light DM in this scenario (as we will see later).

S. Hong, G. Kurup and M. Perelstein [arXiv: 1910.10160, 2206.*****]

- ➢ Models so far based on assumption of particle nature of DM.
- ➢ What if the thermal history of DM was dominated by a conformal phase?
	- 1. CFT \Rightarrow No notion of particles possible.
	- 2. Large anomalous dimensions \Rightarrow non-integer operator dimensions.
	- 3. Naturally light DM in this scenario (as we will see later).
- ➢ Dark sectors with (approximate) conformal symmetry (that produce observed relic abundance) can be shown to undergo **freeze-in**, with minimal assumptions.

Dark sector phase transition from UV theory (e.g. Banks-Zaks theory) to CFT phase. $M_{\rm Pl}$ $\mathcal{L}_{int} = \lambda_{CFT} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{SM} \mathcal{O}_{CFT}}{\Lambda_{CFT}^{D-4}} ; \quad D = d_{SM} + d_{CFT}$ $\Lambda_{\rm CFT}$ T_R Reheating temperature: Only the SM is reheated. CFT energy density production can start. **COFI**ΛEW SM interactions and phase transitions (EW/QCD) mgap dynamically generate mass gap. $\rm m_{\rm DM}$ Dark pions (DM) have a mass smaller than m_{gap} , with $r \equiv m_{DM} / m_{gap}$

Boltzmann Equations

➢ No particles or number densities ⇒ Use energy density instead!

Boltzmann Equations

➢ No particles or number densities ⇒ Use energy density instead!

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{CFT}}}{\partial t} + 4H\rho_{\text{CFT}} = C
$$

What is the collision term?

 $SM \rightarrow CFT$ terms^{*}: e.g. for $2 \rightarrow CFT$, $n_{SM}^2 \langle \sigma(SM \rightarrow CFT) v E_{tot} \rangle$

CFT \rightarrow SM: need finite temperature CFT correlators, $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{CFT}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{CFT}} \rangle_T$, which are difficult to calculate.

*See H. Georgi [arXiv:hep-ph/0703260v3]

Boltzmann Equations

 \triangleright Freeze-in \rightarrow ignore backreaction, $T_{\rm D} \ll T_{\rm SM}$.

- \triangleright Weak coupling \rightarrow CFT is never in thermal equilibrium with the SM.
- ➢ Implicit assumption: CFT is strongly coupled, and thermalizes very quickly compared to Hubble; in thermal equilibrium with temperature T_D .

COFI: UV vs IR Production

Generation of mass gap $\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = \lambda_{\rm CFT} \; \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\rm SM} \mathcal{O}_{\rm CFT}}{\Lambda_{\rm CFT}^{D-4}} \; ; \quad D = d_{\rm SM} + d_{\rm CFT}$

When a relevant deformation to the CFT (due to \mathcal{O}_{SM}) is produced, conformal symmetry is broken and a mass gap is generated.

Generation of mass gap $\mathcal{L}_{int} = \lambda_{CFT} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{SM} \mathcal{O}_{CFT}}{\Lambda_{CFT}^{D-4}} ; \quad D = d_{SM} + d_{CFT}$

When a relevant deformation to the CFT (due to \mathcal{O}_{SM}) is produced, conformal symmetry is broken and a mass gap is generated. Types of deformations:

- 1. Generation of $\mathcal{O}_{\rm CFT}$ due to $\mathcal{O}_{\rm SM}$ getting a VEV,
- 2. Generation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{CFT}}$ due to loop induced coupling to other SM operators with a VEV,
- 3. Generation of $\mathcal{O}_{\rm CFT}$ due to SM loops,
- 4. Generation of $\mathcal{O}_{\rm CFT}^2$ due to loops with SM particles.

Example: $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\rm SM} = H^{\dagger}H$

- ➢ Production modes:
	- \rightarrow Above weak scale:
		- \varnothing Annihilation (H H \rightarrow CFT)
	- \rightarrow Below weak scale:

 \varnothing Decay (H \rightarrow CFT)

- α Quark/gluon fusion through Higgs portal (*q q* / g g \rightarrow CFT)
- \triangleright Production ends at $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$
- ➢ DM becomes non-relativistic as temperature of dark sector falls below mass.

$$
m_{\rm DM} = r \times \left(\frac{\lambda_{\rm CFT} v^2}{\Lambda_{\rm CFT}^{d-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{4-d}}
$$

- ➢ Higgs decay dominates for $d < d^* = 2.5$.
- \triangleright Quark/gluon annihilations are negligible
- \triangleright $T_{\rm D} \ll T_{\rm SM}$ as required.
- \triangleright Redshift as matter (a⁻³) for T_D below mass of DM.

Relic Density Plot for $\mathcal{O}_{\rm SM} = H^{\dagger}H$

 \triangleright With $r = 0.1$, Light $0.1 - 1$ MeV scale DM!

- ➢ Higher masses: Overproduction of DM
- ➢ Mass and coupling are oneto-one related.
- ➢ Higher r: Self interaction constraints
- ➢ Lower r: Warm DM constraints

All Portals Studied:

Summary

- ➢ Naturally light dark matter candidate from COFI
- ➢ keV-MeV dark matter masses for all portals considered
- ➢ Non-integral operators in the dark sector's history
- ➢ Dynamically generated mass gap: mass is linked to coupling
- \triangleright Minimal model, with essentially 2 parameters: d, and $\frac{m_{\text{gap}}}{m_{\text{gap}}}$ $m_{\rm DM}$

See arXiv:1910.10160 for some details, and stay tuned for the follow-up paper!

Thank you!

EXTRAS!

Consider a scale-invariant dark sector coupled to the SM as,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = \lambda_{\rm CFT} \; \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\rm SM} \mathcal{O}_{\rm CFT}}{\Lambda_{\rm CFT}^{D-4}} \; ; \quad D = d_{\rm SM} + d_{\rm CFT}
$$

with $\lambda_{\text{CFT}} \ll 1$:

- Expected from a Banks-Zaks theory in UV point-of-view.
- Conformal Symmetry breaking is small, and technically natural.
- Λ _{CFT} is the cut-off scale for the CFT, above which it should be replaced with a UV theory.
- Only consider scalar operators for this scenario, for e.g. $\mathcal{O}_{\text{SM}} = H^{\dagger}H, H Q_{L}^{\dagger}q_{R}, HL^{\dagger}l_{R}, G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}$

The Confined Phase

≻ After a mass gap is generated, we expect particles of mass \sim m_{gap}.

➢ Take DM to be PGB of an approximate global symmetry spontaneously broken at m_{gap} . (Compare with pions.)

➢ There can be many hadronic states, but to be model-agnostic, we consider a mediator to the dark sector ρ at m_{gap} and the DM to be the lightest state that it decays to prominently, ϕ .

Self-Interaction Bounds

Bound from bullet cluster and other galaxy cluster mergers:

 $\frac{\sigma_{self}}{m} \lesssim 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$

For PGB Dark Matter, derivative couplings imply,

$$
\sigma_{self} \sim \frac{m_{\rm DM}^{\rm o}}{8\pi m_{gap}^{8}} = \frac{r^{\rm o}}{8\pi m_{gap}^{2}}
$$

Overlay of the weak lensing mass contours on the X-ray image of galaxy cluster 1E 0657–56. The gas bullet lags behind the DM subcluster.

M. Markevitch et al., Astrophys. J. 606, 819 (2004)

" Naturalness "

With $\lambda_{BZ} \sim 1$, we want to have $M_{BZ} < M_{pl}.$

But this is a model-dependent constraint that depends on choice of T_R and d_{BZ} .

Upper bounds on scaling of lowest dimension scalar in $\phi \times \phi$. Different curves are for different numbers of functionals.

D.Poland, D.Simmons-Duffin and A.Vichi, [arXiv:1109.5176 [hep-th]]

CFT Bootstrap

CFT Bootstrap is a method by which OPE (Operator Product Expansion) coefficients are constrained using symmetries.

For COFI to work, we need to avoid relevant UV deformations of the CFT.

(Otherwise, mass gap is generated in the UV)

Upper bounds on scaling of lowest dimension scalar in $\phi \times \phi$. Different curves are for different numbers of functionals.

D.Poland, D.Simmons-Duffin and A.Vichi, [arXiv:1109.5176 [hep-th]]

CFT Bootstrap

Solution: assume symmetries to avoid relevant operators in CFT Lagrangian.

E.g.- If we assume a Z_2 symmetry for the CFT operator, there can be relevant singlet deformations in the UV to be a consistent CFT. There are upper bounds on this from the $\phi \times \phi$ OPE, and this gives *(modeldependent)* bounds on d.

Solutions: Higher symmetry/ fine-tuning

N-body simulations of galactic haloes in universes dominated by CDM (left) and WDM (right; for a particle mass of 2 keV).

M. Drewes *et. al.* [arXiv:1602.04816 [hep-ph]]

Warm DM Bound

For thermal DM, any mass $< 5 \text{ keV} \Rightarrow$ structure formation is disrupted. For COFI: $T_{\rm D} \ll T_{\rm SM} \Rightarrow$ $m_{\rm DM} \gtrsim 5 \mbox{ keV} \times \left. \frac{T_{\rm D}}{T_{\rm SM}} \right|_{T_{\rm SM} \sim \Lambda_{QCD}}$

Other Constraints

- ➢ Direct Detection: DM is too light and weakly coupled to be relevant.
- \triangleright BBN: No ΔN_{eff} constraint, as energy in dark sector is very low at BBN.
- ➢ Deviation in CMB observables from changing ionization history
- ➢ Diffuse X- and Gamma-ray backgrounds
- ➢Invisible rare meson decays
- ➢ Beam dump experiments

Coupling to SM is too small, and constraints are negligible.

Other Constraints

 \triangleright Specific to $H^{\dagger}H$: Higgs invisible decay

 \triangleright Specific to $HQ^{\dagger}q_R$: Collider searches for $q \t q \rightarrow \t 'unparticles'$

 \triangleright Specific to $HL^{\dagger}l_R$: LEP searches for $e^+e^- \rightarrow MET + \gamma$

 \rightarrow Irrelevant as well, due to weak coupling.