# CCOB wide beam and narrow beam: status and update

Céline Combet, LSST-France, 4 Feb 2020

# CCOB-NB

A. Barrau, J. Bregeon, M. Migliore, G. Dargaud

#### **CCOB-NB: Commissioning of the integrated camera**

- → Check optics alignment/tilt from analysis of the ghost images
- 2mm-wide monochromatic beam ( $\Delta\lambda$  < 1 nm)
- Delivery to SLAC: Spring 2020

• Illumination of the focal plane from a variety of incident angles in the 6 spectral band of LSST

### Design and status of the stand



- Black painted Elcom<sup>™</sup> mechanical support done
- Igus® cable guides being installed and optimised
  - ★ plate support for rotative cable
  - ★ matt black coating of brackets
  - \* footprint : 3000\*3100 mm
- 5 tables installed (= goniometer, rotative + 3 linear tables)
  ★ control command software ready







## Design and status of the source

#### At the last meeting, conclusions about the Idil source were:

- 1. All elements of source have been delivered by the vendor (lamp, monochromator, fibers)
- 2. Fiber support has been printed
- 3. All elements to characterise the source have been delivered (spectrometer, beam profiler)
- 4. Characterisation of the beam  $\rightarrow$  will determine final 'configuration' (fiber diameter + power)

Tests (N. Andres, M2)  $\rightarrow$  Idil source solution does not fulfill the requirements



## Design and status of the source

At the last meeting, conclusions about the Idil source were:

- 1. All elements of source have been delivered by the vendor (lamp, monochromator, fibers)
- 2. Fiber support has been printed
- 3. All elements to characterise the source have been delivered (spectrometer, beam profiler)
- 4. Characterisation of the beam →will determine final 'configuration' (fiber diameter + power)

#### Tests (N. Andres, M2) $\rightarrow$ Idil source solution does not fulfill the requirements

#### Since then, new compact solution from Mountain Photonics

- 1. Xe source pulsed by LASER diode coupled to monochromator
- 2. multimode 200µm output fiber
- 3. optical collimated solution with off-axis parabolic mirror
- 4. high reliable fast exposure shutter



Support ready in ~3 weeks







output fiber 200 µm core

# Good performances of the new source

- Monochromatic source
- 2 mm wide circular beam at 1.2 m distance, on the full frequency range



- High stability : 0,25% for 10s integration time during 1h
- Power @ 1nm fwhm

Wavelength (nm)	Power (nW)
415	34
600	20
900	10





23 @ 415 nm

4 @ 300 nm

8 @ 1000 nm

OK, but not too much margin in the IR



Analysis, ghost simulation tool (J. Bregeon)

Goal: Simulate images on the FP for various displacements and rotations of the camera optics (lenses, filters), including multiple reflections (ghosts). Construct the corresponding ghost model (analytical, numerical, or machine learning approach - TBD)

**Tool**: <u>Batoid</u> ray tracing code

LSST trace lenses

J. Bregeon January 21, 2020

```
[1]: import batoid
  from copy import copy
  from batoid.utils import normalized
  import numpy as np
  from ipywidgets import interact
  import ipywidgets as widgets
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
  from mpl_toolkits.mplot3d import Axes3D
  %matplotlib inline
  batoid.datadir = '/home/bregeon/LSST/CCOB/batoid/batoid/data'
```

[2]: fiducial\_telescope = batoid.Optic.fromYaml("LSST\_CCOB\_r.yaml")



Ongoing work...

# CCOB-WB - analysis update

#### **CCOB-WB: Commissioning of the raw focal plane**

**Goal:** Composite flat field of focal plan; relative QE measurement at the 0.2% level in each band

#### **Procedure:**

- •
- Take images in each sensor (5 pointings/sensor)
- Exposure/model  $\rightarrow$  Flat •

Céline Combet

Reconstruct the beam by scanning in front of a set of reference pixels  $\rightarrow$  beam model

## Run 3 - October 2019



Data taken by the SLAC team (S. Digel, Y. Utsumi, et al.)

#### Since Run 1 & 2 (ETU testing)

- 1. Exposure time increase to account for the lowered position of the  $CCOB \rightarrow Use ND$  filter in front of control PD to avoid saturation
- 2. Only save the REB in which the CCD under scrutiny is installed  $\rightarrow$  reduce data volume by 27
- No hardware solution found to mitigate the reflection on Fe55 ring 3.



Final configuration defined from discussions with M.Migliore, A. Roodman

- Ref pixels = 30 x 30 pixels in the center of Segment 14
- Scan size: 8cm x 8cm (low res or high res)
- 2D spline interpolation  $\rightarrow$  beam model
- Find the coordinates of the beam maximum  $\rightarrow$  compute the offset between CCOB location and beam maximum



CCOB offsets:  $delta_x = 7.1mm$  $delta_y = -4.9 mm$ 



#### Beam shape with different LED - 60 x 60 scan

uv / red



#### nm960 / red

UV beam shape (in the wings) differ from the others LED at the 1% level - the UV LED is the central LED Other LED beams much more similar, with variation ~0.1 - 0.3% level



### Photodiode reading



Relative difference to the mean over the 60 x 60 scan

- No saturation of the PD
  reading → good ND filter
- Variation < per mil level for red,</li>
  850nm and 960 nm LED
- Variation ~0.2% for the UV LED

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Red cross: pixel corresponding to the CCOB position
- Yellow circle: CCOB position + offsets computed from the beam model → beam maximum location
- Use the beam model to create a prediciton matching the data
- Flat = data / model

n the beam model → beam maximum location the data

![](_page_12_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Red cross: pixel corresponding to the CCOB position
- Yellow circle: CCOB position + offsets computed from the beam model → beam maximum location
- Use the beam model to create a prediciton matching the data
- Flat = data / model

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Red cross: pixel corresponding to the CCOB position
- Yellow circle: CCOB position + offsets computed from the beam model → beam maximum location
- Use the beam model to create a prediciton matching the data
- Flat = data / model

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Red cross: pixel corresponding to the CCOB position
- Yellow circle: CCOB position + offsets computed from the beam model → beam maximum location
- Use the beam model to create a prediciton matching the data
- Flat = data / model

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Red cross: pixel corresponding to the CCOB position
- Yellow circle: CCOB position + offsets computed from the beam model → beam maximum location
- Use the beam model to create a prediciton matching the data
- Flat = data / model

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

Relative difference between flats from 2 pointings

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

- 0.0020
- 0.0015
- 0.0010
- 0.0005
- 0.0000
0.0005
0.0010
0.0015
0.0020

# Synthetic flat fields: R22\_S11 variation with wavelength

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

red LED

960nm LED

Run 3: missing data to perform analysis with the blue, 750nm and 850nm LEDs

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Red cross: pixel corresponding to the CCOB position
- Yellow circle: CCOB position + offsets computed from the beam model → beam maximum location
- Use the beam model to create a prediciton matching the data
- Flat = data / model

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Origin of the variation (0.5% level)?

- ★ "Edge" effect (reflection)
- \* Slightly off-positioning (inter-ccd spacing in DM coordinate code?)

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pointings closest to the edge!

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pointings closest to the edge!

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Using central pointing only

# 12 13 14 15 16 17 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

#### CCOB composite flat - red - R01 (RTM-011)

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

Take advantage of the 5 pointings / CCD - the flat should be stable between pointing:

- Make variance map of the sensor from the 5 synthetic flats • Compute the mean of the variance maps to identify (too) strong variations

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

Take advantage of the 5 pointings / CCD - the flat should be stable between pointing:

- Make variance map of the sensor from the 5 synthetic flats
- Compute the mean of the variance maps to identify (too) strong variations

#### Projection for the full FP: ~45-50/189 ccd unusable for CCOB-WB analysis

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Conclusions

- Run 3 = very complete CCOB-WB dataset!
- Good configuration and settings: CCOB distance, LED settings and ND filter
- Results:
  - 1. Analysis tested successfully in multi-raft configuration
  - 2. CCOB stability OK

  - 4. Synthetic flats (red LED) for all sensors (5 pointings/files per sensor) available in /gpfs/slac/lsst/fs1/u/combet/DATA/CCOB QE/
  - All edge sensors are affected by the Fe55 ring reflection 5.
- Run 4 starting now! Full FP check out progress on #cam-ir2-bot-data

3. Beam shapes do vary with the LED (more striking for UV)  $\rightarrow$  recommend 60 x 60 scan in all LED

## Data acquired during run #3

- 1. Beam reconstruction
  - i. 12 x 12 scans in 6 LEDs
  - ii. 60 x 60 scans for (uv, red, 850nm, 960 nm)
- 2. QE data: 5 pointings / CCD, 6 LED
  - i. 1 exposure / pointing (R01, R02, R11, R12, R20)
  - ii. 5 exposures / pointing (R22 and R30)

~50400 fits files (only 1/3 used for the analysis)

Runs:

- 60 x 60 scans: (6845D, 6855D), 6856D
- 12 x 12 scans: 6840D, 6841D
- QE 'long': 11974 (R22), 6843D (R30)
- QE 'short': 6848D (R01), 6849D (R02), 6851D (R11), 6852D (R12), 6853D (R20)

Acquisition trouble for R10, R21

![](_page_34_Figure_14.jpeg)