Astrophysical implications of current O3 results

Tito Dal Canton, IJCLab

Troisième assemblée générale du GdR Ondes Gravitationnelles 14 Oct 2020



Groupement de recherche Ondes gravitationnelles



O1-O2 results

- Ten BBH mergers • BBH merger rate
- A BNS merger
 - BNS merger rate
 - EoS results
 - Short-GRB engine
 - Kilonova
 - \circ H_0 measurement
- Non-detections: NSBH, IMBH...
 - Limits on merger rate

10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031040 (Abbott+ PRX 2019) 10.3847/2041-8213/ab3800 (Abbott+ ApJL 2019)







O1-O2 results: BH mass distribution



O1-O2 results: BH mass gaps?



O1-O2 results: BH spins



q

GW190412



Comparison with O1+O2 population

- Not a strong outlier
- Large effect on the BBH mass-ratio distribution

What formed such a binary?

- Many channels predict comparable masses
- Evolution of an isolated binary
 - Maybe in tension with GW190412's spins
- Remnants of previous mergers in clusters
- Galactic triple or quadruple systems
- Evolution in an AGN disk







No compelling EM counterpart (but see Pozanenko+ 2019, with caveats) Possible interpretations and formation channels:

- BNS from standard isolated binary evolution
 - Requires ultra-tight orbits or low-metallicity progenitor stars
- BNS from dynamical encounter in a cluster
 - Rate of dynamically-formed BNS is debated
- Gravitationally lensed BNS merger
 - Unlikely based on lensing optical depth
- BBH merger
 - BHs in the NS-BH mass gap?
 - Primordial BHs?

BNS rate updated: 250–2810 Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹



- 1. Intermediate-mass BHs can form via mergers of smaller BHs
- 2. Primary mass in tension with the population inferred from O1 and O2
- 3. BHs with mass in the pair instability gap merge at a non-negligible rate
- 4. *Maybe* large spins and/or eccentricity (e.g. Romero-Shaw+ ApJL 2020)

How can such BHs (and GW190521) form?

- Previous BH mergers in stellar clusters
 - Depends on 1g BH properties in the cluster
- Stellar mergers (avoids pair instability)
 - More common than hierarchical mergers
 - Assumptions about H envelope, stellar rotation...
- AGN disks (gas accretion, torque, high escape velocity)
 - ZTF optical candidate

• All ~compatible with GW190521

 $R = 0.13^{+0.30}_{-0.11} \,\mathrm{Gpc}^{-3} \,\mathrm{vr}^{-1}$

• Large uncertainties

GW190521 and ZTF19abanrhr





confidently associate the binary black hole merger GW190521 with AGN J124942.3+344929"

"Current observations are insufficient to

We predict a similar repeat flare in this source when the kicked BBH reencounters the disk on timescale 1.6 yr $(M_{\text{SMBH}}/10^8 M_{\odot})(a/10^3 r_a)^{3/2}$.



RK IN PROGRE

Abbott+ 2020 ApJ - 10.3847/2041-8213/ab960f

GW190814



What is it?

- 1. The lightest BH in a CB yet
 - Most plausible scenario considering max NS mass estimates
- 2. The heaviest NS in a CB yet
 - Could imply rapid rotation
 - Leads to a "stiffer" EoS (bigger NSs)



- Constraints from EM nondetection (using preliminary estimates)
- 3. A more exotic object

Challenge to all formation channels

- Isolated binary evolution
 - Models may require revisions
- Dynamical origin
 - Globular cluster models may require revisions
 - Young star cluster more likely
- Hierarchical triple or quadruple systems
- AGN disks

Rate density of similar systems 7^{+16}_{-6} Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹

Best localized dark siren $\rightarrow H_0$ estimate



 $\begin{array}{ll} 75^{+59}_{-13} \ km \ s^{-1} \ Mpc^{-1} & \mbox{GW190814 alone} \\ 70^{+17}_{-8} \ km \ s^{-1} \ Mpc^{-1} & \mbox{GW170817+GW190814} \end{array}$

Not yet enough to resolve the tension

Conclusion

- Four interesting events from O3
 - Formation models must deal with unequal-mass mergers
 - Intermediate-mass BHs as merger remnants
 - High-mass NSs and/or low-mass BHs
 - Possibly the first NSBH merger
- How deep are the NS-BH and PI mass gaps?
- Do NS and BH masses overlap?
- 56 public alerts during O3
- Alf-O3 results to appear soon, then full-O3
 - Catalog of compact binary mergers
 - Updated inferences on merger rates, mass spectrum, spin distribution, cosmology...

