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## Motivation of the talk

What are the signatures for composite models?

Is there a way to distinguish them from other BSM scenarios: elementary BSM frameworks

## NO-GO signatures?

Not possible in elementary but only in composite models

## The Higgs sector of the SM is still a mystery:

Spontaneous symmetry breaking is not explained: simply modelled

Shielding of the electroweak scale from higher scales: Naturalness

## Elementary or Composite?

A solution to the above two questions: Compositeness


Several motivations to consider these kind of models:

Use lessons from QCD: chiral symmetry breaking

Lightness of the "pion"
New states implies new signatures

## Several realisations of such phenomenon

Disclaimer: We are interested in models with fundamental fermions charged under new confining group. Motivated by QCD, we have a global symmetry for fermions.


## Technicolor:

Electroweak symmetry breaks due to the formation of condensates. Higgs is the lightest

PNGB Higgs:
Underlying dynamics breaks only the global symmetry of underlying fermions

In a generic vacuum alignment, the Higgs is neither a PNGB or a TC-Higgs


## Choice of global symmetries

Begin with single Dirac species of fermions: $\psi$
The possibilities for the flavour symmetry are $S U(2 N f)$ or $S U(N f) \times S U(N f)$
$S U(N f) \times S U(N f)$ : Fermions sitting in the complex representations. $Q C D$ like $S U(2 N f)$ : Fermions sitting in the (pseudo-)real representations.

## Breaking of global symmetries and cosets

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S U(2 N f) / S O(2 N f): & S U(2 N f) / S P(2 N f): & S U(N f) \times S U(N f) / S U(N f): \\
\text { Real } & \text { Pseudo-Real } & \text { Complex }
\end{array}
$$

"Minimal versions of each"


## Partial compositeness for the top.

Requirement of partial compositeness makes it convenient to add another species of fermion: $\psi$ and $\chi$. They transform under different representation of the Confining group
The introduction of a new coloured state allows us to construct coloured top partners. They are a bound state of three quarks $<\psi \chi \chi>$ or $<\psi \psi \chi>$

The introduction of a new coloured state allows us to construct coloured top partners and Separating the possibility of light coloured PNGB in the Higgs sector.


## U(1) PNGB's

Each fundamental fermion is associated with an underlying $U(1)$ symmetry

The global is symmetry is then:

$$
S U\left(N_{\psi}\right) \times S U\left(N_{\chi}\right) \times U(1)_{\psi} \times U(1)_{\chi}
$$

The abelian symmetries are also spontaneously broken by the formation on condensates One linear combination of the two $\mathrm{U}(1)$ 's is anomalous: Possibly heavy $\eta^{\prime}$

The other linear combination of the two $U(1)$ 's is non-anomalous: PNGB

The mass of this PNGB is unrelated to the mechanism of ew symmetry breaking.

## Spectrum:

# Electroweak cosets: Higgs, triplets and singlets 

QCD cosets: octets, triplets and sextets

## Two $U(1)$ singlets

We are interested in the singlets sitting in the electroweak coset.

For a detailed model zoology and classification see

## Properties of the PNGB " $a$ "

Coupling to Gauge bosons
The coupling to a pair of gauge bosons are through the anomalous WZW interactions

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{g_{i}^{2}}{32 \pi^{2}} \frac{\kappa_{i}}{f_{a}} a \epsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} G_{\mu \nu}^{i} G_{\alpha \beta}^{i},
$$

The underlying dynamics also fixes the co-efficients.
Note: In this instance we are interested in scenarios where the tree-level. $a \gamma \gamma$ WZW interaction is zero-Photophobic
Coupling to Fermions:

No tree level interaction. They are loop induced and also through the WZW interaction.


Free
parameters

Terra-Z portals for compositeness


This process is always associated with a monochromatic photon.
Let us look at the production of these states "a"


Branching fractions
Cacciapaglia, Deandrea, A.I, Sridhar
$\mathrm{f}=10 \mathrm{TeV}$
Does not depend

$$
f=10 \mathrm{TeV}
$$

Does not depend on $f$


Preliminary

Cacciapaglia, Deandrea, A.I,
Invisible or Displaced or Prompt


Preliminary

## Are displaces vertices possible with elementary scalars?

Let us consider a simple extension with a singlet scalar

Its couplings to the SM is through mixing with the Higgs

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{L}=\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{SM}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} S\right)^{2}-\frac{m_{S}^{2}}{2} S^{2}-\left.\frac{\lambda_{H S}}{2} S^{2}| |\right|^{2}-a_{H S} S|H|^{2}-V(S), \\
h=h^{0} \cos \theta+s^{0} \sin \theta \\
a=-h^{0} \sin \theta+s^{0} \cos \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

Unlike the composite case, its production with a photon is only through loops of gauge Bosons and fermions


Enhancement of its production requires an enhancement of the mixising angles.


PS: Ignoring constraints on mixing anghegeqs this is for purpose of illustration

Enhancement of mixing angles implies- PROMPT Decays ${ }^{\text {Sridhar }}$

$-\theta=0.08$

## The Beginning

Is a monochromatic photon associated with a displaced vertex a definite hint for compositeness? Maybe! But it is a positive direction to pursue.

Such signatures could also be studied at the HL-LHC

There are plethora of processes to be explored in both the current and the future experiments: NA62, BELLE-II, KOTO..

## BACKUP

Axion Like particles

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{D \leq 5}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} a\right)\left(\partial^{\mu} a\right)-\frac{m_{a, 0}^{2}}{2} a^{2}+\frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{\Lambda} \sum_{F} \bar{\psi}_{F} \boldsymbol{C}_{F} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{F} \\
& +g_{s}^{2} C_{G G} \frac{a}{\Lambda} G_{\mu \nu}^{A} \tilde{G}^{\mu \nu, A}+g^{2} C_{W W} \frac{a}{\Lambda} W_{\mu \nu}^{A} \tilde{W}^{\mu \nu, A}+g^{\prime 2} C_{B B} \frac{a}{\Lambda} B_{\mu \nu} \tilde{B}^{\mu \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$



