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#### Abstract

We present the lepton flavor model with $\Delta(54)$. Our model reproduces the tri-bimaximal mixing in the parameter region around degenerate neutrino masses or two massless neutrinos. We also study SUSY breaking terms in the slepton sector. Three families of left-handed and right-handed slepton masses are almost degenerate. Our model leads to smaller values of flavor changing neutral currents than the present experimental bounds.


## 1 Introduction

Recent experimental data of the neutrino oscillation indicate the tri-bimaximal form ${ }^{1}$ of mixing angles in the lepton sector within a good accuracy ${ }^{2}$. Thus, it is a promising step to study how to realize the tri-bimaximal mixing matrix, in order to understand the origin of the lepton flavor. Many authors have been attempting it by using various scenarios. Non-Abelian discrete flavor symmetries can provide a natural guidance to constrain many free parameters in the Yukawa sector. Actually, several types of models with various non-Abelian discrete flavor symmetries have been proposed, such as $S_{3}, D_{4}, Q_{4}, Q_{6}, A_{4}, T^{\prime}, S_{4}, \Delta(27)$. In addition to the above (rather) bottom-up motivation, we also have a top-down motivation. Certain classes of nonAbelian flavor symmetries can be derived from superstring theories. For example, $D_{4}$ and $\Delta(54)$ flavor symmetries can be obtained in heterotic orbifold models ${ }^{3}$. In addition to these flavor symmetries, the $\Delta(27)$ flavor symmetry can be derived from magnetized/intersecting D-brane models ${ }^{4}$. Thus, it is quite important to study phenomenological aspects of these non-Abelian flavor symmetries.

Here, we focus on the $\Delta(54)$ discrete symmetry ${ }^{5,6}$. Although it includes several interesting aspects, few authors have considered up to now. The first aspect is that it consists of two types of $Z_{3}$ subgroups and an $S_{3}$ subgroup. The $S_{3}$ group is known as the minimal non-Abelian discrete symmetry, and the semi-direct product structure of $\Delta(54)$ between $Z_{3}$ and $S_{3}$ induces triplet irreducible representations. That suggests that the $\Delta(54)$ symmetry could lead to interesting models.

## $2 \Delta(54)$ flavor model for leptons

The group $\Delta(54)$ has irreducible representations $1_{1}, 1_{2}, 2_{1}, 2_{2}, 2_{3}, 2_{4}, 3_{1}^{(1)}, 3_{1}^{(2)}, 3_{2}^{(1)}$, and $3_{2}^{(2)}$. There are four triplets and products of $3_{1}^{(1)} \times 3_{1}^{(2)}$ and $3_{2}^{(1)} \times 3_{2}^{(2)}$ lead to the trivial singlet. The relevant multiplication rules are shown in ${ }^{5}$.

We present the model of the lepton flavor with the $\Delta(54)$ group. The triplet representations of the group correspond to the three generations of leptons. The left-handed leptons $\left(l_{e}, l_{\mu}, l_{\tau}\right)$,

|  | $\left(l_{e}, l_{\mu}, l_{\tau}\right)$ | $\left(e^{c}, \mu^{c}, \tau^{c}\right)$ | $\left(N_{e}^{c}, N_{\mu}^{c}, N_{\tau}^{c}\right)$ | $h_{u(d)}$ | $\chi_{1}$ | $\left(\chi_{2}, \chi_{3}\right)$ | $\left(\chi_{4}, \chi_{5}, \chi_{6}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta(54)$ | $3_{1}^{(1)}$ | $3_{2}^{(2)}$ | $3_{1}^{(2)}$ | $1_{1}$ | $1_{2}$ | $2_{1}$ | $3_{1}^{(2)}$ |

Table 1: Assignments of $\Delta(54)$ representations
the right-handed charged leptons $\left(e^{c}, \mu^{c}, \tau^{c}\right)$ and the right-handed neutrinos $\left(N_{e}^{c}, N_{\mu}^{c}, N_{\tau}^{c}\right)$ are assigned to $3_{1}^{(1)}, 3_{2}^{(2)}$, and $3_{1}^{(2)}$, respectively. New scalars are supposed to be $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ gauge singlets. $\chi_{1},\left(\chi_{2}, \chi_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\chi_{4}, \chi_{5}, \chi_{6}\right)$ are assigned to $1_{2}, 2_{1}$, and $3_{1}^{(2)}$ of the $\Delta(54)$ representations, respectively. The particle assignments of $\Delta(54)$ are summarized in Table 1. The usual Higgs doublets $h_{u}$ and $h_{d}$ are assigned to the trivial singlet $1_{1}$ of $\Delta(54)$.

We assume that the scalar fields, $h_{u, d}$ and $\chi_{i}$, develop their vacuum expectation values (VEVs) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle h_{u}\right\rangle=v_{u},\left\langle h_{d}\right\rangle=v_{d}, \quad\left\langle\chi_{i}\right\rangle=\alpha_{i} \Lambda, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1, \cdots 6$ and $\Lambda$ is the cutoff scale. We obtain the diagonal matrix for charged leptons

$$
M_{l}=y_{1}^{l} v_{d}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{2}\\
0 & \alpha_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{1}
\end{array}\right)+y_{2}^{l} v_{d}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\omega \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \omega^{2} \alpha_{2}-\omega^{2} \alpha_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{2}-\omega \alpha_{3}
\end{array}\right),
$$

By using the seesaw mechanism $M_{\nu}=M_{D}^{T} M_{N}^{-1} M_{D}$, the neutrino mass matrix can be obtained. In our model, the lepton mixing comes from the structure of the neutrino mass matrix. In order to reproduce the maximal mixing between $\nu_{\mu}$ and $\nu_{\tau}$, we take $\alpha_{5}=\alpha_{6}$, and then we have

$$
M_{\nu}=\frac{y_{D}^{2} v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda d}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
y_{1}^{2} \alpha_{5}^{2}-y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{4}^{2} & -y_{1} y_{2} \alpha_{5}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5} & -y_{1} y_{2} \alpha_{5}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}  \tag{3}\\
-y_{1} y_{2} \alpha_{5}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5} & y_{1}^{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}-y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{5}^{2} & -y_{1} y_{2} \alpha_{4}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{5}^{2} \\
-y_{1} y_{2} \alpha_{5}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5} & -y_{1} y_{2} \alpha_{4}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{5}^{2} & y_{1}^{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}-y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{5}^{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $d=y_{1}^{3} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}-y_{1} y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{4}^{3}-y_{1} y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{5}^{3}-y_{1} y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{6}^{3}+2 y_{2}^{3} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}$. From now on, we denote $y_{D}$ as Yukawa coupling of Dirac neutrino and $y_{1}, y_{2}$ of Majorana neutrino. Above mass matrix indicates $\theta_{23}=45^{\circ}, \theta_{13}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{12}=\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{2 \sqrt{2} y_{2} \alpha_{5}}{y_{1} \alpha_{5}+y_{2} \alpha_{4}-y_{1} \alpha_{4}} \quad\left(y_{2} \alpha_{4} \neq y_{1} \alpha_{5}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neutrino masses are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{1} & =\frac{y_{D}^{2} v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda d}\left[y_{1}^{2} \alpha_{5}^{2}-y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{4}^{2}-\sqrt{2}\left(-y_{1} y_{2} \alpha_{5}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}\right) \tan \theta_{12}\right], \\
m_{2} & =\frac{y_{D}^{2} v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda d}\left[y_{1}^{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}-y_{1} y_{2} \alpha_{4}^{2}+\sqrt{2}\left(-y_{1} y_{2} \alpha_{5}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}\right) \tan \theta_{12}\right], \\
m_{3} & =\frac{y_{D}^{2} v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda d}\left[y_{1}^{2} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}+y_{1} y_{2} \alpha_{4}^{2}-2 y_{2}^{2} \alpha_{5}^{2}\right], \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

which are reconciled with the normal hierarchy of neutrino masses in the case of $y_{1} \alpha_{5} \simeq y_{2} \alpha_{4}$.
Now, we can estimate magnitudes of $\alpha_{i}(i=4,5,6)$ by using Eq.(5) and assuming $\alpha_{4} \simeq \alpha_{5}=$ $\alpha_{6}$. If we take all Yukawa couplings to be order one, Eq.(5) turns to be $v_{u}^{2} \sim \Lambda \alpha_{4} m_{3}$ because of $d \sim \alpha_{4}^{3}$. Putting $v_{u} \simeq 165 \mathrm{GeV}(\tan \beta=3), m_{3} \simeq \sqrt{\Delta m_{\mathrm{atm}}^{2}} \simeq 0.05 \mathrm{eV}$, and $\Lambda=2.43 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{GeV}$, we obtain $\alpha_{4}=\mathcal{O}\left(10^{-4}-10^{-3}\right)$. Thus, values of $\alpha_{i}(i=4,5,6)$ are enough suppressed to discuss perturbative series of higher mass operators.

## 3 Numerical result

We show our numerical analysis of neutrino masses and mixing angles in the normal mass hierarchy. Neglecting higher order corrections of mass matrices, we obtain the allowed region of parameters and predictions of neutrino masses and mixing angles. Here, we neglect the renormalization effect of the neutrino mass matrix because we suppose the normal hierarchy of neutrino masses and take $\tan \beta=3$.

Input data of masses and mixing angles are taken in the region of $3 \sigma$ of the experimental data ${ }^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta m_{\mathrm{atm}}^{2}=(2.07 \sim 2.75) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}^{2}, \quad \Delta m_{\mathrm{sol}}^{2}=(7.05 \sim 8.34) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}^{2}, \\
& \sin ^{2} \theta_{\mathrm{atm}}=0.36 \sim 0.67, \quad \sin ^{2} \theta_{\text {sol }}=0.25 \sim 0.37, \quad \sin ^{2} \theta_{\text {reactor }} \leq 0.056 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\Lambda=2.43 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{GeV}$ is taken. We fix $y_{D}=y_{1}=1$ as a convention, and vary $y_{2} / y_{1}$. The change of $y_{D}$ and $y_{1}$ is absorbed into the change of $\alpha_{i}(i=4,5,6)$. If we take a smaller value of $y_{1}$, values of $\alpha_{i}$ scale up. On the other hand, if we take a smaller value of $y_{D}$, the magnitude of $\alpha_{i}$ scale down. As expected in the discussion of previous section, the experimentally allowed values are reproduced around $\alpha_{4}=\alpha_{5}=\alpha_{6}$.

We can predict the deviation from the tri-bimaximal mixing. The remarkable prediction is given in the magnitude of $\sin ^{2} \theta_{13}$. In Figures 1 (a) and (b), we plot the allowed region of mixing angles in planes of $\sin ^{2} \theta_{12}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{13}$ and $\sin ^{2} \theta_{23}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{13}$, respectively. It is found that the upper bound of $\sin ^{2} \theta_{13}$ is 0.01 . It is also found the strong correlation between $\sin ^{2} \theta_{23}$ and $\sin ^{2} \theta_{13}$. Unless $\theta_{23}$ is deviated from the maximal mixing considerably, $\theta_{13}$ remains to be tiny. This is a testable relation in this model.


Figure 1: Prediction of the upper bound of $\sin ^{2} \theta_{13}$ on (a) $\sin ^{2} \theta_{12}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{13}$ and (b) $\sin ^{2} \theta_{23}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{13}$ planes.

## 4 SUSY breaking terms

In this section, we study SUSY breaking terms, which are predicted in our $\Delta(54)$ model. We consider the gravity mediation within the framework of supergravity theory. Let us study soft scalar masses. Within the framework of supergravity theory, soft scalar mass squared is obtained as ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\bar{I} J}^{2} K_{\bar{I} J}=m_{3 / 2}^{2} K_{\bar{I} J}+\left|F^{\Phi_{k}}\right|^{2} \partial_{\Phi_{k}} \partial_{\bar{\Phi}_{k}} K_{\bar{I} J}-\left|F^{\Phi_{k}}\right|^{2} \partial_{\bar{\Phi}_{k}} K_{\bar{I} L} \partial_{\Phi_{k}} K_{\bar{M} J} K^{L \bar{M}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ denotes the Kähler potential, $K_{\bar{I} J}$ denotes second derivatives by fields, i.e. $K_{\bar{I} J}=$ $\partial_{\bar{I}} \partial_{J} K$ and $K^{\bar{I} J}$ is its inverse. The invariance under the $\Delta(54)$ flavor symmetry as well as the gauge invariance requires the following form of the Kähler potential of $l_{I}$ and $e_{I}(I=e, \mu, \tau)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=Z^{(L)}(Z) \sum_{I=e, \mu, \tau}\left|l_{I}\right|^{2}+Z^{(R)}(Z) \sum_{I=e, \mu, \tau}\left|e_{I}\right|^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the lowest level, where $Z^{(L)}(Z)$ and $Z^{(R)}(Z)$ are arbitrary functions of the singlet fields $Z$. Both matrices are proportional to the $(3 \times 3)$ identity matrix. This form would be obvious because $\left(l_{e}, l_{\mu}, l_{\tau}\right)$ and $\left(e^{c}, \mu^{c}, \tau^{c}\right)$ are $\Delta(54)$ triplets. At any rate, it is the prediction of our model that three families of left-handed and right-handed masses are degenerate.

Let us estimate corrections including $\chi_{i} \chi_{k}$ as well as $\chi_{i} \chi_{k}^{*}$ for $i, k=1,2,3,4,5,6$. The $\Delta(54)$ flavor symmetric invariance allows only the terms such as $\chi_{i} \chi_{k}^{*}$ for $i, k=4,5,6$ to appear in off-diagonal entries of the Kähler metric of $\left(l_{e}, l_{\mu}, l_{\tau}\right)$. When we take into account the corrections from $\chi_{i} \chi_{k}^{*}$ for $i, k=4,5,6$ to the Kähler potential, the soft scalar masses squared for left-handed charged sleptons have the following corrections,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(m_{\tilde{L}}^{2}\right)_{I J}=m_{L}^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{\alpha}^{2}\right) & \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) & \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) \\
\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) & 1+\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{\alpha}^{2}\right) & \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) \\
\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) & \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) & 1+\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{\alpha}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right), \\
& \left(m_{\tilde{R}}^{2}\right)_{I J}=m_{R}^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{\alpha}^{2}\right) & \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) & \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) \\
\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) & 1+\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{\alpha}^{2}\right) & \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) \\
\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) & \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) & 1+\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{\alpha}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right), \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the averaged value of $\alpha_{1-6}$. These deviations may not be important for direct measurement of slepton masses. However, the off-diagonal entries in the SCKM basis are constrained by the FCNC experiments. Our model predicts

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{L L}\right)_{12}=\frac{\left.\left(m_{L}^{2}\right)_{12}^{(S C K M}\right)}{\left(m_{L}^{2}\right)_{11}}=\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right), \quad\left(\Delta_{R R}\right)_{12}=\frac{\left(m_{R}^{2}\right)_{12}^{(S C K M)}}{\left(m_{R}^{2}\right)_{11}}=\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{4}^{2}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the diagonalizing matrices of left-handed and right-handed fermions are almost the identity matrix. The $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ experiments constrain these values as $\left(\Delta_{L L, R R}\right)_{12} \leq \mathcal{O}\left(10^{-3}\right)$, when $m_{L, R}=100 \mathrm{GeV}$. On the other hand, the parameter space in the numerical result corresponds to $\alpha_{4} \leq 10^{-2}$ and leads to $\left(\Delta_{L L, R R}\right)_{12} \leq \mathcal{O}\left(10^{-4}\right)$. Thus, our parameter region would be favorable also from the viewpoint of the FCNC constraints.
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