

The T2K Experiment: Status, Results and Prospects



Mathieu Guigue for the T2K Collaboration XIX International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes — February 22nd 2021





SCIENCES SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ A short introduction on neutrino oscillations LPNHE PARIS









T2K Collaboration



~500 members over 12 countries and 69 institutes

















Oscillation analysis strategy $\delta_{\rm CP}, \ \sin^2\theta_{13}, \ \Delta m_{32}^2 \dots$



Neutrino spectrum prediction at far detector





















Decay volume ~ 100 m long





7





Steady increase in beam power: 515 kW this year Run 1-10: 1.97 \times 10²¹ POT in ν mode and 1.63 \times 10²¹ POT in $\bar{\nu}$ mode





Data taking status



























SORBONNE Off-axis Near Detector at 280m (ND280) LPNHE

2.5° off-axis composite detector inside a 0.2 T Magnet:

- Two Fine Grained scintillating detectors FGD1 (C) and FGD2 (C,O)
- Three Time Projection Chambers (TPCs) between FGDs
- One Upstream π^0 detector
- ECal surrounding inner detectors

FGDs used as neutrino targets Magnetization \rightarrow charge and momentum \Rightarrow Constraints on cross-sections, flux uncertainty model and wrong sign backgrounds









11



Neutrino interactions





Three interactions channels: CCQE (and 2p2h) CC Resonant (RES) CC Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS)

> \rightarrow Define samples enriched in each of the processes using reconstructed pion multiplicity

 \rightarrow Constrain cross-section models for each interaction







ND280 samples



 $CC1\pi$



X/#//X

~CCRes

~CCQE + 2p2h







$CCN\pi$



+1 sample ν events in anti- ν beam mode (constrain wrong-sign background) × target detector (FGD1 or FGD2)

 \times beam mode (ν or anti- ν)







Modeling of neutrino cross-sections

- Tuning of baseline nuclear model (Spectral Function)
- 2p2h modeling: new uncertainty on energy dependence
- Improvements of nucleon-nucleus binding energy (momentum shift)
- Improved parametrization of CCDIS and CCN π models

Data from run 2-9 1.15×10^{21} POT in ν mode and 0.83×10^{21} POT in $\bar{\nu}$ mode





T2K Experiment — NuTel21 February 22nd 2021

14



Modeling of neutrino cross-sections Model after fit reproduces well the data (p-value of 0.74)













Modeling of neutrino cross-sections Model after fit reproduces well the data (p-value of 0.74) Introduction of anti-correlations between flux and cross-section parameters due to fit

Flux and Xsec Prefit Correlation Matrix



T2K Preliminary







T2K Preliminary







Modeling of neutrino cross-sections Model after fit reproduces well the data (p-value of 0.74) Introduction of anti-correlations between flux and cross-section parameters due to fit

 \rightarrow Spectra prediction at far detector





\rightarrow Flux and cross-section uncertainties reduction from ~13% to ~4%

















SCIENCES SORBONNE Off-axis Far Detector: Super Kamiokande LPNHE



Outer Detector (OD): 1,885 8" PMTs

50 kton of purified water \rightarrow 22.5 kton fiducial 1000 m under Mount Ikeno

 $e-\mu$ identification et kinematics using Cherenkov ring pattern **No charge identification** (contrary to ND280)





Sharp $\rightarrow \mu$







Super-Kamiokande samples

Selection based on ring counting and shape

One sample with 1 *e*-like ring + 1 Michel electron ring

Sample	FHC 1Rμ	RHC 1Rµ	FHC 1Re	RHC 1Re	FHC 1Re1de
Total uncertainty (after fit) [%]	3.0	4.0	4.7	5.9	14.3
Total uncertainty (before fit) [%]	11.1	11.3	13.0	12.1	18.7





- Two samples with 1 μ -like ring (ν mode and anti- ν mode) $\rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$ -CC0 π
- Two samples with 1 e-like ring (ν mode and anti- ν mode) $\rightarrow \nu_{\rho}$ -CC0 π $\rightarrow \nu_{\rho}$ -CC1 π







Preference for upper octant



Disappearance analysis











Appearance results



Preference for more νe -like events and less anti- νe -like events JL favored







Reactor constraints impact on δ_{CP} vs θ_{13}

Constraints on θ_{13} compatible with PDG2019 at better than 1σ Using PDG2019 constraint on θ_{13} , better constraint on δ_{CP}













T2K

T2K's Bright Future









Combined analyses

(and potentially different systematic uncertainties) Two on-going combined analyses efforts: - T2K beam and Super-Kamiokande atmospheric data

- T2K and NO ν A beam data
 - \rightarrow different baseline and systematic uncertainties









- Experiments with different neutrino energies have different oscillation probabilities

 - \rightarrow very long baseline and higher energy neutrino more sensitive to mass ordering







J-PARC main ring upgrades on-going

- 2x more pulse per second (One pulse every 1.3 seconds)
- Increase power from 515 kW to up to 1.3 MW
- \rightarrow Boost statistics during T2K-II
- \rightarrow Prepare for Hyper-Kamiokande



J-PARC beam upgrade

















T2K Experiment — NuTel21 February 22nd 2021





27







Summary













Backups













$\Delta m_{32}^2 \partial_2^2 df \frac{10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2}{2} \text{ axis second decomposition of the secon$



3





NA61/SHINE



Vertex-TPC V-TPC











ND280 samples (continued)







T2K Experiment — NuTel21 February 22nd 2021



PARIS







Cross-section correlation matrix



NC Other Far NC Other Near NC 1 y CC Coh O CC Coh C CC DIS multi-π Norm ∇ CC DIS multi-π Norm v CC Mise. CC AGKY multi-π CC Bodek-Yang multi-π CC Norm 🗸 CC Norm V I non RES Bkg. I non-RES Bkg. Low p CCOE Q² Mod. 7 CCOE Q² Mod. 6 CCOE Q² Mod. 5 CCOE Q² Mod. 5 CCOE Q² Mod. 4 CCOE Q² Mod. 3 CCOE Q² Mod. 2 CCOE Q² Mod. 1 CCOE Q² Mod. 0 2p2h Shape O 2n2h Shape C 2p2h Norm C to O 2p2h Norm ∇ 2p2h Norm v M_{QE} FSI Charge Ex. Low E FSI π Absorption FSI Hadron Prod. FSI QE Scatter High E

T2K Preliminary







PARIS

