## Physics and Al enhanced reconstruction studies at the CEPC:

#### Jet origin identification and oneto-one correspondence PFA

Manqi Ruan



FCPPN/L@Bordeaux

### **CEPC** Physics study



#### Chinese Physics C Vol. 43, No. 4 (2019) 043002

#### Precision Higgs physics at the CEPC<sup>\*</sup>

Fenfen An(安芬芬)<sup>433</sup> Yu Bai(白羽)<sup>9</sup> Chunhui Chen(陈春晖)<sup>23</sup> Xin Chen(陈新)<sup>5</sup> Zhenxing Chen(陈振兴)<sup>3</sup> Joao Guimaraes da Costa<sup>4</sup> Zhenwei Cui(崔振儀)<sup>3</sup> Yaquan Fang(方亚泉)<sup>4,6,34,3)</sup> Chengdong Fu(付成栋)<sup>4</sup> Jun Gao(高俊)<sup>10</sup> Yanyan Gao(高艳彦)<sup>22</sup> Yuanning Gao(高原宁)<sup>3</sup> Shaofeng Ge(葛韶铎)<sup>15,2</sup> Jiavin Gu(顾嘉荫)<sup>11,2)</sup> Fangyi Guo(鄭方毅)<sup>1,4</sup> Jun Guo(郭军)<sup>10</sup> Tao Han(韩海)<sup>5,31</sup> Shuang Han(韩爽)<sup>4</sup> Hongjian He(何红建)<sup>11,10</sup> Xianke He(何显何)<sup>10</sup> Xiaogang He(何小刚)<sup>11,10,20</sup> Jifeng Hu(胡继峰)<sup>10</sup> Shih-Chieh Hsu(徐士杰)<sup>12</sup> Shan Jin(金山)<sup>8</sup> Maogiang Jing(荆茂强)<sup>4,7</sup> Susmita Jyotishmati<sup>33</sup> Ryuta Kinchi Chia-Ming Kuo(郭家铭)<sup>21</sup> Peizhu Lai(赖培策)<sup>21</sup> Boyang Li(李博扬)<sup>5</sup> Congqiao Li(李聪乔)<sup>3</sup> Gang Li(李明)<sup>4,34,5</sup> Haifeng Li(李海峰)<sup>12</sup> Liang Li(李亮)<sup>10</sup> Shu Li(李数)<sup>11,10</sup> Tong Li(李通)<sup>12</sup> Qiang Li(李强)<sup>3</sup> Hao Liang(梁浩)<sup>4,6</sup> Zhijun Liang(梁志均)<sup>4</sup> Libo Liao(廖立波)<sup>4</sup> Bo Liu(刘波)<sup>4,23</sup> Jianbei Liu(刘建北)<sup>1</sup> Tao Liu(刘清)<sup>14</sup> Zhen Liu(刘真)<sup>26,36,4)</sup> Xinchou Lou(委辛丑)<sup>4,633,34</sup> Lianliang Ma(马连良)<sup>12</sup> Bruce Mellado<sup>17,18</sup> Xin Mo(莫欣) Mila Pandurovic<sup>16</sup> Jianming Qian(钱剑明)<sup>24,3)</sup> Zhuoni Qian(钱卓妮)<sup>19</sup> Nikolaos Rompotis<sup>21</sup> Manqi Ruan(阮曼奇)<sup>46)</sup> Alex Schuy<sup>32</sup> Lianyou Shan(单连友)<sup>4</sup> Jingyuan Shi(史静远)<sup>9</sup> Xin Shi(史欣)<sup>4</sup> Shufang Su(苏淑芳)25 Dayong Wang(王大勇)3 Jin Wang(王節)4 Liantao Wang(王连涛)2 Yifang Wang(王贻芳)<sup>4,6</sup> Yuqian Wei(魏彧骞)<sup>4</sup> Yue Xu(许悦)<sup>5</sup> Haijun Yang(杨海军)<sup>10,11</sup> Ying Yang(杨迎)<sup>4</sup> Weiming Yao(她为民)<sup>28</sup> Dan Yu(于丹)<sup>4</sup> Kaili Zhang(张凯栗)<sup>4,6,8)</sup> Zhaoru Zhang(张照载)<sup>4</sup> Mingrui Zhao(赵明锐)<sup>2</sup> Xianghu Zhao(赵祥虎)<sup>4</sup> Ning Zhou(周宁)<sup>10</sup> Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui 230026, China China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China <sup>5</sup>School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China <sup>6</sup>Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, China Department of Engineering Physics, Physics Department, Tsinahua University, Beijing 100084, China <sup>1</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing 100049, China School of Nuclear Science and Technology, University of South China, Hengyang 421001, China Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China Chin School of Physics and Astron onry, Shanshai Jiao Tong University, KLPPAC-MoF, SKLPPC, Shanshai 200240, China Tsung-Duo Lee Institute, Shanghai 20240, China "Tsung-Duo Lee Institute, Shanghai 20240, China ute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE). Shandong Universi Qingdao 266237, China

<sup>10</sup>PRISMA Cluster of Excellence & Mairu Ensinter of Theoretical Physics, Johannes Guttoberg-Liniversit Mainz, Mainz 55128, Germany <sup>10</sup>Department of Physics, Hong Kong Kong University of Story, Kashiwa, China 277-5583, Japan <sup>10</sup>Kashi [PMD] (VPP), UTLAS, The University of Todyn, Kashiwa, China 277-5583, Japan <sup>10</sup>Vance Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Delynch, Belgrade, Hogonde, Hogonde, Japan <sup>10</sup>School of Physics and Institute for Collider Paricle Physics, University of the Witsmersmal, Adamatedurg 2559, South Africa

Received 9 November 2018, Revised 21 January 2019, Published online 4 March 2019

• ...

<sup>6</sup> Supported by the National Key Program for SAF Research and Development (2018)/FA4004001; CAS Center for Encloruse in Private Poppier, Vidang Wang U. Sceners Studio of the Ta Thossond Theorem Private, WASSAFEA International Detroringh Programs for Centritor Research Tomes (H7):S111557; JEPE Barosstion Grant (V455117072); Key Rassench Program of Frontier Sciences, CAS (XQVZDV 55W SLH002); Chanse Academ of Science Science (AssAFEA International Detroringh Programs for Centritor Research Tomes (H7):S111557; JEPE Barosstion Grant (V455117072); Key Rassench Program of Frontier Sciences, CAS (XQVZDV 55W SLH002); Chanse Academ of Science Science Frondationa Chanal (Fastigue Academ) of Science Science Fordational Science Fordational Chanal (Tabutary Contentional Detroringh Programs); Jept Marianal Content Fordational Programs of Chanae Termin Research Alliance, LLC (DE-AC02.07CH11399), the NSF(PH7160070); by the Maryland Content for Fundamental Physics (MCPP); Tanghua University Initiative Sciencific Research Programs; and the Briging Massicgal Science and Technology Commission project/21110000211003)

2) E-anali jognifican-sinan de 3) E-maili jognificantên ya c.o. 4) E-mail: anglegikantên ya c.o. 5) E-mail: anglegikantên ya c.o Citation Summary 🔟 Most Recent Citation Summary Exclude self-citations ?? Citeable ⑦ Published @ Papers 659 394 Citations 10.003 7.575 h-index 🔿 10 47 Citations/paper (avg) 15.2 19.2 Papers - Citeable - Published 301 300 250 200 173 150 100 50 28 24 17 16 50-99 100-249 250-499 10-49 500+ Citations

Table 2.1: Precision of the main parameters of interests and observables at the CEPC, from Ref. [1] and the references therein, where the results of Higgs are estimated with a data sample of 20  $ab^{-1}$ . The HL-LHC projections of 3000  $bb^{-1}$  data are used for comparison [2]

	Higgs			W, Z and top	
Observable	HL-LHC projections	CEPC precision	Observable	Current precision	CEPC precision
$M_H$	20 MeV	3 MeV	$M_W$	9 MeV	0.5 MeV
$\Gamma_H$	20%	1.7%	$\Gamma_W$	49 MeV	2 MeV
$\sigma(ZH)$	4.2%	0.26%	M <sub>top</sub>	760 MeV	$\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV
$B(H \rightarrow bb)$	4.4%	0.14%	$M_Z$	2.1 MeV	0.1 MeV
$B(H \rightarrow cc)$	-	2.0%	$\Gamma_Z$	2.3 MeV	0.025 MeV
$B(H \to gg)$	-	0.81%	R <sub>b</sub>	$3 imes 10^{-3}$	$2  imes 10^{-4}$
$B(H \to WW^*)$	2.8%	0.53%	R <sub>c</sub>	$1.7  imes 10^{-2}$	$1  imes 10^{-3}$
$B(H\to ZZ^*)$	2.9%	4.2%	$R_{\mu}$	$2  imes 10^{-3}$	$1  imes 10^{-4}$
$B(H\to\tau^+\tau^-)$	2.9%	0.42%	$R_{\tau}$	$1.7  imes 10^{-2}$	$1  imes 10^{-4}$
$B(H  ightarrow \gamma \gamma)$	2.6%	3.0%	$A_{\mu}$	$1.5  imes 10^{-2}$	$3.5  imes 10^{-5}$
$B(H\to \mu^+\mu^-)$	8.2%	6.4%	$A_{\tau}$	$4.3 imes10^{-3}$	$7  imes 10^{-5}$
$B(H \rightarrow Z\gamma)$	20%	8.5%	$A_b$	$2  imes 10^{-2}$	$2  imes 10^{-4}$
$B$ upper( $H \rightarrow inv.$ )	2.5%	0.07%	$N_{\nu}$	$2.5 imes10^{-3}$	$2  imes 10^{-4}$

Scientific Significance quantified by CEPC physics studies, via full simulation/phenomenology studies:

- Higgs: Precisions exceed HL-LHC ~ 1 order of magnitude.
- EW: Precision improved from current limit by 1-2 orders.
- Flavor Physics, sensitive to NP of 10 TeV or even higher.
- Sensitive to varies of NP signal.

#### White papers + ~300 Journal/AxXiv citables

#### 10/06/2004

### Higgs & Snowmass White Paper

	$240{\rm GeV},20~{\rm ab}^{-1}$		$360{ m GeV},1{ m ab}^{-1}$		
	ZH	$\mathbf{vvH}$	ZH	vvH	eeH
inclusive	0.26%		1.40%	\	\
$H \rightarrow bb$	0.14%	1.59%	0.90%	1.10%	4.30%
$H \rightarrow cc$	$\mathbf{2.02\%}$		8.80%	16%	20%
$H \rightarrow gg$	0.81%		3.40%	4.50%	12%
H→WW	0.53%		2.80%	4.40%	6.50%
H→ZZ	4.17%		20%	21%	
$H \to \tau \tau$	0.42%		2.10%	4.20%	7.50%
$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	3.02%		11%	16%	
$H  ightarrow \mu \mu$	6.36%		41%	57%	
$H \rightarrow Z\gamma$	8.50%		35%		
$\boxed{\mathrm{Br}_{upper}(H \to inv.)}$	0.07%				
$\Gamma_H$	1.65%		1.10%		



#### **EW measurements & SMEFT**

Observable	current precision	CEPC precision (Stat. Unc.)	CEPC runs	main systematic
$\Delta m_Z$	2.1 MeV [37–41]	$0.1 { m MeV} (0.005 { m MeV})$	${\cal Z}$ threshold	$E_{beam}$
$\Delta\Gamma_Z$	2.3 MeV [37–41]	$0.025 {\rm ~MeV} (0.005 {\rm ~MeV})$	${\cal Z}$ threshold	$E_{beam}$
$\Delta m_W$	$9 { m MeV}$ [42–46	$0.5 { m ~MeV} (0.35 { m ~MeV})$	WW threshold	$E_{beam}$
$\Delta\Gamma_W$	49 MeV [46–49]	$2.0 { m MeV} (1.8 { m MeV})$	WW threshold	$E_{beam}$
$\Delta m_t$	$0.76  {\rm GeV}  [50]$	$\mathcal{O}(10) \ \mathrm{MeV^{a}}$	$t\bar{t}$ threshold	
$\Delta A_e$	$4.9 \times 10^{-3}$ [37, 51–55]	$1.5 \times 10^{-5} \ (1.5 \times 10^{-5})$	$Z$ pole $(Z \to \tau \tau)$	Stat. Unc.
$\Delta A_{\mu}$	$0.015 \ [37, 53]$	$3.5\times 10^{-5}~(3.0\times 10^{-5})$	$Z$ pole $(Z \to \mu \mu)$	point-to-point Unc.
$\Delta A_{\tau}$	$4.3 \times 10^{-3}$ [37, 51–55]	$7.0 \times 10^{-5} \ (1.2 \times 10^{-5})$	$Z$ pole $(Z \to \tau \tau)$	tau decay model
$\Delta A_b$	$0.02 \ [37, 56]$	$20\times 10^{-5}~(3\times 10^{-5})$	Z pole	QCD effects
$\Delta A_c$	0.027 [37, 56]	$30\times 10^{-5}~(6\times 10^{-5})$	Z pole	QCD effects
$\Delta \sigma_{had}$	37 pb [37–41]	2  pb (0.05  pb)	Z pole	lumiosity
$\delta R_b^0$	$0.003 \ [37, 57-61]$	$0.0002 \ (5 \times 10^{-6})$	Z pole	gluon splitting
$\delta R_c^0$	$0.017 \ [37, \ 57, \ 6265]$	$0.001~(2 \times 10^{-5})$	Z pole	gluon splitting
$\delta R_e^0$	$0.0012 \ [37-41]$	$2\times 10^{-4}~(3\times 10^{-6})$	Z pole	$E_{beam}$ and t channel
$\delta R^0_\mu$	0.002 [37-41]	$1\times 10^{-4}~(3\times 10^{-6})$	Z pole	$E_{beam}$
$\delta R_{\tau}^0$	$0.017 \ [37-41]$	$1\times 10^{-4}~(3\times 10^{-6})$	Z pole	$E_{beam}$
$\delta N_{\nu}$	$0.0025 \ [37, \ 66]$	$2\times 10^{-4}~(3\times 10^{-5}$ )	$ZH$ run $(\nu\nu\gamma)$	Calo energy scale









#### Flavor Physics White paper

#### Flavor Physics at CEPC: a General Perspective

#### Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	<b>Description of the CEPC Facility</b> 2.1 Key Collider Features for Flavor Physics 2.2 Key Detector Features for Flavor Physics	<b>6</b> 6 7
	2.3 Simulation Method	16
3	Charged Current Semileptonic and Leptonic $\boldsymbol{b}$ Decays	17
4	Rare/Penguin and Forbidden b Decays	21
	4.1 Dilepton Modes	23
	4.2 Neutrino Modes	25
	4.3 Radiative Modes	27
5	CP Asymmetry in b Decays	27
6	Global Symmetry Tests in $Z$ and $b$ Decays	32
7	Charm and Strange Physics	35
	7.1 Null tests with rare charm decays	36
8	au Physics	36
	8.1 LFV $\tau$ Decays	37
	8.2 LFU Tests in $\tau$ Decays	38
	8.3 Hadronic $\tau$ Decays and Other Opportunities	40
	8.4 $CPV$ in hadronic $\tau$ decays	41
9	Exclusive Hadronic $Z$ Decays	42
10	) Flavor Physics beyond $Z$ Pole	43
	10.1 $ V_{cb} $ and W Decays	43
	10.2 Top FCNC	45
11	Spectroscopy and Exotics	46
12	2 Light BSM States from Heavy Flavors	50
	12.1 Lepton Sector	51
	12.2 Quark Sector	52
13	3 Summary and Outlook	53



**Figure 18**: Projected sensitivities of measuring the  $b \to s\tau\tau$  [70],  $b \to s\nu\bar{\nu}$  [34] and  $b \to c\tau\nu$  [35, 62] transitions at the Z pole. The sensitivities at Belle II @ 50 ab<sup>-1</sup> [6] and LHCb Upgrade II [17, 71] have also been provided as a reference. Note, the LHCb sensitivities are generated by combining the analyses of  $\tau^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- (\pi^0) \nu$  and  $\tau \to \mu \nu \bar{\nu}$ . This plot is adapted from [35].



**Figure 21**: Illustrative Feynman diagrams for the  $B_s \to \phi \nu \overline{\nu}$  transitions in the SM. **LEFT**: EW penguin diagram. RIGHT: EW box diagram.



**Figure 22**: LEFT: Relative precision for measuring the signal strength of  $B_s \to \phi \nu \bar{\nu}$  at Tera-Z, as a function of its BR. **RIGHT**: Constraints on the LEFT coefficients  $C_L^{\rm NP} \equiv$  $C_L - C_I^{\text{SM}}$  and  $C_R$  with the measurements of the overall  $B_s \to \phi \nu \bar{\nu}$  decay rate (green band) and the  $\phi$  polarization  $F_L$  (orange regions). These plots are taken from [34].

40+ benchmarks + ... Access to NP at 10 TeV or higher

### New Physics White paper

#### ABSTRACT (TO BE UPDATED)

The Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC) is a large-scale collider facility that can serve as a factory of the Higgs, Z, and W bosons and is upgradable to run at the  $t\bar{t}$  threshold. This document describes the latest CEPC nominal operation scenario and particle yields and updates the corresponding physics potential. A new detector concept is also briefly described. This submission is for consideration by the Snowmass process.

	CONTENTS
	Contributors (to be updated)
	Abstract (to be updated)
I.	Executive Summary (Liantao, Xuai, Manqi,Jia, Zhen, Zhao, Yu)
II.	Introduction(Liantao, Xuai, Manqi,Jia, Zhen,Zhao, Yu)
III.	Description of CEPC facility, nominal luminosity and Typical Detector Performance (Manqi) A. Key Collider Features B. Key Detector Features
IV.	<ul> <li>Exotic Higgs potential and Exotic Higgs/Z/top decays (Yaquan, Zhao)</li> <li>A. Model-independent Sensitivity to Exotic Higgs decays</li> <li>B. Exotic Higgs potential</li> <li>C. Higgs exotic decays in supersymmetry</li> <li>D. Exotic Decays via Dark Sector <ol> <li>Higgs Exotic Decays via Dark Sector</li> <li>Z Exotic Decays via Dark Sector</li> </ol> </li> <li>E. Higgs exotic invisible decays</li> <li>F. Decays into Long Lived Particles <ol> <li>Higgs exotic decays into Long Lived Particles</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
	G. The 95 GeV Higgs boson at the CEPC $$

2. Z exotic decays into Long Lived Particles	38
H. Top quark exotic decays	41
V. Supersymmetry (Tianjun, Lei, Xuai, Da)	42
A. Light electroweakino searches	43
B. Light slepton searches	45
C. Input from the European Strategy	49
VI. Dark Matter and Dark Sector (Jia, Xiaoping, Yongchao, Bhupal)	50
A. Lepton portal DM	52
B. Interplay of dark particles with neutrinos	53
C. Leptophilic DM	54
D. Dark particles from exotic $Z$ decays	55
E. DM with millicharge & the effective theory of DM	57
F. Dark particles with electromagnetic form factors	59
G. Asymmetric DM	60
H. Long-lived VLL	62
VII. Long-lived Particle Searches (Liang Li, Ying-nan Mao, Kechen Wang, Zeren	
Simon Wang)	65
A. Computation of LLP signal-event rates	66
B. Studies with near detectors	70
1. Higgs boson decays	71
2. Z-boson decays	81
3. Supersymmetry (SUSY)	83
4. Vector-like leptons with scalar	84
C. Studies with far detectors	85
1. Far detectors at hadron colliders	86
2. Proposed far detectors at lepton colliders	88
3. Higgs boson decays	90
4. $Z$ -boson decays	91
5. Axion-like particles	92
D. Studies with beam dumps	94

	6
1. ALPs and new scalar particles	95
2. New neutral gauge bosons	97
E. Summary and Discussion	99
VIII. Flavor Portal NP(Lingfeng, Xinqiang)	102
A. cLFV processes	104
B. Decays of $b$ and $c$ hadrons	105
C. Light BSM degrees of freedom from flavor transitions	106
IX. Electroweak phase transition and gravitational waves (Ke-Pan Xie, Sai Wang	, Fa
Peng Huang, Bruce)	108
A. Probing the nature of electroweak phase transition at the colliders	109
B. Higgs precision measurements	111
C. Higgs exotic decay	114
X. Neutrino Physics (Bhupal, Wei, Yongchao)	117
A. Motivation	117
B. Connection to Leptogenesis and Dark Matter	118
C. Prospects of heavy neutrinos	121
1. heavy neutrinos at the near detector	121
2. Heavy neutrinos at far detectors	124
3. Heavy neutrinos at heam dump experiments	126
4 SM Higgs decay $h \rightarrow NN$	129
5. Prospects of heavy neutrinos in $U(1)$ models	133
6. Prospects of heavy neutrinos in the LRSM	137
D. Prospects of long-lived doubly-charged scalars in seesaw models	138
F. Active starile neutrine transition magnetic moments	130
E. Non-standard neutrino interactions	141
r. Non-standard neutrino interactions	141
XI. More Exotics (Yu, Zuowei)	141
A. Axion-like particles	142
B. Lepton form factors	144
1. General remarks on $\mu/e~g$ -2	145
2. $\mu/e$ dipole moments in SUSY	148
3. $\tau$ weak-electric dipole moments	149
C. Emergent Hadron Mass	150
D. Exotic lepton mass models	152
XII. Global Fits (Jiayin, Yang, Yong Du)	154
A. SMEFT global fits	154
B. 2HDM global fits (Tao Han, Shufang Su, Wei Su, Yongcheng Wu)	157
C. SUSY global fits	160
XIII. Conclusion (Liantao, Xuai, Manqi,Jia, Zhen, Zhao, Yu)	163
Acknowledgements	164
References	164

#### 10/06/2004

# Phase Transition in early Universe, LLP, exotic Higgs decays...



Lifetime [ns]

### Performance requirements

- To reconstruct all kinds of Physics Object
  - Identification & Measurements
  - Objects:
    - Lepton, Photons, Kaon,
    - pi-0, Tau, Lambda, Kshort,
    - Heavy flavor hadrons,
    - Jets
    - Missing energy/momentum
    - Exotics...
- Massive Four in Standard Model:
  - Z & W: ~ 70% goes to a pair of jets
  - Higgs: ~90% final state with jets (ZH events)
  - Top:  $t \rightarrow W + b$



• Requirements:

decay Final state

- Excellent pattern. Reco. & Object id
- Larger acceptance...
- Excellent intrinsic resolutions
- Extremely stable...
- Be addressed by state-of-art detector design, technology, and reconstruction algorithm!

### Hadronic events: the main course

#### **CEPC** Detector & Reconstruction



## Jet origin id

#### Hao Liang, Yongfeng Zhu, Yuzhi Che, Yuexin Wang, Huiling Qu, Cen Zhou, etc

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 132, 221802 (2024)

#### Jet-Origin Identification and Its Application at an Electron-Positron Higgs Factory

 Hao Liango,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Yongfeng Zhuo,<sup>3,\*</sup> Yuexin Wango,<sup>1,4</sup> Yuzhi Cheo,<sup>1,2</sup> Manqi Ruano,<sup>1,2,†</sup> Chen Zhouo,<sup>3,‡</sup> and Huilin Quo<sup>5,§</sup>
 <sup>1</sup>Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19B Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District, Beijing 100049, China
 <sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19A Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District, Beijing 100049, China
 <sup>3</sup>State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
 <sup>4</sup>China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing 100190, China
 <sup>5</sup>CERN. EP Department. CH-1211 Geneva 23. Switzerland

(Received 16 October 2023; revised 26 April 2024; accepted 1 May 2024; published 31 May 2024)

To enhance the scientific discovery power of high-energy collider experiments, we propose and realize the concept of jet-origin identification that categorizes jets into five quark species (b, c, s, u, d), five antiquarks  $(\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{s}, \bar{u}, \bar{d})$ , and the gluon. Using state-of-the-art algorithms and simulate  $\nu\bar{\nu}H, H \rightarrow jj$  events at 240 GeV center-of-mass energy at the electron-positron Higgs factory, the jet-origin identification simultaneously reaches jet flavor tagging efficiencies ranging from 67% to 92% for bottom, charm, and strange quarks and jet charge flip rates of 7%–24% for all quark species. We apply the jet-origin identification to Higgs rare and exotic decay measurements at the nominal luminosity of the Circular Electron Positron Collider and conclude that the upper limits on the branching ratios of  $H \rightarrow s\bar{s}$ ,  $u\bar{u}$ ,  $d\bar{d}$  and  $H \rightarrow s\bar{s}$ ,  $d\bar{c}$ , a positimet to  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  at 95% confidence level. The derived upper limit for  $H \rightarrow s\bar{s}$  decay is approximately 3 times the prediction of the standard model.

Eur. Phys. J. C (2024) 84:152 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12475-5 THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL C

Regular Article - Experimental Physics

#### ParticleNet and its application on CEPC jet flavor tagging

#### Yongfeng Zhu<sup>1,a</sup>, Hao Liang<sup>2,3</sup>, Yuexin Wang<sup>2,3</sup>, Huilin Qu<sup>4</sup>, Chen Zhou<sup>1,b</sup>, Manqi Ruan<sup>2,3,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
 <sup>2</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
 <sup>3</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Beijing 100049, China
 <sup>4</sup> EP Department, CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Received: 15 November 2023 / Accepted: 23 January 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.03440

https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.13231



- Jet origin identification: 11 categories (5 quarks + 5 anti quarks + gluon)
  - Jet Flavor Tagging + Jet Charge measurements + s-tagging + gluon tagging...
- Full Simulated vvH, Higgs to two jets sample at CEPC baseline configuration: CEPC-v4 detector, reconstructed with Arbor + ParticleNet (Deep Learning Tech.)
- 1 Million samples each, 60/20/20% for training, validation & test

### Particle Net: IO



Table 3. The input variables used in ParticleNet for jet flavor tagging at the CEPC.

- Input: measurable information of all reconstructed jet particles
- Output: 10(11)-likelihoods to different categories 10/06/2004 FCPPN/L@Bordeaux

### 11-dim migration behavior

- Let the jet be identified as the category with highest likelihood:
- Pid: ideal Pid three categories
  - Lepton identification
  - Charged Kaon identification
  - Neutral Kaon identification
- Patterns:
  - ~ Diagonal at quark sector...
  - $P(g \rightarrow q) < P(q \rightarrow g)...$
  - Light jet id...

	Predicted												
		b	$\frac{1}{b}$	Ċ	<del>'</del>	י S	<u>+</u>	ů	$\frac{1}{u}$	ď	$\frac{d}{d}$	Ġ	
	G -	0.015	0.014	0.025	0.025	0.053	0.053	0.043	0.044	0.033	0.035	0.661	0.002 0.001
	a -	0.003	0.003	0.020	0.013	0.093	0.113	0.226	0.079	0.076	0.265	0.110	$0.004 \\ 0.003$
	_												$0.006 \\ 0.005$
	d -	0.003	0.003	0.012	0.020	0.111	0.093	0.083	0.223	0.261	0.080	0.110	0.008 0.007
	<del>u</del> -	0.003	0.002	0.011	0.020	0.132	0.043	0.062	0.368	0.166	0.084	0.108	0.02-0.03 0.01-0.02 0.009
	u -	0.002	0.003	0.019	0.012	0.044	0.132	0.375	0.057	0.079	0.168	0.109	0.05-0.06 0.04-0.05 0.03-0.04
True	<u>s</u> -	0.002	0.003	0.018	0.021	0.101	0.543	0.085	0.028	0.044	0.062	0.092	0.075-0.08 0.07-0.075 0.06-0.07
	s -	0.003	0.003	0.020	0.018	0.541	0.104	0.030	0.082	0.062	0.045	0.092	0.09-0.1 0.085-0.09 0.08-0.085
	<del>.</del> -	0.015	0.015	0.055	0.741	0.032	0.037	0.010	0.026	0.016	0.010	0.043	0.2-0.25 0.15-0.2 0.1-0.15
	с-	0.015	0.015	0.740	0.057	0.037	0.032	0.026	0.010	0.009	0.017	0.043	0.3-0.35
	<del>.</del> -	0.167	0.737	0.026	0.034	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.018	0.5-0.6 0.4-0.5 0.35-0.4
	b -	0.738	0.167	0.034	0.026	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.018	0.7-0.8 0.65-0.7 0.6-0.65

#### Performance with different PID scenarios & $H \rightarrow ss$ measurements



Flavor tagging: type that maximize {L\_q + L\_q\_bar, L\_g} If quark jet: jet charge ~ compare  $\{L_q, L_q_bar\}$ 10/06/2004

Remark: current jet flavor tagging efficiency & jet charge flip rates are projections of the 11-dim arrays produced by Jet origin id

### Benchmark analyses: Higgs rare/FCNC



TABLE I: Summary of background events of  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}/c\bar{c}/gg$ , Z, and W prior to flavor-based event selection, along with the expected upper limits on Higgs decay branching ratios at 95% CL. Expectations are derived based on the background-only hypothesis.

	Bkg. $(10^3)$				Upper limit $(10^{-3})$					
	H	Z	W	$s\bar{s}$	$u \bar{u}$	$dar{d}$	sb	db	uc	ds
$ u \overline{ u} H$	151	20	2.1	0.81	0.95	0.99	0.26	0.27	0.46	0.93
$\mu^+\mu^-H$	50	25	0	2.6	3.0	3.2	0.5	0.6	1.0	3.0
$e^+e^-H$	26	16	0	4.1	4.6	4.8	0.7	0.9	1.6	4.3
Comb.	-	-	-	0.75	0.91	0.95	0.22	0.23	0.39	0.86

- [28] J. Duarte-Campderros, G. Perez, M. Schlaffer, and A. Soffer. Probing the Higgs–strange-quark coupling at  $e^+e^-$  colliders using light-jet flavor tagging. *Phys. Rev.* D, 101(11):115005, 2020.
- [50] Alexander Albert et al. Strange quark as a probe for new physics in the Higgs sector. In *Snowmass 2021*, 3 2022.
- [59] J. de Blas et al. Higgs Boson Studies at Future Particle Colliders. JHEP, 01:139, 2020.
- [60] Jorge De Blas, Gauthier Durieux, Christophe Grojean, Jiayin Gu, and Ayan Paul. On the future of Higgs, electroweak and diboson measurements at lepton colliders. *JHEP*, 12:117, 2019.

#### Performance V.S. Jet Kinematics





### Performance @ Z and Higgs



10/06/2004

### V.S. Hadronization models



### **Fast/Full Simulation**



Z->μμ (91.2 GeV)

Delphes ~ Perfect PFA (1 – 1 correspondence..)

### Recent update at more benchmarks



• From Jet Flavor Tagging to Jet Origin ID (Preliminary):

- vvH, H $\rightarrow$ cc: 3%  $\rightarrow$  1.7%

10/06/2004 Vcb: 0.75%  $\rightarrow$  0.5% FCPPN/L@Bordeaux

### Updated result on $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^l$ measurement

 Table 2.
 Sensitivity S of different final state particles.

$\sqrt{s}/\text{GeV}$	S of $A_{FB}^{e/\mu}$	$S$ of $A^d_{FB}$	$S  ext{ of } A^u_{FB}$	$S  ext{ of } A^s_{FB}$	$S$ of $A^c_{FB}$	$S  ext{ of } A^b_{FB}$
70	0.224	4.396	1.435	4.403	1.445	4.352
75	0.530	5.264	2.598	5.269	2.616	5.237
92	1.644	5.553	4.200	5.553	4.201	5.549
105	0.269	4.597	1.993	4.598	1.994	4.586
115	0.035	3.956	1.091	3.958	1.087	3.942
130	0.027	3.279	0.531	3.280	0.520	3.261

**Table 3.** Cross section of process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$  calculated using the ZFITTER package. Values of the fundamental parameters are set as  $m_Z = 91.1875 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_t = 173.2 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_{II} = 125 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\alpha_s = 0.118$  and  $m_W = 80.38 \text{ GeV}$ .

$\sqrt{s}/\text{GeV}$	$\sigma_{\mu}/{ m mb}$	$\sigma_d/{ m mb}$	$\sigma_u/{ m mb}$	$\sigma_{\rm s}/{ m mb}$	$\sigma_c/{ m mb}$	$\sigma_b/{ m mb}$
70	0.039	0.032	0.066	0.031	0.058	0.028
75	0.039	0.047	0.073	0.046	0.065	0.043
92	1.196	5.366	4.228	5.366	4.222	5.268
105	0.075	0.271	0.231	0.271	0.227	0.265
115	0.042	0.135	0.122	0.135	0.118	0.132
130	0.026	0.071	0.068	0.071	0.066	0.069
			2.000		2.000	

Verify the RG behavior... using ~1 month of data taking

#### Expected statistical uncertainties on $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^l$ measurement. (Using one-month data collection, ~ 4e12/24 Z events at Z pole)



$\sqrt{s}$	b	С	S
70	$1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.2 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.2 \times 10^{-5}$
75	$1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.8 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.8 \times 10^{-5}$
92	$1.6 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.2 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.2 \times 10^{-6}$
105	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.4 \times 10^{-5}$
115	$1.9 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.8 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.7 \times 10^{-5}$
130	$3.9 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.3 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.4 \times 10^{-5}$

### B-charge flip rate: Bs oscillations





### Arbor: Towards one-to-one correspondence (TOTORO)



## Arbor

# Tree topology of particle shower

Ori. Idea from Henri Videau @ ALEPH

Eur. Phys. J. C (2018) 78:426 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5876-z THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL C

Special Article - Tools for Experiment and Theory

#### Reconstruction of physics objects at the Circular Electron Positron Collider with Arbor

Manqi Ruan<sup>1,a</sup>, Hang Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Gang Li<sup>1</sup>, Chengdong Fu<sup>1</sup>, Zhigang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xinchou Lou<sup>6,7,8</sup>, Dan Yu<sup>1,2</sup>, Vincent Boudry<sup>2</sup>, Henri Videau<sup>2</sup>, Vladislav Balagura<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Claude Brient<sup>2</sup>, Peizhu Lat<sup>3</sup>, Chia-Ming Kuo<sup>3</sup>, Bo Liu<sup>1,4</sup>, Fenfen An<sup>1,4</sup>, Chunhui Chen<sup>4</sup>, Soeren Prell<sup>4</sup>, Bo Li<sup>5</sup>, Imad Laketineh<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France

- <sup>3</sup> Department of Physics and Center of high energy and high field physics, National Central University, Taoyuan City, Taiwan
- <sup>4</sup> Iowa State University, Ames, USA
- <sup>5</sup> Institute de Physique Nucleaire de Lyon, Lyon, France
- <sup>6</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- <sup>7</sup> Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, USA
- <sup>8</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Beijing, China



1

20 GeV Klong reconstructed @ ILD Calo Curves indicating expected particle trajectories (from MC-truth)

#### Validation: Arbor Branch Length Vs MC Truth





Arbor: successfully tag sub-shower structure

Samples: Particle gun event at ILD HCAL (readout granularity 1cm<sup>2</sup> & layer thickness 2.65cm) Length:

Charged MCParticle: spatial distance between generation/end points Arbor branch: sum of distance between neighboring cells



Z→2 jet, H→2 tau ~5%

ZH $\rightarrow$ 4 jets ~50%

Z→2 muon H→WW\*→eevv ~1%

10/06/2004



CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN Data recorded: Thu Jan 1 01:00:00 1970 CEST Run/Event: 1 / 1201 Lumi section: 13

k

#### Boson Mass Resolution: Key Per. Para



#### BMR: impact on critical measurements



#### BMR decomposition @ CDR baseline



- 1<sup>st</sup>, Ultimate Precision ~ 2.8 with CDR baseline3<sup>rd</sup>, HCAL
- 2<sup>nd</sup>, HCAL resolution dominant the uncertainties from intrinsic detector resolution: need better HCAL
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> Leading contribution:
     Confusion from shower
     Fragments (fake particles),
     need better Pattern Reco.

#### Digital HCAL $\rightarrow$ GS HCAL BMR 3.67% $\rightarrow$ 3.35%



Reminder: Not only larger sampling (0.2)... but also thicker (0.1)!

# Preliminary: Identify & veto charged shower fragments using AI



Trained at 12E4 events,

Test & Applied at 4E4 events



#### Frag Veto: BMR $3.35 \rightarrow 2.89\%$



#### ... At Bosons ...


# Inc. Reco. Particle id: Preliminary & in progress

#### efficiency



purity

truth

### Physics Benchmarks for the Det. Ref. TDR

	Processes @ c.m.s.	Domain	Total Det. Performance	Sub-D
H->ss/cc/sb	vvH @ 240 GeV	Higgs	PFA + JOI (Jet origin id)	All sub-D, especially VTX
H->inv	qqH	Higgs/NP	PFA	All
Vcb	WW@ 240/160 GeV	Flavor	JOI + Particle (lepton) id	All
W fusion Xsec	vvH @ 360 GeV	Higgs	PFA + JOI	All
$\alpha_s$	Z->tautau @ 91.2 GeV	QCD	PFA: Tau & Tau final state id	ECAL + Tracker material
B->DK	91.2 GeV	Flavor	PFA + Particle (Kaon) id	All, especially Tracker & ToF
Weak mixing angle	Z	EW	IOI	All
Higgs recoil	IIH	Higgs	Leptons id, track dP/P	Tracker, All
H->bb, cc, gg	vvH	Higgs	PFA + JOI	All
	qqH	Higgs	PFA + JOI + Color Singlet id	All
H->di muon	qqH	Higgs	PFA, Leptons id	Calo, All
H->di photon	qqH	Higgs	PFA, Photons id	ECAL, All
W mass & Width	WW@160 GeV	EW	Beam energy	NAN
Top mass & Width	ttbar@360 GeV	EW	Beam energy	NAN
Bs->vvPhi	Z	Flavor	Object in jets; MET	All
Bc->tauv	Z	Flavor	-	All
B0->2 pi0	Z	Flavor	Particle/pi-0 in jets	ECAL

### Summary

- Electron positron Higgs factory: extremely rich physics program requires excellent physics performance
  - Excellent Pattern, reco  $\rightarrow$  high eff/purity & precision reco. of all physics objects
  - Large acceptance, Extremely stable & excellent intrinsic det performance
- Al: the trends & indispensable tool towards this requirements
  - Significantly enhance the physics reach & alters the detector design/optimization
  - Jet Origin ID: 'see' quark & gluon as lepton & photon
    - ...A "game changer" and opens new horizon for precise flavor studies at all future experiments...
  - PFA: reduces significantly the leading confusion,
    - BMR improved from 3.7% to 2.9%, save ~o(10)% of luminosity for all physics measurements with hadronic events
    - Towards One-to-one correspondence Reco.
- Lots to be explored

One to one correspondence reco. at Higgs factory

### The should, and we could

### Via state-of-art det. Design & technology + Al enhanced algorithms





Aglaia, Thalia, Euphrosyne Grouping, Identification, Measurement PFA. Pid. Jol

10/06/2004

FCPPN/L@Bordeaux

40

### Back up

# Inc. Reco. Particle id: Preliminary & in progress

purity

#### efficiency



truth

10/06/2004

### Summary

- Jet origin id: efficiently separate different species of colored SM particle
  - Stable & Smooth...
  - World leading performance of the tagger with strongest expected constrains...
  - A "game changer" and opens new horizon for precise flavor studies at all future experiments
- Significantly impact on physics
  - Higgs: Boost the access to g(Hss) and Higgs exotic/FCNC with jet final state (3 100 times), and H→cc precision by 2 times
  - Flavor: Improve Vcb precision by  $\sim$ 50%, effective tagging power for b > 40%...
  - EW: Weak mixing angle
    - Reach 1E-6 level precision (at 92 GeV) using 1 month data taking with different flavors.
    - Verify RG behavior
  - QCD: Fragmentation, etc.
  - NP:...
- Long term version: 'see' gluon + quarks, as we see photon + leptons

### Summary

- Arbor + AI: Towards Toolkits of One To One correspondence RecOnstruction: TOTORO
- BMR of 2.9% reached:
  - Using A4 (AI Assistant Arbor Algorithm) + SiW ECAL + GS HCAL
  - Compared to 4% BMR, BMR ~ 3% saves ~ 10% machine time for key physics benchmarks... benefit all physics measurements
- A4 significantly eliminates the shower fragment confusions: Transformer provides unprecedented identification capability (same methodology as Jet Origin ID)
  - SiW ECAL + GS HCAL: BMR ~ 2.5% @ no confusion limit
  - Similar improvements observed at other geometry
- High Granularity Calorimeter with high precision timing: Further improvements anticipated

## Improving HCAL: RPC Digital HCAL $\rightarrow$ GSHCAL

Remarks:

- 1<sup>st</sup>, what matters is not only intrinsic HCAL resolution... but hadron resolution at ECAL + HCAL: Dedicated development towards shower energy estimator is needed
- 2<sup>nd</sup>, performance dependents on Energy threshold, timing cut, etc: digitization study need to be enhanced

## Trinity

- Jet Origin identification
  - Identify quark & gluons jets
- Particle Flow Algorithm: Arbor
  - 1-1 correspondence between visible final state particle and reconstructed ones
- Particle identification:
  - Identify reconstructed particle



Aglaia, Thalia, Euphrosyne

### **BMR** Comparison



Detector	Arbor	A4: AI Assistant Arbor	Improvement
SiW ECAL + RPC DHCAL	3.67	3.31	0.4
SiW ECAL + GSHCAL	3.35 💆 🗕	2.88	0.5
Xstal ECAL + GSHCAL	3.53	3.27	0.3

@ Xstal ECAL: ...to be optimized...

### Higgs white paper



#### Chinese Physics C Vol. 43, No. 4 (2019) 043002

#### Precision Higgs physics at the CEPC\*

Fenfen An(安芬芬)<sup>423</sup> Yu Bai(白羽)<sup>9</sup> Chunhui Chen(陈春晖)<sup>23</sup> Xin Chen(陈新)<sup>5</sup> Zhenxing Chen(陈振兴)<sup>3</sup> Joao Guimaraes da Costa<sup>4</sup> Zhenwei Cui(崔振崴)<sup>3</sup> Yaquan Fang(方亚泉)<sup>4,6,34:1)</sup> Chengdong Fu(付成栋)<sup>4</sup> Jun Gao(高俊)<sup>10</sup> Yanyan Gao(高艳彦)<sup>22</sup> Yuanning Gao(高原宁)<sup>3</sup> Shaofeng Ge(葛韶锋)<sup>15,29</sup> Jiayin Gu(顾嘉荫)<sup>13:2)</sup> Fangyi Guo(郭方毅)<sup>1,4</sup> Jun Guo(郭军)<sup>10</sup> Tao Han(韩涛)<sup>5,31</sup> Shuang Han(韩爽)<sup>4</sup> Hongjian He(何红建)<sup>11,10</sup> Xianke He(何显柯)<sup>10</sup> Xiaogang He(何小刚)<sup>11,10,20</sup> Jifeng Hu(胡继峰)<sup>10</sup> Shih-Chieh Hsu(徐士杰)<sup>32</sup> Shan Jin(金山)<sup>8</sup> Maoqiang Jing(荆茂强)<sup>4,7</sup> Susmita Jyotishmati<sup>33</sup> Ryuta Kiuchi<sup>4</sup> Chia-Ming Kuo(郭家铭)<sup>21</sup> Peizhu Lai(赖培筑)<sup>21</sup> Boyang Li(李博扬)<sup>5</sup> Congqiao Li(李聪乔)<sup>3</sup> Gang Li(李刚)<sup>4,34,3)</sup> Haifeng Li(李海峰)<sup>12</sup> Liang Li(李亮)<sup>10</sup> Shu Li(李数)<sup>11,10</sup> Tong Li(李通)<sup>12</sup> Qiang Li(李强)<sup>3</sup> Hao Liang(梁浩)<sup>4,6</sup> Zhijun Liang(梁志均)<sup>4</sup> Libo Liao(廖立波)<sup>4</sup> Bo Liu(刘波)<sup>4,23</sup> Jianbei Liu(刘建北)<sup>1</sup> Tao Liu(刘涛)<sup>14</sup> Zhen Liu(刘真)<sup>26,30,4)</sup> Xinchou Lou(娄辛丑)<sup>4,633,34</sup> Lianliang Ma(马连良)<sup>12</sup> Bruce Mellado<sup>17,18</sup> Xin Mo(莫欣)<sup>4</sup> Mila Pandurovic<sup>16</sup> Jianming Qian(钱剑明)<sup>24;5)</sup> Zhuoni Qian(钱卓妮)<sup>19</sup> Nikolaos Rompotis<sup>22</sup> Manqi Ruan(阮曼奇)<sup>4:6)</sup> Alex Schuy<sup>32</sup> Lianyou Shan(单连友)<sup>4</sup> Jingyuan Shi(史静远)<sup>9</sup> Xin Shi(史欣)<sup>4</sup> Shufang Su(苏淑芳)<sup>25</sup> Dayong Wang(王大勇)<sup>3</sup> Jin Wang(王锦)<sup>4</sup> Liantao Wang(王连涛)<sup>27;7)</sup> Yifang Wang(王贻芳)<sup>4,6</sup> Yuqian Wei(魏彧骞)<sup>4</sup> Yue Xu(许悦)<sup>5</sup> Haijun Yang(杨海军)<sup>10,11</sup> Ying Yang(杨迎)<sup>4</sup> Weiming Yao(姚为民)<sup>28</sup> Dan Yu(于丹)<sup>4</sup> Kaili Zhang(张凯栗)<sup>4,6:8)</sup> Zhaoru Zhang(张照茹)<sup>4</sup> Mingrui Zhao(赵明锐)<sup>2</sup> Xianghu Zhao(赵祥虎)<sup>4</sup> Ning Zhou(周宁)<sup>10</sup>





### **Snowmass White Paper**

#### ABSTRACT

The Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC) is a large-scale collider facility that can serve as a factory of the Higgs, Z, and W bosons and is upgradable to run at the  $t\bar{t}$  threshold. This document describes the latest CEPC nominal operation scenario and particle yields and updates the corresponding physics potential. A new detector concept is also briefly described. This submission is for consideration by the Snowmass process.

#### CONTENTS

Contributors	1
Abstract	4
I. Executive Summary	6
II. Introduction	8
III. Higgs, EW and top physics	11
A. Measurements of the SM Higgs processes	12
B. Higgs coupling determination	13
C. CP violation in the Higgs couplings	18
D. $W, Z$ electroweak precision measurements at the CEPC	18
E. Measurement of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow WW$ process	21
F. SMEFT global fit of Higgs and electroweak processes	21
IV. Flavor Physics	23
A. Precise Measurements of Flavor Physics Parameters	24
B. (Semi)leptonic and Rare Decays	25
C. Low multiplicity and $\tau$ Physics	26
V. Beyond the Standard Model Physics	28
A. Higgs Exotic Decays	28
B. Supersymmetry	30
1. Light electroweakino and slepton searches	31

	5
2. SUSY global fits	33
C. Dark Matter and Dark Sector	35
1. Lepton portal Dark Matter	35
2. Asymmetric Dark Matter	36
3. Dark sector from exotic Z decay	37
D. Long-lived Particle Searches	40
1. Results with Near Detectors	40
2. Results with FADEPC	41
E. A couple more examples of exotics	44
1. Heavy neutrinos	45
2. Axion-like particles	47
VI. Detector requirements and R&D activities	48
VII. Message to the Snowmass	52
References	52

Summarize ~ 20 citables for CEPC Snowmass studies •

USA

Science, Beijing, 100049, China

Shanghai 200438, China

Beijing, 100049, China

The Physics potential of the CEPC

Prepared for the US Snowmass Community Planning Exercise (Snowmass 2021)

CEPC Physics Study Group

CONTRIBUTORS

Jiefang Blvd 717, Qiaokou District, Wuhan 430033, China

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

• Huajie Cheng, Department of Applied Physics, Naval University of Engineering,

• Wen Han Chiu, Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637.

• Yaquan Fang, Institute of High Energy Physics, University of Chinese Academy of

• Yu Gao, Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics,

• Jiayin Gu, Department of Physics, Center for Field Theory and Particle Physics, Key

Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE), Fudan University,

• Gang Li, Institute of High Energy Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Science,

• Lingfeng Li, Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA

• Tianjun Li, CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical

Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

### Three detector models

Parameters	SiWECAL + SDHCAL (Baseline)	SiWECAL + GSHCAL	CSECAL + GSHCAL
ECAL Material	Si + W	Si + W	BGO (Homogeneous)
ECAL Transverse cell size	$1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$	$1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$	$1 imes 1~{ m cm}^2$
ECAL Number of layers	30	30	27
ECAL Total thickness	$24  X_0$	$24  X_0$	$24  X_0$
ECAL Thickness/layer	Si 0.5 mm (30 layers) W 2.1 mm (20 layers) W 4.2 mm (10 layers)	Si 0.5 mm (30 layers) W 2.1 mm (20 layers) W 4.2 mm (10 layers)	10 mm
HCAL Material	GRPC	Glass + Steel	Glass + Steel
HCAL Transverse cell size	$1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$	$2 imes 2~{ m cm^2}$	$2 imes 2~{ m cm^2}$
HCAL Number of layers	40	48	48
HCAL Total thickness	$5 \lambda$	$6 \lambda$	$6 \lambda$
HCAL Thickness/layer	$egin{array}{ccc} 0.125 \ \lambda \ 3 \ { m mm} \ { m GRPC} + \ 3 \ { m mm} \ { m Electronics} + \ 20 \ { m mm} \ { m Steel} \end{array}$	$0.125 \ \lambda$ 10 mm Glass + 13.85 mm Steel	$0.125 \ \lambda$ 10 mm Glass + 13.85 mm Steel
HCAL Glass density	-	$6 \mathrm{g/cm^3}$	$6 \mathrm{g/cm^3}$

### V.S. Multiplicity



• ...many patterns need further understanding & towards further optimization...

### **Reconstructed Higgs Signatures**



Clear Higgs Signature in all SM decay modes

Massive production of the SM background (2 fermion and 4 fermions) at the full Simulation level

Right corner: di-tau mass distribution at qqH events using collinear approximation 10/06/2004 FCPPN/L@Bordeaux

### Particle identification



week ending 10 JANUARY 2014

#### PRL 112, 012001 (2014)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.012001

10/06/2004

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

PACS numbers: 13.85-t. 07.20.Fw. 13.40-f

#### Fractal Dimension of Particle Showers Measured in a Highly Granular Calorimeter

Manqi Ruan,12.\* Daniel Jeans,13 Vincent Boudry,1 Jean-Claude Brient,1 and Henri Videau1 <sup>1</sup> Juans, Jours Jeans, Vincein Douoy, Jean-Chauo Diffelin, and Henri V <sup>1</sup> Laboratoric Legistrice-Ringuet, Eco polytechnique, CNKS/N27-3, Palaiseau, France <sup>2</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, China <sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, University of Oxfox, Oxfox) 113-3003, Japan (Received 24 May 2013; published 8 January 2014)

We explore the fractal nature of particle showers using Monte Carlo simulation. We define the fractal dimension of showers measured in a high granularity calorimeter designed for a future lepton collider. The shower fractal dimension reveals detailed information of the spatial configuration of the shower. It is found to be characteristic of the type of interaction and highly sensitive to the nature of the incident particle. Using the shower fractal dimension, we demonstrate a particle identification algorithm that can efficiently separate electromagnetic showers, hadronic showers, and nonshowering tracks. We also find a logarithmic dependence of the shower fractal dimension on the particle energy.



Requirement analysis for dE/dx measurement and PID performance at the CEPC baseline detector

Y Zhu S Chen H Cui M Ruan\*

Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 198 Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District, Beijing 100049, China University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19A Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District, Beijing 100049, China

#### FCPPN/L@Bordeaux



Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 1047 (2023) 167835



NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS A NETHODS IN INSTRUMENTS INSTRUMENTS

Eur. Phys. J. C (2023) 83:93 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11221-7 Regular Article - Experimental Physics

THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL C

#### Cluster time measurement with CEPC calorimeter

Yuzhi Che<sup>1</sup>, Vincent Boudry<sup>2</sup>, Henri Videau<sup>2</sup>, Muchen He<sup>1</sup>, Manqi Ruan<sup>1,a</sup> <sup>1</sup> IHEP, Beijing, China <sup>2</sup> LLR, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France

Received: 21 September 2022 / Accepted: 11 January 2023 / Published online: 30 January 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

PUBLISHED BY IOP PUBLISHING FOR SISSA MEDIALAB RECEIVED: December 8, 2020 REVISED: February 2, 2021 ACCEPTED: April 1, 2021 PUBLISHED: June 16, 2021

Lepton identification performance in jets at a future electron positron Higgs Z factory

D. Yu,<sup>a</sup> T. Zheng<sup>b</sup> and M. Ruan<sup>a,\*</sup> aIHEP, Beijing, China <sup>b</sup>Nanjing University, Nanjing, China E-mail: ruanmg@ihep.ac.cn

inst

Eur. Phys. J. C (2017) 77:591 DOI 10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5146-5	TI
Regular Article - Experimental Physics	



Lepton identification at particle flow oriented detector for the future  $e^+e^-$  Higgs factories

Dan Yu<sup>1,2</sup>, Manqi Ruan<sup>1,a</sup>, Vincent Boudry<sup>2</sup>, Henri Videau <sup>1</sup> IHEP, Beijing, China <sup>2</sup> LLR, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France

Eur. Phys. J. C (2018) 78:464 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5803-3 Regular Article - Experimental Physics

THE EUROPEAN	Course Mark
PHYSICAL JOURNAL C	Clossimark

#### Monte Carlo study of particle identification at the CEPC using TPC dE/dx information

F. An<sup>1,2,a</sup>, S. Prell<sup>2</sup>, C. Chen<sup>2</sup>, J. Cochran<sup>2</sup>, X. Lou<sup>1,3,4</sup>, M. Ruan<sup>1,b</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, China
 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA
 Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, USA
 University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing, China

53



### Fractal dimension of particle shower





FCPPN/L@Bordeaux

 $\alpha$  /mm

α./mm



- FD characteristics of different beam particles
  - Imaging capability of high granularity calorimeter ()











#### Lepton: isolated **CEPC** Preliminary $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ ; Ldt = 5 ab<sup>-1</sup> **~102** CEPC Simulation log10(ELike) agged eff(%) Entries/0.25 GeV 4000 S+B Fit Signal Background 100 98 2000 -electron 96 muon 94 - pion -10 Electron $M_{recoil}^{\mu^{+}\mu^{1}}[GeV]$ 125 120 135 • Muon 92 × Pion 90 -15 10<sup>2</sup> -10 1500 -5 -15 10 log10(MuLike) GeV Energy +B Fit Signal Background

BDT method using 4 classes of 24 input discrimination variables.

Test performance at: Electron = E likeness > 0.5; Muon = Mu likeness > 0.5Single charged reconstructed particle, for E > 2 GeV: lepton efficiency > 99.5% && Pion mis id rate  $\sim 1\%$ 



https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5146-5 CEPC-DocDB-id:148, Eur. Phys. J. C (2017) 77: 591 FCPPN/L@Bordeaux 56

### Lepton: inside jet



Compared the single particle sample, the jet lepton (at Z->bb sample at sqrt = 91.2 GeV) Performance will be slightly degraded – Due to the limited clustering performance (splitting & contaimination).

At the same working point, the efficiency can be reduced by up to 3%; while mis-id rate increases up to 1%. Marginal Impact on Flavor Physics measurements as Bc->tauv.



Highly appreciated in flavor physics @ CEPC Z pole TPC dEdx + ToF of 50 ps

At inclusive Z pole sample:

Conservative estimation gives efficiency/purity of 91%/94% (2-20 GeV, 50% degrading +50 ps ToF) Could be improved to 96%/96% by better detector/DAQ performance (20% degrading + 50 ps ToF)

### Pid performance



3% of dE/dx & dN/dx + 50 ps ToF: eff/purity of Kaon reco > 95%

10/06/2004

### Neutral Particle id: Very Preliminary



• Fast Sim Prediction: BMR:  $2.9 \rightarrow 2.6$ 

- Need excellent CALO + ToF ~ o(10 ps)
- Need high efficiency neutral hadron reco (1-1 correspondence)

### 2-body decay particles and tau leptons



Fig. 7 All reconstructed mass distributions of  $K_S^0$  and  $\Lambda$ . They are fitted with double-sided crystal ball functions

10/06/2004

### b-jets: dependency on Leading hadron



10/06/2004

62

### c-jets: dependency on Leading hadron



63

### White papers

- Higgs: published in 2019, updated in 2021 Snowmass WP
- Flavor:
  - Main editors: Lingfeng Li (Brown U), TaoLiu (HKUST), Fengkun Guo (ITP), Lorenzo Calibbi (Tianjing U), Xinqiang Li (CCNU), Qin Qin (Huazhong S&T), etc)
- EW: draft for internal review expected at beginning of 2024 released at middle 2024
  - Main editors: Jiayin Gu (Fudan U), Zhijun Liang (IHEP)
- NP: same as EW White paper
  - Main editors: Jia Liu (PKU), Liantao Wang(Chicago U), Zhen Liu (Minnesota U), Xuai Zhuang (IHEP), Yu Gao (IHEP), etc
- QCD:
  - Main editors: Huaxing Zhu (PKU), Meng Xiao (ZJU), Jun Gao (SJTU), Zhao Li (IHEP), etc
  - Very rich physics: strong coupling constant measurement + Form Factor + Hadron Fragmentation + QCD Phase transition + accurate calculation + interplay to other measurements especially Flavor & Higgs...

### s-jets: dependency on Leading hadron



10/06/2004

65

### **Fragmentation comparison**



10/06/2004

### Reco. Particle id: Preliminary E > 30 GeV

efficiency



10/06/2004

FCPPN/L@Bordeaux

purity

#### M11 3 with charged hadron and K<sub>L</sub> K<sub>S</sub>

	b -	0 748	0.150	0.024	0.094	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.018	0.7-0.8
		0.748	0.159	0.034	0.024	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.018	0.6-0.65
	$\overline{L}$												0.5-0.6
	<i>р</i> -	0.158	0.749	0.025	0.034	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.017	0.4-0.5
													0.35-0.4
	с-	0.016	0.014	0 752	0.053	0.040	0.034	0.020	0.008	0.008	0.017	0.038	0.3-0.33
		0.010	0.014	0.102	0.000	0.040	0.004	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.000	0.25-0.3
	_												0.15-0.2
	с-	0.015	0.016	0.053	0.749	0.034	0.041	0.008	0.020	0.017	0.009	0.039	0.1-0.15
													0.09-0.1
	5 -	0.002	0.002	0.021	0.010	0.607	0.110	0.020	0.056	0.044	0.041	0.077	0.085-0.09
	-	0.005	0.002	0.021	0.019	0.007	0.110	0.020	0.050	0.044	0.041	0.077	0.08-0.085
Ð	_												0.075-0.08
2	5 -	0.003	0.003	0.019	0.023	0.107	0.609	0.057	0.019	0.041	0.043	0.078	0.07-0.075
													0.06-0.07
		0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.104	0.070	0.055	0.000	0.107	0.100	0.05-0.06
	u	0.002	0.003	0.016	0.009	0.032	0.104	0.378	0.057	0.093	0.197	0.108	0.03-0.04
													0.02-0.03
	<del>u</del> -	0.003	0.002	0.009	0.016	0.102	0.032	0.062	0.371	0.202	0.094	0.108	0.01-0.02
													0.009
	d												0.008
	u -	0.003	0.002	0.010	0.016	0.076	0.074	0.087	0.201	0.335	0.086	0.110	0.007
													0.006
	<u>d</u> -	0.003	0.003	0.016	0.009	0.075	0.076	0 210	0.083	0.086	0.330	0 1 1 0	0.005
		0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.010	0.010	0.210	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.110	0.004
	~												0.003
	G -	0.015	0.015	0.024	0.024	0.051	0.050	0.042	0.042	0.040	0.041	0.657	0.001
		1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>		1	1	<u> </u>	I	
		b	b	С	Ĉ	S	Ŝ	и	$\overline{u}$	d	d	G	
						Pr	edicte	ed					

#### M11 2 with charged hadron

	b -	0.738	0.167	0.034	0.026	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.018
	<del>-</del> b	0.167	0.737	0.026	0.034	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.018
	с -	0.015	0.015	0.740	0.057	0.037	0.032	0.026	0.010	0.009	0.017	0.043
	<del>.</del> -	0.015	0.015	0.055	0.741	0.032	0.037	0.010	0.026	0.016	0.010	0.043
	s -	0.003	0.003	0.020	0.018	0.541	0.104	0.030	0.082	0.062	0.045	0.092
True	<u>s</u> -	0.002	0.003	0.018	0.021	0.101	0.543	0.085	0.028	0.044	0.062	0.092
	u -	0.002	0.003	0.019	0.012	0.044	0.132	0.375	0.057	0.079	0.168	0.109
	<del>u</del> -	0.003	0.002	0.011	0.020	0.132	0.043	0.062	0.368	0.166	0.084	0.108
	d -	0.003	0.003	0.012	0.020	0.111	0.093	0.083	0.223	0.261	0.080	0.110
	d -	0.003	0.003	0.020	0.013	0.093	0.113	0.226	0.079	0.076	0.265	0.110
	G -	0.015	0.014	0.025	0.025	0.053	0.053	0.043	0.044	0.033	0.035	0.661
		b	$\frac{1}{b}$	Ċ	$\frac{1}{C}$	י 5	$\frac{1}{S}$	u	$\frac{1}{U}$	d	$\frac{1}{d}$	Ġ
						Pr	redicte	ed				

### Inc. Reco. Particle id: Preliminary & in progress

- 0.8

0.6

0.4

- 0.2

#### Normalized

							pre	ed						
L	ė	μ	π	ĸ	p	Ŷ	KL <sup>0</sup>	'n	other h <sup>0</sup>	r±	ŕ	MultiTrk	ChWoTrk	NullMCP
JIMCP -	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000
WoTrk -	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.034	0.005	0.015	0. <b>00</b> 0	0.306	0.062	0.000	0.578	0.000
lultiTrk -	0.022	0.159	0.327	0.039	0.122	0.000	0.000	0.000	0. <b>0</b> 10	0.000	0.000	0.321	0.000	0.000
f <sup>0</sup> -	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.002	0.005	0 <b>.00</b> 0	0.281	0.686	0.000	0.015	0.000
f± -	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.957	0.028	0.000	0.007	0.000
other h <sup>0</sup>	0.003	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.073	0.064	0.160	0.367	0.118	0.147	0.033	0.005	0.027	0.000
n -	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.043	<b>0</b> .407	0 <b>.00</b> 0	0.472	0.046	0.000	0.020	0.000
κ <u>0</u> -	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.024	0.588	0.144	0. <b>00</b> 0	0.151	0.060	0.000	0.032	0.000
γ-	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.973	0.000	0.000	0 <b>.00</b> 0	0.017	0.005	0.000	0.004	0.000
р -	0.002	0.000	0.007	0.012	0.978	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
κ-	0.002	0.000	0.035	0.955	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
п -	0.000	0.002	0.995	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0 <b>.00</b> 0	0.000	0.000	0 <b>.00</b> 0	0.000	0.000
μ-	0.000	0.697	0.297	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000
е-	0.983	0.000	0.003	0.011	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
1														

#### Entries

	e -	40069	0	112	429	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	0
	μ-	4	11840	5040	43	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	0
	п -	79	2150	983096	<b>19</b> 95	213	0	0	0	0	0	1	148	1	0
	К -	223	21	3729	102712	835	0	0	0	0	1	0	23	0	0
	p -	137	17	501	937	<b>749</b> 43	0	0	0	24	0	0	54	0	0
	γ-	0	0	0	0	0	1312839	497	544	0	22617	7224	0	5068	0
	$K_L^0$ -	0	0	0	0	0	1401	34461	8467	1	8874	3521	0	1899	0
	n -	0	0	0	0	0	19 <b>79</b>	6724	64342	0	74575	7219	0	3087	0
	other h <sup>0</sup>	5	0	2	4	130	113	285	652	210	262	58	8	48	0
	f± -	0	0	0	0	0	14056	1675	8590	0	2958612	85131	0	22415	0
	f <sup>0</sup> -	0	0	0	0	0	8055	1303	3538	0	199653	488314	0	10684	0
I	MultiTrk -	40	288	593	71	222	0	0	0	18	0	0	583	0	0
С	hWoTrk -	0	0	0	0	0	7392	1016	3169	4	66495	13494	0	125575	0
N	ulimcp -	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4739
		e	μ	п	ĸ	p	Ŷ	K <sup>0</sup> L	'n	other h <sup>0</sup>	f±	f <sup>0</sup>	MultiTrk	ChWoTrk	NullMCP

10/06/2004

truth

#### FCPPN/L@Bordeaux

69

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

- 0.5

# CDR baseline (SiW + RPC): BMR $3.67 \rightarrow 3.31\%$



Truth level veto prediction: 3.70 -> 3.33%

### M2(Xstal + GS): BMR $3.53 \rightarrow 3.27\%$



### Key figures of the CEPC-SPPC

- Tunnel ~ 100 km
- CEPC (90 240 GeV)
  - Higgs factory: 4M Higgs boson
    - Absolute measurements of Higgs boson width and couplings
    - Searching for exotic Higgs decay modes (New Physics)
  - Z & W factory: ~ 4 Tera Z boson, Booster(7.2Km)
    - Precision test of the SM Medium Energy Booster(4.5Km)
    - Rare decay
      - Flavor factory: b, c, tau
    - QCD studies
- Upgradable to ttbar threshold (360 GeV)
- SPPC (~ 100 TeV)

CEPC Collider Ring(50Km) IP2

Low Energy Booster(0.4Km)

- Direct search for new physics
- Complementary Higgs measurements to CEPC g(HHH), g(Htt)

- ...

Heavy ion, e-p collision...

TP4

IP3

LTB

e+ e- Linac

(240m)