SERGUEI TCHEREMCHANTSEV UNIVERSITY OF ORLEANS, FRANCE

DYNAMICAL BOUNDS FOR THE FIBONACCI HAMILTONIANS

We study the long-time behavior of the solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, $i\partial_t \psi = H\psi$ in the Hilbert space $l^2(\mathbf{Z})$ with an initial state $\psi = \delta_0$, namely, $\psi(t) = \exp(-itH)\delta_0$. The Schrödinger operator is of the form

$$[Hu](n) = u(n+1) + u(n-1) + V(n)u(n),$$

where

$$V(n) = \lambda \chi_{[1-\varphi^{-1},1)}(n\varphi^{-1} + \theta \ mod \ 1),$$

 $\lambda > 0$ is the coupling constant, φ is the golden mean

$$\varphi = \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2}$$

and $\theta \in [0, 1)$ is the phase.

One studies the quantities

$$P_{r}(N,t) = \sum_{n \ge N} | < e^{-itH} \delta_{0}, \delta_{n} > |^{2},$$
$$P_{l}(N,t) = \sum_{n \le -N} | < e^{-itH} \delta_{0}, \delta_{n} > |^{2},$$

where $N \ge 1$, called right and left probabilities, and

$$P(N,t) = P_l(N,t) + P_r(N,t),$$

called outside probabilities. One studies also the time-averaged probabilities (especially when proving dynamical lower bounds). To control the tails of the wavepacket, for any $0 \le \alpha < +\infty$ define

$$S^{-}(\alpha) = -\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \frac{\log P(t^{\alpha} - 1, t)}{\log t},$$

$$S^+(\alpha) = -\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{\log P(t^{\alpha} - 1, t)}{\log t}$$

One has $0 \leq S^+(\alpha) \leq S^-(\alpha) \leq +\infty$. One can define similar growth exponents for the time-averaged probabilities. Interpretation: if $S^-(\alpha) = L < +\infty$, then for any $\delta > 0$, $P(t^{\alpha}, t) \geq C(\delta)t^{-L-\delta}$. If $S^+(\alpha) < +\infty$, similar bound holds for some sequence of times.

The following critical exponents are of interest:

$$\alpha_l^{\pm} = \sup\{\alpha \ge 0 : S^{\pm}(\alpha) = 0\},\$$

$$\alpha_u^{\pm} = \sup\{\alpha \ge 0 : S^{\pm}(\alpha) < +\infty\}.$$

Interpretation: rates of propagation of the essential part of the wavepacket and of the fastest (polynomially small) part of the wavepacket. If $\alpha < \alpha_l^-$, then for any $\delta > 0$, $P(t^{\alpha}, t) \ge C(\delta)t^{-\delta}$. If $\alpha > \alpha_u^+$, then $P(t^{\alpha}, t)$ goes to 0 faster than any inverse power of t. Similar exponents can be defined for the time-averaged probabilities.

The exponents α_l , α_u are closely related with the growth exponents of the moments of the position operator. Namely, let

$$X^{p}(t) = \sum_{n} n^{p} | \langle e^{-itH} \delta_{0}, \delta_{n} \rangle |^{2},$$

and

$$\beta^{-}(p) = \liminf_{t \to +\infty} \frac{\log |X|^{p}(t)}{\log t},$$
$$\beta^{+}(p) = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{\log |X|^{p}(t)}{\log t}.$$

Then

$$\alpha_l^{\pm} = \lim_{p \to 0} \beta^{\pm}(p), \ \alpha_u^{\pm} = \lim_{p \to +\infty} \beta^{\pm}(p).$$

Similarly for the time-averaged quantities.

The aim is to obtain tight bounds for α_l^{\pm} , α_u^{\pm} . In the present talk: α_u^{\pm} . Main technical tool is the integration in the complex plane. Let $R(z) = (H - zI)^{-1}$. Assume that H is bounded and $\sigma(H) \subset [-K + 1, K - 1]$ for some K > 1. Then

$$< e^{-itH}\delta_0, \delta_n >$$

= $-(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \exp(-tz) < R(z)\delta_0, \delta_n >,$

where Γ is any positively oriented simple closed contour in C such that $\sigma(H)$ lies inside Γ . It follows that

$$P_r(N,t) \leq C(\exp(-cN) + \int_{-K}^{K} \sum_{n \geq N} |\langle R(E+it^{-1})\delta_0, \delta_n \rangle|^2 dE)$$

and similarly for $P_l(N,t)$. These formula allow to bound from above the probabilities (without time-averaging). For the time-averaged probabilities

$$\widetilde{P}_r(N,T) = 2/T \int_0^{+\infty} \exp(-2t/T) | < e^{-itH} \delta_0, \delta_n > |^2 dt,$$

the well-known Parseval equality holds:

$$\widetilde{P}_r(N,T) = (\pi T)^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \sum_{n \ge N} |\langle R(E+iT^{-1})\delta_0, \delta_n \rangle|^2 dE,$$

and similarly for $\tilde{P}_l(N,T)$. These equalities allow to bound from above and from below the time-averaged probabilities.

In the case of discrete one-dimensional operators, one can control the resolvent using the transfer matrices $\Phi(n, z)$. The definition is such that $u : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ solves

u(n+1) + u(n-1) + V(n)u(n) = zu(n) if and only if

 $(u(n + 1), u(n))^T = \Phi(n, z)(u(1), u(0))^T$ for any *n*. One can prove the following:

$$P_{r}(N,t) \leq C(\exp(-cN) + (1))$$

$$t^{4} \int_{-K}^{K} \left(\max_{0 \leq n \leq N-1} ||\Phi(n, E+it^{-1})||^{2} \right)^{-1} dE,$$

and similarly for $P_l(N,t)$. For the time-averaged outside probabilities, the following lower bounds hold:

$$\widetilde{P}_{r}(N,T) \leq C(\exp(-cN) + (2))$$

$$T^{3} \int_{-K}^{K} \left(\max_{0 \leq n \leq N-1} ||\Phi(n,E+iT^{-1})||^{2} \right)^{-1} dE,$$

and similarly for $\tilde{P}_l(N,T)$. On the other hand,

$$\widetilde{P}(N,T) = \widetilde{P}_r(N,T) + \widetilde{P}_l(N,T)$$
(3)
$$\geq CT^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} ||\Phi(N,E+iT^{-1})||^{-2}.$$

10

Thus, the problem is to control the norm of the transfer matrices $||\Phi(N,z)||$ for large N and complex z close to $\sigma(H)$ (which give the main contribution to the integrals over E). The inequalities (1) and (2) serve to prove that $P(t^{\alpha},t)$ or $\tilde{P}(T^{\alpha},T)$ goes fast to 0 if α is large enough. The inequality (3) allows to prove that $\tilde{P}(T^{\alpha},T)$ is not too small if α is small enough. Thus, one can prove upper bounds for $\alpha_u^{\pm}, \tilde{\alpha}_u^{\pm}$ and lower bounds for $\tilde{\alpha}_u^{\pm}$. For Fibonacci hamiltonian, the key analytical tool are the traces of the transfer matrices:

$$x_k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \Phi_{\theta=0}(F_k, z),$$

where F_k are the Fibonacci numbers. Since $|x_k(z)| \leq ||\Phi_{\theta=0}(F_k, z)||$, lower bounds on the traces imply lower bounds on the norms of the transfer matrices. On the other hand, if $|x_k(z)| \leq 1 + \delta, \delta \in (0, 1)$ for some large k, then the norms $||\Phi(N, z)||$ remain bounded polynomially in N for $N \leq F_k$ for any θ .

Consider the sets

$$\sigma_k^{\delta} = \{ z \in \mathbf{C} : |x_k(z)| \le 1 + \delta \}.$$

The set σ_k^{δ} has exactly F_k connected components, each of them being a topological disk symmetric about the real axis.

If z is outside of the two consecutive sets σ_k^{δ} , σ_{k+1}^{δ} , then the traces $x_{k+m}(z)$ grow very fast with m. This allows to prove that $P(F_{k+\sqrt{k}},t)$ (resp. $\tilde{P}(F_{k+\sqrt{k}},T)$) are very small provided t^{-1} (resp. T^{-1}) is larger than the size of ALL connected components of σ_k^{δ} , σ_{k+1}^{δ} . In this manner one proves upper bounds for α_u^{\pm} , $\tilde{\alpha}_u^{\pm}$.

On the other hand, if T^{-1} is small enough with respect to SOME component of σ_k^{δ} (one takes the largest one), then there is some interval I such for $E \in I$ the norms $||\Phi(N, E + iT^{-1})||$ remain polynomially bounded in N for $N \leq F_k$. This interval gives at least polynomially small contribution to the integral in 3. In this manner one proves lower bound for $\tilde{\alpha}_u^{\pm}$. The crucial moment is the size of the LARGEST connected component of the set σ_k^{δ} for large k. Define the two functions of the coupling parameter λ :

$$S_l(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}((\lambda - 4) + \sqrt{(\lambda - 4)^2 - 12}),$$
$$S_u(\lambda) = 2\lambda + 22.$$

One can show that the size of the largest connected component of σ_k^{δ} lies between $r = C_1 S_u(\lambda)^{-k/2}$ and $R = C_2 S_l(\lambda)^{-k/2}$ (in the sense that it contains a disk of radius r and is inside a disk of radius R).

This gives the following result for the upper dynamical exponents:

Theorem 1 Let $\lambda \ge 8$. The bounds hold:

$$\alpha_u^{\pm} \le \frac{2\log\phi}{\log S_l(\lambda)},$$

$$\frac{2\log\phi}{\log S_u(\lambda)} \leq \tilde{\alpha}_u^{\pm} \leq \frac{2\log\phi}{\log S_l(\lambda)}.$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_u^{\pm} \cdot \log \lambda = 2 \log \phi.$$

It is interesting to compare with the previous lower bound for $\tilde{\alpha}_u^{\pm}$ obtained in [Damanik, Embree, Gorodetski, T.]:

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_u^{\pm} \ge \dim_B^{\pm}(\sigma(H_{\lambda}))$$

It was proven in [DEGT] that

 $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \dim_B^{\pm}(\sigma(H_{\lambda})) \cdot \log \lambda = b \log \phi,$

where b = 1.83... Comparing this result with the statement of the Theorem, one sees that

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_u^{\pm} > \dim_B^{\pm}(\sigma(H_{\lambda}))$$

for large values of λ . This is the first example where such strict inequality holds.