

Atelier « physique théorique des 2 infinis » 07-08 juin 2021

Probing extreme matter physics with gravitational waves

AIM: T. Foglizzo, J. Guilet. **APC:** S. Antier, E. Chassande-Mottin, Ed. Porter. **GANIL:** A. Fantina. **IAP, Sorbonne Université:** F. Daigne, I. Dvorkin. **IP2I Lyon:** A. Arbey, G. Chanfray, J. Degallaix, G. Grams, H. Hansen, J. Margueron, R. Somasundaram. **IPNO: F. Khan. LPC Caen:** F. Gulminelli. **LUTh Meudon:** J. Novak, M. Oertel. **ARTEMIS/Lagrange Nice:** M.-A. Bizouard, N. Christensen, A. Lamberts. Jérôme Margueron iP2i Lyon

+ international collaborations:

Italy: B. Giacomazzo, R. Ciolfi. **USA:** S. Reddy, I. Tews. **Turkey**: K. Bozkurt, H. Güven. **Chile**: M. Catelan, A. Reseinegger **Brazil:** B. V. Carlson, M. Dutra, O. Lourenco

GW: new messengers from violent collisions in the Universe

2015: first detection of GW from BBH (O1).

2017: first detection of GW from BNS (O2).

2019: first detection of GW from BHNS (O3).

gravity and cosmology, dark matter and dark energy, dense matter.

Cataclysmic Collision Artist's illustration of two merging neutron stars. The rippling space-time grid represents gravitational waves that travel out from the collision, while the narrow beams show the bursts of gamma rays that are shot out just seconds after the gravitational waves. Swirling clouds of material ejected from the merging stars are also depicted. The clouds glow with visible and other wavelengths of light. Image credit: NSF/LIGO/Sonoma State University/A. Simonnet

Probing extreme matter physics with GW

How changes the **nuclear interaction** with density, isospin asymmetry, temperature? Which **new particles** appear at supra-saturation densities (phase transition)? Links between **deconfinement** and **chiral symmetry** restoration? **Main questions:**

Directly related questions: How **neutrinos** propagate? What are the **transport properties** of extreme matter? Are BNS the main astrophysical site for the **r-process**? Cf talks by J. Guillet, M. Oertel, M. Urban, A. Fantina

EoS [nuclear] \le > NS (M,R) [astro]

EoS [nuclear] \le > BNS GW [astro]

- \bullet Tidal field E_{ii} from companion star induces a quadrupole moment Q_{ii} in the NS
- Amount of deformation depends on the stiffness of EOS via the tidal deformability Λ .

Post-Newtonian expansion of the waveform: Tidal effect enters at 5th order. Hinderer+ 2008, Blanchet, Damour

GW170817 \rightarrow 70 ≤ Λ ≤ 720 (90% CL) \rightarrow +E-M 300 \leq $\Lambda \leq 800$

Predictions governed by nuclear physics knowledge

Nuclear physics: contraints from the collective breathing mode

Nuclear physics: isovector channel (towards neutron stars)

Bsym (MeV)

30

32

34

36

 L_{sym} (MeV)

60

40

20

 O

24

26

28

 -20

Empirical Bethe-Weizsäcker mass formula:

$$
B(N,Z)=B_{\nu}A-B_{s}A^{2/3}-\frac{1}{2}\overline{B_{sym}}\left(\frac{N-Z}{N+Z}\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{5}B_{Coul}\frac{e^{2}}{r_{0}}\frac{Z}{A^{1/3}}+12\delta(A,Z)A^{-1/3}
$$

Slope of the symmetry energy (density dependence):

$$
L_{sym}=3\rho_0 \frac{\partial E_{sym}}{\partial \rho}
$$

Astrophysical observations: qLMXB thermal emission

quiescent Low Mass X-ray binaries

Black body like emission: F # $T^4(R_{\text{inf}}/D)^2$

—> Bayesian analysis considering 7 sources in globular clusters, where the EoS is directly injected into the data analysis (first time).

Average radii (12-13km) preferred.

—> The comparison with other approaches (GW170817, AT2017gfo) provides a consistent understanding of the data.

—> But more recent GW170817 analyses prefer **lower radii**:

+ $R_{1.4} = 11^{+0.9}_{-0.6}$ km [Capano, Tews+ nature 2020] + $R_{1.4}$ ≈ 11 km [Güven+ PRC 2020]

Astrophysical observations: NICER X-ray observations of J0030 (2019) and J0740 (2021)

Confront different EoS modelings:

- SLy4 (often used in GW papers).
- First order phase transition to exotic matter.
- Quarkyonic matter (cross-over transition to quark matter).

Against data: GW170817 and NICER (J0030 + J0740).

[Somasundaram+, arXiv 2021]

Multi-messenger/physics constraints on NS radii

Global strategy: multi-messenger and multi-physics

Nuclear experiments

CERN (LHC, $NAGO, ...$ GANIL, GSI (FAIR), **DUBNA** (NICA), etc...

Equation of state (ComPOSE), **Neutrino** diffusion, **Transport** coefficients. **Global astrophysical** modeling

Neutron stars (Lorene library, metamodel), **BH & NS mergers** (Einstein toolkit, Whisky-THC, Spritz, ...), Kilonova, Supernova (COCONUT), **Galactic chemical** evolution.

Observational facilities

GW (LIGO-Virgo), **GRB (FERMI-LAT,** XMM Newton). E-M (GRANDMA, $ZTF, ...$).

Improved understanding of extreme matter physics

Cross-fertilisation

Improved understanding of astrophysical objects

Strongly interdisciplinary research:

IN2P3: #nuclear, #hadron, # particle, #astro-particle $+$ INP $+$ INSU $+$ CEA.

Simulation is the key to confront dense matter physics with observations. See talk by J. Guilet.

Probing extreme matter physics with GW

Multi-messenger observation: BNS GW + kilonovae EM signal + GRB (+ neutrinos?). **Variety of GW sources:** BNS, BH-NS, CCSN, continuous emission, etc… **(Futur) post-merger GW signal:** investigation of phase transitions.

Blooming future: upgrades and new telescopes (lots of new data): GW interferometers: upgrades of LIGO-Virgo (KAGRA, LIGO India). E-M follow-up: GRANDMA, $ZTF \rightarrow$ (future) LSST. 3rd generation (~2030-2040): Cosmic Explorer, Einstein Telescope. Space interferometer (LISA ~2035): low frequencies (trigger future mergers).

