



ESCAPE

European Science Cluster of Astronomy &
Particle physics ESFRI research Infrastructures

Software & Service Repository CEVO view

Marco Molinaro on behalf of the CEVO (WP4) members
H2020 ESCAPE Progress Meeting - 26 February 2020, Brussels



“Connecting ESFRI projects to EOSC through VO framework”

CEVO activities that could be related to the ESCAPE Software & Service Repository

- Task-4.1: integration of the VO architecture within EOSC
 - Registry integration
 - Containerization of software (client applications)
 - (“out of the box”) Service tool integration
 - Vocabulary integration?
- Task-4.2: FAIRness through VO
 - Protocols and standards
 - Resources implementing them are meant to end up in the Registry
- Task-4.3: ML for added value to archives
 - Enhance metadata techniques for repository resources



- The IVOA has a starting point for discovery: the Registry
 - Domain specific
 - A repository of all VO known resources: data collections, services, ...
 - It has an harvestable interface (OAI-PMH based, DataCite compatible), already harvested by EUDAT B2-FIND
 - It is a distributed ecosystem: one Registry, multiple synchronized distributed locations, a larger set of “publishing” contributors
 - Machine actionable
- EOSC portal has a Catalogue
 - General purpose
 - Heterogeneous content
 - (human) Browse-able



ESCAPE Repository (requirements overview)

- Will it be the place for (?)
 - ESAP to search (software, data resources, computing resources, storage solutions ...)
 - DIOS, CEVO, ESCAPE in general to provide resources
- Could it turn out to be a duplication of (some) efforts?
 - ESCAPE Repository
 - EOSC Portal Catalogue
 - Domain specific repositories
- If it is limited to ESCAPE Project specific content
 - What happens after the end of the project
- Services in the repo:
 - We need a clear definition
 - Metadata description should be defined
 - Should we go for machine actionability (at least part of it?)
- Software
 - Metadata for discovery
 - Metadata for data resource linking



Use Case (resource providing)

- Suppose you have containers to run
 - a TAP service
 - a Registry with API
 - These two can be a DaCHS instance, e.g.
 - a simple VOSpace
 - Maybe capable of using RUCIO as a back end
- Put those as software/services available in the repository
- Users find them
 - And run them in some cloud or local system
 - ESCAPE cloud? EOSC? ESFRI/RI one?
- And use them to provide their data resource and services
 - Which in turn can be pushed to a repository for discovery



Use Case (consumer)

- Discover a useful resource (for your research)
 - e.g. the one developed by the previous use case
- Discover a client tool to access the resource with
 - e.g. a containerised VO tool
 - A Jupyter notebook
- Discover a computing resource to run the above
- Do your research connecting them



Use Case (nesting repositories)

- (e.g.) The IVOA registry
 - Can be 1 service/resource in the ESCAPE repository
 - Can be harvested and its resources exposed through the ESCAPE repo interface
- The former is limited in scope
- The latter would require metadata schema definitions to work properly
 - And will duplicate the EUDAT B2-FIND work



CEVO potential contribution

- CEVO can provide ...
 - Technology and definitions learned used in the Registry and its resource types
 - Containerised client tools of common usage
 - Containerised tools for service providing
- ... but kindly requests (at least discussion on)
 - Metadata for discovery
 - Metadata to link software tools to data resources
 - Actionability on resources
 - AAI integration



Thank you for your attention!

