Abundance and clustering of $H\alpha$ emitters in Euclid

SYLVAIN DE LA TORRE AND SHUN SAITO, OLIVIER ILBERT



Outline

- The COSMOS 2015 catalogue
- Modelling galaxy SED and emission-line fluxes
- > H_{α} and [OII] luminosity function
- Clustering and HOD modelling of H_{α} emitters
- Summary

COSMOS field

COSMOS 2015 (Laigle et al. 2016)

- ▶ 2 deg2
- About 1 million sources detected in zYJHK as deep as 26.5 in i+ band
- Multi-color coverage from UV to far-IR
- Medium bands in visible
- Photometric redshift with 0.01(1+z) accuracy at i<22.5</p>

COSMOS field layout



COSMOS field

COSMOS 2015 (Laigle et al. 2016)

- ▶ 2 deg2
- About 1 million sources detected in zYJHK as deep as 26.5 in i+ band
- Multi-color coverage from UV to far-IR
- Medium bands in visible
- Photometric redshift with 0.01(1+z) accuracy at i<22.5</p>

Photo-z accuracy



Laigle et al. 2016

SED & Emission lines modelling

Stellar continuum

- Bruzual & Charlot '03 templates
- Two SFHs: exponentially declining or delayed
- Dust extinction: Arnouts+ '13 and Calzetti+ '00
- Continuum and EL emission from starforming regions (Shaerer & de Barros '09)
 - Use number Lyman continuum photons
 - Lines- ratios for other EL tabulated (3 metallicities)
 - Differential extinction between continuum and nebular regions, need data calibration



Use of *LePhare* code (Arnouts et al., Ilbert et al.)

- Need to calibrate the method, particularly nebular extinction
- Use of reference samples of measured emission lines: zCOSMOS & 3D-HST



Calibration of nebular to stellar extinction ratio f:

$$E_{\text{neb}}(B-V) = \frac{E_{\text{star}}(B-V)}{f}$$

Redshift dependence of f: less nebular attenuation wrt stellar at high redshift (e.g. Erb et al. '06, Reddy et al. '10, Kashino et al. '13, Price et la. '14, Panella et al. '15)



Kashino et al. 2013

Calibration of nebular to stellar extinction ratio f:

$$E_{\text{neb}}(B-V) = \frac{E_{\text{star}}(B-V)}{f}$$

Recovery of measured EL fluxes in 3DHST and zCOSMOS



Calibration of nebular to stellar extinction ratio f:

$$E_{\text{neb}}(B-V) = \frac{E_{\text{star}}(B-V)}{f}$$

• Use the redshift dependent nebular to stellar extinction ratio that best allows recovering observed fluxes in the reference samples: f(z) = 0.44 + 0.2z



COSMOS emission lines catalogue

- A value-added COSMOS catalogue with predicted emission line fluxes (for main ELs) for more than 500 000 galaxies over 2 deg²
- Provide model SED for each galaxy (including ELs)
- EL catalogue & SEDs will be made public very soon
- Designed to prepare next-generation large (bright) ELG surveys

COSMOS emission lines catalogue



COSMOS emission lines catalogue



- Current LF measurements are uncertain, particularly at z>1
- But crucial for preparing next-generation cosmological surveys (Euclid, DESI, PFS, WFIRST...)
- Currently, small fields dominated by sample variance at high redshift (areas<0.2 deg²)

Compilation of measured H_{α} LFs



Pozzetti et al., 2016

- Use predicted flux from SED modelling of COSMOS galaxies
- Measure the luminosity function in redshift bins
- Forward modelling of the effect of luminosity error: $\phi^m(L) = \widetilde{\phi^m}(L) \otimes \epsilon(L)$



\blacktriangleright H_{α} luminosity functions



\blacktriangleright H_{α} luminosity functions



► Assumed H_{α} LF evolution (Geach+ '10):

$$L_{\star,z} = L_{\star,0}(1+z)^{\delta} \quad \phi_{\star,z} = \begin{cases} \phi_{\star,0}(1+z)^{\epsilon} & z < z_{\text{break}} \\ \phi_{\star,0}(1+z_{\text{break}})^{2\epsilon}(1+z)^{-\epsilon} & z > z_{\text{break}} \end{cases}$$

► H_{α} luminosity functions



 Good agreement with Pozzetti et al. '16 models (Euclid current baseline for cosmological forecasts)

H_{α} galaxy counts



- Clustering of ELG useful for:
 - Understanding the link between star formation in galaxies and assembly of the LSS
 - Preparing next-generation surveys, particularly for mock ELG galaxies
- COSMOS allows measuring angular clustering up to about z=2
 - Defined three redshift bins from z=0.9 to z=2.0
 - Clustering uncertainties estimated from HOD mocks based on BigMultidark following de la Torre+13a,b
 - Need to account for Integral constraint/volume effect, empirical correction based on mocks

Classical ELG HOD modelling (lum. threshold)





► HOD modelling in COSMOS



Redshift distributions

Based on photometric z-PDF



de la Torre et al., in prep.

► HOD modelling in COSMOS



de la Torre+, in prep.



Preliminary

Gonzalez-Perez+'18 (SAM)

Derived HOD parameters

- Effective large-scale bias at different redshifts
- Luminosity thresholds to reproduce flux selections at 1 & 2e-16 erg/s/cm²
- Bias increase with redshift by about 50% from z=0.9 to z=1.8
- Bias 20% smaller then predicted by SAM



de la Torre et al., in prep.