



Gamma-ray bursts

& Electromagnetic Counterparts to Gravitational Waves

Frédéric Daigne, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris

Focus on mergers of stellar mass compact objects

Réunion générale du PNHE – 14, 15, 16 septembre 2021

A very dynamic subject, with rapid progress:

A few examples of recent observations:







HESS collab.

A very dynamic subject, with rapid progress:

A few examples of recent observations:

- Three GRBs recently detected at VHE (afterglow)
- Late observations of 170817 @ 3.5 years (KN afterglow?):





A very dynamic subject, with rapid progress:

A few examples of recent observations:

- Three GRBs recently detected at VHE (afterglow)
- Late observations of 170817 @ 3.5 years (KN afterglow?)
- Results of the LVK O3 run:

190425 BNS 190814 NSBH? or BBH 200105 NSBH 200115 NSBH (Ed Porter's talk)

A second BNS, at least two NSBH, but no new em counterpart.

A common physics:



Gravitational collapse (core-collapse / merger)

Formation of a stellar mass compact object

Non/midly-relativistic ejection (SN, KN)

Ultra-relativistic ejection (internal dissipation: prompt, interaction with ext. medium: afterglow)

Particle acceleration, non-thermal emission

Etc.

Nature of the central engine?

Accreting hypermassive NS/magnetar



OR





OR one and then the other...

Nature of the central engine?

Accreting hypermassive NS/magnetar



OR

Accreting BH

(Jérôme Guilet's talk)

OR one and then the other...

Many questions:

Extreme core-collapse: magnetar or BH?
 -Numerical modelling
 -Neutrino/GW signal?

Mergers: post-merger evolution?

 Depends on several factors, including the E.O.S
 Indirect constraints from em (mainly KN: only one case):
 new observations and more modeling are needed.
 Direct GW signal? Wait for ET?
 (Ed Porter's talk)

Jet launching, acceleration & early propagation:





Many questions:

- Is a relativistic ejection possible either with a NS or a BH? Differences?
- Initial magnetization? Efficiency of the acceleration? Final magnetization?
- Effect of the interaction with the local medium? (collapsar: infalling enveloppe, merger: dynamical ejecta, neutrino wind) Choked/successful jets?
- Jet geometry, orientation, structure, composition?
- Etc.
- Observations: indirect from prompt & afterglow (the beautiful case of 170817) Needs models for the analysis.
- Numerical modelling
- Neutrino signal? (KM3net, IceCube)

(Damien Dornic's talk)



- High variability, strong spectral evolution
- Internal dissipation in the relativistic ejecta
- Shock breakout, dissipative photophere, internal shocks, reconnection, ...
- Particle acceleration
- Non-thermal emission



Many questions:

- Structured jet: same mechanism in the core jet and in the lateral structure?
- Role, signature of the shock breakout?
- Signatures of the different mechanisms (shocks, reconnection, ...)?
- Microphysics? Acceleration of hadrons?

Internal dissipation



Many questions:

- Needed observations: synergy SVOM-Fermi-CTA
 - needs a good spectral coverage in gamma-rays + distance
 - prompt optical emission?
 - VHE prompt emission?

(Bertrand Cordier's talk) (Luigi Tibaldo's talk) (Damien Dornic's talk)

- neutrinos? (KM3NET, IceCube)
- Needed numerical simulations/models:
 - Microphysics (Benoît Cerutti's, Arno Vanthieghem's talks)
 - Dynamics
 - Time-dependent Radiative codes
 - Etc.
- Needed new tools to the data/model comparison (see e.g. Yassine, Piron, Daigne, Mochkovitch et al. 2020)



Shocked external medium



- Long lived
- Multi-wavelength
- Less variable
- Reverse shock, Forward shock
- Again: particle acceleration, non-thermal radiation



Shocked external medium



Many questions:

- Signature of the reverse shock? (always present?)
- Consequences of the lateral structure? (see e.g. Beniamini, Duque, Daigne & Mochkovitch 2020)
- Late evolution: lateral expansion, non-relativistic transition) (late 170817 obs.)
- Constraints on external medium?
- Microphysics, radiative processes
- Observations:
 - Multi-wavelength + distance (SVOM)
 - More VHE observations (CTA)

(Bertrand Cordier's talk)

- (Luigi Tibaldo's talk)
- More radio observations (SKA/precursors) (Stéphane Corbel's talk)
- More GW+EM observations of mergers under different viewing angles

(see e.g. Duque, Daigne & Mochkovitch 2019)

(Ed Porter's, talk)

 Models/ numerical simulations (see e.g. Lamberts & Daigne 2018 ; Ayache, van Eerten & Daigne 2020)

Afterglow:







- Slightly misaligned line of sight to structured jets allows a geometrical interpretation of plateaus.
- Observed correlations arise naturally.
- Flares can be produced in the same scenario.

Beniamini, Duque, Daigne & Mochkovitch 2020 ; Duque, Beniamini, Daigne & Mochkovitch in preparation

Supernova/Kilonova:

Massive stars: Core-Collapse Supernova

Mergers:



Red/Blue KN

Supernova/Kilonova:

Massive stars: Core-Collapse

Many questions:

- Physics of SNae associated to GRBs?
- Better understand the SN/GRB connection, the diversity, ...

Mergers:

Many questions:

- Nuclear uncertainties (r process)
- Atomic uncertainties (opacity, lines of highly ionized heavy elements)
- Geometry of each component, v wind always present?
- Robustness of the ejected mass measurement
- Interpretation of spectra, etc.
- Needs more observations + models/numerical simulations... (see e.g. Mochkovitch, Daigne, Duque & Zitouni 2021)

Red/Blue KN (only one case!)

(Jérôme Guilet's talk)

Dynamical ejecta + v wind

(Jérôme Margueron's talk)

17







- Need more observations of individual events to explore the diversity SVOM (Bertrand Cordier's talk) GW+EM BNS/NSBH (Ed Porter's talk) LSST (Anais Möller's talk)
- Host galaxies
- Population models
 (Long GRBs: see e.g. Palmerio & Daigne 2021 ; BNS: see e.g. Duque, Beniamini, Daigne & Mochkovitch 2020)
- Indirect constraints (e.g. chemical evolution, bkgs, ...) (I. Dvorkin's talk) (see e.g. Dvorkin, Daigne, Goriely, Vangioni& Silk 2021)
- Important not only for understanding the physics of these phenomena, but also for other applications (e.g. constraining the cosmic star formation rate, constraing the stellar evolution in binaries, etc.)

A common challenge: rapid follow-up on alert

- GRB/merger studies need the best multi-λ/multi-messenger coverage
- Many important signatures are short duration and happen very early.
- Many challenges:
 - Initial alert: localization
 - Accurate initial localisation: rapid follow-up at all wavelength (especially challenging for the late prompt/early afterglow emission)
 - Large error boxes: very challenging detection of candidates, classification, identification, ... Needs photometric + spectroscopic follow-up.
 - Etc.
- How prepared is the French community for this challenge?

See talks by Bertrand Cordier (SVOM), Pierre Duverne (FINK, GRANDMA), Sarah Antier (TS2020+, SNO « alertes » ?), Chiara Caprini (GDR GW) , and probably the discussion led by Susanna Vergani on MMA...

Thanks!

Comments? Questions?