Third-generation detectors, plans & challenge

Andreas Freise 17.06.2021 ISAPP summer school 2021



Visions and plans for your future









Third-generation GW observatories

- plus, and aLIGO+.
- significant better sensitivity than current observatories.
- Note that these `generations' are not defined very precisely and not always used to mean the same.
- Most of the following material is related two planned projects:



Einstein Telescope (ET) underground, 10 km, in Europe

• We expect to have a heterogeneous network of upgraded second-generation detectors, such as Advanced Virgo

• 3G, or 'third-generation' typically refers to additional future ground-based observatories in new infrastructures with



Cosmic Explorer (CE) on surface, 40 km, in the US







International collaboration



https://gwic.ligo.org/assets/docs/general/roadmap.pdf

• The Gravitational Wave International Committee (GWIC) roadmap, June 2010





GWIC 3G

- GWIC = Gravitational Wave International Committee
- ET (since 2009).
- how to get there, chaired by Michele Punturo and Dave Reitze'
- Governance.
- Sub-sub-sub-committees:

 Members are based on GW projects, include for example LIGO, Virgo, KAGRA, LISA, EPTA and also Matthew Evans for Cosmic Explorer (since 2019) and Michele Punturo for

• GWIC 3G = sub-committee `to undertake a study of Third generation detectors and

• Sub-sub-committees: Science Case, R&D Coordination Networking among the groundbased GW community and other communities, Agency interfacing and advocacy and





- LIGO/US specific, now with a more global focus
- Report from Dawn IV, 30-31 August 2018: https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1900028/public the network.'
- Cascina, Italy
- somewhat delayed due to Covid.

Dawn meetings

• Series of meetings started in 2015, looking at the future of the field of ground-based GW detection, first

`In order to maximize the scientific output of the global network of detectors, we propose as a future goal a network of three 3G detectors; potentially an Einstein Telescope in Europe, a Cosmic Explorer in the US, and a third 3G detector in a location that maximizes the sky localization ability of

• Most recent meeting: Dawn V on Global Strategies for Gravitational Wave Astronomy: 26-27 May 2019 in

• Since then ET and CE have seen progress as individual projects. Global coordination and communication











Case for thirdgeneration detectors







New observatories instead of further upgrades?

- Ground-based GW observatories are currently being upgraded. Project pans exist up to the O5 observing run, planned for **2025-2027**.
- Discussions have started to plan further upgrades of existing detectors in the **post**-**O5 period**.
- However, eventually the benefits of such upgrades will be **limited by the facilities** (length of the arms, space in the building, environmental noise of the site, paging material)
- New observatories hope to use much greater arm lengths, which is not possible in the current locations.
- New locations allow potentially joint observation by a network of 3G and 2G detectors.







1.4+1.4M₀ NS+NS

Image by Evan Hall and Salvatore Vitale, see also https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.04833



Star formation rates across the universe









The case for future GW observatories

Current observatories Modern galaxies form



Early star formation, primordial black holes, seeds of supermassive black holes, standard-sirens to measure Hubble constant to much earlier ages ...

Feild (STScl)] and , Cruz), Santa Robertson (University of California, Β. Oesch and Ш [Credits: NASA,

Set the alarms for astronomers



Credit: Evan Hall

Structure of Neutron Stars



Figure by Jocelyn Read, see also arXiv:1306.4065







New detectors! How many? Where?













Better low-frequency

Better bucket

Low/High frequency - Network size trade off

Better high-frequency



Shape and size of networks

Code	Location	Lat.	Long.	θ_{XE}
Η	Hanford, USA	46.5	-119.4	126
L	Livingston, USA	30.6	-90.8	-162
V	Pisa, Italy	43.6	10.5	71
I*	India	14.2	76.4	45
Κ	Kamioka, Japan	36.4	137.3	28
E*	Europe	47.4	8.5	11
A*	Western Australia	-31.5	118.0	-58
U*	Utah, USA	40.8	-113.8	-30

Network	Н	L	V	Ι	K	Е	Α	U
HLV	aL	aL	AdV			_	_	
3Voy	Voy		Voy	Voy		_	_	
ET/2Voy		Voy	_		Voy	ET	_	
CE/2Voy		_	Voy		Voy	_		CE
ET/CE/Voy			_	Voy		ET	_	CE
2CE/Voy			_		Voy	_	CE	CE
ET/2CE						ET	CE	CE
3CE			_			CE	CE	CE
3ET	—	—	—			ET	ET	ET

`we conclude that when designing a three-facility next-generation network, one must think carefully about which detectors should comprise the network. However, one has a great deal of freedom to choose the locations and orientations of the facilities.'

https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.09485







Network effects on parameter estimation errors

	Longitude	Latitude	Orientation	Type	
L	-1.58	0.533	2.83	CE	US
С	1.82	0.67	1.57	CE	China
Ι	1.34	0.34	0.57	CE	India
E	0.182	0.76	0.34	ET	Europe
A	2.02	-0.55	0	CE	Australi

Luminosity distance

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.064052





Nikhef VU VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT 17







Cosmic Explorer









Cosmic Explorer (CE) is a US-based concept for a third-generation ground-based GW observatory.

by Caltech, Cal State Fullerton, Penn State, Syracuse and MIT (results will be published soon).

www.cosmicexplorer.org



Current status: three-year horizon study, funded by the NSF, 2018–2021,



CE baseline

- The Cosmic Explorer concept consists of **two widely-separated L-shaped observatories** in the United States, they are facilities on the **surface**, one with **40** km long arms and another with 20 km arms. Their instrumentation is based on the well-known dual-recycled Fabry-Perot Michelson interferometer configuration.
- First observations planned for 2035. The expected minimum lifetime of the infrastructure is 50 years, the interferometers installed in the Cosmic Explorer observatories will evolve as the technologies and science evolve.





Timeline for Cosmic Explorer (very funding dependent!)







Potential technology evolution

Example: Mirror suspension

- aLIGO+: 1µm, 0.8MW, 6dB squeezing
- CE: 1µm, 1.5MW, 10dB squeezing (or 2µm, 3MW, 10dB squeezing)

https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.03608



Design evolution and design options



- mostly using the existing technology developed for LIGO A+

https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.03608

Quantity	Units	CE1 –	CE1	CE2 (1 µm)	CE2 (2
Arm power	MW	1.5	5 1.5	5 1.5	3
Wavelength	μm	1	1	1	
Squeezing	dB	6	6	10	10
Material		Silica	Silica	Silica	Silico
Temperature	Κ	293	293	293	123
Final stage blade		No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rayleigh wave suppr.		None	$2\times$	$10 \times$	10×
Body wave suppr.		None	None	3×	3×
Susp. point at 1 Hz	$\rm pmHz^{-1/2}$	10	1	0.1	(
Coatings		A+	A+	A+	Voyag
ITM spot size	cm	12	12	12	16
ETM spot size	cm	12	12	12	16

• Design study to explore different technology options towards the sensitivity target • CE1: achieve significantly higher sensitivities than the second generation detectors

• CE2: further significant improvement possible with two different technology options









Similar sensitivity with different technology options (CE2)



https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.03608







Required technology development for the CE2 options

1µm

- Silica test mass, 70 cm Ø; low impurity
- Silica blade springs with 800 MPa of tensile stress
- A+ coatings over 70 cm Ø
- FD squeezing down to 5 Hz: 6 dB for CE1; 10 dB for CE2
- 1.5 MW arm power
- Mitigation of point absorbers

Kevin Kuns, see also <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.03608</u>

2µm

- Silicon test mass, 80 cm Ø; low impurity
- Silicon ribbons and blade springs with 400 MPa of tensile stress
- Voyager coatings over 80 cm Ø
- 10 dB FD squeezing down to 5 Hz
- High quantum efficiency photodiodes
- 3.0 MW arm power
- 123 K cryogenics











Voyager: exploiting the LIGO facility



`A Cryogenic Silicon Interferometer for Gravitational-wave Detection' https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.11173



UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM







(3) Radiative cooling

Christopher Wipf

Adhikari et al, CQG 37 165003 (2020)

Potential high-tech upgrade of LIGO observatories, research and development for 3G observatories

(2) Crystalline silicon substrate

- Improves quantum noise. 200 kg mass, 3 MW power
- High thermal conductivity, ultra-low expansion at 123 K

 Remains efficient at 123 K Suspension design not constrained* by cryogenics

*i.e. the suspension is not required to conductively extract any heat













Mariner

- Voyager-like prototype in the Caltech 40 m Lab
- (Phase 0: balanced homodyne for A+)
- Phase 1: cryo silicon FPMI
- Phase 2: ~Voyager DRFPMI

Will Test

Silicon optics

123 K operation

Pre-stabilized laser at 2 µm

Arm length stabilization at 1.4 µm

Sensing & control (DRFPMI, balanced homodyne)

Maybe squeezing?

Christopher Wipf



Won't Test

Quad suspensions

Active seismic isolation

High power

Big beam spots

Thermal compensation

Filter cavities







 α

The Einstein Telescope









Einstein Telescope

Large laboratories and three 10 km long tunnels, more than 200m underground

10 km

A future European gravitational waves observatory



Einstein gravitational wave Telescope

Conceptual Design Study

(2011)

00





Einstein Telescope **Design Report Update 2020**

ESFRI Application

ET Steering Committee Editorial Team

Document available in the ET document system: https://apps.et-gw.eu/tds/ql/?c=15418













ET Site Candidates

ET has two site candidates with community support and political support: a) Euregion Meuse-Rhine, a cross-border region in the Netherlands, Belgium, Germany, and b) Sardinia Italy















Einstein Telescope timeline

The Einstein Telescope is planned as a large underground facility with a 50+ years lifespan.

- 2010 ET conceptual design completed
- 2020/2021 Design update, forming the ET collaboration, ESFRI application
- 2024/2025 Site selection
- 2026 Full technical design
- 2027 Infrastructure realisation start (excavation,)
- 2032+ installation / commissioning / operation

Join us at: <u>https://wiki.et-gw.eu/ISB/WelcomePage</u>







ET Steering Committee



ET Collaboration is forming and organising

more than 350 members in new collaboration database





Einstein Telescope design

Parameter	ET-HF	ET-LF	
Arm length	1 0 km	10 km	
Input power (after IMC)	500 W	3 W	
Arm power	3 MW	18 kW	
Temperature	290 K	10-20 K	
Mirror material	fused silica	silicon	
Mirror diameter / thickness	62 cm / 30 cm	45 cm/ 57 cm	
Mirror masses	200 kg	211 kg	
Laser wavelength	1 064 nm	1550 nm	
SR-phase (rad)	tuned (0.0)	detuned (0.6)	
SR transmittance	10%	20 %	
Quantum noise suppression	freq. dep. squeez.	freq. dep. squeez.	
Filter cavities	$1 \times 300 \mathrm{m}$	2×1.0 km	
Squeezing level	10 dB (effective)	10 dB (effective)	
Beam shape	TEM ₀₀	TEM_{00}	
Beam radius	1 2.0 cm	9 cm	
Scatter loss per surface	37 ppm	37 ppm	
Seismic isolation	SA, 8 m tall	mod SA, 17 m tall	
Seismic (for $f > 1 \text{ Hz}$)	$5 \cdot 10^{-10} \mathrm{m}/f^2$	$5 \cdot 10^{-10} \mathrm{m}/f^2$	
Gravity gradient subtraction	none	factor of a few	










ETpathfinder

- 10m prototype facility, currently under construction in Maastricht
- 14.5M€ investment
- ~20 universities and research institutes from NL/BE/DE/F contribute

Jan-Simon Henning



Cryogenic Prototyping: ET Pathfinder











Timelines towards and around ET





Slide: Domenico D'Urso

Measurement in Sardinia

Characterization of the Bitti and Onani corners: Surface and underground seismic and environmental measurements will start soon



4 broadband seismometers, 3 short-period seismometers, 2 magnetometers, 1 tiltmeter distributed over underground and surface stations

EINS E











26



0270000966 EINSTEIN **Measurements in the Euregio Meuse-Rhine** ΕΊ Data: TELESCOP https://www.fdsn.org/ne 08 mannomment tworks/detail/3T_2020/ November 2020 February 2021 (a) mm Δ NIJSWILLE Maastricht NL.VKB NL.ROLD (b) MAME Würse 2020 Riemst 50.8°N (C) mm Aachen NE MAME BE.BEBN ember E313 50.75°N NLTERZN Kelmis E40 50.7°N E25 No mon NL.HGN Raeren Lontzen E40 TERZ

Herve

Soumagne



mm

5.6°E

Frank Linde







NEMO









Australian concept for a high-frequency observatory



Vaishali Adya, see also NEMO paper : doi:10.1017/pasa.2020.39





NEMO current design choices

- Test mass weight = 74.1 kg
- Test mass coating : AlGaAs/GaAs*
- ITM = 150 K, ETM = 123 K
- ROC_{ITM} = 1800 m; ROC_{ETM} = 2500 m
- Suspension material : steel*
- Test mass cooling method : radiative
- Laser wavelength 2µm
- mirror material: silicon
- arm power 4.5 MW

Vaishali Adya, see also NEMO paper : doi:10.1017/pasa.2020.39









Challenges

some examples for interesting new ideas or challenges









Improving technology



- Reducing the self noise by improving each subsystem of the detector.
- State-of-the-art research on laser, materials, cryogenics, ...

https://gwic.ligo.org/3Gsubcomm/documents/GWIC_3G_R_D_Subcommittee_report_July_2019.pdf







Examples for 3G challenges

- the identification of a facility site with low seismic and acoustic noise, and other suitable environmental properties
- development of mitigation techniques for Newtonian noise
- development of low-noise, efficient cryogenic mirror suspension
- the production of large, high-quality test mass substrates, both silica and silicon or sapphire
- the polishing and coating of large test mass substrates to very low spatial roughness at larger spatial scales
- the development of suitable mirror coatings
- the development of multi-stage suspensions supporting test masses of several 100 kg
- the development lower cost vacuum technology for ultra-high vacuum in vacuum chambers and the beam tubes

[adapted excerpts from ET and CE design studies]









- with much better sensitivity
- when we chose cryogenic silicon
- when we need a 40km long site
- with very long interferometer arms
- when we push for low-frequency signals
- with a triangle detector shape
- when we design a large underground infrastructure



Selected stories: What happens ...





With better sensitivity...











Overlapping signal

Fraction of BNS with a given number of overlaps



https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07544 https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07692

gers	

	# of detections	$\mathrm{SNR}_{\mathrm{net}}$	# with $SNR_{net} > 250$	# with $SNR_{net} > 100$	# with SN.
BBH					
Low rate	53756	$81.1^{+94.2}_{-57.3}$	3069 (5%)	20605 (35%)	40063 (
Median rate	85725	$81.3^{+93.9}_{-57.5}$	4972~(5%)	33148~(39%)	63958 (
High rate	137225	$81.5^{+94.2}_{-57.4}$	7860~(6%)	53419(39%)	102766
BNS					
Low rate	98898	$19.2^{+22.1}_{-4.9}$	17 (0.017%)	298~(0.30%)	2712 (2
Median rate	396793	$19.1^{+22.0}_{-4.8}$	73 (0.018%)	1257 (0.32%)	10659 (
High rate	1004525	$19.1^{+22.1}_{-4.8}$	196~(0.020%)	3255~(0.32%)	27135 (

The number of events detected by a network of two CEs and one ET in one year of simulated data















- large amount of signals pose challenge for parameter estimation pipelines

https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07544 https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07692

• 3G detectors will roughly have the same data rate as current detectors, but the very

When two signals are overlapping with less than 2 seconds separation (merger time) there can be significant biases in the parameter estimation for shorter/weaker signal.









Calibration must improve as much as SNR

- 3G detectors such as ET will require sub-one-percent calibration accuracy in order to fully benefit from their increased sensitivity
- Self-calibration, i.e. calibrating the detector using the detected signal and null-streams can help to achieve that.
- ET provides such a null-stream stand-alone, which is sky-position and polarisation independent (this is not the case for a distributed network).

Self-calibration of Networks of Gravitational Wave Detectors

Bernard F. Schutz

School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK, CF24 3AA and Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), 14476 Potsdam/Golm, Germany*

B. S. Sathyaprakash

September 2020, https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.10212



Sky-independent null stream В.

The design of the proposed 3G detector ET envisages three V-shaped interferometers, one each at the three vertices of an equilateral triangle. The sum of the responses of the three interferometers, as we shall see below, is a null stream no matter where the source is in the sky. In fact, this is true more generally for any configuration that has a closed topology. Consequently, self-calibration with ET is significantly simpler.









Going for low-frequencies









New special focus: noise at low frequencies













LIGO Livingston Noise budget 27.03.2019



R&D example: advanced seismic sensors



Goal: inertial control at low frequencies for suspension shortening and RMS motion suppression





Nikhef VU VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT AMSTERDAM 56





Underground and low noise



ET is planned >200m underground. Further mitigation of NN from seismic surface and underground fields might be achieved with noise cancellation using arrays of seismometers.

Seismic Newtonian noise (NN)

Atmospheric NN cancellation would be extremely challenging due to lack of a good monitoring system. ET can avoid it by going underground!

Acoustic NN

Low-noise environment

Frequency [Hz]

We must create a low-noise infrastructure. If KAGRA can do it (not creating excess noise in the NN band), so can the Einstein Telescope.











With cryogenic silicon...











- Cryogenic mirrors and mirror suspensions can significantly reduce the thermal noise
- This requires change of material as fused silica does not show this effect. Alternatives crystalline materials such as silicon and sapphire
- Silicon cannot be operated at 1u, different wavelength is requires
- Wavelength change impacts many aspects of the interferometer and depends on many technology developments





Nikhef VU VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT 59







With very long arms...











Long arms make wide beams



LIGO DCC G1702391







Long arms (CE: 40km and 20km)

- The free-spectral range (FSR) of about 3.7 kHz for a 40km interferometer coincides with a decrease in sensitivity at frequencies of most interest for post merger science.
- Signal recycling allows changing the detector response at low-cost (change one mirror), e.g. changing from broadband to narrowband operation.
- 20km is the right length for 2-3kHz signals in a narrowband configuration optimised for **post**merger observations.
- 40km is better when operating for boradband compact binary detection.

Varun Srivastava, Kevin Kuns https://dcc.cosmicexplorer.org/CE-T2000007







Frequency Stabilization

- ▶ In LIGO, the laser frequency is stabilized in three steps. In the last step the frequency is stabilized to the common arm length of the interferometer with a loop with a bandwidth of about 20 kHz.
- ► FSR of LIGO is 37.5 kHz but for CE is 3.75 kHz.
- Right half-plane zeros at the FSR makes this difficult to control.

Kevin Kuns https://agenda.infn.it/event/15928/contributions/89737/attachments/63320/76221/main.pdf Nikihef VU% Misterian 63







Undercoupling leads to left half plane zeros

CARM Response



Kevin Kuns https://agenda.infn.it/event/15928/contributions/89737/attachments/63320/76221/main.pdf Nikihef VU% Misterian 64







Frequency stabilisation scheme using 20MC for passive filtering

Cavity	Length	Bandwidth	Note
Reference cavity	20 cm	500 kHz	Optional
Input mode cleaner 1	100 m	100 kHz	High BW feedback to laser frequency
Input mode cleaner 2	90-330 m	30 Hz	Low BW feedback to IMC2 suspension
Common-arm length	10-40 km	200 Hz	Low BW feedback to arm suspensions



Craig Cahillane, paper in preparation









Site search, CE example

- 20km and 40 km flat surface site
- low seismic noise
- frequency of earthquakes and likelihood of natural disasters

40 km

 need to study potential cultural, environmental, socio-economic, political, and other impacts of hosting a large observatory.

E HBIL MIT https://dcc-lho.ligo.org/LIGO-G1901904



Site search example, optimise for earth removal



Well-chosen sites require moving of less than 5 x 10⁶ m³ of dirt, compared to ~10⁷ m³ for a flat site.

Kevin Kuns <u>https://dcc-lho.ligo.org/LIGO-G1901564</u>



Nikhef VU NIVERSITEIT 67





Rethinking your footprint







The origins of the ET triangle



Triangle first proposed:1985, MPQ-101. W.Winkler, K.Maischberger, A.Rüdiger, R.Schilling, L.Schnupp, D.Shoemaker: Plans for a Large Gravitational Wave Antenna in Germany



3 detectors



Might be confusing: current ET design has two interferometers per detectors (xylophone). But those could be replaced by **one** interferometer each. This is **not relevant** for the discussion of the triangle as a detector shape.

https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.1036









 $h(t) = F_{+}(t)h_{+}(t) + F_{\times}(t)h_{\times}(t)$

[P Jaranowski et al, Phys Rev D 58 1998]

Opening angle:

$$h(t) = \sin(\zeta) \times (..)$$

 $\sin(60^\circ) = \sqrt{3/4} = 0$

https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.1036

Simple equations















https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.1036

Simple equations

 $h(\gamma) = \sin \zeta \left[(C_1 \sin 2\gamma + C_2 \cos 2\gamma) h_+ \right]$ $+ (C_3 \sin 2\gamma + C_4 \cos 2\gamma) h_{\times}]$

Oriented at different angles the instrument measure combinations of the different polarisations. Combine signals for reconstructing signals from other orientations:

$$-h_{0^\circ} = h_{240^\circ} + h_{120^\circ}$$
 $h_{45^\circ} = rac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(h_{240^\circ} - h_{120^\circ}
ight)$










https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.1036

Simple equations

 $h_{\Lambda}(0^{\circ}) = h(0^{\circ}) - h(120^{\circ}) - h(240^{\circ}) = 2h(0^{\circ})$ $SNR_{\Delta,10 \, km} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} SNR_{L,10 \, km} = SNR_{L,10 \, km}$ $SNR_{\Delta,10 \, \text{km}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} SNR_{L,10 \, \text{km}} \approx 1.06 \, SNR_{L,10 \, \text{km}}$ 10 km Nikhef VU VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT AMSTERDAM 73 ET EINSTEIN TELESCOPE





Co-aligned, and both polarisation:





https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.1036

Simple equations

 $\text{SNR}_{2L,7.5 \,\text{km}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{7.5}{10} \text{SNR}_{L,10 \,\text{km}} \approx 1.06 \, \text{SNR}_{L,10 \,\text{km}}$









- Same sensitivity, same features
- 30 km `tunnel' length, 60 km beam tube length
- Triangle expected to be cheaper because of lower number of vertices
- Provides smaller peak sensitivity than single L with same integrated arm length



https://arxiv.org/abs/0804.1036

Simple equations





Triangle motivation summary

- Designed to optimise an observatory in a single-site
- Observatory optimised for both polarisation
- Co-aligned interferometers (null-streams)
- Single infrastructure (cost efficient and prominent)
- Redundant detectors: allows installation or upgrade of one detector while two are taking data









Designing large underground infrastructures









Large Infrastructure









24/11/2010

ET CONCEPT - ISSUES







- 'Some consideration on the ET infrastructure, the case for a Sardinian site' G. Losurdo 20.04.2018
- Similar plots in https://apps.et-gw.eu/tds/ql/?c=13309



ET CONCEPT - ISSUES







Implenia civil engineering study









Tunnel layout with technical details



A. Paoli 19.11.2019











C D caverns

JOKMTBMtunnel











Available on app stores or: www.laserlabs.org

LASER LABS III (