

# LSST DESC & COIN RESSPECT

**Recommendation System for Spectroscopic Follow-up** 

#### Project update

#### Emille E. O. Ishida on behalf of the RESSPECT team

LSST France, 4 November 2020

Fundamental truth about supervised learning:

The performance of any supervised learning algorithm is dependent on the degree of **representativeness** between training and target samples. Fundamental truth about supervised learning:

The performance of any supervised learning algorithm is dependent on the degree of **representativeness** between training and target samples.

*Fundamental truth about SN cosmology with LSST:* 

Machine learning for photometric classification is unavoidable.

The goal of RESSPECT:

To build a **recommendation system** for the construction of an **optimal training sample** given available spectroscopic resources.

The goal of RESSPECT:

To build a **recommendation system** for the construction of an **optimal training sample** given available spectroscopic resources.

It is **NOT** the goal of RESSPECT:

- Build a better classifier
- Maximize the number of spectroscopically confirmed SN Ia
- Test a complete cosmology pipeline

# The SN Ia photometric cosmology pipeline

Cosmology results from photometrically classified SN IA











# The SN Ia photometric cosmology pipeline



Ishida et al., 2019, MNRAS from CRP #4

Recent improvements

# Take into account observational caveats

- Window of Opportunity for Labelling
- Evolving Samples
  - We must make query decisions before we can observe the full LC
- Multiple Instruments
- Evolving Costs
  - Observing costs for a given object changes as it evolves.



Kennamer et al., 2020 - arXiv:astro-ph/2010.05941

#### Conclusion of phase 1

# It is advisable to start from scratch

- Supernova Photometric Classification Challenge data (SNPCC)
- Data separated into four groups
  - Original training set 1,103
  - 18,216 in pool set
  - 1,000 objects each in validation and test sets
- Assumed access to an 8m and 4m telescope for labeling
  - 6 hours per telescope on each night
- Pre-processed data with parametric fits (Bazin *et al.* 2009)
- Observing Costs calculated from brightness estimates of each objects and telescope properties



Kennamer et al., 2020 - arXiv:astro-ph/2010.05941

# Active Learning details

- Ensemble of Random Forest Classifiers for query decisions
- Four Active Learning Strategies under knapsack constraints:
  - Random Sampling
  - Uncertainty Sampling
    - Entropy used to measure uncertainty
  - Batch Entropy
    - Measures a joint entropy over batches
    - Takes advantage of submodular properties of entropy
  - Batch KL-Divergence
    - Measures a Joint KL-Divergence/Mutual Information, equivalent to BatchBALD
    - Takes advantage of submodular properties of the KL-Divergence/Mutual Information

Proved equivalence between KL-Divergence and Bayesian Active Learning by Disagreement (BALD) - check the Appendix!

#### Conclusion of phase 1

# It is advisable to start from scratch

- Supernova Photometric Classification Challenge data (SNPCC)
- Data separated into four groups
  - Original training set 1,103
  - 18,216 in pool set
  - 1,000 objects each in validation and test sets
- Assumed access to an 8m and 4m telescope for labeling
  - 6 hours per telescope on each night



Conclusion of phase 1

## Active Learning part is closed

- Start from scratch
- Simple AL strategies are the best we can do
- Further improvements will require theoretical development

This is only half of the story ...

### The cosmology part ...



Ishida et al., 2019, MNRAS from CRP #4

This is only half of the story ...

### The cosmology part ...



Ishida et al., 2019, MNRAS from CRP #4

Hypothesis

## Better classifier leads to a better cosmology



# Better classifier leads to a better cosmology





### Better classifier leads to a better cosmology







Goal: use the cosmology metric to choose between different **possible batches** per night, which would have the **same effect** from the classifier point of view What now?

### Summary and next steps

- Active learning stage is well developed with important theoretical contribution for computer science community
- Currently running the pipeline on PLAsTiCC data for astronomy paper
- Implement the choices between multiple batches in the same run
- Cosmology metric is under development
- Develop a mathematically coherent procedure to combine active learning and cosmology metric

Negotiating extension of ICA until DESC 2021 Summer meeting...







What now?

### Summary and next steps

- Active learning stage is well developed with important demonstration for computer science community
- Currently running the pipeline on PLAsTiCC data for astronomy paper
- Implement the choices between multiple batches in the same run
- Cosmology metric is under development
- Develop a mathematically coherent procedure to combine active learning and cosmology metric

Interdisciplinarity at its best!







# Extra slides

#### PLAsTiCC results are virtually the same as SNPCC



### **External Factors**



#### **Cosmological Feedback**



The role of Active Learning

### Measuring Disagreement / Query by Committee

Train an ensemble of models on available labeled data

Vote Entropy 
$$x_{VE}^* = \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmax}} - \sum_{y} \frac{\operatorname{vote}_{\mathcal{C}}(y, x)}{|\mathcal{C}|} \log \frac{\operatorname{vote}_{\mathcal{C}}(y, x)}{|\mathcal{C}|}$$

Soft Vote Entropy 
$$x_{SVE}^* = \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmax}} - \sum_{y} P_{\mathcal{C}}(y|x) \log P_{\mathcal{C}}(y|x),$$

# Kullback-Leibler divergence $x_{KL}^* = \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} KL(P_{\theta}(Y|x) \parallel P_{\mathcal{C}}(Y|x))$

The role of Active Learning

# Entropy vs KL Divergence



(a) uncertain but in agreement



(b) uncertain and in disagreement

- Equal Entropy
- Low KL

- Equal Entropy
- High KL