Your involvement in ESCAPE

15 responses

|	
ESCAPE (ESFRI) member	3 (20%)
external participant	9 (60%)
active in WP3 of ESCAPE	4 (26.7%)

I attended the workshop

15 responses

- most of the time
- about half of the time
- less than half of the time
- could not make it

26.7%

40%

33.3%

Duration/Portion of the sessions

Comments/suggestions on the timing of the workshop

- it was well adapted
- The schedule of presentations in the morning is a good solution for virtual meetings (compared to presentations all-day-long)
- It was a very well organized workshop
- I think timing was very good.

I missed information/a session about
- Working in Nuclear Physics, I missed a more general/complete talk at the very beginning about escape in general
- data format (i know there was a space prepared for discussion, maybe i missed when it happened)
- partly very domain-specific info in talks that was difficult to grasp in a short time

The communication with the organizing team before and during the workshop was …
- Excellent (2)
- Perfect (4)
- Very good (2)

Gather.town as second platform was

14 responses

- beneficial
- not helpful
- did not use
- interesting

14.3%

7.1%

78.6%

Suggestions for the improvement of the use of Gather?

- I found it great as it is !
- It was a very good first experience with Gather!
- too CPU heavy
- Try to adapt the size and number of the available rooms to the number of (active) participants. Roaming around in (almost) empty rooms felt a bit awkward.

Additional comments/suggestions on the organization of the workshop

- Not directly to the workshop, but because I feel escape might be interesting for me in the future, I have tried to registered to the escape web site twice without having back a mail to confirm my registration

- It was a very friendly and inclusive meeting - thanks!

- I found the level of the workshop too high for someone starting on machine learning, and that made loose interest in the sessions. But that is not a problem of the workshop of course, its mine!. I would love if you ever organize something for begginers, with a mixture of hands-on sessions and ¨theory¨ sesions, where the characteristics of each network ingredient is explained.

- Perhaps the only suggestion I would make is to avoid, in the future, to split too much the discussion session in too many groups with respect to the number of participants.

Do you expect to work further with/revisit the information of the workshop?

I am interested in the follow-up of these issues

Legend: want to be involved, stay informed, not interested

Categories: Open Innovations group in ESCAPE, connection to EGI AI/ML Working group (see talk by V. Tenhunen), producing teaching material, Grouping ML projects in OSSR

Would like to join the follow-up mailing list from this workshop:
(names from emails)

Luca Pontisso, O. Stezowski, Matteo Tursini, M. Tueros (?), Antonio Disanto, Fabian
Schüssler

Space for any other comment

- See my comment probably not at the good place about the fact I have not been
able to register on on the escape web site

- Thanks again for this workshop that was very effective from brining astro and
particle physics partners together on common topics.

- Nice workshop! Unfortunately other commitments forced me to participate only
partially.