FREEZE-IN PRODUCED DARK MATTER IN THE ultra-relativistic regime

Simone Biondini

Department of Physics - University of Basel

Strong and Electroweak Matter Conference 2021 (Online Edition) July 2nd, 2021

in collaboration with Jacopo Ghiglieri (arXiv 2012.09083, JCAP03(2021)075)

Department of Physics

[Motivation and Introduction](#page-1-0)

FRAMING THE DARK MATTER MODEL

Simplified DM models:

 \Rightarrow capture the d.o.f. and parameters needed to study DM phenomenology

- χ Majorana fermion singlet, $\chi \equiv$ DM particle
- η is charged under QCD and U(1) γ , $\eta \equiv$ mediator with $M_{\eta} = M + \Delta M$

$$
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi} \left(i \partial - M \right) \chi + (D_{\mu} \eta)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \eta - M_{\eta}^{2} \eta^{\dagger} \eta - \lambda_{2} (\eta^{\dagger} \eta)^{2}
$$

$$
- \lambda_{3} \eta^{\dagger} \eta \phi^{\dagger} \phi - y \eta^{\dagger} \bar{\chi} a_{R} q - y^{*} \bar{q} a_{L} \chi \eta
$$

same model and freeze-in see M. Garny and J. Heisig (2018) and G. Bélanger et al (2018)

[Motivation and Introduction](#page-1-0)

FRAMING THE DARK MATTER MODEL

- Simplified DM models:
	- \Rightarrow capture the d.o.f. and parameters needed to study DM phenomenology
- χ Majorana fermion singlet, $\chi \equiv \textsf{DM}$ particle
- \bullet η is charged under QCD and U(1) γ , $\eta \equiv$ mediator with $M_{\eta} = M + \Delta M$

$$
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi} \left(i \partial - M \right) \chi + \left(D_{\mu} \eta \right)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \eta - M_{\eta}^{2} \eta^{\dagger} \eta - \lambda_{2} (\eta^{\dagger} \eta)^{2}
$$

$$
- \lambda_{3} \eta^{\dagger} \eta \phi^{\dagger} \phi - y \eta^{\dagger} \bar{\chi} a_{R} q - y^{*} \bar{q} a_{L} \chi \eta
$$

same model and freeze-in see e.g. M. Garny and J. Heisig (2018) and G. Bélanger et al (2018)

$y \leq$ $\lesssim \mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$, $\tau_{\text{\tiny{INI}}} \gg M_{\eta}$ and $\tau > 150~\text{GeV}$

- DM χ never reaches thermal equilibrium $f_{\chi}(t,\boldsymbol{k}) \ll n_{F}(k^{0})$
- \bullet η and q maintained in equilibrium by SM interactions
- χ accumulates over the thermal history through processes like $\eta \to \chi q$

[Motivation and Introduction](#page-1-0)

FRAMING THE DARK MATTER MODEL

Simplified DM models:

 \Rightarrow capture the d.o.f. and parameters needed to study DM phenomenology

- χ Majorana fermion singlet, $\chi \equiv DM$ particle
- η is charged under QCD and U(1) γ , $\eta \equiv$ mediator with $M_{\eta} = M + \Delta M$

$$
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi} \left(i \partial - M \right) \chi + (D_{\mu} \eta)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \eta - M_{\eta}^{2} \eta^{\dagger} \eta - \lambda_{2} (\eta^{\dagger} \eta)^{2}
$$

$$
- \lambda_{3} \eta^{\dagger} \eta \phi^{\dagger} \phi - y \eta^{\dagger} \bar{\chi} a_{R} q - y^{*} \bar{q} a_{L} \chi \eta
$$

same model and freeze-in see e.g. M. Garny and J. Heisig (2018) and G. Bélanger et al (2018)

$y \lesssim \mathcal{O}(10^{-8}),\,\, T_{\text{\tiny{INI}}}\gg M_{\eta}$ and $\mathcal{T}>150\,\, \text{GeV}$ ∼

- we shall address the high-temperature dynamics
- multiple soft scatterings and $2 \rightarrow 2$ process

 $T \gg M_n$ can be very important even for renormalizable interactions

PRODUCTION RATE AND RATE EQUATION

GENERAL APPROACH

- Given a field χ weakly coupled to a an equilibrated bath, with internal couplings g
	- [T. Asaka, M. Laine and M. Shaposhnikov (2006), M. Laine and A. Vuorinen (2017), D. Bödeker, M. Sangel and M. Wörmann (2016)]
- at leading order in y and all orders in g one can prove D. Bödeker, M. Sangel and M. Wörmann (2016)

$$
\dot{f}_{\chi}(t,\mathbf{k}) = \Gamma(k)[n_{\mathrm{F}}(k^0) - f_{\chi}(t,\mathbf{k})], \quad \Gamma(k) = \frac{|y|^2}{2k^0} \int d^4x \, e^{iK \cdot X} \langle [J(X), J(0)] \rangle
$$

 \bullet $f_{\gamma}(t, k)$ is the single-particle phase-space distribution; *J* made of bath fields

$$
\Gamma(k) = \frac{|y|^2}{k^0} \text{Im} \Pi_R
$$

PRODUCTION RATE AND RATE EQUATION

GENERAL APPROACH

- Given a field χ weakly coupled to a an equilibrated bath, with internal couplings g
	- [T. Asaka, M. Laine and M. Shaposhnikov (2006), M. Laine and A. Vuorinen (2017), D. Bödeker, M. Sangel and M. Wörmann (2016)]
- at leading order in y and all orders in g one can prove D. Bödeker, M. Sangel and M. Wörmann (2016)

$$
\dot{f}_{\chi}(t,\mathbf{k}) = \Gamma(k)[n_{\mathrm{F}}(k^0) - f_{\chi}(t,\mathbf{k})], \quad \Gamma(k) = \frac{|y|^2}{2k^0} \int d^4x \, e^{iK \cdot X} \langle [J(X), J(0)] \rangle
$$

 \bullet $f_{\gamma}(t, k)$ is the single-particle phase-space distribution; *J* made of bath fields

- \bullet when doing perturbative expansions \Rightarrow Boltzmann equation is recovered
- **•** general framework to include:

resummation and NLO computations, non-perturbative and thermal effects

BORN RATE AND THERMAL MASSES

• Born rate $\eta \to \chi + q$ with and without thermal masses (recall m_q is purely thermal)

for thermal masses see also L. Darmé, A. Hryczuk, D. Karamitros and L. Roszkowski (2019)

$$
\dot{n}_{\rm DM} + 3Hn_{\rm DM} = 2|y|^2 \int_{\boldsymbol{k}} \frac{n_{\rm F}(\boldsymbol{k}^0)}{\boldsymbol{k}^0} {\rm Im}\Pi_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{\rm Born}
$$

HIGH-TEMPERATURES $\pi T \gg M_n$

- all the particles are seen as massless,
- **•** momenta of external particles $p \sim \pi T$,
- particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale

HIGH-TEMPERATURES $\pi T \gg M_n$

- all the particles are seen as massless,
- **•** momenta of external particles $p \sim \pi T$,
- particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale

HIGH-TEMPERATURES $\pi T \gg M_n$

- all the particles are seen as massless,
- **•** momenta of external particles $p \sim \pi T$,
- particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale

HIGH-TEMPERATURES $\pi T \gg M_n$

- all the particles are seen as massless,
- momenta of external particles $p \sim \pi T$,
- particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale

 \Rightarrow collinear kinematics \approx high T

n soft scatterings: LPM resummation

L. Landau and I. Pomeranchuk (1953) and A. B. Migdal (1956) see e.g. J. Ghiglieri and G. D. Moore (2014) for a review

HIGH-TEMPERATURES $\pi T \gg M_n$

- all the particles are seen as massless,
- **•** momenta of external particles $p \sim \pi T$,
- particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale

 \Rightarrow collinear kinematics \approx high T

n soft scatterings: LPM resummation

L. Landau and I. Pomeranchuk (1953) and A. B. Migdal (1956) see e.g. J. Ghiglieri and G. D. Moore (2014) for a review

• at $T \gg M_\eta$ three effective processes contribute to the production of χ $[1 + n \leftrightarrow 2 + n]$

 $q \rightarrow \chi + \eta$, $q + \eta \rightarrow \chi$

LPM RESULTS

• prescription for any temperature (see I. Ghisoiu and M. Laine (2014))

$$
\mathrm{Im}\Pi_R^{1\leftrightarrow 2}=\mathrm{Im}\Pi_R^{\mathrm{LPM}}-\mathrm{Im}\Pi_R^{\mathrm{LPM\;Born}}+\mathrm{Im}\Pi_R^{\mathrm{Born}}
$$

- Considered by M. Garny and J. Heisig (1809.10135) for $T \leq M$ (possibly some issues with IR of some processes)
- we look at $\pi \tau \gg M_{\eta}, m_{\eta}, m_{q}$: for s/t and u/t contributions from **both hard and** soft momentum regions D. Besak and D. Bodeker (2012), J. Ghiglieri and M. Laine (2016)

- Considered by M. Garny and J. Heisig (1809.10135) for $T \leq M$ (possibly some issues with IR of some processes)
- \bullet we look at $\pi \top \gg M_{\eta}, m_{\eta}, m_{q}$: for s/t and u/t contributions from **both hard and soft momentum regions** D. Besak and D. Bodeker (2012), J. Ghiglieri and M. Laine (2016)

- Considered by M. Garny and J. Heisig (1809.10135) for $T \leq M$ (possibly some issues with IR of some processes)
- \bullet we look at $\pi \top \gg M_{\eta}, m_{\eta}, m_{q}$: for s/t and u/t contributions from **both hard and soft momentum regions** D. Besak and D. Bodeker (2012), J. Ghiglieri and M. Laine (2016)

- Considered by M. Garny and J. Heisig (1809.10135) for $T \leq M$ (possibly some issues with IR of some processes)
- \bullet we look at $\pi \top \gg M_{\eta}$, m_{η} , m_{q} : for s/t and u/t contributions from **both hard and** soft momentum regions D. Besak and D. Bodeker (2012), J. Ghiglieri and M. Laine (2016)

$$
\begin{array}{lll} {\rm Im}\Pi_R^{2\leftrightarrow 2} & = & \frac{2}{(4\pi)^3 k} \int_k^{\infty} dq_+ \int_0^k dq_- \Big\{ \big[n_{\rm F}(q_0) + n_{\rm B}(q_0 - k) \big] N_c \big(Y_q^2 g_1^2 + C_F g_3^2 + |h_q|^2 \big) \Phi_{S2} \Big\} \\ & & + & \frac{2}{(4\pi)^3 k} \int_0^k dq_+ \int_{-\infty}^0 dq_- \Big\{ \big[1 - n_{\rm F}(q_0) + n_{\rm B}(k - q_0) \big] N_c \big(Y_q^2 g_1^2 + C_F g_3^2 + |h_q|^2 \big) \Phi_{t2} \\ & & - \big[n_{\rm B}(k) + \frac{1}{2} \big] N_c \big(Y_q^2 g_1^2 + C_F g_3^2 + |h_q|^2 \big) \frac{k \pi^2 T^2}{q^2} \Big\} + N_c \frac{m_q^2}{16\pi} \left[n_{\rm B}(k) + \frac{1}{2} \right] \ln \left(1 + \frac{4k^2}{m_q^2} \right) \end{array}
$$

ULTRA-RELATIVISTIC REGIME: LPM AND $2 \rightarrow 2$

SUMMARY OF THE RATES

Phenomenological switch off the high-temperature processes J. Ghiglieri and M. Laine 1605.07720

$$
\kappa(M_{\eta})=\frac{3}{\pi^2\,T^3}\int_0^{\infty}dp\,p^2\,n_{\rm B}(E_{\eta})[1+n_{\rm B}(E_{\eta})]\,,\quad\boxed{\Pi}
$$

$$
I_R^{\rm tot} = \text{Im}\Pi_R^{1\leftrightarrow 2} + \text{Im}\Pi_R^{2\leftrightarrow 2}
$$

 ${\rm Im}\Pi_{\mathsf{R}}^{1\leftrightarrow 2} = ({\rm Im}\Pi_{\mathsf{R}}^{\rm LPM} - {\rm Im}\Pi_{\mathsf{R}}^{\rm LPM~Born}) \kappa(M_\eta) + {\rm Im}\Pi_{\mathsf{R}}^{\rm Born}$

ULTRA-RELATIVISTIC REGIME: LPM AND 2 \rightarrow 2

DM ENERGY DENSITY WITH HIGH-T EFFECTS

- Born rate with vacuum masses \Rightarrow 20% reduction of $\Omega_{\rm DM} h^2$ with respect to $\Pi_R^{\rm tot}$
- 30% when including thermal masses but excluding $2 \rightarrow 2$ and effective $1 \leftrightarrow 2$
- estimation of theoretical error: LPM with and without $\kappa(M_n)$, here ∼ 10% effect

ULTRA-RELATIVISTIC REGIME: LPM AND 2 \rightarrow 2

LARGE AND SMALL MASS SPLITTINGS

 \bullet the smaller $\Delta M/M$ the larger the effect of thermal masses, LPM and 2 \rightarrow 2

Left plot: $\Delta M/M = 0.1$; Right plot: $\Delta M/M = 10$

• also other parameters of the model are relevant (h_{α}, λ_3)

SUMMARY

- \bullet we studied the impact of the ultra-relativistic regime on the production of a feebly interacting DM particle
- **•** Even in models with renormalizable interactions high-temperature $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, $2 \rightarrow 2$ can give $\mathcal{O}(1)$ contribution

SUMMARY

- \bullet we studied the impact of the ultra-relativistic regime on the production of a feebly interacting DM particle
- **•** Even in models with renormalizable interactions high-temperature $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, $2 \rightarrow 2$ can give $\mathcal{O}(1)$ contribution
- simplified dark matter model: χ Majorana fermion DM and η mediator charged under SU(3) \otimes U(1)_Y
- large impact from $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, $2 \rightarrow 2$

$$
M = 2 \text{ TeV}, \Delta M = 0.2 \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow \frac{(\Omega h^2)_{\text{full}}}{(\Omega h^2)_{\text{Born}}} \simeq 10
$$

$$
M = 0.2 \text{ TeV}, \Delta M = 2 \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow \frac{(\Omega h^2)_{\text{full}}}{(\Omega h^2)_{\text{Born}}} \simeq 1.2
$$

SUMMARY

- \bullet we studied the impact of the ultra-relativistic regime on the production of a feebly interacting DM particle
- **•** Even in models with renormalizable interactions high-temperature $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, $2 \rightarrow 2$ can give $\mathcal{O}(1)$ contribution
- simplified dark matter model: $χ$ Majorana fermion DM and $η$ mediator charged under SU(3) \otimes U(1) $_Y$
- large impact from $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, $2 \rightarrow 2$

$$
M = 2 \text{ TeV}, \Delta M = 0.2 \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow \frac{(\Omega h^2)_{\text{full}}}{(\Omega h^2)_{\text{Born}}} \simeq 10
$$

$$
M = 0.2 \text{ TeV}, \Delta M = 2 \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow \frac{(\Omega h^2)_{\text{full}}}{(\Omega h^2)_{\text{Born}}} \simeq 1.2
$$

- similar effects can affect other models if DM comes from particles in equilibrium
- Our main uncertainty comes from the lack of NLO rates Extend existing results for one massive state

M.Laine (2013); I. Ghisoiu and M. Laine (2014); J. Ghiglieri and G. D. Moore (2014); G. Jackson (2019)

S. BIONDINI (BASEL UNIVERSITÄT) [SEWM 2021](#page-0-0) JULY 2nd JULY 2nd 11/11

FREEZE-IN PRODUCTION MECHANISM

- **O** DM as a particle: many candidates see review G. Bertone 2016
- non-interacting with photons, absolutely stable or long-lived $\sim \tau_{\text{Universe}}$
- **•** Any model has to comply with

 $\Omega_{\sf DM} \hspace{0.25mm} h^2(M_{\sf DM},M_{\sf DM},\alpha_{\sf DM},\alpha_{\sf SM}) = 0.1200 \pm 0.0012$

FREEZE-IN MECHANISM J. McDONALD (2002)

- DM never reach thermal equilibrium
- DM from decay and/or annihilations of equilibrated species
- **o** for a simple model $\mathcal{L}_{int} = -y\phi\bar{\chi}\chi$, $\phi \to \chi\chi$

$$
\frac{dn_{\chi}}{dt} + 3Hn_{\chi} = \langle \Gamma_{\phi \to \chi \chi} \rangle n_{\phi}^{\text{eq}} , Y = n_{\chi}/s
$$

 $\Omega_{\rm DM} h^2 = \frac{M}{\rm GeV} \frac{Y_{\rm fin}}{3.645\times 10^{-9}}$

THE BORN RATE WITH VANISHING THERMAL MASSES

Let us look at the model at hand

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - Hk_i \frac{\partial}{\partial k_i}\right) f_{\chi}(t, \mathbf{k}) = \Gamma(k)[n_{\rm F}(k^0) - f_{\chi}(t, \mathbf{k})],
$$

$$
\Gamma(k) = \frac{|y|^2}{k^0} {\rm Im}\Pi_R = \frac{|y|^2}{2k^0} {\rm Tr} \left\{ \oint_{\mathcal{C}} a_R \left[\rho(K) + \rho(-K) \right] a_L \right\} ,
$$

Retarded correlator, Euclidean correlator and spectral function are connected

THE BORN RATE WITH VANISHING THERMAL MASSES

Let us look at the model at hand

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - Hk_i \frac{\partial}{\partial k_i}\right) f_{\chi}(t, \mathbf{k}) = \Gamma(k)[n_{\rm F}(k^0) - f_{\chi}(t, \mathbf{k})],
$$

$$
\Gamma(k) = \frac{|y|^2}{k^0} {\rm Im}\Pi_R = \frac{|y|^2}{2k^0} {\rm Tr}\left\{\mathcal{K} a_R \left[\rho(\mathcal{K}) + \rho(-\mathcal{K})\right] a_L\right\} \,,
$$

Retarded correlator, Euclidean correlator and spectral function are connected

$$
\Pi^{E}(K) = \text{Tr}\left\{ iK \left[\int_{X} e^{iK \cdot X} a_{R} \langle (\eta^{\dagger} q)(X)(\bar{q}\eta)(0) \rangle a_{L} \right] \right\}
$$

$$
= N_{c} \int_{\rho} T \sum_{n} \frac{-i \rlap{/} \rho a_{L}}{\rho_{n}^{2} + E_{q}^{2}} \frac{1}{(\rho_{n} + k_{n})^{2} + E_{\eta}^{2}}
$$

$$
\bullet \text{ with } E_q = |\bm{p}| = p \text{ and } E_\eta = \sqrt{(\bm{p}+\bm{k})^2 + M_\eta^2}
$$

see M. Laine and A. Vuorinen (2017)

In-vacuum versus finite thermal masses

• Scalar mass:
$$
\mathcal{M}_{\eta}^2 = M_{\eta}^2 + m_{\eta}^2
$$
, for $\eta \to \chi + \mathbf{q}$ and $E_{\rho} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + m_q^2}$

$$
\text{Im}\Pi_{\text{R},\eta\rightarrow\chi q}^{\text{Born}}=\frac{N_c}{16\pi k}\int_{\rho_{\text{min}}}^{\rho_{\text{max}}}{dp[\mathcal{M}_{\eta}^2-M^2-m_q^2-2k^0(E_p-p)][n_{\text{B}}(k^0+E_p)+n_{\text{F}}(E_p)]}
$$

BORN TERM AND BOLTZMANN EQUATION

For us
$$
M_{\eta} > M_{\chi} + M_{q} \ldots
$$
 (FIRST Row: $M_{\chi} > M_{\eta} + M_{q}, M_{q} > M_{\eta} + M_{\chi}$)

\n
$$
\text{Im}\Pi_{R}^{\text{Born}} = \frac{N_c(M_{\eta}^2 - M^2)}{8n_{\text{F}}(k^0)} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_{\eta}}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(P_{\eta} - P_{q} - K)}{E_{\eta} E_{q}} n_{\text{B}}(E_{\eta})(1 - n_{\text{F}}(E_{q}))
$$

BORN TERM AND BOLTZMANN EQUATION

FOR US $M_n > M_{\gamma} + M_{\sigma}$... (FIRST ROW: $M_{\gamma} > M_n + M_{\sigma}$, $M_{\sigma} > M_n + M_{\gamma}$)

$$
\mathrm{Im}\Pi_R^{\mathrm{Born}} = \frac{N_c (M_\eta^2 - M^2)}{8 n_{\mathrm{F}} (k^0)} \int \frac{d^3 \bm p_\eta}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 \bm p_q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (\mathcal P_\eta - \mathcal P_q - \mathcal K)}{E_\eta E_q} n_{\mathrm{B}}(E_\eta) (1 - n_{\mathrm{F}}(E_q))
$$

•
$$
n_{\text{DM}} = 2 \int_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\chi}(t, k)
$$
, for $\eta \to \chi + q$

$$
\dot{n}_{\rm DM} + 3Hn_{\rm DM} = 2|y|^2 \int_k \frac{n_{\rm F}(k^0)}{k^0} {\rm Im}\Pi_R
$$

= $2|y|^2 N_c (M_\eta^2 - M^2) \int_{\rho_\eta, \rho_q, k} \frac{(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(\mathcal{P}_\eta - \mathcal{P}_q - \mathcal{K})}{8E_\eta E_q k^0} n_{\rm B}(E_\eta) [1 - n_{\rm F}(E_q)]$

S. BIONDINI (BASEL UNIVERSITÄT) [SEWM 2021](#page-0-0) JULY 2nd 4/10

FIRST IMPROVEMENT: THERMAL MASSES

• at high temperatures, $\pi T \gg M_n$, repeated interactions within the bath change the dispersion relations \Rightarrow asymptotic masses

see also L. Darmé, A. Hryczuk, D. Karamitros and L. Roszkowski (2019)

• for $T > T_c \simeq 150$ GeV the quarks only have

$$
m_q^2 = \frac{T^2}{4} (g_3^2 C_F + Y_q^2 g_1^2 + |h_q|^2)
$$

o for the colored scalar

$$
m_{\eta}^{2} = \left(\frac{g_{3}^{2}C_{F} + Y_{q}^{2}g_{1}^{2}}{4} + \frac{\lambda_{3}}{6}\right)T^{2}
$$

• no thermal mass correction for χ since $\gamma \ll g$

FIRST IMPROVEMENT: THERMAL MASSES

• at high temperatures, $\pi T \gg M_{\eta}$, repeated interactions within the bath change the dispersion relations \Rightarrow asymptotic masses

see also L. Darmé, A. Hryczuk, D. Karamitros and L. Roszkowski (2019)

• for $T > T_c \simeq 150$ GeV the quarks only have

$$
m_q^2 = \frac{T^2}{4} (g_3^2 C_F + Y_q^2 g_1^2 + |h_q|^2)
$$

• for the colored scalar

$$
m_{\eta}^{2} = \left(\frac{g_{3}^{2}C_{F} + Y_{q}^{2}g_{1}^{2}}{4} + \frac{\lambda_{3}}{6}\right)T^{2}
$$

• no thermal mass correction for χ since $\chi \ll g$

$$
m_B^2 = \left(\frac{n_S}{6} + \frac{5n_G}{9} + \frac{Y_q^2N_c}{3}\right)g_1^2T^2, \quad m_g^2 = \left(\frac{N_c}{3} + \frac{n_G}{3} + \frac{1}{6}\right)g_3^2T^2
$$

FIRST IMPROVEMENT: THERMAL MASSES

• at high temperatures, $\pi T \gg M_n$, repeated interactions within the bath change the dispersion relations \Rightarrow asymptotic masses

see also L. Darmé, A. Hryczuk, D. Karamitros and L. Roszkowski (2019)

• for $T > T_c \simeq 150$ GeV the quarks only have

$$
m_q^2 = \frac{T^2}{4} (g_3^2 C_F + Y_q^2 g_1^2 + |h_q|^2)
$$

o for the colored scalar

$$
m_{\eta}^{2} = \left(\frac{g_{3}^{2}C_{F} + Y_{q}^{2}g_{1}^{2}}{4} + \frac{\lambda_{3}}{6}\right)T^{2}
$$

• no thermal mass correction for χ since $\chi \ll g$

$$
m_{\eta}^{2}(M_{\eta}, \rho) = \frac{Y_{q}^{2}g_{1}^{2} + C_{F}g_{3}^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \left\{ \frac{n_{B}(E_{\eta}(q))}{E_{\eta}(q)} \left[q^{2} + \frac{M_{\eta}^{2}q}{2p} \ln \left(\frac{(\rho+q)^{2}}{(\rho-q)^{2}} \right) \right] + 2 q n_{B}(q) \right\} + \frac{\lambda_{3}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq q n_{B}(q)
$$

- all the particles are seen as *massless*,
- all the momenta of extarnal particles are $p \sim \pi T$,
- \bullet particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale

- all the particles are seen as *massless*,
- all the momenta of extarnal particles are $p \sim \pi T$,
- \bullet particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale

- all the particles are seen as *massless*,
- all the momenta of extarnal particles are $p \sim \pi T$,
- \bullet particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale

- all the particles are seen as *massless*,
- all the momenta of extarnal particles are $p \sim \pi T$,
- \bullet particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale

 \Rightarrow collinear kinematics \approx high T

$$
m_g^2 = \left(\frac{N_c}{3} + \frac{n_G}{3} + \frac{1}{6}\right) g_3^2 T^2
$$

Long formation time $\sim \frac{1}{g^2 T}$

see e.g. J. Ghiglieri and G. D. Moore (2014)

$\pi \, \mathcal{T} \gg M_\eta$

- all the particles are seen as *massless*,
- all the momenta of extarnal particles are $p \sim \pi T$,
- \bullet particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale

- all the particles are seen as *massless*,
- all the momenta of extarnal particles are $p \sim \pi T$,
- particles are ultra-relativistic, and gT is a soft scale \Rightarrow collinear kinematics \approx high T

- connection between LPM resummation and ${\rm Im}\Pi_R^{\rm LPM} \Rightarrow \chi$ self-energy
- o notation and computational setting from

$$
\hat{H} \equiv -\frac{M^2}{2k_0} + \frac{m_q^2 - \nabla_\perp^2}{2E_q} + \frac{\mathcal{M}_\eta^2 - \nabla_\perp^2}{2E_\eta} + i\Gamma(y), \quad y \equiv |\mathbf{y}_\perp|
$$

$$
\Gamma(y) = \frac{T}{2\pi} g_1^2 Y_q^2 \left[\ln \left(\frac{m_B y}{2} \right) + \gamma_E + K_0(m_B y) \right] + \frac{T}{2\pi} g_3^2 C_F \left[\ln \left(\frac{m_g y}{2} \right) + \gamma_E + K_0(m_g y) \right]
$$

Numerical strategy and LPM Born

 \hat{H} enters the inhomogeneous equations for the functions $g(y)$ and $f(y)$ $(\hat{H}+i0^+)g(\textbf{y})=\delta^{(2)}(\textbf{y})\,,\quad (\hat{H}+i0^+)f(\textbf{y})=-\nabla_\perp\delta^{(2)}(\textbf{y})$

$$
\mathrm{Im}\Pi_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{LPM}} = -\frac{N_c}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE_q \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE_\eta \, \delta(k_0 - E_q - E_\eta) [1 - n_{\mathrm{F}}(E_q) + n_{\mathrm{B}}(E_\eta)]
$$

$$
\frac{k_0}{E_\eta} \lim_{\mathbf{y} \to 0} \left\{ \frac{M^2}{k_0^2} \mathrm{Im}[g(\mathbf{y})] + \frac{1}{E_q^2} \mathrm{Im}[\nabla_\perp \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})] \right\}
$$

Numerical strategy and LPM Born

 \hat{H} enters the inhomogeneous equations for the functions $g(y)$ and $f(y)$ $(\hat{H}+i0^+)g(\textbf{y})=\delta^{(2)}(\textbf{y})\,,\quad (\hat{H}+i0^+)f(\textbf{y})=-\nabla_\perp\delta^{(2)}(\textbf{y})$

$$
\mathrm{Im}\Pi_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{LPM}} = -\frac{N_c}{8\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dE_q\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dE_\eta\,\delta(k_0-E_q-E_\eta)[1-n_{\mathrm{F}}(E_q)+n_{\mathrm{B}}(E_\eta)]\,\mathrm{d}E_q\,\mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{k_0}{E_\eta}\lim_{y\to 0}\left\{\frac{M^2}{k_0^2}\mathrm{Im}[g(y)]+\frac{1}{E_q^2}\mathrm{Im}[\nabla_\perp\cdot f(y)]\right\}\right]
$$

ONCE $E_{\eta} = k^0 - E_q$ by the δ

- $1\!\!\!1\,$ $k^0 > E_q > 0$: this corresponds to the effective $2\to 1$ process $\eta, q \to \chi$
- 2 $E_q < 0$: this corresponds to the effective $1 \rightarrow 2$ process $\eta \rightarrow q\chi$
	- \Rightarrow LPM-Born with thermal masses is the $n = 0$ limit (no scatterings)
- **3** $E_q > k^0$: this corresponds to the effective $1 \to 2$ process $q \to \eta \chi$.

DM ENERGY DENSITY AND SUPER-WIMP CONTRIBUTION

$$
(\Omega_{\textrm{DM}} h^2)_{\textrm{obs.}} = (\Omega_{\textrm{DM}} h^2)_{\textrm{freeze-in}} + (\Omega_{\textrm{DM}} h^2)_{\textrm{super-WIMP}}
$$

- \bullet η particles stays in chemical equilibrium till late times $T \sim M_n/25$
- there is a populations of η , as governed by freeze-out, which decays into χ M. Garny, J. Heisig (2018)

$$
(\Omega_{\text{\tiny DM}} h^2)_{\text{super-WIMP}} = \frac{M}{M_\eta} (\Omega h^2)_\eta \,.
$$

$$
\frac{dn_\eta}{dt}+3Hn_\eta=-\langle\sigma_{\rm eff}v\rangle\left(n_\eta^2-n_{\eta,\rm eq}^2\right),\quad \langle\sigma_{\rm eff}v\rangle=\frac{c_3\bar{S}_3+c_4C_{\rm F}\bar{S}_4}{N_c}
$$

A. Mitridate et al (2017), S. B. and M. Laine (2018), S. B. and S. Vogl (2019), J. Hartz and K. Petraki (2018)

• P0 ($M = 2.0$ Tev, $M_{\eta} = 5.0$ TeV); P1 ($M = 0.2$ Tev, $M_{\eta} = 2.2$ TeV); P2 ($M = 2.0$ Tev, $M_n = 2.5$ TeV); P3 ($M = 2.0$ Tev, $M_n = 2.2$ TeV);

Large and small mass splittings II

- for $M_n = 2.2$ TeV the freeze-in production stops fairly close to $T_c \simeq 150$ GeV \Rightarrow follow DM production in the SM broken phase
- CMS analysis provides us with $M_n > 1250$ GeV CMS-PAS-EXO-16-036