Status of the Solid experiment

David HENAFF on behalf of SoLid collaboration

henaff@subatech.in2p3.fr



GDR Neutrino 24th November 2020 SoLid

Motivations: Flux and energy anomaly

- Reactor Antineutrino Anomaly
 - A deficit in the measured flux compared to predictions.
 - Could be explained by a new oscillation into a sterile neutrino.
- Gallium anomaly: Phys. Rev. C 56, 3391 (1997)



- Among the four commercial reactor isotopes (235-U, 239-Pu, 238-U, 241-U) 235-U is thought as an interesting candidate to look for explanations.
 - [arXiv:1704.01082]







Experimental site

Research reactor BR2:

- Research reactor BR2 @ SCK-CEN, Belgium
- Highly enriched reactor with 235-U (>93.5%)
- Compact core ~ 50 cm
- Detector between 6 9m of the core.
- Low gamma and neutron background from reactor.
- Low overburden ~ 6-8 m.w.e.
- Important cosmic induced background
- ➡ Key challenge that guided SoLid's design



Detector main features:

- PVT as target coupled to ZnS mineral scintillator
 - ✓ Linear energy response✓ Highly segmented
- Price to pay: Understand a complex detector.



 v_{e}

Taking physics data since spring 2018

Detector and measurement principle

Target: 12,800 cubes arranged in 50 frames of 16x16 cubes.

- SoLid's cube
 - Combination of two scintillators: PVT cubes of 5x5x5cm³ with 2 ⁶LiF:ZnS screens for neutron capture.
- Scintillation photons captured by 3,200 WLS fibres and read-out by MPPCs.
- Passive water bricks & polyethylene shielding to mitigate fast neutron background.





Signal: Inverse beta decay $\overline{\nu_e} + p \longrightarrow e^+ + n$

- We start by exploiting spatial and temporal coincidence between:
 - Prompt (PVT signal): a positron carrying the neutrino energy
 - ▶ 2 Annihilation gammas with a mean free path of ~ 10cm
 - Delayed (ZnS signal): a neutron interacting ~ 64us after thermalisation captured:
 - $n + {}^{6}Li \longrightarrow {}^{3}H + \alpha$
 - Expect in average 1,200 IBDs / day (cross-section x flux) interacting in the detector
- Beyond this criteria, thanks to the high segmentation, we can exploit the detailed topology of the prompt signals (Annihilation gammas)

Capital issue: Controlling two backgrounds

Cosmic induced:

- Proton recoil mimic the prompt and delayed has the same features as IBDs
- Visible energy: all IBD energy spectrum.





- Unexpected and critical internal contamination of ZnS layer
 - Nearly 2 order of magnitude above IBDs before selection
- External pollution: Radon decay
- $\Delta T_{prompt-delayed} \sim 250 \text{ us}$
- Beta decay mimic prompt
- Alpha decay mimic delayed
- **BiPonisher**: α/n discrimination using Pulse Shape Discrimination in ZnS scintillator

In both cases the space and time promptdelayed distribution are close to IBDs.



Basic selection: prompt vs delayed space & time coincidence

Reconstruction: Fit that find the list of cube positions and energies that minimise the Likelihood to measure the given set of fibres signal (> 200 keV)

Sequential cuts

Variables for the IBD selection:

- E_{prompt} between [2,7] MeV
- $\Delta_{prompt-delayed}X, Y, Z, R$
- $\Delta_{prompt-delayed}T$
- ES2/ES1: Energy of the second most energetic cube over the energy of the most energetic cube
- BiPonisher

This way: reach ~ 110 neutrino/day and S/B = 0.06



Adding the topology information (annihilation gammas)

Low energy deposits and back-to-back behaviour.

- Challenges:
 - Lower the fibre analysis threshold from 200 keV to 100 keV.
 - Implies to understand detector response in deep details.
 - Efficiency vs. Dark Counts rate with such low thresholds.

Annihilation gamma reconstruction: Two approaches have been used

- Split the detector in two hemispheres
- Tracking: Minimised the *likelihood* of cubes position according to X-sections.

New variables:

• E of gammas, angle between the two gammas, likelihood, distances to the most energetic cube etc...

Improved selection:

- Simple cuts are used by selecting annihilation gamma energy and back-to-back gammas.
 - E_γ[0,0.5] MeV
 - Dot product < 0.7

We improve the background rejection by roughly a factor 2

• ~ 92 neutrino/day and S/B = 0.1





Detector response in a nutshell

Calibration:

- The understanding of the detector response is provided by calibration data.
- Energy calibration: 4 γ sources used (¹³⁷Cs, ²⁰⁷Bi, ²²Na, AmBe)
 - Cube light yield homogeneity (3%)
 - Probe the **linearity** response
- Neutron detection efficiency:
 - ▶ 2 neutron sources used: AmBe & ²⁵²Cf
 - Measure 52% neutron detection efficiency





Detector response in a nutshell



Detector response in a nutshell

Topological variables validated with BiPo data/MC.



Improvements: Multivariate analysis

Two independent approaches based on commonly used MVA tools. (no cutting edge ML)

- uBDT: [arXiv:1305.7248]
 - Tool designed to optimise discrimination while keeping uniform efficiencies (here: in E and baseline)



B/S

Both trained in category and same variables as previous analysis

Sequential cut w/ topology (Prediction) 18 uBDT (Prediction) NN (Prediction) 16 Topology Bkg / 1.7 14 12 Simulation 10 Bkg / 2 Preliminary MVA 0 60 120 80 100 Excess [event / day]

Sequential cut w/o topology (Prediction)

2.00

1.75

1.50

1.25

z 1.00

0.75

0.50

0.25

Gain: Background reduced by a factor 2

100 neutrino per day for a S/B of 0.2

uBDT score for 0 and 2 gamma category

z

140

2γ

ScoreSan

Std Dev 0.1507

NN

Preliminary

0γ

Preliminary

Neutrino signal in real data

Two backgrounds with a different day to day evolution:

- Reactor OFF data
 - The BiPo may change because of radon release.
 - Fast-neutrons are correlated with pressure variation.

Subtraction:

Excess [event / day] 140 150

160

100

80

60

40

Preliminary

We first subtract BiPo and accidental.

Excess in data vs MC

0.92 0.93 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.97 0.98 0.99

- Study fast neutrons rate in data to model their dependence on pressure.
 - $S_{Signal-BiPo,j} \bar{S}_{Signal-BiPo} = \chi_{atm}^{Ref} \cdot \left(P_j \bar{P}\right)$
 - $S_{Signal-BiPo-Atm,k} = S_{Signal-BiPo,k} \chi_{atm}^{Ref} \cdot \left(P_k \bar{P}\right)$

NN (prediction)

NN (Data)

This approach is cross-checked by taking days with same pressure.

Rates [mHz]

Excess [evt/day]

NN cut

100

Fit Function f(P-



Neutrino signal in real data

Evaluation of the neutrino excess and S/B in real data consistent MC predictions shown earlier

• Topology helps and MVA as well.



Neutrino excess in energy and baseline

• Sanity check of subtraction

Going further: Improves the efficiency to see annihilation gammas → Upgraded detector with a higher light yield

Energy [MeV]

6

Rate [mHz/MeV]

1

0

0.8

Rate [mHz/MeV] 6.0 7.0 7.0

0.0

1

(i.e. better efficiency to see low energy deposits)

e+ position [plane]

Ongoing improvements

SoLid upgrade: Higher (x1.4) light yield to better reconstruct annihilation gamma

- The new generation of MPPCs: Better PDE and lower cross-talk.
- Lab tests have demonstrated a gain of **40% in light yield!**
- MPPC replacement done.
- Commissioning restarted!



Detector dismounting started the 1st July



Modules arrived @ Antwerp for upgrade





BiPonator:

- Exploit as much as possible waveforms shape differences between neutron and alpha in ZnS.
- Current BiPonisher: simple ratio.
- Developed a 1-dimensional convolutional network (CNN)
- We expect to reduce BiPo background by a **factor 2-3!**



Conclusion

- SoLid has to face very challenging background conditions
 - Cosmic induced background.
 - Unexpected rate of internal BiPo background.

Space & time coincidence between prompt & delayed signals can't discriminate enough.

- Started to exploit also the power of SoLid's topological reconstruction.
- A focus has been put to exploit annihilation gamma topology
 - 1. Understand detector response below 200 keV: Efficiencies, calibration, tuning of simulation.
 - 2. Usage of annihilation gamma for signal selection (Background/1.7)
 - 3. Explore multivariate tools (Background/2)
- Systematic studies ongoing.
- The upgrade is coming soon!

Stay tuned! Thanks for your attention!

SoLid

Backup

Systematic uncertainties on reconstructed energy

- Work in progress for the LY systematic assessment.
- Fake IBD simulations varying the cube individual light within 3%.
- Comparison done between the nominal and fake experiments.
 - Compute all the ratios between the fake experiments and the nominal one.



Assuming a S/B around 1 we already expect the LY uncertainties to fall into the statistical uncertainty

Data/MC Energy ratios

- Shape comparison between data/MC at different \bullet reconstruction level:
 - Sum of cubes
 - Cube
 - Fibre
- Agreement below 10% in most of the range. ۲

Data

Amplitude [PA]





Annihilation gamma efficiency

Selection:

- 22Na source emits:
 - 1 gamma of 1.274 MeV
 - 2 gamma of 0.511 MeV from positron annihilation
- Tag the 1.274 MeV interaction in one module
 - A cube above 60PAs ~ 650 keV
- Look at the other module to find annihilation gamma
 - Consider a cube if:
 - Isolated in the plane
 - The four fibres above 2.5 PAs

Normalisation:

 Distributions from annihilation gammas are normalised using the number of tags.

Energy spectrum:

- We observe a discrepancy between data and MC efficiency to see annihilation.
 - MC sees 20% more annihilation gamma than data.
 - Meaning a fibre efficiency control @ 5%
- The shape is well reproduce by the MC.



Half-Module Plane 40->44







Category shifting as function of fibre threshold

- Lowering the fibre analysis threshold from 200 keV (High threshold) to 100 keV (Low threshold) allows to double the cleanest category.
- The 2-gamma category will be populated by increasing the light yield.
- Category for which the discrimination is the best!



High threshold

Gamma	0	1	2
IBD MC	34 %	41 %	24 %
Reactor off	53 %	26 %	20 %

Low threshold

hScoreSgn2 GanscoreSena Entrust 00001	0	1	2
IBD MC	16 %	36 %	47 %
Reactor off	30 %	27 %	44 %

0 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1