Synergy between Nuclear Physics in CEvNS and Long-baseline Neutrino Experiments

Vishvas Pandey







P2IO BSM-Nu First Workshop, February 11-12, 2021



- Proton energy:
 - Sufficient energy (~1 GeV) to produce pions [SNS at ORNL, Lujan at LANL]
 - Higher energies lead to heavier mesons: kaons (> 3GeV), eta [JPARC-MLF]

• <u>Target</u>:

- Heavier targets at spallation sources massively produce neutrons (primary motive) [Hg at SNS at ORNL and JPARC-MLF, W at Lujan at LANL]
- For a dedicated hep facility lighter targets would be preferred (low neutrons from beam)
- Neutrons mimic the same signature as CEvNS



- Proton pulse duration and time between different pulses are key factors
 - For beam spills < μ^+ lifetime: can separate piDAR and muDAR neutrinos
 - For beam spills < π^+ lifetime: can separate light dark matter production (from π^0 , η) from neutrino production





Low threshold (~keV) detector







Low threshold (~keV) detector

Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CEvNS):

- Large cross section but tiny recoil
- Only experimental signature: keV energy deposited by nuclear recoil in the target material
- Recent R&D in dark matter and $0\nu\beta\beta$ detector technologies helped overcoming long standing (> 40 years) hurdle



Large cross section

Tiny nuclear recoil



Observing CEvNS

COHERENT Collaboration at SNS at ORNL

14 kg CSI detector

D. Akimov et al. [COHERENT], Science 357, 6356, 1123-1126 (2017)



Observing CEvNS

COHERENT Collaboration at SNS at ORNL

14 kg CSI detector

D. Akimov et al. [COHERENT], Science 357, 6356, 1123–1126 (2017)



24 kg LAr (CENNS-10) detector

D. Akimov et al. [COHERENT], arXiv:2003.10630 [nucl-ex]





100

50

0



CEvNS: A New Portal to Standard and Non-Standard Physics

- New physics may be weakly interacting and hiding at low energies
- Any deviation from the SM expectation \rightarrow new physics
- SM expectation of CEvNS cross section have to be know at a precision that allows resolving degeneracies in the standard and non-standard physics observables



Eligio Lisi, NuINT 2018

Matteo Cadeddu, Magnificent CEvNS 2020

Stopped-Pion Sources and Supernova Neutrinos



Neutrino signal from the core-collapse supernova starts with a short, sharp "neutronization" (or "breakout") burst primarily composed of ν_e from $e^- + p \rightarrow \nu_e + n$.

Coherent Elastic and Inelastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering



Coherent Elastic and Inelastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering



- CEvNS experiments at stopped-pion sources are powerful avenues
 - to constrain poorly known weak form factors (at low momentum transfers) and neutron density distributions in nuclei. These are vital input in modeling ground states of nuclei.
 - to measure poorly known 10s of MeV inelastic CC and NC cross sections. These
 measurements will play a vital role in enhancing future long baseline neutrino experiments'
 capability of detecting core-collapse supernovae neutrinos.

Coherent Elastic and Inelastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering



$$d\sigma \propto \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} \; Q_W^2 F_W^2(q)$$

 $d\sigma \propto \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} \sum_{J^{\pi}} \left[v_{CC} W_{CC} + v_{CL} W_{CL} + v_{LL} W_{LL} + v_T W_T \pm v_T W_{T'} \right]$

Cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \frac{G_F^2}{\pi} M_A \left[1 - \frac{T}{E_i} - \frac{M_A T}{2E_i^2} \right] \frac{Q_W^2}{4} F_W^2(q)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_f} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} E_i^2 (1 + \cos\theta_f) \frac{Q_W^2}{4} F_W^2(q)$$



 $T \in \left[0, \frac{2E_i^2}{(M_A + 2E_i)}\right]$

 $Q_W^2 = [g_n^V N + g_p^V Z]^2$

 $\nu_l (E_f, \vec{k}_f)$

 $\nu_l (E_i, \vec{k}_i)$

Cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \frac{G_F^2}{\pi} M_A \left[1 - \frac{T}{E_i} - \frac{M_A T}{2E_i^2} \right] \frac{Q_W^2}{4} F_W^2(q)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_f} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} E_i^2 (1 + \cos\theta_f) \frac{Q_W^2}{4} F_W^2(q)$$

Weak Form Factor:

$$Q_W F_W(q) \approx \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{J}_0(q) | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

$$\approx \left(1 - 4 \sin^2 \theta_W \right) Z F_p(q) - N F_n(q)$$

$$\approx 2\pi \int d^3 r \left[(1 - 4 \sin^2 \theta_W) \rho_p(r) - \rho_n(r) \right] j_0(qr)$$

 $T \in \left[0, \frac{2E_i^2}{(M_A + 2E_i)}\right]$

 $A | \Phi_0 \rangle$

 $A | \Phi_0 \rangle$

$$Q_W^2 = [g_n^V N + g_p^V Z]^2$$

<u>Charge density and charge form factor</u>: proton densities and charge form factors are well know through decades of elastic electron scattering experiments.

Neutron densities and neutron form factor: neutron densities and form factors are poorly known. Note that CEvNS is primarily sensitive to neutron density distributions.

 $Z^0(T, \overrightarrow{q})$

Neutron densities and form factor

- <u>Hadronic probes</u> have been used to extract neutron distributions but these measurements are plagued by ill-controlled model-dependent uncertainties associated with the strong interaction.
- <u>Electroweak probes</u> such as parity-violating electron scattering (<u>PVES</u>) and <u>CEvNS</u> provide relatively model-independent ways of determining neutron distributions.

Neutron densities and form factor

- <u>Hadronic probes</u> have been used to extract neutron distributions but these measurements are plagued by ill-controlled model-dependent uncertainties associated with the strong interaction.
- <u>Electroweak probes</u> such as parity-violating electron scattering (<u>PVES</u>) and <u>CEvNS</u> provide relatively model-independent ways of determining neutron distributions.

<u>PVES experiments</u>: In recent years, PREX experiment at Jefferson lab has measured the weak charge of ²⁰⁸Pb at a single value of momentum transfer, while a follow up PREX–II experiment is underway. CREX experiment at Jefferson lab is underway to measure the weak form factor of ⁴⁸Ca. PVES experiments are typically carried out at a signal moment transfer.

$$A_{PV}(q^2) = \frac{G_F q^2}{4\pi\alpha\sqrt{2}} \frac{Q_W F_W(q^2)}{ZF_{ch}(q^2)}$$

 <u>CEvNS experiments</u>: precise measurement of CEvNS cross section in future ton and multi-ton CEvNS detectors will provide constraints on neutron density distributions and weak form factors of nuclei at low momentum transfers.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} M_A \left[1 - \frac{T}{E_i} - \frac{M_A T}{2E_i^2} \right] Q_W^2 F_W^2(q)$$

CEvNS Cross Section: Experimental Status

COHERENT Collaboration at SNS at ORNL

Csl:14.6 kg

• Flux averaged cross section extracted

CEvNS cross section	$169^{+30}_{-26} imes 10^{-40} m cm^2$
SM cross section	$189 \pm 6 \times 10^{-40} \text{ cm}^2$

- Systematic uncertainty reduced from 28% (2017 results) to 13% (2020 results in M7 workshop)
- Detector decommissioned

⁴⁰Ar: CENNS-10, 24 kg

• Flux averaged cross section extracted

 $(2.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-39} \ cm^2$

Collecting more data



D. Akimov et al. [COHERENT], Science 357, 6356, 1123–1126 (2017) D. Akimov et al. [COHERENT], arXiv:2003.10630 [nucl-ex]

CEvNS: Ton-Scale LAr Detectors

<u>COHERENT</u>

750kg LAr detector at SNS at ORNL

• In R&D phase.



High Statistics CEvNS



- 750kg LAr
- Single phase
- Light Collection Options
 - 3" PMT TPB
 - SiPM, Xenon Doping, ...
- ~3000 CEvNS/yr

Jason Newby, Neutrino 2020

Coherent CAPTAIN-Mills (CCM)

10 ton LAr detector at Lujan center at LANL

- Collected data in 2019, analysis ongoing
- Detector is being upgraded, will collect more data in summer 2021





CEvNS Cross Section: HF-SkE2 Model

- A microscopic many–body nuclear theory model.
- Nuclear ground state is described as a many-body quantum mechanical system where nucleons are bound in an effective nuclear potential.
- Solve Hartree-Fock (HF) equation with a Skyrme (SkE2) nuclear potential to obtain single-nucleon wave functions for the bound nucleons in the nuclear ground state. Fill up nuclear shells following Pauli principle.
- Evaluate proton and neutron density distributions from those wave functions:

$$\rho_{\tau}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \sum_{\alpha} v_{\alpha,\tau}^2 \left(2j_{\alpha} + 1\right) \left|\phi_{\alpha,\tau}(\mathbf{r})\right|^2$$
$$(\tau = p, n)$$
$$(\alpha \in n_{\alpha}, l_{\alpha}, j_{\alpha})$$



N. Van Dessel, V. Pandey, H. Ray, N. Jachowicz, arXiv:2007.03658 [nucl-th]

CEvNS Cross Section: HF-SkE2 Model

- A microscopic many–body nuclear theory model.
- Nuclear ground state is described as a many-body quantum mechanical system where nucleons are bound in an effective nuclear potential.
- Solve Hartree-Fock (HF) equation with a Skyrme (SkE2) nuclear potential to obtain single-nucleon wave functions for the bound nucleons in the nuclear ground state. Fill up nuclear shells following Pauli principle.
- Evaluate proton and neutron density distributions from those wave functions:

$$\rho_{\tau}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \sum_{\alpha} v_{\alpha,\tau}^2 \left(2j_{\alpha} + 1\right) \left|\phi_{\alpha,\tau}(\mathbf{r})\right|^2 (\tau = p, n)$$
$$(\alpha \in n_{\alpha}, l_{\alpha}, j_{\alpha})$$



CEvNS Cross Section: HF-SkE2 Model

 $\int d^3r \,\rho_n(r)$ $\int d^3r \,\rho_p(r)$

- A microscopic many–body nuclear theory model.
- Nuclear ground state is described as a many-body quantum mechanical system where nucleons are bound in an effective nuclear potential.
- Solve Hartree-Fock (HF) equation with a Skyrme (SkE2) nuclear potential to obtain single-nucleon wave functions for the bound nucleons in the nuclear ground state. Fill up nuclear shells following Pauli principle.
- Evaluate proton and neutron density distributions from those wave functions:

$$\rho_{\tau}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \sum_{\alpha} v_{\alpha,\tau}^2 \left(2j_{\alpha} + 1\right) \left| \phi_{\alpha,\tau}(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 (\tau = p, n) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$$

$$(\alpha \in n_{\alpha}, l_{\alpha}, j_{\alpha}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$$

The proton and neutron densities are utilized to calculate proton and neutron form factors:

$$F_n(q) = \frac{1}{N} \int d^3r \, j_o(qr) \, \rho_n(r) \qquad N =$$

$$F_p(q) = \frac{1}{Z} \int d^3r \, j_o(qr) \, \rho_p(r) \qquad Z =$$



HF-SkE2 Model: ²⁰⁸Pb Results

<u>Charge Form Factor</u>

 Our charge form factor predictions of ²⁰⁸Pb describe the elastic electron scattering experimental data remarkably well.

Experimental data from: H. De Vries, et al., Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tabl. 36, 495 (1987)



HF-SkE2 Model: ²⁰⁸Pb Results

Charge Form Factor

 Our charge form factor predictions of ²⁰⁸Pb describe the elastic electron scattering experimental data remarkably well.

Experimental data from: H. De Vries, et al., Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tabl. 36, 495 (1987)

Weak Form Factor

- Weak form factor predictions shown along with the single data point measured by the PREX collaboration at a momentum transfer of q = 0.475 fm⁻¹.
- The follow-up PREX-II measurement at Jefferson lab aims to reduce the error bars by at least a factor of three.

PREX data from:

- S. Abrahamyan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 112502 (2012).
- C. J. Horowitz et al., Phys. Rev. C 85, 032501 (2012).



• Both calculations compared with RMF predictions of Yang et al. (Phys. Rev. C 100, 054301 (2019)).

$^{40}\mathrm{Ar}$ **Charge Form Factor** 10^{0} HF - SkE2 Payne et al. - NNLOsat The ⁴⁰Ar charge form factor predictions describe Exp experimental elastic electron scattering data well for 10^{-1} $q < 2 \text{ fm}^{-1}$. $|F_{ch}(q)|$ 10^{-2} For energies relevant for pion decay-at-rest neutrinos, the region above $q > 0.5 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ does not contribute to CEvNS cross section. 10^{-3} Experimental data from: C. R. Ottermann et al., Nucl. Phys. A 379, 396 (1982). 10^{-4} 0.52.51.52 1 0 $q \,(\mathrm{fm}^{-1})$

 Our calculations compared with Payne *et al.* [Phys. Rev. C 100, 061304 (2019)] where form factors are calculated within a coupled-cluster theory from first principles using a chiral NNLO_{sat} interaction.

- Weak Form Factor
 - Comparison of ⁴⁰Ar form factor predictions from four nuclear theory and three phenomenological calculations.
 - Different approaches are based on different representations of the nuclear densities.



- The HF–SkE2 model [arXiv:2007.03658 [nucl-th]
- Model of Payne et al. [Phys. Rev. C 100, 061304 (2019)] where form factors are calculated within a coupledcluster theory from first principles using a chiral NNLO_{sat} interaction.
- Model of Yang et al. [Phys. Rev. C 100, 054301 (2019)] where form factors are predicted within a relativistic mean—field model informed by the properties of finite nuclei and neutron stars.
- Model of Hoferichter et al. [Phys. Rev. D 102, 074018 (2020)] where form factors are calculated within a largescale nuclear shell model.
- Helm and Klein-Nystrand [adapted by COHERENT] predictions

Relative CEvNS cross section differences between the results of different calculations:



Relative CEvNS cross section theoretical uncertainty (includes nuclear, nucleonic, hadronic, quark levels as well as perturbative errors):



O. Tomalak, P. Machado, V. Pandey, R. Plestid, arXiv:2011.05960 [hep-ph]

Differential cross section:



- Most of the cross section strength lies in the lower-end of the recoil energy and in the forward scattering as the cross section falls off rapidly at higher T and higher θ_f values.
- The effects of nuclear structure physics are more prominent as the neutrino energy increases.

Differential cross section:



- 60 HF'- SkE2 F(Q) = 150 SkE2 - folded COHERENT - A COHERENT - B 40 $\sigma_W(10^{-40}{
 m cm}^2)$ 30 20 10 20 30 50 1040 ()E (MeV) 10^{3} ^{208}Pb $\langle \sigma \rangle (10^{-40} {\rm cm}^2)$ 10^{2} [★] ⁵⁶Fe $\frac{40}{40}Ar$ 10^{1} COHERENT $F(Q^2)$ = 1[™]¹⁶0 Ж HÈ-SkE2 $\mathbb{H}^{12}C$ $\overline{}$ 10^{0} 20 40 60 80 100 120 0 Neutron number COHERENT data from:
- Most of the cross section strength lies in the lower-end of the recoil energy and in the forward scattering as the cross section falls off rapidly at higher T and higher θ_f values.
- The effects of nuclear structure physics are more prominent as the neutrino energy increases.

D. Akimov et al. [COHERENT], arXiv:2003.10630 [nucl-ex]. 20/26

Comparison with COHERENT CENNS-10 data

 $^{40}\mathrm{Ar}$

10s of MeV Inelastic Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering

- CEvNS experiments at stopped-pion sources are also powerful avenues to measure 10s of MeV inelastic CC and NC cross sections subject to detailed underlying nuclear structure and dynamics.
 - These are vital in understanding of core-collapse supernovae, but are almost completely unexplored experimentally so far.
 - These measurement will greatly enhance the prospects of detecting neutrinos from a core-collapse supernova in future long baseline neutrino experiments.



Need inelastic cross section measurements in CEvNS experiments at stopped-pion sources.

Inelastic CC/NC Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering: HF-CRPA Model

 10^{-38}

 10^{-39}

 10^{-40}

 10^{-41}

 10^{-42}

()

20

40

 $\sigma({
m cm}^2)$

- In the inelastic cross section calculations, the influence of long-range correlations between the nucleons is introduced through the continuum Random Phase Approximation (CRPA) on top of the HF-SkE2 approach.
- CRPA effects are vital to describe the quasielastic scattering process where the nucleus can be excited to low-lying collective nuclear states.
- The local RPA-polarization propagator is obtained by an iteration to all orders of the first order contribution to the particle-hole Green's function.

$$\Pi^{(RPA)}(x_1, x_2; E_x) = \Pi^{(0)}(x_1, x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \\ \times \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x)$$

 More details on CRPA model and other CRPA results: arXiv: 2010.05794 [nucl-th], Phys. Rev. C 101, 045502 (2020), Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 052501 (2019), Phys. Rev. C 97, 044616 (2018), Phys. Rev. C 94, 054609 (2016), Phys. Rev. C 92, 024606 (2015),...

⁴⁰Ar

CEvNS

CC

NC

60

E(MeV)

80

100

HF-CRPA Model: CC and NC ⁴⁰Ar Cross Sections



Inelastic CC/NC Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering: MARLEY Generator

 MARLEY (Model of Argon Reaction Low Energy Yields) is a neutrino event generator specifically developed to simulate tens-of-MeV neutrino-nucleus interactions in liquid argon.

Steven Gardiner [arXiv:2010.02393 [nucl-th].

• An example of MARLEY ν_e CC event simulated in LArSoft, showing the trajectories and energy deposition points of the interaction products.



arXiv:2008.06647 [hep-ex] [DUNE Collaboration]





- MARLEY predicts a nearly flat angular distribution (only allowed transitions, Fermi and Gamow Teller strengths).
- CRPA includes full expansion of nuclear matrix element (allowed as well as forbidden transition), predict more backwards strength.

Inelastic CC/NC Neutrino-Nucleus Scattering: MARLEY Generator

 MARLEY (Model of Argon Reaction Low Energy Yields) is a neutrino event generator specifically developed to simulate tens-of-MeV neutrino-nucleus interactions in liquid argon.

Steven Gardiner [arXiv:2010.02393 [nucl-th].

• An example of MARLEY ν_e CC event simulated in LArSoft, showing the trajectories and energy deposition points of the interaction products.



• CRPA implementation in MARLEY is currently on-going, in collaboration with Steven Gardiner.



- MARLEY predicts a nearly flat angular distribution (only allowed transitions, Fermi and Gamow Teller strengths).
- CRPA includes full expansion of nuclear matrix element (allowed as well as forbidden transition), predict more backwards strength.

Summary

- Experimental observation of CEvNS opened a new portal of searching weakly interacting new physics at low energies. SM expectation of CEvNS cross section have to be know at a precision that allows resolving degeneracies in the standard and non-standard physics observables.
- Future precise measurements of CEvNS cross section will enable precise determination of weak form factor at low momentum transfers and neutron density distributions of nuclei.
 These will provide vital input to modeling ground states of nuclei.
- CEvNS experiments at stopped-pion sources are powerful avenues to measure 10s of MeV inelastic CC and NC neutrino-nucleus cross sections. These measurements will play a vital role in enhancing future long baseline neutrino experiments' capability of detecting core-collapse supernovae neutrinos.
- We presented a consistent description of both coherent elastic and inelastic neutrinonucleus scattering within a unified many-body nuclear theory approach. The model is currently being implemented in a dedicated low-energy neutrino event generator, MARLEY.