# Review: Type Ia Supernovae Standardization



#### Why we need standardization ?



#### Examples of variabilities :

- Intrinsic variability : Stretch
- Extrinsic variability : Color (one part of SALT2 color are intrinsic)



### **SALT2** standardization

#### State of the art: SALT2 SED model (Guy et al. 2007)



#### **Limit of SALT2 standardization**

#### State of the art: SALT2 SED model (Guy et al. 2007)



Correlation between host galaxies properties and Hubble residuals



#### Limit of SALT2 standardization

#### State of the art: SALT2 SED model (Guy et al. 2007)



### **Limit of SALT2 standardization**

#### State of the art: SALT2 SED model (Guy et al. 2007)



### **SNEMO** (Saunders et al)

- Model based on Factor analysis on spectral times series from SNFactory
- Snemo family are models with N-component
- SNEMO7 are the model where the unexplained dispersion is lowest



### **SNEMO7**

### **Constraining Model Parameters**



# SUGAR

#### Spectral features of type la spectra :

- 9 Pseudo-equivalent width
- 4 P-cygni profile minima





#### Interest of spectral features :

- reduced dimensionality
- non linear estimator



### Correlation between PCA vectors and spectral features:



Correlation between PCA vectors and SALT2 parameters :



#### <u>Correlation between PCA vectors and spectral</u> <u>features:</u> Spectral features



Correlation between PCA vectors and SALT2 parameters :



- ~ pEW
- ~ Stretch, X1
- ~ Intrinsic color

**q1** 

#### **Correlation between PCA vectors and spectral** features: **Spectral features** Pseudo-equivalent width velocity lines 7EN51174131 ENCOLIHER SENSI NO355 ENOLATT'S JENSIN AS912 VSIII AAAA , vans 11 ASAS! oEnte A800 CINCO II IR ENSIN SUGAR parameters q1 0.27 -0.26 **q**<sub>2</sub> 0.05 -0.42 **q**3 Pearson correlation coefficient significance ( $\sigma$ )



- ~ pEW
- ~ Stretch, X1
- ~ Intrinsic color

- ~ Velocities
- Impacts with infra-red

q2

Uncorrelated with X1, C

#### **Correlation between PCA vectors** and SALT2 parameters :



### Correlation between PCA vectors and spectral features:



q1

- ~ pEW
- ~ Stretch, X1
- ~ Intrinsic color

q2

- ~ Velocities
- Impacts with infra-red
- Uncorrelated with X1, C

Correlation between PCA vectors and SALT2 parameters :



- Second stretch
- Little impact @ max light
- Play in light-curves width

14

### Correlation between PCA vectors and spectral features:



q1

- ~ pEW
- ~ Stretch, X1
- ~ Intrinsic color



- ~ Velocities
- Impacts with infra-red
- Uncorrelated with X1, C

Correlation between PCA vectors and SALT2 parameters :



- Second stretch
- Little impact @ max light
- Play in light-curves width

#### SUpernova Generator And Reconstructor (SUGAR) (Léget et al. 2019)

**SUGAR equation :** 

$$M(t;\lambda) = M_0(t;\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{1-3} \alpha(t;\lambda)q_i + A_V f(\lambda;R_V) + \Delta M_{grey}$$









### Hubble fit with SNf data

#### **SALT2 standardization :**

$$\mu_{salt2}=m_B^*-M_B-~lpha x_1-eta c$$

#### Correlation with host properties (LsSFR):



	LsSFR step	
SALT2 UBVRI	(0.11 $\pm$ 0.02) mag	

#### **SUGAR standardization :**

$$\mu_{sugar} = m_B^* - M_B - \sum_{i=1}^3 lpha_i q_i - eta A_v$$

#### Hubble fit with SNf data

#### **SALT2 standardization :**

$$\mu_{salt2}=m_B^*-M_B-~lpha x_1-eta c$$

#### Correlation with host properties (LsSFR):



	LsSFR step	
SALT2 UBVRI	(0.11 $\pm$ 0.02) mag	
SUGAR UBVRI	(0.09 $\pm$ 0.02) mag	

#### **SUGAR standardization :**

$$\mu_{sugar} = m_B^* - M_B - \sum_{i=1}^3 lpha_i q_i - eta A_v$$



SUGAR gives small decrease of LsSFR step (need confirmation on other data set)

### **Extension of SUGAR in the UV**

- SUGAR was trained with nearby SNIa (z < 0.08)
- SUGAR start at 3200 A restframe

#### Use SALT2 in the UV part

Sugar parameters :

#### Salt2 parameters :



- Train this transformation matrix on SNf data.
- Implementation of this transformation in sncosmo

### **SNLS Light curves fitting with SUGAR**

## SALT2 correspondence spectrum for SUGAR average spectrum :





Predicted SALT2 parameters from SUGAR

### **SNLS Light curves fitting with SUGAR**



### Use Supernova Twins for Improved Distances to Type la Supernovae

- Define as two SNIa with them spectral time series match at all wavelength
- Using twin supernovae, allow to standardize supernovae to 0.08 mag
- Statistic limited



24

### Manifold Learning for Improved Distances to Type Ia Supernovae

 Method to take into account nonlinearity based on manifold  Standardizes SNIa to 0.076 mag and reduces the correlation with host galaxy properties

A 3-dimensional nonlinear manifold can explain the diversity of SNe Ia at maximum light.



Summary of Kyle Boone slides DESC collaboration meeting winter 2020

### Manifold Learning for Improved Distances to Type la Supernovae



A 3-dimensional nonlinear manifold can explain the diversity of SNe la at maximum light.

26

### Manifold Learning for Improved Distances to **Type la Supernovae**





\* only half of sample. Holding back rest for validation.

SALT2 +

SALT2 + Manifold 0.6 Isomap residual magnitudes 0.4 80 0.2 After correction, host galaxy 60 correlations decrease! 0.0 40 -0.2 SALT2 20 -0.4-0.611 8 9 10 12 log(M + /M ☉) (global)

\* only half of sample. Holding back rest for validation.

Summary of Kyle Boone slides DESC collaboration meeting winter 2020

### Summary

	Strengths	Weaknesses
SALT2	Well establish model	<ul> <li>reusability of the training code</li> </ul>
SUGAR	<ul> <li>Based on nonlinear estimator</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>UV extinction (in construction)</li> <li>error model (in construction)</li> </ul>
SNEMO7	<ul> <li>Details description of SNIa variabilities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Same than SUGAR</li> <li>To many parameters for a photometric fit</li> </ul>
Twins	Promised idea	<ul><li>Required spectra</li><li>Limited statistic</li></ul>
Manifolds	<ul> <li>Take into account nonlinearity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Applicability to a full cosmological analysis?</li> </ul>