



Organization of CMS and T2/T3 resources sharing at GRIF.

Christine Leroy (IRFU - CEA) Pascale Hennion (LLR - Ecole Polytechnique) Igor Semenjuk (LLR - Ecole Polytechnique) Andrea Sartirana (LLR - Ecole Polytechnique)



Tiers



- Data are collected from online, stored and reconstructed at T0
 - ✗ Information on existing data stored in central DBS at CERN;
- Data **Re-reco and filtered** in AOD at T1s
 - X according to Ph requests;
- **Data distribution** managed by **PhEDEx**.
 - ★ RAW/RECO from T0 to T1s;
 - ★ AODs (Analysis format) data among T1s;
 - ★ Data for analysis at T2s;
 - ★ MonteCarlo upload from T2 to T1;
- Analysis takes place at T2s and T3s
 - Resources for official analysis groups and local communities 16/10/2009





T2:"public" resources

- Comp. Technical Design Report: 0.9MSI2k, 200TB disk, 1Gb/s WAN;
- Resources for MC Production
 - **x 50% of computing** power devoted to simulation;
 - ★ ~20TB for MC data storage;
- Resources organized Analysis
 - ★ 40% of comp. power to supp. DPG/POG/PAG activity;
 - ★ ~30-50TB centrally managed (AnaOps)
 - Primary datasets/skims, global interest MC samples;
 - **X** ~30-50TB for each supp. analysis group
 - Importing data relevant for analysis;
 - Skims and private productions;
 - Resources local Analysis
 - x 10% of computing power can be reserved to
 local communities;
 - **X** 30-60TB storage devoted to local usage;
 - **× ~1TB** for each supported user.









T3:"private" resources

TIGE 3

- No requirements from Computing Model but fully embedded in CMS Computing
 - X Tier 3 do not play any official role and have no responsibilities;
 - ★ They are part of the CMS Computing System: they can have PhEDEx nodes, can be included in DBS, in the SAM/JobRobot infrastructures, etc;

Different in size and type

- ✗ Some are just fractions of a T2: i.e. everything which is above the 20-30% fraction devoted to local communities according to Computing Model (see prev. slide)
 - Prioritized/reserved usage of Comp resources;
 - Storage space;
- **X** Some are **independent resources**
 - Local institutes clusters;
 - Real full GRID sites;
- Resources for *local Analysis* groups
 - **X** Real requirements came from the local community
 - ★ All that is needed by the end-user to setup his/her analysis;
 - **X** A mean to **perform urgent tasks**;

Opportunistic MC resources.

In most cases: a mix of the two things.





CMS GRID FW

CMS builds its own **app layer** above the GRID MW:

- ★ Data Transfer and Placement Service: **PhEDEx**
 - Distribute data to sites selecting sources;
 - Interfaced with FTS;
 - Central brain and local agents at sites;
- ★ Data Bookkeeping and location: **DBS**
 - Global: all metadata of all official collaboration data;
 - Also DBS dedicated to analysis groups and to local communities;
- X Distributed Analysis and Prod tools: CRAB, ProdAgent
 - Integrated with DBS and PhEDEx;
 - Fit CMS "data driven" model: jobs go where data are;
- ★ Condition Database: frontier
 - Squid proxy at each site;
- CMS also has its own **support infrastructure**:
 - **X** Contacts at each site (this is part of the model)
 - Managing CMS specific apps;
 - Connecting sites with the central CMS teams;
 - **X** Savannah tickets with squads of experts
 - "Interfaced" with **GGUS**.



GRIF

irfu

saclay

112 cores;

250 cores;

1750 cores;

105 TB.

7 TB.

physique nucléaire

DE L'ACCÉLÉRATEUR

et des hautes énergies

43 TB.

rsau

395 cores;

40 TB.

institut de

- "Univers

580 cores;

450 TB.

lecherche sur les lois

fondamentales de

350 cores;

254 TB.



- **6 sites confederated** in a single GRID T2
 - **×** ~3500 cores;
 - ★ ~900 TB DPM disk storage;
 - ★ GRID services: CE, SRM,BDII,LFC, etc;

In a nutshell

- **× >16 VO's** supported: LHC, ILC, etc;

Redundancy and load sharing

X Replication of services leading to **high availability**;

e de Recherche

d'Ile de France.

6666

- **X** Shared configuration (quattor);
- **X** Shared manpower for support/administration.



GRIF

CMS@GRIF









- 4 GRIF sub-sites support CMS. Grouped in 2 full CMS T2 sites
 - ★ From SiteDB: 320 pledged slots (800 kSI2K). 180TB disk;
- Adapt the GRIF multisite layout to the CMS "data driven" computing model
 - × 2 T2 sites with a **single SE per site**;
- 3 CMS analysis groups supported: Higgs, E-gamma, Exotica
 X T2_FR_GRIF_IRFU: exotica + AnaOps managed storage;
 X T2_FR_GRIF_LLR : Higgs + E-gamma;
- 2 squid/frontier servers. One for each site with possibility of intersite failover
 - X Very stable service, almost no need of management;
- One Phene node for each site (SE).
 - ★ Sharing configuration and managed in an completely cooperative way;





- Some difficulties brought by the multi-site setup
 - ★ Still seeking for consistency in the dashboard/SAM infrastructure;
 - ★ Some problems multiplied: 2 SE and 4 CE with different configuration/environment, 2 PhEDEx nodes with different performances, 4 sw areas, etc...;
- Profiting of redundancy/cooperation: High availability and reliability
 - **X** High availability ranking for both WLCG and CMS monitoring;



Site Availability, 2009-01-01 - 2009-10-13





Rich ongoing CMS activity

- ✗ Up to 30-80kjobs/month;
- ★ Data stored for supported groups analysis
- ★ ~30TB User data stored in DPM.

T2_FR_GRIF_LLR Group Usage

.

CTATCA

			Group	5
analysis	jobs per activity		DataOps	8
analysis-			e-gamma_e	cal 4
jobrobot -			ewk	7.
production	30k		exotica	1
cleanup-		LLR	higgs	1
unknown				
logcollect			ungger	20
200	00 30000 4000 jobs per activity	0 50000 600	oundefined	39
analysis	Jobs per activity			6
analysis-			T2_FR_C	GRIF_
cleanup	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	l8k IRFU	Group	S
unknown			AnalysisO	ps 1
logcollect	0000 12500 15000 17500	20000 22500 25000 27500	exotica	2
	number of jobs		undefined	2.
submitted ap	cancelled	app-unknown pending runnir	g	2

	Group	Subscribed	Resident
_	DataOps	853.60 GB	853.60 GB
	e-gamma_ecal	48.57 TB	48.50 TB
_	ewk	7.07 GB	7.07 GB
	exotica	1004.51 MB	1004.51 MB
-	higgs	18.17 TB	18.17 TB
_	trigger	1.76 TB	1.76 TB
-	undefined	399.27 GB	326.38 GB
		69.73 TB	69.59 TB

T2_FR_GRIF_IRFU Group Usage

Group	Subscribed	Resident
AnalysisOps	17.86 TB	17.47 TB
exotica	2.74 TB	2.74 TB
undefined	2.91 TB	2.91 TB
	23.51 TB	23.13 TB







Link commissioning: T1s and France







Link commissioning: Analysis Group T2's







First complete data-taking scale test of chaotic analysis

- * nearly all users in the game for the first time;
- ★ Group's organization and association to sites finally defined and settled

Important new functionality/requirements added and tested

- X Inter CMS sharing tested (new priorityuser role);
- X New protocol for analysis results (and private production) placement and publication.





LLR CMS Mon



- Web page where user can *monitor CMS activity* at T2_FR_GRIF_LLR:
 - ★ Focused on local information not included in the CMS Mon infrastructure;
 - ★ Useful both on admins and users side.







Tier 3's are a "private" non-GRIF fraction of the sub-sites

- **X CMS Physicists communities** at LLR and IRFU decided to devote part of the T2 resources to support local activity;
- ★ Nominal fraction: LLR ~20% IRFU ~20%
- Tools/services for fulfilling the needs of local Physicists in performing their analysis
 - **X** Interactive Usage: UI's, Proof Clusters, etc;
 - **X** Local batch cluster;
 - **X** Prioritized access to the T2 farm and resources;
 - **X** Fast Access to T2 data;
 - X Management of user data;
- **Embedding into the GRIF** T2 environment
 - ★ Exploit as much as possible the services, the support and the configuration sharing of GRIF
 - ★ Put up dedicated resources for all the services which cannot be embedded into the T2;
 - ★ Clear deals on the sharing of computing and human resources between T2 and T3





Interactive Usage:

- The T2/T3 site has 1 UI at disposal of the local analysts;
- * Tar.gz UI version up to date (rsync) with the CMS sw;

* UIs cluster configured for parallel interactive usage:

- Frontal machine distributing applications;
- 22 8-cores node-machines (16GB ram);
- Dedicated GPFS storage (5TB). No dpm rfio access;
- Proof cluster (tested and showed factor 10 improved performance in analysis tasks);

Prioritized access to the T2 farm resources

Currently ~20% fraction of resources (fairshare implementation);
 Matched certificates with `IRFU' in the DN and mapped to higher priority user(s);

Storage management for the user data

Need to *implement quotas* for the local user into DPM storage: *space tokens* (not used by CMS at the moment);





Interactive Usage:

TITIE

- S UIS (2 SL4 + 1 SL5): single login, shared homes (quotas enabled), sw area mounted, NFS data area mounted
- CERN Virtual UI: under testing by some users;

To Do

- X Cluster-ize the Uis to assure load balancing;
- X Proof cluster (still thinking and waiting for feedback by other's
 experience);

Local Batch System:

- Made for short turnaround testing of full jobs in a grid-like controlled environment;
- Dedicated torque/maui scheduler (Not a grid CE);
- Pool of UI nodes: share the same users, homes and data area of the interactive UIs;
- At the moment: 2 nodes (2 sl4 slots and 8 sl5 slots). Easy to increase;







…Local Batch System:

- Simple **batch submission from** the interactive **UIs**;
- Login on the worker nodes is possible with the same accounts as interactive UIs;
- First usage by some "test user" during the Oct'09 Exe;

To Do

- X Post-mortem of Oct `09 Exe. Collect user feedback: add new functionalities and increase functionality;
- X Would like to use crab submission: development needed;

Prioritized access to T2 farm:

- Currently ~20% of the T2 resources (as fairshare fraction);
- Prioritized queue for the VO vo.llr.in2p3.fr (LLR local users);
- New /cms/frcms VOMS group (admin: C.Charlot), which will be mapped into high priority user in order to guarantee fast access to CMS France community;





…Prioritized access to T2 farm:

Submission with the prioritized groups/VO's is straightforward with the standard CMS tools (i.e. CRAB).

To Do

- X Fix few technical problems with frcms at GRIF configuration
 level;
- **Fairshare** still need **to be tuned**. In particular wrt other prioritized cms roles like lcg-admin, production, priorityuser, etc.

Storage Access/Management:

- All T3 devices can **access to all data on DPM** T2 storage;
- * Locally mounted data area on UI and on the T3 local cluster;
- CMS *FileMover*;





…Storage Access/Management:

To Do

- **X** Backup on tape at Lyon of the user-produced data on DPM (these are not replicated): still checking feasability;
- Local CMS Data Bookkeeping Service: needed for locally publish and share user produced data;
- X Study a way to guarantee the local users a **prioritized access to** DPM data.







• Longstanding and fruitful experience at GRIF in supporting CMS Tier 2 activity:

X Management of CMS specific services: PhEDEx, squid/frontier;

- **x** Supporting **analysis and production activity** and data management:
 - High reliability;
 - Important and stable activity, also pushed by very active local communities;
- ✗ Ongoing integration of the multi-site setup into the CMS framework:
 - 2+2 site config works fine;
 - Still some inconsistencies: downtimes accounting, etc...;
- ✗ Important feedback from Oct'09 Exe:
 - Good crash test;
 - Final setup of some functionalities in sight of Data Taking;
- Process of deployment and exploiting of Tier 3 resources has started:
 - X LLR and IRFU already setup the big part of T3 services
 - X Already tested and proven to be useful during Oct'09 Exe
 - Waiting for feedback to improve resources and add new functionalities;