# R&D Progress of Drift Chamber for CEPC

Linghui Wu, Guang Zhao, Gang Li, Xiaohui Qian, Yubing Zhao, Wei Wei, **Mingyi Dong** 

On behalf of DC group

2024.4.10

### Outline

- Introduction of drift chamber with dN/dx technique
- Performance study and prototype tests
- Preliminary mechanical design and FEA
- Overall scheme for electronics
- Summary

### Drift Chamber in CEPC 4<sup>th</sup> conceptual detector



#### Solenoid Magnet (3T / 2T ) Between HCAL & ECAL

- Advantage: the HCAL absorbers act as part of the magnet return yoke.
- **Challenges**: thin enough not to affect the jet resolution (e.g. BMR); stability.

#### Transverse Crystal bar ECAL

Advantage: better  $\pi^0/\gamma$  reconstruction. Challenges: minimum number of readout channels; compatible with PFA calorimeter; maintain good jet resolution.

#### A Drift chamber that is optimized for PID

Advantage: Work at high luminosity Z runs Challenges: sufficient PID power; thin enough not to affect the moment resolution. PID is essential for CEPC, especially for flavor physics

- The drift chamber optimized for PID with cluster counting technique
- Require better than  $3\sigma$ separation power for  $K/\pi$ with momentum up to 20GeV/c
- Benefits tracking and momentum measurement

#### Ionization measurement with dN/dX

- Measure number of clusters over the track, the number of clusters corresponds to the number of the primary ionization
- Yield of primary ionization is Poisson distribution
- To eliminate the effects of secondary ionization, dN/dx is based on peak finding and clusterization



### dN/dx vs dE/dx

#### dN/dx

- Number of primary ionization clusters per unit length
- Poisson distribution
- Small fluctuation

#### Cluster counting technique



#### dE/dx

- Energy loss per unit length
- Landau distribution
- Large fluctuation



#### $K/\pi$ separation power dN/dx vs dE/dx



dN/dx has a much better (2 times)  $K/\pi$  separation power up to 20 GeV/c compared to dE/dx (Simulation)

### Key issues with dN/dx measurement

- Detector optimization and performance study
  - Geometry of the detector
  - Mechanical structure, Material budget
  - Gas mixture: low drift velocity, suitable ionization density gas with low diffusion and low multi electron ionization
  - dN/dx resolution and PID capability
- Waveform test
  - Fast and low noise electronics
- dN/dx reconstruction algorithm
  - Identifying primary and secondary ionization signals
  - Reducing noise impacts

#### Performance study and Detector R&D

#### Waveform-based full simulation



### Machine learning reconstruction algorithm

- LSTM-based peak finding and DGCNN-based clusterization
- ~ 10% improvement of PID performance with ML

See Guang's talk for more details

**Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)-based peak finding** higher efficiency than the derivative-based algorithm, especially for the pile-up recovery







### dN/dx Resolution



- dN/dx resolution: 2.5%-2.6% for pion
- 2.6%-2.7% for Kaon

- 1.2 m track length
- For 20 GeV/c K/ $\pi$ , Separation power: 3.2 $\sigma$

#### **Momentum Resolution**



#### $\sigma(1/pT) = a \pm b/pT$

	Higgs	Z-pole
a (1/GeV)	2.1×10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.2×10 <sup>-5</sup>
b	0.77×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.16×10 <sup>-3</sup>

Momentum resolution is comparable with TPC at Higgs and Z mode

#### **Detector R&D and beam test**





Electron beam

- Scintillator
- Developed fast and high bandwidth preamps
- Tested with electron beam at IHEP
  - Two drift tubes + preamps + ADC (1GHz)
  - Two scintillators provide trigger signals

### **Preliminary results**

- Low noise and high bandwidth preamplifiers
- Rise time : ~ ns
- Clear peaks





#### **Readout electronics design**



- The readout prototype system is developed to verify basic functions, consisting of an ADC board and an FPGA board. will be integrated into one board in next version
- The ADC sub card is based on two high-speed ADCs (ADI AD9695), 14 bit resolution, and a maximum sampling
  of 1.4 Gsps

#### Synergy with IDEA, Collaboration with INFN

- Beam tests organized by INFN group:
  - Two muon beam tests performed at CERN-H8 (βγ > 400) in Nov. 2021 and July 2022
  - A muon beam test (from 4 to 12 GeV/c) in 2023 performed at CERN
  - Ultimate test at FNAL-MT6 in 2024 with  $\pi$  and K (By = 10-140) to fully exploit the relativistic rise.
- Contributions from IHEP group:
  - Participate data taking and collaboratively analyze the test beam data
  - Develop the machine learning reconstruction algorithm







Nicola De Filippis, 2023 CEPC workshop, Nanjing 23-27, 2023

**Track Angle:** 

He:IsoB(80/20)

0.8 driftte180

#### Preliminary Mechanical Design

### **Overall Design (preliminary)**



CF Frame structure: 8 longitudinal hollow beams + 8 annular hollow beams + inner CF cylinder and outer CF cylinder

- Length : 5800mm
- Outer diameter: 3600mm, Inner Diameter: 1200mm;
- Thickness of each end plate: 25mm/20mm, weight :1100kg /880kg

#### **Overall Design**



- Stepped end plates design
- Can Provide space for end cap Si tracker and it is easy to fix the barrel Si tracker

#### Wire tension

	cell number /step	length	single sense wire tension(g)	Single field wire tension(g)	total tension /step (kg)
	2684	4000	43.29	66.52	651.78
	3452	4360	51.43	79.03	995.95
	4220	4720	60.28	92.62	1426.88
	4988	5080	69.82	107.29	1953.63
	5756	5440	80.07	123.03	2585.27
	6524	5800	91.02	139.85	3330.85
total	27623				10944

Diameter of field wire (Al coated with Au) : 60μm Diameter of sense wire (W coated with Au): 20μm Sag = 280 μm

Meet requirements of stability condition:

$$T > (\frac{VLC}{d})^2 / (4\pi\varepsilon_0)$$

#### **Finite Element Analysis**



Thickness of CF wall: 3.2mm, including 16 composite layers. Thickness of each composite layer: 200µm

### **Results of FEA**



#### Loads: Wire tension + Axial self weight

### End plate thickness: 25mm

- Stress 20.9MPa,
- Endplate deformation 2.5mm,
- CF frame deformation 1.4mm



### End plate thickness: 20mm

- Stress 27.1MPa,
- Endplate deformation 3.4mm,
- CF frame deformation
   1.6mm

#### **Results of FEA**



Horizontal self weight Buckling coefficient : ~14

# The structure is stable

Vertical self weight Buckling coefficient : ~12

### Updated design parameters

R extension	600-1800mm
Length of outermost wires $(\cos\theta=0.85)$	5800mm
Thickness of inner CF cylinder: (for gas tightness, without load)	200µm
Thickness of outer CF cylinder: (for gas tightness, without load)	300µm
Outer CF frame structure	Equivalent CF thickness: 1.8 mm
Thickness of end Al plate:	20mm / 25mm
Cell size:	~ 18 mm × 18 mm
Cell number	27623
Ratio of field wires to sense wires	3:1
Gas mixture	He/iC <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> =90:10

#### **Overall Scheme for Electronics**

#### Global design for DC Elec-TDAQ system



#### To BEE Considering : radiation hardness

Power consumption, Material budget

FEE-1: A rad-hard (analog) FEE (preamp)

FEE-2: Non rad-hard FEE for data buffering, in low dose region (ADC and FPGA)

TO BEE

### Preliminary readout scheme of Drift Chamber



1.4kW for each end plate, air cooling is OK no additional material bufget

12 signals + 1 Power 3dB attenuation @ 280MHz

ADC @1.3Gsps, 12bit

#### Data size estimation

- ADC sampling rate : 1.3Gsps, 12bit, sampling window: 1.5  $\mu s$ , data size/single hit: 2k $\times$ 2Byte
- Hit rate of the inner most layer: ~ 70kHz/cell, outer most layer: 10kHz /cell, average hit rate: ~30kHz/ cell
- Average Occupancy: 5% (10.5% for inner most layer, 1.2% for outer most layer)
- Each digital board corresponds to 12 preamplifier channels (sector includes inner to outer layers)
- Data size estimation:
  - 0.5Gbps/12 channels-- compatible with calibration requirement and overall readout scheme of the detector



12 chn in each sector

### Summary

- R&D progress of CEPC drift chamber:
  - Simulation studies show that 3.2  $\sigma$  K/ $\pi$  separation at 20GeV/c can be achieved with 1.2m track length
  - Development of fast electronics is under progress. Preliminary tests validated the performance of the readout electronics and the feasibility of dN/dx method
  - Cluster counting reconstruction algorithm based on deep learning is developed and shows promising performance for MC samples and test data
  - Preliminary mechanical design and FEA show the structure is stable under loads of wire tension and self weight
  - Global electronics scheme is reasonable
- Further study plan
  - Optimization of mechanical design
  - Detector optimization and performance study
  - dN/dx reconstruction algorithm
  - Prototyping and testing with full-length cells (mechanics, manufacturing, testing)

## Thanks for your attention



#### Garfield++ simulation



#### Material parameters in FEA

yield strength of 7075 aluminum:505MPa

	Young's Modulus	Poisson's Ratio
1	71700000000	0.33

#### **Density of CF** 1.6

-				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1
	E1	E2	Nu12	G12	G13	G23
1	320000000000	700000000	0.29	4200000000	4200000000	2700000000

#### **CF** parameter

Data							
	Ten Stress Fiber Dir	Com Stress Fiber Dir	Ten Stress Transv Dir	Com Stress Transv Dir	Shear Strength	Cross-Prod Term Coeff	Stress Limit
•	200000000	60000000	22000000	10000000	5000000	0	0

#### Carbon Fiber Material parameter

性能	东丽M55J复合材料	测试标准	
	室温		
0度拉伸强度,Mpa	2000		
0度拉伸模量, GPa	320		
泊松比	0.29	ASTM D3039	
90度拉伸强度, Mpa	22		
90度拉伸模量, GPa	7.0		
弯曲强度,Mpa	1000		
弯曲模量, GPa	230	ASTIVI D7264	
0度压缩强度,Mpa	600		
0度压缩模量, GPa	300		
90度压缩强度, Mpa	100		
90度压缩模量, GPa	6.5		
ILSS , Mpa	50	ASTM D2344	
面内剪切强度, Mpa	50		
面内剪切模量, GPa	4.2	M21101 D2210	

#### FEA for different thick end plates

Thickness of end plate (mm)	Material budget (X <sub>0</sub> )	Max Deformation (mm)	Max Stress (MPa)
30	33.7%	2.0	16.7
25	28.1%	2.5	20.9
20	22.5%	3.4	27.1