# MC simulations for CUPID-Mo background model

Pia Loaiza



For the construction of the background model it is crucial to select a relevant list of sources to fit the experimental data.

The contribution of each source is simulated with a Monte Carlo.



- Based on EDELWEISSIII Monte Carlo simulation
- At present running on GEANT4.10.03

#### Changes for CUPID-Mo simulations w.r.t EDW3 code:

- Particle generator: Add DECAY0 as particle generator, used as default. DECAY0 is an event generator for simulation of double beta processes and radioactive decays developped and maintained by V. Tretyak
- Physics List: Shielding Physics List replaced by Livermore for ElectroMagnetic processes. Physics List from geant4/examples/advanced/underground\_physics (binning, energy ranges for EM, Hadronic, Ion processes)
- $\bullet$  Range cuts explicitely declared in Physcis List  $\rightarrow$  cuts can be chosen for a right balance of accuracy and CPU time
- CUPID-Mo bolometer geometry implemented (slide 4)

# GEANT4 geometry





GEANT4 implementation of LiMo bolometer geome

### LMO crystals, PTFE holders, Light detector, Copper holder and springs implemented Data from Anastasiia





GEANT4 geometry for LiMo bolometers

	size (mm)	Total mass (g)
Enr.LMO crystal	44×45	211
Ge Light Detector	44×0.17	1.37
Copper Holder	50.5×54.5	287.5
Teflon LMO Top	10×10	1.5 × 3
Teflon LMO bottom	3×2	0.3 × 3
Teflon LD	5×1×1	1.8 × 3
Kapton	5×5	- x 3
M3 screws	12 mm	3×3
M3 screws	6 mm	1.5×3
Top Cap	50.5×2	55
Bottom Cap	50.5×2	40
Copper bars	180×20X2	105×3
M3 screws	6 mm	9×18
Spring		0.43 × 15



### **CUPID-Mo** detectors



#### GEANT4 geometry for CUPID-Mo towers:



 Detectors configuration as Input file and loaded with line command in macrofile /EDWIII/boloConfigFile boloConfigRun314.txt

CUPID-Mo meeting December 2019

# Benchmarking: Run 310 calibration with a <sup>40</sup>K sour

#### V. Kobyshev, D. Poda, A. Zolotarova

 Four plastic containers with KCI positioned on top of 300K screen





	$N_{ m decays}$	N <sub>peak</sub>	Efficiency in peak	N <sub>cont.</sub> (1.1-1.2 MeV)	Efficiency (1.1-1.2 MeV)	Peak/Cont.
ZMO sim	1.00·10 <sup>8</sup>	465(22)	4.65(22)·10⁻ <sup>6</sup>	371(19)	3.71(19)·10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.25(12)
LMO sim	1.00·10 <sup>8</sup>	45(7)	0.45(7)·10⁻ <sup>6</sup>	68(8)	0.68(8)·10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.66(18)
ZMO exp	1.74(2)·10 <sup>9</sup>	6177(79)	3.56(9)·10⁻ <sup>6</sup>	5674(75)	3.26(4)·10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.09(4)
LMO exp	1.74(2)·10 <sup>9</sup>	663(26)	0.38(2)·10 <sup>-6</sup>	1194(35)	0.69(2)·10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.55(4)
ZMO sim/exp			1.31(9)		1.14(7)	1.15(15)
LMO sim/exp			1.18(17)		0.99(15)	1.2(4)

#### Agreement simulation and experimental data for LMO $\sim 20\%$



The strategy to choose the list of relevant sources:

- List of known sources from construction and their radiopurity measurements
- Gamma lines identified in experimental spectrum

Sources can be distinguished as:

- Internal = crystal
- Next to bolometers (slide 4)
- Near sources = roughly all inside 10 mK
- External = Everything Not at 10 mK, below the bolometers plates or at the level of 300K screen

### Known sources: the set-up





### Known sources



	Element	Mass	Activity (mBq/kg) <sup>226</sup> Ra <sup>228</sup> Th		<b>Total activ</b> <sup>226</sup> Ra	<b>ity (mBq)</b> <sup>228</sup> Th
Next	Ge-LD <sup>a</sup>	1.37 g	$^{238}$ U: < 0.019	<sup>232</sup> Th:<6 10 <sup>-3</sup>	$< 2.6 \ 10^{-5}$	$< 8.2 \ 10^{-6}$
to	NTD <sup>a</sup>	60 g	$^{238}$ U: < 12	<sup>232</sup> Th: <4.1	< 0.7	< 0.2
bolo	PTFE clamps <sup>a</sup>	10.8 g	<sup>238</sup> U: <0.022	$^{232}$ Th: <6.1 10 $^{-3}$	$< 2.4 \ 10^{-4}$	$< 6.6 \ 10^{-5}$
	Cu screws	176 g				
	Springs	8.1 g	$(11 \pm 3)$	$(21 \pm 5)$	0.09 ±0.02	0.20 ±0.05
Near	Kapton connect.	31 g	14 ±7	67 ±31	0.4±0.2	2 ±1
(10 mK)	Cu Kapton cables	0.51 kg	$8\pm 6$	$15 \pm 10$	4±3	$8 \pm 5$
	NOMEX cables	4 g	21	19	0.08	0.08
	CuBe press-fit	0.5 g	$102 \pm 59$	$153 \pm 31$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$
	Brass screws	2 kg	-	$3.5 \pm 0.9$	-	$7 \pm 1.8$
	Cu NOSV <sup>b</sup>	295 kg	< 0.016	< 0.012	<4.7	<3.5
Ext	Cu CuC2 <sup>c</sup>	328 kg	$(0.025 \pm 0.015)$	$(0.033 \pm 0.016)$	$8\pm5$	$11\pm 6$
1K	PE internal	151 kg	$(0.65 \pm 0.08)$	$(0.30 \pm 0.07)$	$98 \pm 12$	$45 \pm 11$
further	Conn. 1K to 100K	430 g	$(2600 \pm 400)$	$(450 \pm 44)$	$1118\pm170$	$194 \pm 20$

Others:

Springs: <sup>228</sup>Ra:(26± 9) mBq/kg; <sup>40</sup>K:(3.6± 0.4) Bq/kg

• Brass-screws:  $^{210}$  Pb:(620  $\pm$  254) mBq/kg;  $^{137}$ Cs:(2.6  $\pm$  1.5) mBq/kg

<sup>a</sup>CUORE-0, Measurement of the two neutrino double-beta decay half-life of 130Te with COURE-0 experiment, Alduino et al, EPJC 77, 13 (2017)

<sup>b</sup>From M. Laubensten, Appl. Rad. and Isot. 61 (2004) 167

<sup>c</sup> M. Laubensten, private comm.

CUPID-Mo meeting December 2019





Besides the 'known sources':

 $\,$   $^{99}$  Mo, T  $_{1/2}=2.75$  d, from neutron activation due to AmBe source  $\,$   $^{60}$  Co unexpected contamination



- Internal = crystal :  $2\beta 2\nu$ , <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>210</sup>Pb
- Next to bolometers = springs,  $^{60}$ Co in LD5?
- Near sources = brass-screws, kapton connectors, Cu kapton cables,  $^{60}\mathrm{Co}$  from source?
- External = PE internal, connectors, Others

# MC production and output



A simulation takes typically 12hs - 24 hs at the In2p3 Computing Center. One simulation is splitted in 10 parallel jobs. The files and the code are in the git repository: https://gitlab.in2p3.fr/loaiza/cupid-mo-sims/







CUPID-Mo meeting December 2019

MC simulations





- $2\beta 2\nu$  SSD 2b2nu of <sup>100</sup>Mo (gs), 10<sup>7</sup> evts generated  $\checkmark$
- $^{40}\text{K},\,10^7$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
- $^{210}\mathrm{Bi},\,10^7$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
- $^{210}\mathrm{Pb},\,10^7$  evts generated  $\checkmark$





- Springs
  - $^{208}{\rm TI},~10^{7}$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
  - <sup>212</sup>Pb
  - $^{214}\mathrm{Bi}~10^7$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
  - <sup>214</sup>Pb
  - $^{40}{\rm K}~10^7$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
- Kapton connectors in Edelweiss detectors:
  - $^{208}{
    m Tl}$ ,  $10^{6}$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
  - $^{212}{\rm Pb},~10^{6}$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
  - $^{214}\mathrm{Bi},\,10^{6}$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
  - $^{214}\mathrm{Pb},\,10^{6}$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
- Brass screws
  - $^{208}{\rm TI},~10^{6}$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
  - $^{212}$ Pb, 10<sup>6</sup> evts generated  $\checkmark$
  - <sup>210</sup>Pb



Everything not at 10 mK, below the bolometers plates, at the level of 300K screen or external lead. Contaminations simulated on 300K screen.



- $^{208}{\rm TI}$  ,  $10^{8}$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
- $^{212}\mathrm{Pb},\,10^{8}$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
- $^{214}\mathrm{Bi},\,10^{8}$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
- $^{214}\mathrm{Pb},\,10^{8}$  evts generated  $\checkmark$
- $^{40}$ K,  $10^8$  evts generated  $\checkmark$



- Main background sources identified
- 18 background sources simulated for background model

To do:

- <sup>60</sup>Co plus some radionuclides
- Calibration and simulation as benchmarking for the MC
- MC code: add time and type of particle in output

# CUPID-Mo <sup>56</sup>Co source simulation results ...

Mykola Zarytsky

#### LA BORATOIRE DE L'ACCÉLÉRATEUR LINÉAIRE

#### Source position: on 300K screen, facing CUPID-Mo towers

# The source geometry

- Shape cylinder
- Height 10 cm
- Diameter 1 mm
- Mass 0.62 g
- Material Fe wire





# Particles generation

- <sup>56</sup>Co source
- No such nuclear in Decay0
- G4Source Ion used
  - A = 56
  - Z = 27



10 events tracks

# Overall gamma rate in a single crystal



Tower / Crystal	Tower 10	Tower 11	Tower 12	Tower 3	Tower 4
Crystal 1	1.598(8)	3.94(1)	3.91(1)	2.085(9)	1.585(8)
Crystal 2	1.571(7)	3.98(1)	3.94(1)	2.086(9)	1.535(7)
Crystal 3	1.448(7)	3.63(1)	3.62(1)	1.869(8)	1.43(7)
Crystal 4	1.288(7)	2.94(1)	2.92(1)	1.636(8)	1.27(7)

Tower / Crystal	Tower 10	Tower 11	Tower 12	Tower 3	Tower 4
Crystal 1	3.56(1)	5.17(1)	5.18(1)	3.40(1)	3.54(1)
Crystal 2	3.60(1)	5.17(1)	5.15(1)	3.42(1)	3.54(1)
Crystal 3	3.23(1)	4.69(1)	4.68(1)	3.06(1)	3.18(1)
Crystal 4	2.75(1)	3.94(1)	3.94(1)	2.71(1)	2.73(1)

Events generated for one and two -  $2.8 \times 10^7$ Crystal 1 is the bottom one.

Values are in 10<sup>-3</sup> counts per decay.

# Overall peak rate in a single crystal



Tower / Crystal	Tower 10	Tower 11	Tower 12	Tower 3	Tower 4
Crystal 1	0.6(1)	1.7(2)	1.2(2)	0.9(2)	0.4(1)
Crystal 2	0.5(1)	1.9(3)	1.8(3)	0.6(2)	0.5(1)
Crystal 3	0.6(1)	1.2(2)	1.4(2)	0.5(1)	0.5(1)
Crystal 4	0.5(1)	0.9(2)	1.2(2)	0.6(1)	0.4(1)

Tower / Crystal	Tower 10	Tower 11	Tower 12	Tower 3	Tower 4
Crystal 1	1.6(2)	1.9(3)	2.0(3)	1.1(2)	1.3(2)
Crystal 2	1.5(2)	2.0(3)	2.3(3)	1.3(2)	1.4(2)
Crystal 3	0.7(2)	1.5(2)	1.8(3)	1.3(2)	0.9(2)
Crystal 4	0.9(2)	1.1(2)	1.3(2)	1.2(2)	1.1(2)

Events generated for one and two -  $2.8 \times 10^7$ Crystal 1 is the bottom one. Values are in  $10^{-6}$  counts per decay.



Which activity? Constraints:

- Max 100 Bq required for the transport
- Single detector event rate needs to be below 1/6 Hz (from Ben)

(Following calculations from Ben):

One source:

- $\bullet\,$  Largest single detector overall gamma rate: 3.98  $10^{-3}\,$  cts/decay, max 1/6 Hz  $\rightarrow\,$  Max source activity = 42 Bq
- Peak event rate : 4.1  $10^{-7}$  1.9  $10^{-6}$  cts/decay  $\rightarrow$  10 50 counts at 3.25 MeV per week

Two sources:

- Largest single detector overall gamma rate: 5.18  $10^{-3}$  cts/decay, max 1/6 Hz  $\rightarrow$  Max source activity = 32 Bq
- $\bullet~$  Peak event rate : 7  $10^{-7}$  2.3  $10^{-6}~cts/decay$   $\rightarrow$  14 56 counts at 3.25 MeV per week

Largest dispersion between crystals for one source case  $\rightarrow$  two sources might be preferable