

Testing cosmic ray composition models with very large volume neutrino telescopes

Luigi Antonio Fusco¹⁺, Federico Versari²

¹ CPPM, Marseille ² INFN, Bologna ⁺fusco@cppm.in2p3.fr

References

[1] A. Fedynitch et al.,EPJ WoC **99**: 08001 (2015). [5] T. Pierog et al., Phys. Rev. C **92**: 034906 (2015). [2] T.K. Gaisser et al., arXiv:1303.3565 (2013). [6] J. Stettner, PoS **ICRC2019**: 1017 (2019). [3] P. Heix et al., PoS **ICRC2019**: 465 (2019). [7] A. Albert et al., ApJ Lett. **853**: L7 (2018).

 E_{est} [GeV]

[4] F. Riehn et al., PoS **ICRC2017**: 301 (2017).

Figure 1. Muon neutrino fluxes for three different zenith angles according to the same SYBYLL 2.3c hadronic interaction model, changing the CR composition fit [ref. 2].

Figure 2. Muon neutrino flux ratios as a function of zenith and energy for different CR composition models (top) and hadronic interaction models (bottom) [ref.4 and 5]

Figure 5. Muon neutrino smeared event rates ratios for different CR composition models assuming a 0.5 logE resolution and no systematic shift (top) or 0.1 logE

systematic shift (bottom).

Figure 4. Log-Likelihood ratios for the two CR composition assumptions assuming 10yr of IceCube-equivalent data-taking with energy resolution equal to 0.25 in logE and no systematic shift.

The atmospheric neutrino flux also is influenced by how the **hadronic interactions** can be modeled **[fig.2]**, especially at the first interactions as particles showers down the atmosphere. Other secondary effects, such as the atmospheric temperature and density profile, can also be affecting the measurements **[ref. 3]**. different models.

Atmospheric neutrino fluxes are influenced by the composition of the Cosmic Ray (CR) primary flux, at energies above a few TeV and up to the highest energies. These differences can be zenith dependent given the different interaction lengths of nuclei in the atmosphere **[fig.1]**.

MCEq [ref. 1] allows to quickly produce differential lepton fluxes according to

Figure 3. Muon neutrino effective area for the IceCube [ref.6] and ANTARES detectors [ref. 7]

Large volume neutrino telescopes allow to collect a large statistics of neutrino events thanks to their large effective areas **[fig. 3]**. The detector response in energy can be modeled according to the expected energy resolution – here assumed to be Gaussian with a possible systematic shift. The neutrino flux, convoluted with the effective area and the energy response, produces the expected event rates at the detector.

A binned **Maximum Likelihood test [fig. 4]** has be applied on simulated pseudo-data sets equivalent to 10 years IceCube **[fig. 5]**. We find that a **~2**s separation between CR composition models can be achieved, and further improvements can be obtained boosting the energy reconstruction performance, as expected in the next generation neutrino telescopes.