# Light Higgs bosons at CEPC - experiment

Aleksander Filip Żarnecki Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw

The 2024 European Edition of the International Workshop on the Gircular Electron-Positron Collider (GEPC) April 9, 2024

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

Light Higgs bosons - experiment

April 9, 2024

1/19



## **Light Higgs bosons**

Precision Higgs measurements are clearly the primary target for future Higgs factory.





But production of additional, light Higgses / light exotic scalar states is still not excluded by the existing data (!) as discussed by Tania in the previous presentation...



## **ECFA** study

Light scalar searches at future Higgs Factories were only partially studied so far. More work is clearly needed to understand the experimental challenges and prospects. Light scalar searches were selected as one of the ECFA study focus topics arXiv:2401.07564



## **ECFA** study

Light scalar searches at future Higgs Factories were only partially studied so far. More work is clearly needed to understand the experimental challenges and prospects. Light scalar searches were selected as one of the ECFA study focus topics arXiv:2401.07564

## Theoretical and phenomenological targets (1)

Higgs factories are best suited to search for light exotic scalars in the process:

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \phi$ 

Production of new scalars can be tagged, independent of their decay, based on the recoil mass.

We should look for different scalar decay channels e.g.  $b\bar{b}$ ,  $W^{+(*)}W^{-(*)}$ ,  $\tau^+\tau^-$  or invisible Non-standard decays channels of the new scalar should also be looked for. For maximum sensitivity, feasibility of including hadronic Z decays should also be explored.



## Theoretical and phenomenological targets (2)

Second benchmark scenario: light scalar pair-production in 125 GeV Higgs boson decays

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z H \rightarrow Z \phi \phi$ 

Again, different decay channels should be considered, both SM-like and exotic. While new scalar states could in general be long-lived, only scenarios with prompt decays are included in this focus topic (there is a dedicated topic focusing on LLPs).



## Theoretical and phenomenological targets (2)

Second benchmark scenario: light scalar pair-production in 125 GeV Higgs boson decays

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z H \rightarrow Z \phi \phi$ 

Again, different decay channels should be considered, both SM-like and exotic. While new scalar states could in general be long-lived, only scenarios with prompt decays are included in this focus topic (there is a dedicated topic focusing on LLPs).

In this talk I will focus on the new activities triggered by the ECFA study on EXscalar focus topic target (1): **direct light Higgs production in the scalar-strahlung process** 

Most studies were carried out in the framework of the ILD concept group But the results should be quite general, applying to all 240–250 GeV  $e^+e^-$  machines...



## Decay mode independent search



Reconstructed recoil mass spectra for  $e^+e^- 
ightarrow Z \; S^0 
ightarrow \mu^+\mu^- + X$ 

arXiv:2005.06265



Expected sensitivity (relative to SM-like Higgs boson production rate)



## Decay mode dependent search

CLIC fast simulation study assuming invisible scalar decays arXiv:2002.06034 arXiv:2107.13903

Reconstructed recoil mass spectra



Expected sensitivities of CLIC Limit on  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow Z H')/\sigma^{SM}$  [%]  $0^+$   $0^ 0^-$ OPAL. EPJ C27 (2003) 311 C. 250 GeV. 2 ab<sup>-1</sup> 380 GeV 4 ab 100 200 500 300 400 600 m<sub>u</sub> [GeV]

for hadronic Z decays

compared with decay independent limits from LEP and ILC



## Decay mode dependent search

Generator level only !

Estimated prospects for new scalar discovery in  $S \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  decay channel (LEP projection)



Expected 95% C.L. limits on the scalar production cross section  $\sigma/\sigma_{SM}$  assuming standard BRs arXiv:1801.09662

# New studies



## Decay dependent search

Two decay channels considered at the moment:

- $S \rightarrow b \bar{b}$  dominant in SM-like scenarios for  $M_s > 10 \, GeV$
- $S 
  ightarrow au^+ au^-$  enhanced in some of the BSM models

# New studies



## Decay dependent search

Two decay channels considered at the moment:

- $S \rightarrow b \bar{b}$  dominant in SM-like scenarios for  $M_s > 10 \, GeV$
- $S 
  ightarrow au^+ au^-$  enhanced in some of the BSM models

Some of the experimental discrepancies pointed to new scalar with dominant decay to  $au au \dots$ 



Sven Heinemeyer @ First ECFA WS on  $e^+e^-$  Higgs/EW/top factories, October 2022; arXiv:2203.13180

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

Light Higgs bosons - experiment

April 9, 2024

8/19



#### **Event samples**

Signal and background samples generated with WHIZARD 3.1.2 using built-in SM\_CKM model.

Signal samples generated by varying H mass in the model and forcing its decay to  $b\bar{b}$  or  $\tau^+\tau^-$ .

- All relevant four-fermion final states considered as background. SM-like Higgs boson contribution included in the background estimate. Contribution from two-fermion and six-fermion processes found to be small.
- ISR and luminosity spectra for ILC running at 250 GeV taken into account

Total lumionsity of  $2 ab^{-1}$ , with  $\pm 80\% / \pm 30\%$  polarisation for  $e^{-}/e^{+}$  (H-20 scenario).

Fast detector simulation with Delphes ILCgen model.

# $S ightarrow bar{b}$



#### **Event reconstruction**

Focusing on leptonic decays,  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^-$ ; huge  $W^+W^-$  background for hadronic decays





Direct reconstruction of the scalar mass much more problematic. Invariant mass of two *b* jets poorly reconstructed, large impact of energy losses in semi-leptonic heavy meson decays.

# $S ightarrow bar{b}$



#### **Event reconstruction**

Focusing on leptonic decays,  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^-$ ; huge  $W^+W^-$  background for hadronic decays





Direct reconstruction of the scalar mass much more problematic. Invariant mass of two *b* jets poorly reconstructed, large impact of energy losses in semi-leptonic heavy meson decays.

However, conservation of transverse momentum can be used to reconstruct jet energies from leptonic final state and jet angles.

ILD-PHYS-PUB-2019-001

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

# $S ightarrow bar{b}$



#### **Event reconstruction**

Focusing on leptonic decays,  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^-$ ; huge  $W^+W^-$  background for hadronic decays



# $S \to \tau^+ \tau^-$

## **Event reconstruction**

Example signal event with hadronic tau decays





#### arXiv:1509.01885

Tau leptons are very boosted  $\Rightarrow$  collinear approximation Assume tau neutrinos are emitted in the tau jet direction.

Their energies can be found from transverse momentum balance:

 $\vec{p}_T = E_{\nu_1} \cdot \vec{n_1} + E_{\nu_2} \cdot \vec{n_2}$ 

where  $\vec{n_1}$  and  $\vec{n_2}$  are directions of the two tau jets. Unique solution !

# $S \to \tau^+ \tau^-$

## **Event reconstruction**

Example signal event with hadronic tau decays





#### arXiv:1509.01885

Tau leptons are very boosted  $\Rightarrow$  collinear approximation

Assume tau neutrinos are emitted in the tau jet direction.

Their energies can be found from transverse momentum balance:

$$\vec{v}_T = E_{\nu_1} \cdot \vec{n_1} + E_{\nu_2} \cdot \vec{n_2}$$

where  $\vec{n_1}$  and  $\vec{n_2}$  are directions of the two tau jets. Unique solution !

Works also for semi-leptonic and leptonic events!

Because of small tau mass  $\Rightarrow$  small invariant mass of neutrino pair



#### **Event reconstruction**

Distribution of the raw and corrected mass of the tau candidate pair for  $M_S = 50 \text{ GeV}$ 





## **Kinematic distributions**

## Distribution of the reconstructed Z boson and scalar masses for $M_{S}=50\,GeV$





## Final event selection

see backup slides for list of BDT input variables

Example of BDT response distribution for signal and background events, for  $M_S=50\,{
m GeV}$ 

Hadronic events







#### **Cross section limits**

Cross section limits for  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow ZS) \cdot BR(S \rightarrow \tau\tau)$ 

BDT cut optimized for 1% signal level; combined data, polarisation not taken into account!





#### **Cross section limits**

Cross section limits for  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow Z S) \cdot BR(S \rightarrow \tau \tau)$ 

BDT cut optimized for 1% signal level; combined data, polarisation not taken into account!



Significant improvement expected when selection is optimised separately for different event categories (final state, polarisation)



#### **Cross section limits**

Cross section limits for  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow ZS) \cdot BR(S \rightarrow \tau \tau)$ 

BDT cut optimized for 1% signal level; combined data, polarisation not taken into account!



Significant improvement expected when selection is optimised separately for different event categories (final state, polarisation)

⇐ K.Zembaczynski work in progress...

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)



#### **Cross section limits**

Cross section limits for  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow ZS) \cdot BR(S \rightarrow \tau\tau)$ compared with decay independent limits on  $\sigma/\sigma_{SM}$  from earlier studies



Targeted analysis results in order of magnitude increase in sensitivity...

Possible gain in discovery reach depends on the BR!

## **Cross section limits**



Cross section limits for  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow ZS) \cdot BR(S \rightarrow \tau\tau)$ compared with allowed scenarios in different models



Two-Real-Singlet Model thanks to Tania Robens see arXiv:2209.10996 arXiv:2305.08595

Two Higgs-Doublet Model thanks to Kateryna Radchenko thdmTool package, see arXiv:2309.17431

Minimal R-symmetric Supersymmetric SM thanks to Wojciech Kotlarski arXiv:1511.09334

# Conclusions



BSM scenarios with light scalars still not excluded by existing data Sizable production cross sections for new scalars can coincide with non-standard decay... Earlier studies: reliable results only for decay independent search sensitivity...

# Conclusions



BSM scenarios with light scalars still not excluded by existing data Sizable production cross sections for new scalars can coincide with non-standard decay... Earlier studies: reliable results only for decay independent search sensitivity...

Light scalar decays to tau pairs seem a challenging scenario and a good testing ground for different detector concepts and analysis methods Order of magnitude limit improvement already with the very simple limit setting approach Should improve further when properly combining results from different event samples

# Conclusions



BSM scenarios with light scalars still not excluded by existing data Sizable production cross sections for new scalars can coincide with non-standard decay... Earlier studies: reliable results only for decay independent search sensitivity...

Light scalar decays to tau pairs seem a challenging scenario and a good testing ground for different detector concepts and analysis methods Order of magnitude limit improvement already with the very simple limit setting approach Should improve further when properly combining results from different event samples

Search for light scalar decays to  $b\bar{b}$  is a must!

Fast simulation study ongoing, first sensitivity estimates expected for summer conferences...



BSM scenarios with light scalars still not excluded by existing data Sizable production cross sections for new scalars can coincide with non-standard decay... Earlier studies: reliable results only for decay independent search sensitivity...

Light scalar decays to tau pairs seem a challenging scenario and a good testing ground for different detector concepts and analysis methods Order of magnitude limit improvement already with the very simple limit setting approach Should improve further when properly combining results from different event samples

## Search for light scalar decays to $b\bar{b}$ is a must!

Fast simulation study ongoing, first sensitivity estimates expected for summer conferences...

Detector response modeling in DELPHES is simplified Comparison with full simulation should be considered, but not in time for the ECFA report...



BSM scenarios with light scalars still not excluded by existing data Sizable production cross sections for new scalars can coincide with non-standard decay... Earlier studies: reliable results only for decay independent search sensitivity...

Light scalar decays to tau pairs seem a challenging scenario and a good testing ground for different detector concepts and analysis methods Order of magnitude limit improvement already with the very simple limit setting approach Should improve further when properly combining results from different event samples

## Search for light scalar decays to $b\bar{b}$ is a must!

Fast simulation study ongoing, first sensitivity estimates expected for summer conferences...

Detector response modeling in DELPHES is simplified Comparison with full simulation should be considered, but not in time for the ECFA report...

**Results for the ECFA study report need to be completed by the end of the year!** Please let me know, if you would like to contribute to the EXscalar focus topic...

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

# Thank you!

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

Light Higgs bosons - experiment

April 9, 2024

19/19



## **ECFA** study focus topics

Selected in order to stimulate new engagement and trigger additional activities in areas where further work would be still be beneficial...

arXiv:2401.07564

			Relevant $\sqrt{s}$ [GeV]				
Topic		Lead group	91	161	240 - 250	350 - 380	$\geq 500$
1	HtoSS	HTE			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
2	ZHang	HTE (GLOB)			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
3	Hself	GLOB			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
4	Wmass	PREC		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
5	WWdiff	GLOB			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
6	TTthres	GLOB (HTE)				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
7	LUMI	PREC	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
8	EXscalar	SRCH			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
9	LLPs	SRCH	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
10	EXtt	SRCH				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
11	CKMWW	FLAV		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
12	BKtautau	FLAV	$\checkmark$				
13	TwoF	HTE (PREC)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
14	BCfrag and Gsplit	PREC (FLAV)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

# **ILC** running scenario

The unique feature of the ILC is the possibility of having both electron and positron beams polarised! This is crucial for many precision measurements as well as BSM searches.

Four independent measurements instead of one:

- increase accuracy of precision measurements
- more input to global fits and analyses

- remove ambiguity in many BSM studies
- reduce sensitivity to systematic effects

Integrated luminosity planned with different polarisation settings  $[fb^{-1}]$ 

H-20		Total			
$\sqrt{s}$	(-,+)	(+,-)	(-,-)	(+,+)	
250 GeV	900	900	100	100	2000
350 GeV	135	45	10	10	200
500 GeV	1600	1600	400	400	4000



arXiv:1903.01629





# $S \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ event selection

Selection based on BDT classifier trained with following input variables:

- measured di-tau mass (before correction)
- corrected di-tau mass (scalar candidate mass)
- measured di-jet mass (Z boson mass)
- recoil mass calculated from Z boson four-momentum
- total event energy (after tau energy correction)
- jet clustering parameter  $y_{34}$
- polar angle of the Z boson emission
- decay angles in the scalar rest frame
- azimuthal distance between two tau candidates

# Backup slides



# $S \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ selection

BDT selection results for hadronic events signal hypothesis with  $M_S = 50 \text{ GeV}$ . Combined  $2 \text{ ab}^{-1}$  of data, polarisation not taken into account.

Sample	N <sub>pres</sub>	N <sub>BDT</sub>	ε <sub>BDT</sub> [%]
Signal	3404	823	24
<b>qq</b> ττ	113990	725	0.64
qqll	263320	70.9	0.027
qqqq	1851500	1370	0.074
qq au u	2509100	52.7	0.0021
qql u	1381200	125	0.0091
Total	6119200	2347	Sig = 14.6

 $N_{pres}$  - events expected after pre-selection,  $N_{BDT}$  - after BDT response cut, BDT > 0.2.

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)

# Backup slides



# $S \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ selection

BDT selection results for semi-leptonic events for signal with  $M_S = 50 \text{ GeV}$ . Combined  $2 \text{ ab}^{-1}$  of data, polarisation not taken into account.

Sample	N <sub>pres</sub>	N <sub>BDT</sub>	ε <sub>BDT</sub> [%]
Signal	3079	999	32
<b>qq</b> ττ	69160	860	1.2
qqll	359900	152	0.042
qqqq	2213	15.1	0.68
qq au u	1337700	79.1	0.0059
qql u	9366300	43.1	0.00046
Total	11135300	1149	Sig = 21.6

 $N_{pres}$  - events expected after pre-selection,  $N_{BDT}$  - after BDT response cut, BDT > 0.2.

A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)