# Dark Matter and Signatures in Multimessenger Astronomy

Julien Lavalle CNRS – LUPM – Montpellier

Journées du PNHE – en ligne – September 16th, 2021

## Outline

- Transdisciplinary context
- Candidates
- Multimessenger signatures and recent progresses

LCDM impressively successful so far (Nobel prize to J. Peebles): - compelling interpretation of CMB, BBN, LSS, structure formation, etc.

But increasing observational precision has led to tensions btw diff probes:

- Tensions on large scales
- Tensions on small scales

The *H*<sup>0</sup> tension

LCDM impressively successful so far (Nobel prize to J. Peebles): - compelling interpretation of CMB, BBN, LSS, structure formation, etc.

But increasing observational precision has led to tensions btw diff probes:

- Tensions on large scales
- Tensions on small scales

The *S*<sup>8</sup> tension (discrep. amplitude of matter power spectrum on large scales)





Di Valentino+'21 / Visinelli (github)

LCDM impressively successful so far (Nobel prize to J. Peebles): - compelling interpretation of CMB, BBN, LSS, structure formation, etc.

But increasing observational precision has led to tensions btw diff probes:

- Tensions on large scales
- Tensions on small scales

Keep in mind (large scales):

- LCDM not secured yet (+ dark components unknown)

- Tensions might have implications on properties of DM (the S<sub>8</sub> tension mostly)

LCDM impressively successful so far (Nobel prize to J. Peebles): - compelling interpretation of CMB, BBN, LSS, structure formation, etc.

But increasing observational precision has led to tensions btw diff probes:

- Tensions on large scales
- Tensions on small scales



Mass density profiles of galactic halos:

predicted cuspy down to very inner parts (NFW, Einsato)
halo mass fixes all parameters

... but found cored in significant fraction of galaxies (not always).

LCDM impressively successful so far (Nobel prize to J. Peebles): - compelling interpretation of CMB, BBN, LSS, structure formation, etc.

But increasing observational precision has led to tensions btw diff probes:

- Tensions on large scales



**Regularity problem** 

LCDM impressively successful so far (Nobel prize to J. Peebles): - compelling interpretation of CMB, BBN, LSS, structure formation, etc.

But increasing observational precision has led to tensions btw diff probes:

- Tensions on large scales
- Tensions on small scales



#### Keep in mind (small scales)

- Cusp/core puzzle (structure formation) ↔ diversity vs. regularity

- Alleviated by baryonic physics (how much?)
- Could point to specific DM properties:
  - Self-interactions (SIDM)?
  - Ultra-light bosons?
  - Superfluid DM?

## Context (2): Particle Physics

#### LHC did not find (yet) new physics at TeV:

 $\rightarrow$  "EW hierarchy pb" strongly affected as a theoretical research program  $\rightarrow$  Latest surviving exp. "anomaly" is g<sub>µ</sub>-2: very fragile (see LQCD results)

- => Popular WIMP no longer a top-down prediction
- => Top-down survivors (gained popularity):
  - axions (strong QCD pb)
  - sterile neutrinos (leptogenesis)
- $\rightarrow$  Bottom-up approaches flourish (DM a goal, not a by-product)
  - => Game is: production mechanisms in early universe vs. interaction properties
  - => Based on more or less complex dark sectors
  - => Comprise WIMP-like, FIMP-like, axions-like (ALPs), etc. particles.

 $\rightarrow$  Many energy scales motivated [e.g. axions, neutrinos, WIMPs, etc.]

- => Multimessenger + multiwavelength + multitechnique searches.
- => HE astro + astro + cosmo + laboratory probes/signatures



Courtesy L. Lellouch [FNAL'21 + BMW'20]

# Typical candidates and (PNHE) signatures



#### An elephant in the room



#### Did LIGO detect dark matter?

Simeon Bird,\* Ilias Cholis, Julian B. Muñoz, Yacine Ali-Haïmoud, Marc Kamionkowski, Ely D. Kovetz, Alvise Raccanelli, and Adam G. Riess<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles St., Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

arXiv:1603.00464 (PRL)



Evidence for primordial black hole dark matter from LIGO/Virgo merger rates



#### An elephant in the room



#### Did LIGO detect dark matter?

Simeon Bird,\* Ilias Cholis, Julian B. Muñoz, Yacine Ali-Haïmoud, Marc Kamionkowski, Ely D. Kovetz, Alvise Raccanelli, and Adam G. Riess<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles St., Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

arXiv:1603.00464 (PRL)



Carr+'20

Many constraints but PBH DM a strong science case. Relies on non-minimal inflation, but rather generic

# Predicting (PNHE) signals

X

Signals

DM Particle Fundamental Properties DM phase-space properties

X

Astro "transfer function"

DM particle mass
annihilation/decay cross section (incl. v-dependencies)
branching ratios
spectra of final states

-

- Spatial distribution of DM

- Inhomogeneities (subhalos)
- Velocity distribution of DM
- => recent developments here

- From injection to observer

- $\rightarrow$  propagation for CRs
- $\rightarrow$  oscillations for neutrinos
- $\rightarrow$  e.g. absorption for photons

# Predicting (PNHE) signals

X

Signals

DM Particle Fundamental Properties DM phase-space properties

X

Astro "transfer function"

DM particle mass
annihilation/decay cross section (incl. v-dependencies)
branching ratios
spectra of final states

-

Upper limits (so far) derived by:
Exp. collabs when theory settled (e.g. gamma-rays through s-wave ann.)
Th./ph. groups otherwise

- Spatial distribution of DM

- Inhomogeneities (subhalos)
- Velocity distribution of DM
- => recent developments here



Active theoretical dev. + Gaia data to constrain PSDF and granularity of Galactic halo.

- From injection to observer
- $\rightarrow$  propagation for CRs
- $\rightarrow$  oscillations for neutrinos
- $\rightarrow$  e.g. absorption for photons

Active th/pheno dev. + AMS02 data to constrain CR propagation models + multimess. astro backgrounds

# Gamma-ray searches



Armand+'21 (@ICRC-21)

# Gamma-ray searches

 $10^{-20}$ Point your telescopes to Dwarf Galaxy Satellites (DGSs) (free of other HE processes – only Galactic foreground)  $10^{-22}$ (סv) [cm³/s] Pre 10-24 HAWC  $10^{-26}$ , Veritas Combination Fermi-LAT MAGIC, H.E.S.S. HAWC Fermi H<sub>o</sub> medi  $E_{\sim}$ H.E.S.S. containmer χχ→bb MAGIC  $\sim 20 \,\mathrm{MeV}$  $\sim 30 {\rm GeV}$  $\sim 1 \,\mathrm{TeV}$  $\sim 10^2 \text{TeV}$ Ho 95% containment VERITAS Thermal relic (σν) 10-28 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>1</sup>  $10^{4}$ Where CTA will improve  $m_{\chi}[GeV]$ (DGS modeling should also improve)

Armand+'21 (@ICRC-21)

10<sup>5</sup>

#### $X \rightarrow Gamma-ray searches$

#### Diffuse Galactic emission INTEGRAL data (0.02-2 MeV) and |l|<30° |b|<15°







#### Recent developments in gamma-rays

Accounting for DM subhalos in v-dependent signals  $\rightarrow$  typical of Sommerfeld enhancement  $\sim 1/v^n$   $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  Compton length << interaction length (similar to gravitational cross section)

Relies on: - Dynamically constrained subhalo population model - Velocity DF predictions in (sub)halos of all masses - Many intricate effects ... but very strong impact!



Lacroix+ (in prep)

# A word on the GC gamma-ray emission

- Intense extended emission seen in Fermi data
- Template fitting not well suited for physical interpretation: CR properties, propagation, magnetic + radiation fields not well controlled.
  - => Theoretical uncertainties >> inferred "effective" excess
- Hard astrophysical modeling work (while quite easy DM signal)
- Good candidates: milli-second pulsars + other diffuse component
   => Very likely emission of astrophysical origin
   => GCE OK to derive limit on DM, harder for signals (except gamma-ray lines)
- See e.g. recent work by Calore+'15-'21

#### Antimatter cosmic rays

New benchmark propagation models to bracket theoretical uncertainties: MIN-MED-MEX (old ones by Donato+'04) => prediction uncertainties reduced by a factor of ~5





Génolini+'21

#### Antimatter cosmic rays

New benchmark propagation models to bracket theoretical uncertainties: MIN-MED-MEX (old ones by Donato+'04) => prediction uncertainties reduced by a factor of ~5





Reinert & Winkler'17 (Full analysis from Génolini+ expected soon)

#### Antimatter cosmic rays in the MeV domain

Old stuff matters!!! Voyager spacecraft missions (1977)

 $\rightarrow$  a probe of solar modulation and interstellar CRs

\*\*\*\* For DM: e+e- measurements at ~10 MeV \*\*\*\*







→ Very powerful probe of DM annihilation (bg free + insensitive to DM halo profile, local DM only)

#### Neutrinos



Pointing to the Sun (complementary to direct searches)



Pointing to the GC (complementary to gamma-ray searches) WIMP WIMP  $\rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ **10**<sup>-21</sup> ANTARES 14 years (NFW) ANTARES 11 years (NFW) 10<sup>-22</sup> KM3Ne⊤ 1 year (NFW) HESS 10 years (Einasto) Fermi-MAGIC (Dwarf Sph.) s L 10<sup>-23</sup> VERITAS (Dwarf Sph.) IceCube 3 years (NFW) (*a* v) [ cm<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>-24</sup> 10<sup>-25</sup> -10<sup>-26</sup> 10<sup>-27</sup> 10<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> 100 1000  $M_{\rm WIMP}$  [GeV /  $c^2$ ]

Lazar+@ICRC-21

Gozzini+@ICRC-21

## Primordial black holes



(NB: contradicts Gaggero+'17)

# A tiny fraction of PBHs kills s-wave DM annihilation



# PREPARATION

Lacroix+ (preliminary) See also Eroschenko'16, Boucenna+'18,Carr+'21, Boudaud+'21

## Conclusions

- LCDM not secured yet: implication/s for DM nature or properties?
- Structure formation plagued with issues on small scales: baryons? DM properties? (SIDM?)
- DM candidates: axions and rh neutrinos from top-down arguments; WIMPs (and declensions) motivated by simple thermal production mechanism/s in early universe.
- Multimessenger astronomy:
  - powerful probe/s of thermal DM + heavy decaying DM (sensitivity entering the ballpark)
  - sub-GeV and multi-TeV to explore further (MeV astro + CTA)
  - v-dependencies of signals + impact of subhalos
- PBHs: elephants in the room:
  - Even a tiny fraction of PBH DM kills s-wave annihilating DM
  - Strong science case for the coming years (GWs and X-rays).
- DM theory / searches: transdisciplinary approaches necessary
   => Strong French groups + PNHE plays an important role



## Experimental landscape





#### DM candidates' mass range

#### Lower mass bounds

#### **Upper mass bounds**

Thermal particle DM (boson/fermion) ~ keV [Ly-alpha, dwarf galaxies]

Fermionic particle DM (single species): ~ 0.1 keV [Dwarf galaxies as degenerate Fermi gas systems] [aka Tremaine-Gunn limit]

**Bosonic** particle DM:  $\sim 10^{-22} \text{ eV}$ [de Broglie wavelength ~ size of dwarf galaxies] Thermal particle DM (boson/fermion) ~ 100 TeV [Unitarity]

> (Non-thermal) Macroscopic DM: e.g. primordial black holes  $\sim 1-10 M_{sun}$

SummaryThermal DM particles in range  $\sim 1 \text{ keV} - 100 \text{ TeV}$ Non-thermal DM: $> 10^{-22} \text{ eV}$  (bosons)> 0.1 keV (fermions)Macro DM: < 10 Msun</td>

# Core-cusp solution through (self-) interactions



Collisional damping vs. WDM vs. SIDM: [e.g. ETHOS – Vogelsberger+'16]

Scattering with light SM species suppresses power spectrum on small scales

 $\rightarrow$  suppression similar to WDM [Boehm+'01-'15, etc.]

+ self-scattering (SIDM) => density-dependent setting of cores in halos

Typically constrained by Ly-alpha [e.g. Dvorkin+'20]

# N<sub>eff</sub> from BBN and CMB

[Assume tuning to 511 keV signal + couplings to e's and nu's only]

MeV DM can freeze out after v decoupling

**DM dominant coupling to v's (s-wave):**   $\rightarrow$  contributes additional v's: N<sub>eff</sub>  $\rightarrow$  increases expansion rate during BBN and

 $\rightarrow$  increases expansion rate during BBN an recombination

 $\rightarrow$  n/p freezes out earlier  $\leftrightarrow$  Y<sub>p</sub> & D/H

 $\rightarrow$  DM-v scattering prevents v's to free stream

DM dominant coupling to e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> (p-wave):

 $\rightarrow$  transfers entropy to visible sector

 $\rightarrow$  fixed photon density today => decreases N<sub>eff</sub>



## CMB Constraints

10<sup>26</sup>

Studied since early 2000's [e.g. Finkbeiner+, Slatyer+, Galli+, etc.]

DM annihilation/decay products inject energy that contributes ionization at recombination and after.



#### Direct searches

Classical WIMP searches: Scattering off target nuclei => nuclear recoils

> Astrophysical input important: - local DM abundance + inhomogeneities - local phase-space distribution of DM [high tail of v-distribution gives largest kinetic energy] → Gaia data + theory dev.

#### Direct searches

Classical WIMP searches: Scattering off target nuclei => nuclear recoils

> Light WIMP searches: Short in kinetic energy => nuclear excitation/ionization (e.g. Ibe+'17, Kouvaris+'17) => Scattering off electrons/phonons => Electronic recoils/heat [Intense theoretical effort: Essig+,Lin+,Hochberg+,etc.]

electron

Energetics:mkeVMeVGeVRecoil ~ kinetic E ~  $mv^2$ TmeVeVkeV

## Direct searches









# Gammas & positrons



e-Astrogam proposal De Angelis, Tatischeff+'18

# Gammas & positrons



e-Astrogam proposal De Angelis, Tatischeff+'18

# Gammas & positrons



e-Astrogam proposal De Angelis, Tatischeff+'18

# Scattering with cosmic rays



Scattering of cosmic rays with DM kicks up the latter to high velocities => induced DM cosmic rays (large kinetic energy) [e.g. Bringmann+'18] => can be probed at direct detection experiments

# Sterile neutrinos from X-rays

 $U_e$ 

Main constraints:

alpha)

