Frédéric Daigne Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, Sorbonne Université



- Simultaneous observation of the same phenomenon with several messengers:
 - still only a single event: BNS merger GW170817
 - with an incredible set of observations:
 - GW: BNS inspiral still missing: post-merger GW emission
 - EM: kilonova (V,IR) still missing? kilonova afterglow short GRB (gamma-rays) - afterglow (radio to X-rays + radio VLBI) - host galaxy

GW170817 electromagnetic counterparts



GW170817 electromagnetic counterparts Late afterglow





Update @ 3.3 yr (Hajela, GCN#29055)

KN afterglow?

Hajela et al. 2019

Simultaneous observation of the same phenomenon with several messengers:

- still only a single event: BNS merger GW170817
- with an incredible set of observations:
- GW: BNS inspiral still missing: post-merger GW emission
- EM: kilonova (V,IR) still missing? kilonova afterglow short GRB (gamma-rays) - afterglow (radio to X-rays + radio VLBI) - host galaxy

- and an incredible list of major results:

association of a short GRB with a BNS - first detection of a KN, evidence for r process - first off axis observation of a GRB relativistic jet, etc.

New constraints on NS EOS - Hubble Constant - Test of GR - Constraints on new theories of gravity, etc.

Simultaneous observation of the same phenomenon with several messengers:

- still only a single event: BNS merger GW170817
- with an incredible set of observations:
- GW: BNS inspiral still missing: post-merger GW emission
- EM: kilonova (V,IR) still missing? kilonova afterglow
 - short GRB (gamma-rays) afterglow (radio to X-rays + radio VLBI) host galaxy
- and an incredible list of major results

- but also a long list of open questions:

e.g. (focussing only on astrophysical questions): Origin of the short GRB, connection to the cosmic population? Post-merger evolution? Nature of the central source (intermediate state with a massive NS?)? Mechanisms for the various ejecta? Origin of the structure of the relativistic jet? etc. Contribution of BNS to the production of heavy elements? etc.

- Simultaneous observation of the same phenomenon with several messengers:
 - still only a single event: BNS merger GW170817
 - with an incredible set of observations
 - and an incredible list of major results
 - but also a long list of open questions

• O3: at least two BNS, possible NSBH, no em counterparts

- Simultaneous observation of the same phenomenon with several messengers:
 - still only a single event: BNS merger GW170817
 - with an incredible set of observations
 - and an incredible list of major results
 - but also a long list of open questions
- O3: at least two BNS, possible NSBH, no em counterparts
- Multi-Messenger Astrophysics is not limited to simultaneous observations:

e.g.

- evolution of massive stars in binary systems
- origin of heavy elements in the Universe
- constraints on the EOS of ultra-dense matter etc.

GW merger detections complement very well standard em observations

(see this morning's presentations)

Better sensitivity: Einstein Telescope: about one order of magnitude better



GW international committee:

A better sensitivity offers the possibility to detect new classes of sources

For know sources: a major challenge = connecting the local BNS merger population with the cosmic population of short GRBs.

[on the em side: an adapted new generation of instruments with a better sensitivity should be available: e.g. ngVLA or SKA in radio; THESEUS in gamma-rays, etc.]

- Better sensitivity: Einstein Telescope: about one order of magnitude better
- Broader range of accessible frequency:
 - Einstein Telescope: extends to lower frequency (LISA: even lower frequencies)
 - A major challenge: detecting the post-merger GW signal of a BNS (then improved sensitivity at high frequency is also very important)

[Interesting synergy ET-LISA not discussed here: multi-band GW observations of some sources?]



ET Science Case, Maggiore et al. (arXiv: 1912.02622)

- Better sensitivity: Einstein Telescope: about one order of magnitude better
- Broader range of accessible frequency:

Einstein Telescope: extends to lower frequency (LISA: even lower frequencies)

• Better localization:

BNS: Einstein Telescope alone: hundreds of deg²? ET + aLIGO/aVirgo/...: a few deg²/tens of deg² (but for nearby events)? a network or three 3G-observatories: thousands of BNS within a few square deg²?

Strong impact on the capacity to detect em counterparts.

[on the em side: the efficiency of the follow-up can still be improved and new instruments are coming (LSST, SVOM, CTA, ...]: let's wait for the run O4.]

Localization of BNS:



ET + 2G network

ET Science Case, Maggiore et al. (arXiv: 1912.02622)

- Better sensitivity: Einstein Telescope: about one order of magnitude better
- Broader range of accessible frequency:

Einstein Telescope: extends to lower frequency (LISA: even lower frequencies)

• Better localization:

BNS: Einstein Telescope alone: hundreds of deg²? ET + aLIGO/aVirgo/...: a few deg²/tens of deg² (but for nearby events)? a network or three 3G-observatories: thousands of BNS within a few square deg²?

• Better duty cycle:

Einstein Telescope: **?**

It is a major challenge for rare events such as short GRBs, or the Holy Grail: the next Galactic core-collapse supernova

- Much more BNS/NSBH! 7x10⁴ BNS/yr ?
- Maximum redshift for BNS ~2-3 (170817: 40 Mpc; aLIGO/aVirgo: 0.2)

Rates, evolution, distribution of merger times, ...

Impact on stellar evolution in binaries, on chemical evolution, etc



• Much more BNS/NSBH! 7x10⁴ BNS/yr ?

 Maximum redshift for BNS ~2-3 (170817: 40 Mpc; aLIGO/aVirgo: 0.2)

Rates, evolution, distribution of merger times, ... Impact on stellar evolution in binaries, on chemical evolution, etc.



Major challenge: electromagnetic counterparts

(1) short GRB: a major goal = connecting the BNS population revealed by GW with the standard population of short GRBs

No follow-up/Needs gamma-ray satellites with large field of view alla Swift/Fermi/SVC (e.g. THESEUS proposed to ESA)

Except at very low distance (e.g. 170817): on-axis events

Before ET: very difficult (mean short GRB redshift ~0.5)

• Much more BNS/NSBH! 7x10⁴ BNS/yr ?

 Maximum redshift for BNS ~2-3 (170817: 40 Mpc; aLIGO/aVirgo: 0.2)

Rates, evolution, distribution of merger times, ... Impact on stellar evolution in binaries, on chemical evolution, etc.



• Major challenge: electromagnetic counterparts

(2) other counterparts: kilonova+host galaxy, afterglow

Kilonova (V,IR): in principle the easiest to catch (~isotropic emission) Afterglow (X,radio): strong dependency on the viewing angle (VLBI: only a low distance)

Depends strongly on localization+efficiency of the follow-up

• Much more BNS/NSBH! 7x10⁴ BNS/yr ?

 Maximum redshift for BNS ~2-3 (170817: 40 Mpc; aLIGO/aVirgo: 0.2)

Rates, evolution, distribution of merger times, ... Impact on stellar evolution in binaries, on chemical evolution, etc.



• Major challenge: electromagnetic counterparts

What is expected? GW+EM observations of BNS/NSBH can address several fundamental questions in astrophysics (and also in cosmology: see D. Steer's talk)

- better understanding of the post-merger evolution from GW in association with the electromagnetic signal associated to various ejecta

- exploring diversity: intrinsic (NSBH vs BNS, ...) and extrinsic (viewing angle)
- understanding the physics of the kilonova and the origin of heavy elements
- constraints on stellar evolution in binaries (via host galaxy + rate + mass distrib. + ...)
- understanding the physics of extreme relativistic jets (sampling the viewing angle is important)
- -etc.

Multi-Messenger Astrophysics with the Einstein Telescope Core-collapse supernovae

2G: our Galaxy low rate o(1/century)
ET: ~100 kpc (a new SN1987A?)

A three-messenger detection?

GW+neutrinos: a direct probe of the core collapse and formation of a compact object

GW: proto-NS, rotation, asymetry, ...

EM: the explosion of the enveloppe

Be ready for the next Galactic SN (warning: duty cycle)



Figure 4.1: Characteristic strain vs. frequency of three typical 3D core collapse SN simulations: C15 [371], W15-4 [342], and TM1 [352]. The Einstein Telescope in xylophone D (ET-D) configuration [372], the Cosmic Explorer (CE) [373], and the Advanced LIGO design [213] also shown.

GW international committee: 3G observatory science case

- New generation of neutrino detectors (e.g. HyperKamiokande) should detect the neutrino background of stellar origin (main source: SNae)
- The detection of the GW background of stellar origin (main source: binaries) would complement nicely this observation

A new look on the formation of large structures, on the star formation history, on stellar evolution, etc.

Continuous emission from spinning NS
Bursts from magnetars
etc.

Not discussed here

Multi-Messenger Astrophysics with the Einstein Telescope Conclusion

 ET: an impressive scientific potential for astrophysics+cosmology+physics (mergers, new sources?)

• ET alone can already bring a lot of progress thanks to a better sensitivity/ frequency range (rates, evolution, post-merger physics, connection to short GRBs, etc.)

 To exploit the full potential for multi-messenger astrophysics: two additional strong requirements

- localisation (ET + 2G network is already much better)
- duty cycle

(but I don't know when the next Galactic core-collapse supernova will explode)