The Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background

Sonia El Hedri

GDR Neutrinos

November 24, 2020



The Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background

Neutrino flux from all distant core-collapse supernovae



- Aggregate properties of core-collapse supernovae
- All flavors of neutrinos, redshifted
- Elusive low energy signal

The Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background

Neutrino flux from all distant core-collapse supernovae



- Aggregate properties of core-collapse supernovae
- All flavors of neutrinos, redshifted
- Elusive low energy signal

Super-Kamiokande in a nutshell



- Kamioka Mine, Japan
- 50 kton Water Cherenkov detector
- Water constantly recirculated and purified
- 11129 Inner Detector PMTs
 50 cm, 3 ns resolution
- Energy coverage 4 MeV to ~TeV
- Currently in phase VI, doping with Gadolinium completed this summer
- Current study: phase IV longest data-taking period (2008-2017) 2790.1 live days

Super-Kamiokande in a nutshell



- Kamioka Mine, Japan
- 50 kton Water Cherenkov detector
- Water constantly recirculated and purified
- 11129 Inner Detector PMTs
 50 cm, 3 ns resolution
- Energy coverage 4 MeV to ~TeV
- Currently in phase VI, doping with Gadolinium completed this summer
- Current study: phase IV longest data-taking period (2008-2017) 2790.1 live days

The DSNB in Super-Kamiokande

Detecting antineutrinos via Inverse Beta Decay (IBD)



- 5-20 events/year Energy range 12-80 MeV
- Need to characterize spallation and atmospheric backgrounds and **identify the neutrons**

The DSNB in Super-Kamiokande

Detecting antineutrinos via Inverse Beta Decay (IBD)



- 5-20 events/year Energy range 12-80 MeV
- Need to characterize spallation and atmospheric backgrounds and identify the neutrons

The three pillars of the DSNB analysis

After basic noise reduction cuts:

I - Spallation cuts

- Remove radioactive isotopes produced by cosmic muons
- II Atmospheric background reduction/characterization
 - Remove atmospheric signals with pions/muons/gammas
 - Estimate spectral shapes of low energy atmospheric neutrinos

III - Neutron tagging

- Possible only since SK-IV
- Identify neutron capture signal in water

Spallation backgrounds

Radioactivity induced by cosmic muon spallation in water



[FLUKA simulation, A. Coffani]

Reduction strategy:

- Identify isotope clusters and neutrons from muon showers
- Investigate correlations between muons and candidate events

- One spallation muon every two minutes
- ${\ensuremath{\, \circ }}$ Needs to be reduced by ${\ensuremath{\mathcal O}}(10^4)$
- Main signatures
 - > 99% β decays: $A \rightarrow e^{\pm} + \nu$ < 1% IBD-like (⁹Li): $A \rightarrow e^{\pm} + n$
- Isotopes' half-lives up to 13 s
 - \Rightarrow correlations over large time scales
- No existing simulation in WC detectors

Spallation: hunting for correlations

Pair each candidate event with muons up to $30\ {\rm s}$ before Investigate correlations using a likelihood analysis

Observables



- Δt , L_t , L_l : distance and time difference
- resQ: charge deposited by the muon in addition to minimum ionization

Final performance: >90% background rejection (>99% on ⁹Li) 40-90\% signal efficiency (depending on reconstructed energy)



Extracting distributions

7 / 18

Evaluating and reducing atmospheric neutrinos



Irreducible background

Atmospheric backgrounds after cuts

20

Estimating normalization and spectral shapes:

Specific light pattern

Double signal if decay

Large Cherenkov angle

- $\mathcal{O}(100\%)$ uncertainties on rates and spectral shapes below 100 MeV except for decay electrons (measured Michel spectrum from stopping muons).
- Strategies: Use T2K to estimate cross-sections and efficiencies (NC backgrounds), or use sidebands in energy and Cherenkov angle. [Y. Ashida, Ph D. thesis (2019)]

E (MeV)

60

Selecting neutrons: a needle in a haystack

Neutron capture occurs near the positron vertex



- New in SK-IV: "AFT" trigger window after the positron window
- Sensitivity to dark noise: inject random trigger data into MC simulation (SKDetSim – GEANT3) for cut optimization.
- Preselection: define candidate neutron peaks with $N_{10} > 5$

Selecting neutrons: final step

Use a Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) to tag neutron candidates.



- Final performance: 0.2% 3% background acceptance 18% 30% signal efficiency.
- Expect $\times 4$ performance enhancement after Gadolinium doping.

Analysis procedures

Supernova model-independent analysis

- Low energy analysis: 12 30 MeV reconstructed positron energy
- Atmospheric CC: estimate by fitting Michel spectrum in 30-50 MeV
- Atmospheric NC: estimate using T2K data ⇒ define 3 large energy bins 50% uncertainties for NC backgrounds – 30% uncertainties for CC
- Bin-by-bin cut optimization and limit calculation

Spectral analysis

- Fit observed energy spectrum by DSNB + atmospheric spectra
- Need to eliminate spallation + solar backgrounds $\Rightarrow 16-80~{\rm MeV}$ energy range
- Atmospheric spectral shapes: use sidebands in Cherenkov angle for NC and μ/π . Assume $\mathcal{O}(100\%)$ uncertainties on normalizations and shapes except for Michel spectrum.

Supernova model-independent analysis

Flux limits close to optimistic DSNB models at high energy





E _v region [MeV]	13.3-19.3	19.3-25.3	25.3-31.3
SK-IV 2970 days (Expected)	9.48	1.35	0.82
SK-IV 2970 days (Observed)	9.08	2.22	0.35
Nakazato+15 (Minimum, NH)	0.337	0.089	0.026
Horiuchi+09 (6 MeV, Maximum)	2.534	0.887	0.314
Ando+03 (updated at NNN05)	2.652	0.796	0.261

- Neutron tagging: $16 \longrightarrow 12$ MeV analysis threshold
- Important uncertainties from NC γ emission and neutron multiplicity

Spectral analysis

Combination of SK-I to IV for an optimistic SRN model [Ando 03]



- Slight excess at low energy without neutron tagging
- Combined 90% C.L. limit: 2.7 cm⁻². s⁻¹ (predicted: 1.7 cm⁻². s⁻¹)

The DSNB models are getting tantalizingly close...

The near future: Super-K Gd and JUNO

Next 10 years: Identify IBD neutrons by enhancing the capture signal

Super-K Gd (just started!)





- Super-K Gd and JUNO will probe most of the DSNB parameter space!
- No spectral characterization: lack of statistics Atmospheric NC becomes the dominant background (large systematics)

The near future: Super-K Gd and JUNO

Next 10 years: Identify IBD neutrons by enhancing the capture signal

- Super-K Gd and JUNO will probe most of the DSNB parameter space!
- No spectral characterization: lack of statistics Atmospheric NC becomes the dominant background (large systematics)

Beyond a discovery: Hyper-Kamiokande

Hyper-Kamiokande will allow in-depth studies of the DSNB spectrum

- First analysis of the tail of the DSNB spectrum
- Limits on the fraction of supernovae forming black holes Combined studies with LSST to limit the supernova rate

Hyper-Kamiokande: going further

Opening the low energy region:

- IWCD's cross-section studies
 - ⇒ Characterize neutrino-nucleus interactions
 - \Rightarrow Reduce atmospheric neutrino systematics
- Use of multi-PMTs
 - \Rightarrow Improve vertex resolution?
 - \Rightarrow Impact on neutron tagging?
- Doping HK with gadolinium?

Conclusion

- Current limits in Super-Kamiokande: first analysis of the diffuse supernova neutrino background with the full SK-IV dataset and neutron tagging capabilities.
- The most optimistic DSNB models are within a factor of two of the current limits...maybe a discovery in Super-K Gd or JUNO?
- Spectral characterizations and studies of astrophysical parameters will be made possible in Hyper-Kamiokande
- Combined studies with neutrino beams and telescopes will be essential

Stay tuned for the near and far future!

Thank you for your attention