False Vacuum Decay in Real Time

Wen-Yuan Ai CP3, UC Louvain *C*

Based on: JHEP 12 (2019) 095

In collaboration with: Björn Garbrecht, Carlos Tamarit

June 29, SEWM 2021, online

Outline

Introduction

- Optical theorem for FVD and the complex bounce
- The Picard-Lefschetz theory
- ➤ Summary

Outline

Introduction

- Optical theorem for FVD and the complex bounce
- The Picard-Lefschetz theory
- ➤ Summary

False vacuum decay

What is FVD?



Relevance to high-energy phenomenology: Electroweak Metastability, Cosmological Phase Transitions (typically at finite temperature)



What we did in our work?

What we know about FVD

The decay rate from the Euclidean/imaginary-time method
 Bubble configuration at the time of nucleation

However

□ Check for the Euclidean method in quantum field theory

□ The real-time picture has never been understood

Our work

□ Relate the real-time tunneling calculations to the optical theorem

□ Directly compute the Feynman path integral for quantum tunneling/FVD

- Develop techniques for carrying out the calculation
- □ New insights

The imaginary-time formalism on FVD

Callan & Coleman, 1977



Consider the Euclidean transition amplitude $\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-HT} | \varphi_+ \rangle$. Insert a complete basis of energy eigenstates

$$\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-H\mathcal{T}} | \varphi_+ \rangle = \sum_n e^{-E_n \mathcal{T}} | \langle \varphi_+ | n \rangle |^2$$

Taking $\mathcal{T}
ightarrow +\infty$,

Central idea:

 $\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-H\mathcal{T}} | \varphi_+ \rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty}{=} e^{-E_0 \mathcal{T}} | \langle \varphi_+ | 0 \rangle |^2$

The imaginary-time formalism on FVD

Callan & Coleman, 1977



Consider the Euclidean transition amplitude $\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-HT} | \varphi_+ \rangle$. Insert a complete basis of energy eigenstates

$$\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-H\mathcal{T}} | \varphi_+ \rangle = \sum_n e^{-E_n \mathcal{T}} | \langle \varphi_+ | n \rangle |^2$$

Taking $\mathcal{T}
ightarrow +\infty$,

Central idea:

$$\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-H\mathcal{T}} | \varphi_+ \rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty}{=} e^{-E_0 \mathcal{T}} | \langle \varphi_+ | 0 \rangle |^2$$

$$\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-H\mathcal{T}} | \varphi_+ \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \ e^{-S_E[\Phi]} \equiv Z^E$$

The imaginary-time formalism on FVD

Callan & Coleman, 1977

Central idea:



Consider the Euclidean transition amplitude $\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-HT} | \varphi_+ \rangle$. Insert a complete basis of energy eigenstates

$$\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-H\mathcal{T}} | \varphi_+ \rangle = \sum_n e^{-E_n \mathcal{T}} | \langle \varphi_+ | n \rangle |^2$$

Taking $\mathcal{T} \to +\infty$, $\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-H\mathcal{T}} | \varphi_+ \rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty}{=} e^{-E_0 \mathcal{T}} | \langle \varphi_+ | 0 \rangle |^2$ $\langle \varphi_+ | e^{-H\mathcal{T}} | \varphi_+ \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \ e^{-S_E[\Phi]} \equiv Z^E$ $\left. \right\} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \frac{2}{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Im}(\ln Z^E)}_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im} E_0 = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to +\infty} \underbrace{\Gamma = -2 \mathrm{Im}$

Compute the partition function

Method of steepest descent:

- 1. Find stationary points: $\delta S_E|_{\varphi_a} = 0$
- 2. Expand about the stationary points: $\Phi = \varphi_a + \Delta \Phi_a$

$$\int \mathcal{D}\Phi \ e^{-S_E[\Phi]} = \sum_a e^{-S_E[\varphi_a]} \int \mathcal{D}\Delta\Phi_a \ e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Phi_a \left(\frac{\delta^2 S_E[\Phi]}{\delta\Phi^2}\Big|_{\varphi_a}\right)\Delta\Phi_a + \dots}$$

In Euclidean space, the potential is upside-down. There are two types of sationary points.



Outline

Introduction

- Optical theorem for FVD and the complex bounce
- The Picard-Lefschetz theory
- > Summary

Optical theorem for FVD

The transition amplitude corresponding to false vacuum decay is

$$\mathcal{D}\varphi_{\text{out}} \langle \varphi_{\text{out}} | e^{-iHT} | \text{FV} \rangle$$

Optical theorem for FVD

The transition amplitude corresponding to false vacuum decay is

$$\mathcal{D}\varphi_{\mathrm{out}} \langle \varphi_{\mathrm{out}} | e^{-iHT} | \mathrm{FV} \rangle$$

Instead, we consider the false-vacuum-to-false-vacuum transition amplitude

$$\langle \mathrm{FV}|e^{-iHT}|\mathrm{FV}\rangle = 1 + iM$$

Then unitarity gives

$$\Gamma T = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi_{\text{out}} |\langle \varphi_{\text{out}} | e^{-iHT} | \text{FV} \rangle|^2 = 2 \text{ Im}M$$

We refer to this as the optical theorem for FVD.

Optical theorem for FVD

The transition amplitude corresponding to false vacuum decay is

$$\mathcal{D}\varphi_{\mathrm{out}} \langle \varphi_{\mathrm{out}} | e^{-iHT} | \mathrm{FV} \rangle$$

Instead, we consider the false-vacuum-to-false-vacuum transition amplitude

$$\langle \mathrm{FV}|e^{-iHT}|\mathrm{FV}\rangle = 1 + iM$$

Then unitarity gives

$$\Gamma T = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi_{\text{out}} |\langle \varphi_{\text{out}} | e^{-iHT} | \text{FV} \rangle|^2 = 2 \text{ Im}M$$

We refer to this as the optical theorem for FVD.

$$2\mathrm{Im}\left(\left.\right\rangle \longrightarrow \left(\right) = \int d\Pi \left|\right\rangle \longrightarrow \left(\right)^{2}$$

Complex analysis for path integral

Again, the false-vacuum-to-false-vacuum transition amplitude can be calculated by the path integral $_{\rm U(\Phi)}$

$$\langle \mathrm{FV}|e^{-iHT}|\mathrm{FV}\rangle = \mathcal{N}^2 \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \ e^{iS_M[\Phi]}$$



Complex analysis for path integral

Again, the false-vacuum-to-false-vacuum transition amplitude can be calculated by the path integral

$$\langle \mathrm{FV} | e^{-iHT} | \mathrm{FV} \rangle = \mathcal{N}^2 \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \ e^{iS_M[\Phi]}$$



Complex analysis to path integral!



We can immediately identify a complex stationary point

$$\phi_B(t;\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_B(\tau \to i e^{-i\epsilon} t, \mathbf{x})$$

Can the complex bounce give the decay rate in the Minkowkian path integral?

We can immediately identify a complex stationary point

$$\phi_B(t;\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_B(\tau \to i e^{-i\epsilon} t, \mathbf{x})$$

Can the complex bounce give the decay rate in the Minkowkian path integral? Cherman & Unsal, arXiv:1408.0012

We can immediately identify a complex stationary point

$$\phi_B(t;\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_B(\tau \to i e^{-i\epsilon} t, \mathbf{x})$$

Can the complex bounce give the decay rate in the Minkowkian path integral? Cherman & Unsal, arXiv:1408.0012

Our work: fully recover the Callan-Coleman result!

We can immediately identify a complex stationary point

$$\phi_B(t;\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_B(\tau \to i e^{-i\epsilon} t, \mathbf{x})$$

Can the complex bounce give the decay rate in the Minkowkian path integral? Cherman & Unsal, arXiv:1408.0012

Our work: fully recover the Callan-Coleman result!

With the complex bounce, one can decompose the path integral into

$$\mathcal{N}^2 \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \ e^{iS_M[\Phi]} = \mathcal{N}^2 Z_F^M + \mathcal{N}^2 Z_B^M$$

Together with $\langle FV | e^{-iHT} | FV \rangle = 1 + iM$ and $\Gamma T = 2 ImM$, one obtains

$$\Gamma = -\frac{2}{T} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{Z_B^M}{Z_F^M}\right)$$

Outline

Introduction

- Optical theorem for FVD and the complex bounce
- The Picard-Lefschetz theory
- ➤ Summary

The Picard-Lefschetz theory

An example: Airy function Witten, 2011

$$Ai(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \, e^{i\lambda\left(\frac{x^3}{3} - x\right)}$$

 C_1, C_2 are called Lefschetz thimbles, giving steepest-descent paths from the stationary points

In our case, we have

$$\int \mathcal{D}\Phi \ e^{\mathcal{I}[\Phi]}, \text{ where } \mathcal{I}[\Phi] = iS_M[\Phi]$$

One can define the "height" function $h[\Phi] \equiv \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{I}[\Phi])$





 $\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{I}[\Phi])$

The flow equation

The Lefschetz thimble is given by the flow equations

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(x;u)}{\partial u} = -\overline{\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{I}[\Phi(x;u)]}{\delta \Phi(x;u)}\right)}; \ \frac{\partial \overline{\Phi(x;u)}}{\partial u} = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{I}[\Phi(x;u)]}{\delta \Phi(x;u)}$$

with boundary condition $\Phi(x; u = -\infty) = \phi_a$. It is easy to check that

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial u} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{I}}{\delta \Phi} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u} + \frac{\delta \overline{\mathcal{I}}}{\delta \overline{\Phi}} \cdot \frac{\partial \overline{\Phi}}{\partial u} \right) = - \left| \frac{\partial \Phi(x; u)}{\partial u} \right|^2 \le 0$$

To be more general, we consider arbitrarily rotated time



The flow eigenequation

Solving the flow equations can be transferred to solving the flow eigenequations Tanizaki & Koike, Annals Phys. 2014

$$(\mathcal{M}_a^\theta)^* \overline{\chi_n^a}(x) = \kappa_n^a \chi_n^a(x)$$

where the fluctuation operator is

$$\mathcal{M}_{a}^{\theta} = e^{2i\theta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} - \nabla^{2} + U''(\phi_{a}^{\theta})$$

The path integral is given as

$$Z_a = \int \mathcal{D}\Delta \Phi_a \, e^{I[\Phi]} \approx J_a e^{I[\phi_a]} \prod_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_n^a}}$$

The flow eigenequation

Solving the flow equations can be transferred to solving the flow eigenequations Tanizaki & Koike, Annals Phys. 2014

$$(\mathcal{M}_a^\theta)^* \overline{\chi_n^a}(x) = \kappa_n^a \chi_n^a(x)$$

where the fluctuation operator is

$$\mathcal{M}_{a}^{\theta} = e^{2i\theta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} - \nabla^{2} + U''(\phi_{a}^{\theta})$$

The path integral is given as

$$Z_a = \int \mathcal{D}\Delta \Phi_a \, e^{I[\Phi]} \approx J_a e^{I[\phi_a]} \prod_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_n^a}}$$

In our work WA, B.Garbrecht, C. Tamarit, 2019

□ Transform the flow eigenequations to the proper eigenequations

$$\mathcal{M}_a^\theta f_n^a(x) = \lambda_n^a f_n^a(x)$$

Prove that the above proper eigenequations can be solved by analytic continuation of the Euclidean eigenfunctions

Carefully work out the Jacobian

New insights from the real-time picture?

Implications of the optical-theorem: a wave function after quantum tunneling/FVD?

Questions remain to be addressed:

- □ 1. What is the wave function?
- 2. Will the wave function collapse immediately? Any effects on the gravitational-wave signals?

Outline

Introduction

- Optical theorem for FVD and the complex bounce
- The Picard-Lefschetz theory
- Summary

Summary

□ A real-time picture based on the optical theorem has been built.

□ Have confirmed the Callan-Coleman result in real-time calculations

Theoretical techniques related to the Picard-Lefschetz theory are developed

Backup: Compute the partition function

Method of steepest descent:

1. Find stationary points: $\delta S_E|_{\varphi_a} = 0$

2. Expand about the stationary points: $\Phi = arphi_a + \Delta \Phi_a$

$$\int \mathcal{D}\Phi \ e^{-S_E[\Phi]} = \sum_a e^{-S_E[\varphi_a]} \int \mathcal{D}\Delta\Phi_a \ e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Phi_a \left(\frac{\delta^2 S_E[\Phi]}{\delta\Phi^2}\Big|_{\varphi_a}\right)\Delta\Phi_a + \dots}$$

The fluctuation operators: $\frac{\delta^2 S_E}{\delta \Phi^2}$

$$\frac{E[\Phi]}{\Phi^2}\Big|_{\varphi_a} = -\partial^2 + U''(\varphi_a(x))$$

The Gaussian integral can be calculated by studying the eigenequations for the fluctuation operators

$$\left[-\partial^2 + U''(\varphi_a)\right]\phi_n^a = \lambda_n^a \phi_n^a$$

Decomposing the fluctuation fields $\Delta \Phi_a = \sum_n c_n^a \phi_n^a$. The path integral measure becomes $\mathcal{D}\Delta \Phi_a = \prod_n \frac{\mathrm{d}c_n^a}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$, giving $\int \mathcal{D}\Delta \Phi_a e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta \Phi_a} \left(\frac{\delta^2 s_E}{\delta \Phi^2}\Big|_{\varphi_a}\right) \Delta \Phi_a = \int \prod_n \frac{\mathrm{d}c_n^a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_n^a (c_n^a)^2} = \prod_n \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda_n^a}} = \det \left[-\partial^2 + U''(\varphi_a)\right]^{-1/2}$

Stationary points

In Euclidean space, the potential is upside-down. There are three types of sationary points.



Finally, the decay rate can be written as Callan & Coleman, 1977

$$\Gamma/V = e^{-S_E[\varphi_B]} \left(\frac{S_E[\varphi_B]}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left|\frac{\det'[-\partial^2 + U''(\varphi_B)]}{\det[-\partial^2 + U''(\varphi_F)]}\right|^{-1/2}$$

The flow eigenequation

Substituting the expansion $\Phi=\phi_a+\Delta\Phi_a\,$ into the flow equation, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Delta \Phi_a(x;u)}{\partial u} = -ie^{i\theta} (\mathcal{M}_a^\theta)^* \overline{\Delta \Phi_a}(x;u)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{a}^{\theta} = e^{2i\theta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} - \nabla^{2} + U''(\phi_{a}^{\theta})$$

Making the Ansatz

Tanizaki & Koike, Annals Phys. 2014

$$\begin{split} \Delta \Phi_a(x;u) &= \sum_n \sqrt{-i} e^{i\theta/2} g_n^a(u) \chi_n^a(x) \\ \text{where } g_n^a(u) &= a_n^a e^{\kappa_n^a u} \text{, one obtains the flow eigenequation} \\ & (\mathcal{M}_a^\theta)^* \overline{\chi_n^a}(x) = \kappa_n^a \chi_n^a(x) \end{split}$$

The path integral can be computed as

$$Z_a = \int \mathcal{D}\Delta\Phi_a \, e^{I[\Phi]} \approx J_a e^{I[\phi_a]} \int \prod_n \frac{\mathrm{d}g_n^a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_n \kappa_n^a (g_n^a)^2} = J_a e^{I[\phi_a]} \prod_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_n^a}} \Big|_{30}$$

The block form of the flow eigenequations

It is difficult to solve the flow eigenequations $(\mathcal{M}_a^{\theta})^* \overline{\chi_n^a}(x) = \kappa_n^a \chi_n^a(x)$ directly! We write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & (\mathcal{M}_a^\theta)^* \\ \mathcal{M}_a^\theta & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_n^a(x) \\ \overline{\chi_n^a}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \kappa_n^a \begin{pmatrix} \chi_n^a(x) \\ \overline{\chi_n^a}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

One can check that there is an associated equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & (\mathcal{M}_a^\theta)^* \\ \mathcal{M}_a^\theta & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i\chi_n^a(x) \\ -i\overline{\chi_n^a}(x) \end{pmatrix} = -\kappa_n^a \begin{pmatrix} i\chi_n^a(x) \\ -i\overline{\chi_n^a}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

The above equations can be viewed as normal eigenequations! Then we have

$$\prod_{n} \left[-(\kappa_n^a)^2 \right] = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & (\mathcal{M}_a^\theta)^* \\ \mathcal{M}_a^\theta & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \prod_{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_n^a}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det \mathcal{M}_a^\theta|}}$$

Further, we also carefully calculated the Jacobian $J_a = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Arg det} \mathcal{M}_a^{\theta}}$. We finally obtain WA, B.Garbrecht, C. Tamarit, 2019

$$Z_a \approx e^{I[\phi_a]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{M}_a^{\theta}}}$$

31

Analytic continuaion

Equivalently, we need to solve the normal eigenequation

 $\mathcal{M}_a^\theta f_n^a(x) = \lambda_n^a f_n^a(x)$

This can be solved by analytic continuation from the Euclidean eigenequations and we prove that WA, B.Garbrecht, C. Tamarit, 2019

$$\det \mathcal{M}_a^{\theta} = \det \left(-\partial^2 + U''(\varphi_a) \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{T} \to i e^{-i\theta} T}$$

Nontrivial! Need to examine orthonormality and completeness of the analytically continued eigenfunctions.

Substituting the above equation into

$$Z_a \approx e^{I[\phi_a]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{M}_a^{\theta}}}$$

and

$$\Gamma = -\frac{2}{T} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{Z_B^M}{Z_F^M}\right)$$

we can finally recover the Callan-Coleman result!