

# PSA uncertainties estimation via bootstrap technique

#### Marco Siciliano Irfu/CEA, Université de Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France



2<sup>nd</sup> OASIS workshop Home, 29 April 2020



#### BOOTSTRAP



The **bootstrap** is a statistical technique **based** on **resampling** used to estimate statistical properties (e.g. average, standard deviation, etc.) of a population, when the statistical distribution trend is unknown.

It can be used for constructing hypothesis tests, in particular when parametric inference is impossible or requires complicated formulas for the calculation of standard errors.

Estimate some properties of AGATA Pulse-Shape Analysis

- Simplicity
- Verify stability of the results
- Asymptotic convergence of the estimators
- X Large resampling iterations to guarantee convergence
- × Resources demanding

# **BOOTSTRAP WITH AGATA**





M. Siciliano – PSA uncertainties estimation via bootstrap technique

# **RESULTS PSA error vs Geometry**

4

3

0

5

4

2

1

0

[**mm**] Z∆

∆X [mm]



Along the X and Y directions the uncertainty is almost constant  $(\sigma \sim 3mm)$ 

Along the Z direction the uncertainty increases with the crystal depth

- Increasing size of the segments
- Higher-energy γ rays reach the bottom of the detector

M. Siciliano – PSA uncertainties estimation via bootstrap technique

#### **RESULTS PSA error vs Fire**



For fire=1 the bootstrap position is always equal to the original one ➤ The FoM is very deep

and the bootstraprelated fluctuations are negligible

The uncertainty increases with the number of firing segments within the same crystal

PSA compares the onehit signals-database with experimental overlapping signals

M. Siciliano – PSA uncertainties estimation via bootstrap technique

# **RESULTS PSA error vs GridSearch**



 The uncertainty of the "Full" grid search is 10% lower than the "Adaptive" mode

\* "Full" grid search takes
10 times much more
time than "Adaptive"

# **RESULTS PSA error vs Energy**





"Overall" uncertainty is define as the standard deviation of the quadratic sum the different distributions.

- Similar trend of the estimation of Soderstrom
- Position uncertainty is systematically ~1.1mm smaller than Soderstrom estimation



M. Siciliano – PSA uncertainties estimation via bootstrap technique

#### Home 29 April 2020

#### **RESULTS PSA error vs Position**





M. Siciliano – PSA uncertainties estimation via bootstrap technique

### **RESULTS PSA error vs Position**

Position-dependent dZ distribution (left st.dev.) at 64keV



Position-dependent dZ distribution (left st.dev.) at 1024keV



M. Siciliano – PSA uncertainties estimation via bootstrap technique

Position-dependent dZ distribution (right st.dev.) at 64keV







#### RESULTS **PSA error vs Distance-to-the-edge** 10<sup>0</sup> 40 Distance = 2 mm20 [**mm**] 20 √ -20 Distance = 10 mm $\sigma_1 = 0.65, \sigma_2 = 5.0 - - -$ **Counts Counts Co** $\sigma_1 = 0.65, \sigma_2 = 4.0, \sigma_3 = 12.0 - - -$ -40 0 10 **Distance** [mm] 10<sup>-4</sup> -20 -40 20 40 0 $\Delta$ [mm]

- Accumulation points on the edge of the segments
- Large  $\Delta$ =0 bin for the inner segments positions: the bootstrap-related fluctuations are similar to the noise, so PSA interprets the traces in the same way
- For the points on the edge of the segments, the uncertainty has an additional "long-range" contribution: in addition to boundary issues, the traces rapidly change so small differences on the traces can lead to large position variation