# Asymmetry in flavour changing electromagnetic transitions of vector-like quarks 

Shyam Balaji<br>Le Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Énergies sbalaji@lpthe.jussieu.fr

November 23, 2021

## Introduction

- Vector-like quarks (VLQs) have been of interest for a plethora of experimentally motivated reasons
- VLQs have come under increased investigation due to appreciably improving global fits to several flavour physics and precision EW measurements.
- They naturally arise near the EW scale in many new physics models: minimal super-symmetric model, Left-Right symmetry models, top colour assisted technicolour and two Higgs doublets with four generations of quarks


## Vector-like quarks

- Simplest VLQ: isosinglet with both left- and right-handed components transforming as singlets under $S U(2)_{L}$ with $I_{3}=0$ that can either be up- ( $t^{\prime}$ ) or down-type ( $b^{\prime}$ ).


- Indirect signals of VLQs can be considered due to the loop-level contributions of the exotic quarks in SM particle processes.
- Precision flavour measurements place strong limits on the new heavy quarks and set the lowest mass scale and maximum mixing for these states.


## Vector-like quark radiative decays

- The addition of VLQ singlets breaks the unitarity of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix and enables tree-level flavour changing neutral (FCN) vertices.
- The resulting radiative flavour changing decays of these particles through the electromagnetic transition dipole moment are a key means study their properties and search for them at the LHC etc.
- Interested in computing the size and the $C P$-violation induced these decays
- Based on Ref. [1].


## $C P$-violation in the quark sector



Electromagnetic dipole moments (EDMs) generated at various loop levels.
Circular polarisation of photons potentially provides a crucial way to measure $C P$ violation in the extended quark sector and new physics.

## Transition dipole moment

The matrix elements can be written in terms of a generic vertex function $\Gamma_{\text {fi }}^{\mu}$ like

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \mathcal{M}\left(q_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow q_{\mathrm{f}}+\gamma_{ \pm}\right)=\bar{u}\left(p_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \Gamma_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mu}\left(q^{2}\right) u\left(p_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \varepsilon_{ \pm, \mu}^{*}(q) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By requiring $q^{2}=0$ and choosing the Lorenz gauge $q \cdot \varepsilon_{p}=0$, the anapole does not contribute to $\Gamma_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mu}$ but only $f_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mathrm{E}}$ and $f_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mathrm{M}}$ which are the electric and magnetic transition dipole moments of $q_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow q_{\mathrm{f}} \gamma$ respectively. We can rewrite the generic vertex function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mu}\left(q^{2}\right)=i \sigma^{\mu \nu} q_{\nu}\left[f_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mathrm{L}}\left(q^{2}\right) P_{L}+f_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(q^{2}\right) P_{R}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $f_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mathrm{R}}$ are the left and right chiral form factors.

## Feynman diagrams
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$$
\Delta_{C P,+}=\frac{\Gamma\left(q_{i} \rightarrow q_{f}+\gamma_{+}\right)-\Gamma\left(\bar{q}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f}+\gamma_{-}\right)}{\Gamma\left(q_{i} \rightarrow q_{f}+\gamma\right)+\Gamma\left(\bar{q}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f}+\gamma\right)}
$$




## Vector isosinglet up-type quark

- We now consider implications of a $t^{\prime}$ quark by analysing a global fit that uses complementary flavour physics observables to constrain the quark mixing matrix [5]
- The new physics effects of the $t^{\prime}$ are mainly through charged current interactions which involve quark mixing via $V$ and neutral current and Higgs interactions that mix through the matrix $X^{u}=V V^{\dagger}$.
- The extended CKM matrix $V$ in such a setup comprises four SM and five new physics parameters.


## Quark mixing

| Parameter | SM | $m_{t^{\prime}}=800 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $m_{t^{\prime}}=1200 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ | $0.226 \pm 0.001$ | $0.226 \pm 0.001$ | $0.226 \pm 0.001$ |
| $A$ | $0.780 \pm 0.015$ | $0.770 \pm 0.019$ | $0.769 \pm 0.019$ |
| $C$ | $0.39 \pm 0.01$ | $0.44 \pm 0.02$ | $0.43 \pm 0.02$ |
| $\delta_{13}$ | $1.21 \pm 0.08$ | $1.13 \pm 0.11$ | $1.15 \pm 0.09$ |
| $P$ | - | $0.40 \pm 0.26$ | $0.30 \pm 0.21$ |
| $Q$ | - | $0.04 \pm 0.06$ | $0.03 \pm 0.05$ |
| $R$ | - | $0.45 \pm 0.25$ | $0.36 \pm 0.22$ |
| $\delta_{41}$ | - | $0.55 \pm 0.45$ | $0.76 \pm 0.42$ |
| $\delta_{42}$ | - | $0.52 \pm 3.26$ | $0.96 \pm 1.21$ |
| $\chi^{2} /$ d.o.f. | $71.15 / 60$ | $63.35 / 59$ | $63.60 / 59$ |

Table: Best fit parameters for the quark mixing matrix with a vector-like quark isosinglet $t^{\prime}$ included. These are shown for two benchmark quark masses $m_{t^{\prime}}=800 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{t^{\prime}}=1200 \mathrm{GeV}$ outlined in Table 4 of Ref. [5].

## Results

| Decay <br> channel | $m_{t^{\prime}}=800 \mathrm{GeV}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathcal{B}$ | $\Delta_{C P,+}$ | $\Delta_{C P,-}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow u \gamma$ | $\left(1.2_{-1.1}^{+7.0} \times 10^{-8}\right.$ | $\left(-0.6_{-4.0}^{+2.3}\right) \times 10^{-14}$ | $\left(-0.5_{-6.0}^{+2.5}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow c \gamma$ | $\left(1.0_{-1.0}^{+2.4}\right) \times 10^{-8}$ | $\left(0.1_{-8.0}^{+3.5}\right) \times 10^{-8}$ | $0.02_{-2.63}^{+1.4} \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow t \gamma$ | $\left(3.36_{-0.06}^{+0.03}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(-0.04_{-1.00}^{+2.09}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(-0.09_{-1.9}^{+2.9}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow u g$ | $\left(0.5_{-0.4}^{+2.0}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $\left(0.7_{-1.3}^{+7.0}\right) \times 10^{-13}$ | $\left(1.1_{-1.8}^{+9.0}\right) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow c g$ | $\left(0.4_{-0.4}^{+2.1}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $\left(-0.01_{-1}^{+0.2}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(-0.02_{-1.82}^{+0.40}\right) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow t g$ | $3.937_{-0.109}^{+0.07} \times 10^{-5}$ | $\left(-0.09_{-2.90}^{+4.05}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(0.11_{-6.00}^{+8.0}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $t \rightarrow u \gamma$ | $\left(0.29_{-0.28}^{+1.1}\right) \times 10^{-11}$ | $\left(0.7_{-0.7}^{+4.0}\right) \times 10^{-12}$ | $\left(-0.60_{-2.80}^{+0.70}\right) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $t \rightarrow c \gamma$ | $\left(0.7_{-0.7}^{+5.0}\right) \times 10^{-12}$ | $\left(-0.04_{-1.1}^{+0.8}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ | $0.04_{-0.92}^{+0.90}$ |
| $t \rightarrow u g$ | $\left(0.7_{-0.6}^{+2.4}\right) \times 10^{-10}$ | $\left(1.4_{-1.5}^{+8.0}\right) \times 10^{-12}$ | $-0.012_{-0.070}^{+0.011}$ |
| $t \rightarrow c g$ | $\left(0.13_{-0.11}^{+2.00}\right) \times 10^{-10}$ | $\left(-0.1_{-0.9}^{+1.3}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ | $0.1_{-1.1}^{+0.7}$ |


| Decay <br> channel | $m_{t^{\prime}}=1200 \mathrm{GeV}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathcal{B}$ | $\Delta_{C P,+}$ | $\Delta_{C P,-}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow u \gamma$ | $\left(0.8_{-0.7}^{+0.6}\right) \times 10^{-8}$ | $\left(-0.22_{-1.6}^{+0.27} \times 10^{-14}\right)$ | $\left(0.1_{-2.3}^{+2.0}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow c \gamma$ | $\left(0.6_{-0.5}^{+5.0}\right) \times 10^{-8}$ | $\left(0.7_{-5.0}^{+9.0}\right) \times 10^{-9}$ | $\left(0.3_{-2.7}^{+3.0}\right) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow t \gamma$ | $\left(2.71_{-0.06}^{+0.01}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(-0.8_{-8.0}^{+4.0}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $\left(-0.2_{-1.4}^{+1.2}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow u g$ | $\left(0.26_{-0.19}^{+1.7}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $\left(0.3_{-0.4}^{+2.2}\right) \times 10^{-13}$ | $\left(0.5_{-0.7}^{+2.3}\right) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow c g$ | $\left(0.21_{-0.4}^{+1.1}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $\left(-0.1_{-1.3}^{+0.8}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $\left(-0.3_{-2.3}^{+2.4}\right) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $t^{\prime} \rightarrow t g$ | $\left(4.21_{-1.08}^{+0.54}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ | $\left(-1.0_{-8.0}^{+7.0}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $\left(0.2_{-2.3}^{+4.0}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $t \rightarrow u \gamma$ | $\left(1.0_{-1.0}^{+4.0}\right) \times 10^{-12}$ | $\left(0.8_{-1.2}^{+2.7}\right) \times 10^{-12}$ | $-0.006_{-0.018}^{+0.011}$ |
| $t \rightarrow c \gamma$ | $\left(0.22_{-0.22}^{+2.7}\right) \times 10^{-12}$ | $\left(-0.2_{-0.7}^{+1.2}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ | $0.2_{-1.0}^{+0.6}$ |
| $t \rightarrow u g$ | $\left(0.24_{-0.21}^{+1.30}\right) \times 10^{-10}$ | $\left(0.15_{-0.34}^{+1.3}\right) \times 10^{-11}$ | $-0.014_{-0.11}^{+0.03}$ |
| $t \rightarrow c g$ | $\left(0.5_{-0.4}^{+6.0}\right) \times 10^{-11}$ | $\left(-0.6_{-0.4}^{+1.8}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ | $0.61_{-1.70}^{+0.88}$ |

## Resolving the CKM unitarity problem

- It has been pointed out that hints of deviations from unitarity where $\left|V_{u d}\right|^{2}+\left|V_{u s}\right|^{2}+\left|V_{u b}\right|^{2}<1$ at $1-2 \sigma$ in the first row of the CKM matrix may be explained by the presence of $t^{\prime}[3,4]$.
- The fit from the study considered in this section explored how the stringent experimental constraints arising from $C P$ Violation from meson mixing
- The mixing matrix once again contains angles $\theta_{12}, \theta_{13}$ and $\theta_{23}$ and one $C P$ violating phase $\delta_{13}$ along with three new mixing angles $\theta_{14}, \theta_{24}$ and $\theta_{34}$ and two new $C P$ violating phases $\delta_{14}$ and $\delta_{24}$.
- Concluded that $m_{t^{\prime}}<7 \mathrm{TeV}$.


## Quark mixing

Their best fit resulted in

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\theta_{12}=0.2265, & \theta_{13}=0.003818, & \theta_{23}=0.03988, \\
\theta_{14}=0.03951, & \theta_{24}=0.002078, & \theta_{34}=0.01271, \\
\delta_{13}=0.0396 \pi, & \delta_{14}=1.818 \pi, & \delta_{24}=0.728 \pi,
\end{array}
$$

with $m_{t^{\prime}}=1.5 \mathrm{TeV}$

## Results



Figure: The left (right) panels are for a final state photon (gluon). The cyan, blue and purple lines correspond to $u, c$ and $t$ final state respectively.

## Results continued...

Correlated top quark decays

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow u \gamma) \simeq 3 \times 10^{-12}, & \mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow c \gamma) \simeq 9 \times 10^{-14}, \\
\left|\Delta_{C P,+}(t \rightarrow u \gamma)\right| \simeq 1.4 \times 10^{-12}, & \left|\Delta_{C P,+}(t \rightarrow c \gamma)\right| \simeq 1.8 \times 10^{-6}, \\
\left|\Delta_{C P,-}(t \rightarrow u \gamma)\right| \simeq 9.3 \times 10^{-3}, & \left|\Delta_{C P,-}(t \rightarrow c \gamma)\right| \simeq 0.14, \\
\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow u g) \simeq 6.4 \times 10^{-11}, & \mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow c g) \simeq 6.7 \times 10^{-12},  \tag{4}\\
\left|\Delta_{C P,+}(t \rightarrow u g)\right| \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{-12}, & \left|\Delta_{C P,+}(t \rightarrow c g)\right| \simeq 9 \times 10^{-7}, \\
\left|\Delta_{C P,-}(t \rightarrow u g)\right| \simeq 0.024, & \left|\Delta_{C P,-}(t \rightarrow c g)\right| \simeq 0.11,
\end{array}
$$

## Vector isosinglet down-type quark

- Here we consider a model and global fit shown in Ref. [2] where the SM is extended by the addition of a VLQ isosinglet down-type quark $b^{\prime}$.
- The mixing matrix once again contains angles $\theta_{12}, \theta_{13}$ and $\theta_{23}$ and one $C P$ violating phase $\delta_{13}$ along with three new mixing angles $\theta_{14}, \theta_{24}$ and $\theta_{34}$ and two new $C P$ violating phases $\delta_{14}$ and $\delta_{24}$.
- The authors consider constraints from several flavour observables [2] and compare with measurements and perform a $\chi^{2}$ fit
- They redo this process with predictions for a $b^{\prime}$ VLQ and obtain values for the various mixing angles and phases mentioned above


## Quark mixing

| Parameter | SM | $m_{b^{\prime}}=800 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $m_{b^{\prime}}=1200 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta_{12}$ | $0.2273 \pm 0.0007$ | $0.2271 \pm 0.0008$ | $0.2270 \pm 0.0008$ |
| $\theta_{13}$ | $0.0035 \pm 0.0001$ | $0.0038 \pm 0.0001$ | $0.0038 \pm 0.0001$ |
| $\theta_{23}$ | $0.039 \pm 0.0007$ | $0.0391 \pm 0.0007$ | $0.0391 \pm 0.0007$ |
| $\delta_{13}$ | $1.10 \pm 0.1$ | $1.04 \pm 0.08$ | $1.04 \pm 0.08$ |
| $\theta_{14}$ | - | $0.0151 \pm 0.0154$ | $0.0147 \pm 0.0149$ |
| $\theta_{24}$ | - | $0.0031 \pm 0.0039$ | $0.0029 \pm 0.0036$ |
| $\theta_{34}$ | - | $0.0133 \pm 0.0130$ | $0.0123 \pm 0.0122$ |
| $\delta_{14}$ | - | $0.11 \pm 0.22$ | $0.11 \pm 0.23$ |
| $\delta_{24}$ | - | $3.23 \pm 0.24$ | $3.23 \pm 0.27$ |
| $\chi^{2} /$ d.o.f. | $71.15 / 60$ | $70.99 / 63$ | $70.96 / 63$ |

## Results

| Decay <br> channel | $m_{b^{\prime}}=800 \mathrm{GeV}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathcal{B}$ | $\Delta_{C P,+}$ | $\Delta_{C P,-}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow d \gamma$ | $\left(3.5_{-3.5}^{+2.3} \times 10^{-6}\right.$ | $\left(-0.15_{-2.60}^{+0.16}\right) \times 10^{-12}$ | $\left(-0.33_{-0.6}^{+0.3}\right) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow s \gamma$ | $\left(0.007_{-0.010}^{+4.0}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(2.1_{-2.1}^{+8.0}\right) \times 10^{-9}$ | $0.12_{-0.18}^{+0.5}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b \gamma$ | $\left(1.0_{-1.0}^{+1.3}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ | $\left(0.14_{-0.15}^{+5.00}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.12_{-0.13}^{+4.0} \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow d g$ | $\left(1.3_{-1.3}^{+0.9}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ | $\left(-0.05_{-1.2}^{+1.8}\right) \times 10^{-11}$ | $-0.01_{-0.27}^{+0.4}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow s g$ | $\left(0.08_{-0.008}^{+1.0}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(0.3_{-1.7}^{+1.6}\right) \times 10^{-8}$ | $0.2_{-1.0}^{+0.8}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b g$ | $0.7_{-0.7}^{+1.0} \times 10^{-4}$ | $\left(0.2_{-0.4}^{+7.0}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $\left(0.20_{-0.34}^{+6.00}\right) \times 10^{-2}$ |


| Decay <br> channel | $m_{b^{\prime}}=1200 \mathrm{GeV}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathcal{B}$ | $\Delta_{C P,+}$ | $\Delta_{C P,-}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow d \gamma$ | $\left(2.6_{-2.6}^{+1.7}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(-0.10_{-1.4}^{+0.10} \times 10^{-12}\right)$ | $\left(-0.22_{-2.80}^{+0.21}\right) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow s \gamma$ | $\left(0.003_{-0.032}^{+4.0}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(0.25_{-0.25}^{+1.2} \times 10^{-8}\right.$ | $0.15_{-0.18}^{+0.7}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b \gamma$ | $\left(6.0_{-6.0}^{+9.0}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(0.10_{-0.11}^{+8.0}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $\left(0.08_{-0.09}^{+7.0}\right) \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow d g$ | $\left(8.0_{-1.2}^{+0.80}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(-0.05_{-1.2}^{+0.028}\right) \times 10^{-11}$ | $-0.011_{-0.35}^{+0.008}$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow s g$ | $\left(0.05_{-0.05}^{+9.0}\right) \times 10^{-6}$ | $\left(0.3_{-1.5}^{+1.1}\right) \times 10^{-8}$ | $\left(0.2_{-1.2}^{+0.8}\right)$ |
| $b^{\prime} \rightarrow b g$ | $\left(5.0_{-5.0}^{+8.0}\right) \times 10^{-5}$ | $\left(0.17_{-0.16}^{+5.0}\right) \times 10^{-7}$ | $\left(0.16_{-0.2}^{+6.0}\right) \times 10^{-2}$ |

## Conclusion

- Analytical calculation of the electromagnetic transition dipole moment of a VLQ quark isosinglet
- Studied radiative decays via branching ratios and CP asymmetries
- Several numerical studies for several global fits favouring inclusion of $t^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$ vector isosinglets to the SM.
- For $t^{\prime}$, we find phenomenological interesting results with branching ratios up to $\simeq 10^{-5}$ and $C P$ asymmetries $\geq 10^{-2}$.
- For $b^{\prime}$, we find branching ratios $\simeq 10^{-4}$ and $C P$ asymmetries up to order unity.
- Radiative decays of VLQs in such scenarios provide a tantalising probe for new physics and provide clean experimental signatures.
- Results can be applied to a variety of VLQ parameter scans and be easily extended to consider more exotic scenarios such as doublet and triplet VLQs in the future.


## Thank You!
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## Backup

Since the Lorentz invariant amplitude can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \mathcal{M}\left(q_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow q_{\mathrm{f}}+\gamma\right)=i \bar{u}\left(p_{\beta}\right) \sigma^{\mu \nu}\left(A_{\gamma}+B_{\gamma} \gamma_{5}\right) q_{\nu} u\left(p_{t}\right) \varepsilon_{ \pm, \mu}^{*}(q) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we may express the decay width in terms of the vector and axial form factors $A$ and $B$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma\left(q_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow q_{\mathrm{f}} \gamma\right)=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}-m_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}{2 m_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)^{3}\left(\left|A_{\gamma}\right|^{2}+\left|B_{\gamma}\right|^{2}\right), \\
& \Gamma\left(q_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow q_{\mathrm{f}} g\right)=\frac{C_{F}}{\pi}\left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}-m_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}{2 m_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)^{3}\left(\left|A_{g}\right|^{2}+\left|B_{g}\right|^{2}\right), \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{F}=4 / 3$ is the standard colour factor. Comparing the vector and axial form factors with their chiral counterparts we get

$$
A=\frac{f_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mathrm{L}}+f_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mathrm{R}}}{2}, \quad B=\frac{f_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mathrm{R}}-f_{\mathrm{fi}}^{\mathrm{L}}}{2}
$$

The branching ratio is $\mathcal{B}\left(q_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow q_{\mathrm{f}} \gamma\right)=\Gamma\left(q_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow q_{\mathrm{f}} \gamma\right) / \Gamma_{q_{\mathrm{i}}}$ for the radiative process.

## Interactions

We have the following charged and neutral current interactions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{L}_{W}=-\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} V_{\alpha \beta} d_{\beta} W_{\mu}^{+}+\text {h.c. }  \tag{8}\\
\mathcal{L}_{Z}=-\frac{g}{2 \cos \theta_{w}} \bar{q}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu}\left(c_{L}+c_{R}\right) q_{\beta} Z_{\mu}+\text { h.c. } \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c_{L}= \pm X_{\alpha \beta}^{q}-2 Q_{q} \sin ^{2} \theta_{w} \delta_{\alpha \beta}$ and $c_{R}=-2 Q_{q} \sin ^{2} \theta_{w} \delta_{\alpha \beta}$ and $q=$ $u_{i}, d_{i} . Q_{u}=2 / 3$ and $Q_{d}=-1 / 3$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\alpha \beta}^{u}=\left(V V^{\dagger}\right)_{\alpha \beta}, \quad X_{\alpha \beta}^{d}=\left(V^{\dagger} V\right)_{\alpha \beta} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

And with the charged Goldstone mode

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\phi}=-\frac{g}{\sqrt{2} m_{W}} \bar{u}_{\alpha} V_{\alpha \beta}\left(m_{\alpha}-m_{\beta}\right) d_{\beta} \phi^{+}+\text {h.c. } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Interactions continued...

The neutral Goldstone mode

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\chi}=\frac{i g}{2 m_{W}}\left[\bar{u}_{\alpha} X_{\alpha \beta}^{u}\left(m_{\alpha}-m_{\beta}\right) u_{\beta}-\bar{d}_{\alpha} X_{\alpha \beta}^{d}\left(m_{\alpha}-m_{\beta}\right) d_{\beta}\right] \chi+\text { h.c. } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally the Higgs

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{h}=\frac{g}{2 m_{W}}\left[\bar{u}_{\alpha} X_{\alpha \beta}^{u}\left(m_{\alpha}+m_{\beta}\right) u_{\beta}+\bar{d}_{\alpha} X_{\alpha \beta}^{d}\left(m_{\alpha}+m_{\beta}\right) d_{\beta}\right]+\text { h.c. } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here, we may derive the Feynman rules and calculate amplitudes for the decay processes of interest.

## Wolfenstein parametrisation

If we consider a $t^{\prime}$ VLQ only, $V$ is the left-hand $4 \times 3$ submatrix of the $4 \times 4$ unitary $\mathcal{V}_{L}^{\dagger}$. Here it is best to choose a parametrisation of $\mathcal{V}_{L}^{\dagger}$ such that the new matrix elements $V_{41}, V_{42}$ and $V_{43}$ take simple forms. Using the Hou-Soni-Steger parametrisation we have the elements of $V$ given by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
V_{12}=\lambda, & V_{23}=A \lambda^{2}, & V_{13}=A \lambda^{3} C e^{i \delta_{13}} \\
V_{41}=-P \lambda^{3} e^{i \delta_{41}}, & V_{42}=-Q \lambda^{2} e^{i \delta_{42}}, & V_{43}=-r \lambda . \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

There are four SM parameters $\lambda, A, C, \delta_{13}$ and five new physics parameters $P, Q, r, \delta_{41}, \delta_{42}$. Of the remaining six CKM matrix elements, $V_{11}, V_{21}$ and $V_{22}$ retain their SM parametrisations

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{11}=1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}, \quad V_{21}=-\lambda, \quad V_{22}=1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the third row is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{31}=A \lambda^{3}\left(1-C e^{i \delta_{13}}\right)-\operatorname{Pr} \lambda^{4} e^{i \delta_{41}}+\frac{1}{2} A C \lambda^{5} e^{i \delta_{13}}, \\
& V_{32}=-A \lambda^{2}-Q r \lambda^{3} e^{i \delta_{42}}+A \lambda^{4}\left(\frac{1}{\text { IRN Terascale }}-C e^{i \delta_{13}}\right), \\
& V_{3 \text { November 23, }}=1-\frac{1}{2021} r^{2} \lambda^{2} . \frown a c
\end{aligned}
$$

