Searching for Dark Matter with the ADMX experiment

CPPM-Marseille Seminar



This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC

LLNL-PRES-750964



- What's an axion?
- How do we look for axions?
- Challenges of working with small signals.
- Results from the first run.
- Going forward.

Dark Matter

- Observations hint at the existence of a form of matter that we cannot see in our current detectors.
- Galaxy and star cluster rotation curves do not match what is expected from the observable matter distribution.
- Adding a non-interacting mass to the galaxy allows the theory to match observation. This mass is 'dark matter'.



Image source: Katherine Freese, Caltech https://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/level5/Sept17/Freese/Freese2.html

Dark Matter

- The Bullet Cluster is the result of two star clusters colliding.
- Gravitational lensing shows the majority of the cluster mass is separate from gaseous matter.
- Weakly interacting massive particles(WIMPs) have been a favored solution with candidates coming from theories such as super symmetry.
- With recent results from dedicated detectors and the LHC, WIMPs are now running out of places to hide.





Strong CP Problem

QCD Lagrangian

$$L_{\theta} = \theta \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} F_a^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{a\mu\nu}$$

 $d_n pprox \theta \ e rac{m_q}{m_n^2}$

Neutron dipole moment

The strong force should be able to ٠ violate charge parity symmetry but appears not to.

- CP violation would lead to a neutron ٠ dipole moment of 10⁻¹⁸e m.
- Experimental upper limits of the neutron ٠ dipole moment 10⁻²⁸e m.





What are Axions?

- Peccei and Quinn introduced their solution to the strong CP Problem in 1977. It promotes the CP-violating term θ to be it's own dynamical field.
- As with other global fields, this also predicts an associated pseudo-scalar boson, the axion.
- The axion has a two photon interaction which is used for searches.
- $g_{A\gamma\gamma}$ Axion photon coupling
- E Electric field
- B Magnetic field
- $\varphi_{A}-\text{Axion Field}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{A\gamma\gamma} = -g_{A\gamma\gamma} \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \phi_A$$





Axion Dark Matter

- Axions are created non-thermally in the early universe via the misalignment mechanism.
- At high energies the axion is massless with the field value set at some initial value $\theta_a = \theta_a^0$
- Once the axion wavelength becomes comparable to the Hubble scale the axion mass becomes significant and the field starts to oscillate.
- The oscillations are damped and therefore the field approaches $\theta_a = 0$

Axion cosmology revisited, Olivier Wantz and E. P. S. Shellard, Phys. Rev. D 82, 123508





Axion Dark Matter

- The misalignment mechanism results in a high number density of low mass particles with a small coupling to the SM.
- This provides the necessary conditions for structure formation in the universe.
- Due to the high number density, the particles can be easily represented as a classical field.
 - $-\,$ Wavelength of ~100m
 - Coherent over ~1000km





Parameter Landscape



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



Motivation	General Properties
 Provides a natural solution to the strong CP problem. In the 10⁻⁶-10⁻²eV range also provides a dark matter candidate. 	 Light weakly coupled stable particle. Fundamental pseudo-scalar particle.
Photon Coupling	Mass and Couplings
 Couples to two photons via a Primakoff conversion. Magnetic fields facilitate the conversion from axion to photons. 	Generically: $m_a \propto g_{aii} \propto 1/f_a$ $10^{-6} eV < m_a < 10^{-2} eV$



Credit to: xkcd.com (Aug. 20, 2018) "A webcomic of romance, sarcasm, math, and language."





The Haloscope



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



Power transfer from axion field to cavity field

Weak coupling Takes many swings to fully transfer the wave amplitude.

Number of swings \rightarrow cavity quality factor.

Narrowband cavity response \rightarrow iterative scan through frequency space.





The ADMX Insert









Run 1B Cavity, 2018

First time that we demonstrated filling in mode crossing regions <u>by moving</u> <u>the tuning rod to new configuration</u>

Example: same frequency at 730 MHz

Mode crossing shifted

Form factor larger in symmetric case (usual running condition for 2 rods)









- New 4.5" tuning rods.
- Smaller total volume but higher Frequency range.
- Smaller end cap gaps resulting in narrower mode crossings.







- New 4.5" tuning rods.
- Smaller total volume but higher Frequency range.
- Smaller end cap gaps resulting in narrower mode crossings.







- New 4.5" tuning rods.
- Smaller total volume but higher Frequency range.
- Smaller end cap gaps resulting in narrower mode crossings.









- New 4.5" tuning rods.
- Smaller total volume but higher Frequency range.
- Smaller end cap gaps resulting in narrower mode crossings.









- New 4.5" tuning rods.
- Smaller total volume but higher Frequency range.
- Smaller end cap gaps resulting in narrower mode crossings.









- New 4.5" tuning rods.
- Smaller total volume but higher Frequency range.
- Smaller end cap gaps resulting in narrower mode crossings.





Finding a Signal



- To calibrate the detector a 'synthetic axion' signal could be injected into the cavity. This both verified the electronics and the analysis procedure.
- KSVZ axions produce a clear signal.
- DFSZ axions are not visible in the raw spectra but combining spectra over all observations reveals the peak.



Seeing a Signal

- Initial scan revealed 2 candidates above threshold.
- Subsequent rescan showed that there was one remaining candidate.
- Blind-injection team revealed it was a synthetic axion signal injected into the cavity.





The Signal

 The signal to noise ratio is given by the Dickie Radiometer equation.

$$T = T_{\text{phys}} + Tpre + \frac{T_{\text{postamp}}}{\text{Gain1}}$$

Axion power is proportional to the cavity characteristics, the magnetic field and the resonant mode.

$$P_{\rm sig} \propto B^2 V Q_{\rm cav} C_{\rm mode}$$

- Integration time depends on the experimental cadence.
 - Step size.

P_{sig}

- Operational frequency.
- Currently limited to the range of minutes.



The Signal

 The signal to noise ratio is given by the Dickie Radiometer equation.

$$T = T_{\text{phys}} + Tpre + \frac{T_{\text{postamp}}}{\text{Gain1}}$$

Axion power is proportional to the cavity characteristics, the magnetic field and the resonant mode.

$$P_{\rm sig} \propto B^2 V Q_{\rm cav} C_{\rm mode}$$

- Integration time depends on the experimental cadence.
 - Step size.

P_{sig}

 $k_R \mathbf{I}$

- Operational frequency.
- Currently limited to the range of minutes.



Experimental Cadence

- 1. The cavity frequency is scanned over a region until the desired SNR is achieved
- 2. We then examine the combined power spectrum for signs of excess
- Excess power regions can be statistical fluctuations, synthetically injected signals, RF interference, or axions
- 4. Excess power regions are rescanned to see if they persist
- 5. Persistent candidates are subjected to confirmation tests





The Signal

 The signal to noise ratio is given by the Dickie Radiometer equation.

 Noise temperature is the sum of the thermal noise and amplifier noise.

$$T = T_{\text{phys}} + Tpre + \frac{T_{\text{postamp}}}{\text{Gain1}}$$

 Axion power is proportional to the cavity characteristics, the magnetic field and the resonant mode.

$$P_{\rm sig} \propto B^2 V Q_{\rm cav} C_{\rm mode}$$

- Integration time depends on the experimental cadence.
 - Step size.

Psig

 k_R 7

- Operational frequency.
- Currently limited to the range of minutes.



Cavity Form Factor

$$C_{\text{mode}} = \frac{\left(\int_{V} dV \ E \cdot B\right)^{2}}{V B^{2} \int_{V} dV E^{2}}$$

- The cavity form factor is a function of the mode structure of the cavity.
- TM010 has the maximum form factor of ~0.7.
- The majority of modes have a negligible form factor.
- Due to the tuning rod ADMX typically achieves ~0.4





The Signal

 The signal to noise ratio is given by the Dickie Radiometer equation.

 Noise temperature is the sum of the thermal noise and amplifier noise.

$$T = T_{\text{phys}} + T_{\text{amp}} + \frac{T_{\text{postamp}}}{Gain_{\text{amp}}}$$

• Axion power is proportional to the cavity characteristics, the magnetic field and the resonant mode.

$$P_{\rm sig} \propto B^2 V Q_{\rm cav} C_{\rm mode}$$

- Integration time depends on the experimental cadence.
 - Step size.

sig

- Operational frequency.
- Currently limited to the range of minutes.



Cryogenics

- Cryocooler
 - Actively cools baffle to 40K
 - First heatsinking stage
- Two 1K pots
 - Large 1K pot for the shielding, gearbox and electricals.
 - Small 1K pot for Dil Fridge
- Dil fridge was custom built by Janis Research Company
- 800 μW of cooling at 100 mK
- Cools the resonator and amplifiers.







Quantum RF electronics.



ADMX Tunable MSA

Sean O'Kelley, Clarke Group, UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory LLNL-PRES-XXXXXXX





Quantum RF electronics.

- The scan rate of ADMX is inversely proportional to the system noise squared. To achieve this, quantum limited amplifiers are used.
- Microstrip squid amplifiers(MSAs) are used for low frequency(0.5-2GHz) searches.
- The MSA works by having DC squid amplifiers attached to a microstrip resonator.
- Produced by the John Clarke Group at UC Berkley.







Quantum RF electronics.

- For frequencies above 1GHz Josephson parametric amplifiers are more suitable.
- A pump tone is used to excite squid loops which in turn amplify the incoming signal.
- Produced by the Siddiqi Group at UC Berkeley
- Testing of the quantum electronics took place at Livermore before being shipped to the experiment.



Classic example of parametric amplification is a child on a swing





Complexity



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



ADMX Gen-2 2018 (run 1B)





Going forward

- Currently ADMX is scanning 700-890 MHz
- We anticipate faster frequency coverage in the future due to:
 - Higher magnetic field
 - More stable quantum electronics
 - Lower temperatures
 - Reduced engineering overheads.
- Speed up of ~6x





The Sidecar cavity is an in-situ R&D platform which is testing components for future ADMX main cavity systems. The cavity sits inside the main cavity magnet field allowing the production of scientific data.

Key Test Objectives:

- 1. Motion control schemes.
- 2. High frequency amplification.
- 3. Frequency tuning mechanisms.
- 4. Cavity construction.

The Sidecar cavity is also being used to test resonant feedback techniques which could form the basis of future axions searches.





2018 Result

Sidecar ran in parallel with the main cavity during 2016 Piezoelectrically Tuned Multimode Cavity Search for Axion Dark Matter and 2017 taking data on both the TM010 and TM020 (ADMX Collaboration) (Received 22 August 2018; published 28 December 2018) modes of the cavity. 10⁻¹⁰ 10-11 ling g_{an} С В Run Α 10⁻¹² Timeline May 24–June 11 Aug 9–Oct 4 Feb 27–April 9 ð 2017 2016 2017 Mode TM_{010} TM_{010} TM_{020} 10-13 17.4 17.45 17.5 17.35 17.55 17.6 29.65 29.7 29.75 29.8 Freq (MHz) 4202-4249 5086-5799 7173-7203 Axion Mass m_A (µeV) Axion Mass m_A (µeV) 10-10 Mass (µeV) 17.38-17.57 21.03-23.98 29.67-29.79 Usable 11 k32 k24 k 10-17 spectra 6 B field (T) 3.11 $0.78 (2.55^{a})$ 3.11 8 Form factor 0.49 0.44-0.61 0.04-0.046 10'12 ð 6.2 k 2.2 k2.3 k Q_L $T_{\rm sys}$ (K) 7.0 ± 0.3 7.0 ± 0.3 7.1 ± 0.3 10-13 22 20 21 23 24 25 Axion Mass m_A (µeV) В ADMX С 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 Axion Mass m_A (µeV)

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



The Sidecar Cavity



The Cheese Block – A copper plated stainless steel cavity. With piezo actuators mounted directly to the cavity. A large thermal ballast prevents temperature changes due to actuator stepping.

The Coke Can – Same cavity was used with a copper outer structure to ensure good thermal conduction. No thermal ballast needed as piezo actuators moving to the 1k stage. Change in piezo supplier lead to new mounts.



The Clamshell – A new cavity design comprising two half-shells formed from solid copper. Without the worry about thermal ballast or conduction the cavity has been enlarged to fill the usable space.



TWPA

Sidecar has run with a Low Noise Factory HEMT amplifier giving a noise temperature of 4 ± 0.3 K. To make significant exclusions this needs to be reduced, a travelling wave parametric amplifier will be used in the next run.



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



Motion Control for 2019



The sidecar TWPA was included in the new Squidedal and will run through 2019.

Gain:

- 26dB at 7GHz
- 16.5dB at 4.5GHz

SNR improvement:

- 11dB(226mK) at 7GHz
- 12dB(159mK) at 4.5GHz

The reduction in noisse will lead to a significant speedup in scan speed.





- Modes other than the TM010 have none zero form factors.
- The TM020 has a form factor of ~0.1.
- Testing operation using the Sidecar cavity.
- Extends the scannable range to 6.4-7.2GHz





ADMX Sidecar Exclusion





ADMX Cavity Systems as we move up in frequency



Cavity from run 1a & 1b Two 2" diameter tuning rods 580 – 890 MHz







Cavity system for run 2a 4 cavity array Sapphire (1200 – 1500 MHz) Metal Rods (1500 – 2000 MHz)



ADMX Science Prospects (1-2 GHz)





Current status of 4-cavity array (to 2 GHz)

- 4 cavity array based on a common rotor
- All rods move at same time from edge to center
- Fine frequency tuning done by linear stages
- Piezoelectric drives for rotary and linear motion.
- Feedback locking scheme to ensure all cavities a the same frequency
- Aluminum prototype system constructed and tested at room temp at UFL





Prototype 4-cavity array



2A Cavity Array Overview







Current status of 4-cavity array (to 2 GHz)

- Prototype 4-cavity array in FNAL 4K test-stand for cryo-testing
- Main Cavity Tubes built by Florida. Copper plating started at LLNL. Delivery to FNAL in new year for full systems testing.





- Custom power-combiners
- Updated SQUIDADEL
- Circulator testing
- Testing of Berkeley JPAs





Further-out G2 ADMX Program: New Magnet

The axion signal power goes as V^2B^4 .

High-field (32 Tesla), smaller diameter. DFSZ sensitivity at high mass with many fewer parallel cavities, so much lower risk.

Design study by UF and FSU Magnet Lab Funded by Heising-Simons Foundation.

Option for 2-4 GHz phase

Lower cavity cost and risk, but magnet procurement cost \$5-10M.

In the tradeoffs of complexity, risk and cost, this may be very attractive.





 $0.9 \,\mathrm{m}$

Getting beyond the standard quantum limit

$T_N > T_{SQL}$	where $k_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	$T_{SQL} = hr$
v [GHz]	m _a [μeV]	T _{SQL} [mK]
0.5	2.1	24
5	20.7	240
20	82.8	960

The SQL can be evaded by:

- Squeezed-vacuum state receiver (e.g., LIGO)
 - HAYSTAC haloscope (Yale) testing now.
 - Single-photon detectors (e.g. qubits, bolometers)





Transmon Qubits

Transmon Qubit – single cooper pair box shunted with capacitor

Can tune the qubit frequency with flux through SQUID



Example of device fabricated at U. of Chicago with FNAL

- Heising-Simons funded R&D (Aaron Chou @ FNAL)





Potential Scan Rate Speedup

• Below are some estimates on relative to physical temperature for different frequencies

*Accelerating dark-matter axion searches with quantum measurement technology, arXiv:1607.02529v2, 19 July 2016

Aim for Shot noise limit Need at least 3 photons for detection

ADMX at 10 GHz produces ~ tens a minute.

If we can get heat loads on ADMX DR down to $\,<\,120\,\mu\text{W}$ temp can go below 50 mK

Rich R&D program has begun HEP-QIS grant FNAL, U. Chicago, UC Boulder & Yale.





ADMX Gen-2: 2019 operations and beyond

Search to extended from 780 – 1015 MHz (run 1C)

New JPA package

New Cavity Tuning Rods

Multicavity systems

- Axions add coherently
- Noise adds incoherently
- \sqrt{N} improvement

Large Magnet system ^{10⁻¹⁶} Squeezing / Single Photon Counting **Axions may be around the corner!**





Axions Bezsond Gen 2

A workshop to explore the landscape of QCD dark-matter axions

for 2021 and beyond

Date to be announced soon.

- Theory & phenomenological development of QCD dark-matter axions
- Astrophysical & laboratory searches
- Axions & cosmology



Workshop website: beyondgen2.npl.washington.edu Workshop Coordinator: Ida Boeckstiegel imboxx@uw.edu Local Organizing Committee: C. Boutan, G. Carosi, L. Rosenberg, G. Rybka, N. Woollett





Collaborating Institutions: UW, UFL, PNNL FNAL, UCB, LLNL LANL, NRAO, WU, Sheffield

The ADMX collaboration gratefully acknowledges support from the US Dept. of Energy, High Energy Physics DE-SC0011665 & DE-SC0010280 & DE-AC52-07NA27344

Also support from PNNL and LLNL LDRD programs and R&D support from the Heising-Simons institute.