Les mesures de précision avec des neutrons ultra-froids



LPSC

Ultra Cold neutrons ...

 $\label{eq:vucn} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{...are very slow neutrons} \\ (v_{UCN} < 8 \mbox{ m/s , } \lambda_{UCN} > 500 \mbox{ Å,} \\ & E_{UCN} {\sim} 100 \mbox{ neV }) \end{array}$

that cannot penetrate into materials

```
\lambda_n \approx 800 \text{ Å};

v_n \approx 5 \text{ m/s};

T_n \approx 2 \text{ mK};

E_n \approx 130 \text{ neV}
```





Strong interaction Fermi potential	\sim 100neV
Gravity $\Delta E = m_n g \Delta h$	~ 100 neV /m
Magnetic field $\Delta E = \mu_n B$	~ 60 neV / T
Weak interaction	β decay

UCNs around the world





The highest neutron flux in Western Europe



PF2: Very-cold and Ultra-cold neutron facility VCN: 20 - 400 Å, 0.4 x 10^5 cm⁻²s⁻¹Å⁻¹ (@ 100 Å) UCN: 3.3 x 10^4 cm⁻²s⁻¹ (>500 Å)







Measurement of the neutron lifetime Search for the neutron electric dipole moment Search for dark matter



Neutron lifetime



Beam: Counting the dead ones

Neutron lifetime

- Input to models of BBN
 - ⁴He abundance prediction
 - Need $\delta t_n \approx 1 \; s \; or \; better.$

- Tests for BSM physics
 - CKM unitarity $|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1$
 - $\delta t_n = 0.4$ s would match the present uncertainty of radiative corrections.
 - The dark sector



Marciano and Sirlin, PRL 96 (2006), 032002.







The search for the neutron EDM





d_n=2/3 e*l

 $l=0.1r_n \rightarrow d_n=4.10^{-14} \text{ e.cm}$

But d_n<1.8 10⁻²⁶ e.cm (90% C.L.)

 d_n is CP-odd In the standard model: $d_n \approx 10^{-32} \ e. \ cm$

The strong CP problem and the axion

$$L_{eff} = L_{QCD} + \theta \ \frac{\alpha_S}{8 \pi} \ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\rho\sigma}$$

From lattice calculations: $d_n = -0.0039(2)(9)\theta \ e. fm^*$



Experimental upper limit: $|d_n| \le 2.10^{-13} \ e. fm$

The strong CP problem * One mass quark is exactly zero but PDG: $m_u = 2.2^{+0.6}_{-0.4} MeV$ * Introducing a global chiral U(1) symmetry

This symmetry is necessarily spontaneously broken, and its introduction into the theory effectively replaces the static CP-violating angle θ with a dynamical CP- conserving field- the axion. The axion is the Nambu-Goldstone boson of the broken U(1) symmetry.

The axion is a well motivated dark matter candidate







ILL data: long data taking

PSI data: high sensitivity Still blinded



C. Abel et al., Phys. Rev. X 7, 041034 (2017) ¹²

Matter/Antimatter Asymmetry of the Universe



$$\eta = \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{n_{\gamma}}$$

The abundances of the light elements depend almost solely on the baryon-to-photon ratio

D/H measurements* + nucleosynthesis models 5.8 $10^{-10} < \eta < 6.6 \ 10^{-10}$

The Planck result**: fraction of cosmological density contained in baryons:

 $\eta = 6.09 \ (6) \ 10^{-10}$

*Universe 3, 44 (2017) **Astron. & Astrophys. 594, A13 (2016) ¹³ How this asymmetry can be explained with particle physics? → Sakharov criteria for baryogenesis

1) There must exist an interaction that violates B-number.

2) The B-violating interaction must go out of thermal equilibrium.

3) There must be an interaction that violates C & CP.



New CP violating phases contributes to

* baryonic asymmetry of the universe* neutron EDM

$$d_n = d_n^{CKM} + 10^{-16} e. cm (\theta) + 10^{-24} e. cm \left(\frac{200 \ GeV}{M}\right)^2 \sin(\varphi_{CF})$$

The nEDM is the most stringent test of electroweak baryogenesis via $\frac{\sin(\varphi_{CP})}{M^2}$

But requirements for electroweak baryogenesis do provide complementary constraints on the mass scale and CP-violating phases

Another possibility is the leptogenesis



Picture by V. Cirigliano

First limitation Magnetic field fluctuations

$$\frac{\mathrm{h} f_n (\uparrow\uparrow)}{\mathrm{h} f_n (\uparrow\downarrow)} = 2 \vec{\mu}_n \cdot \vec{B}(\uparrow\uparrow) + 2 \vec{d}_n \cdot \vec{E}(\uparrow\uparrow) \\
\frac{\mathrm{h} f_n (\uparrow\downarrow)}{\mathrm{h} f_n (\uparrow\downarrow)} = 2 \vec{\mu}_n \cdot \vec{B}(\uparrow\downarrow) - 2 \vec{d}_n \cdot \vec{E}(\uparrow\downarrow) \\
\frac{\mathrm{h} (f_n (\uparrow\uparrow) - f_n (\uparrow\downarrow))}{\mathrm{h} (f_n (\uparrow\uparrow) - f_n (\uparrow\downarrow))} = 2 \vec{\mu}_n \cdot (\vec{B}(\uparrow\uparrow) - \vec{B}(\uparrow\downarrow)) - 2 \vec{d}_n \cdot (\vec{E}(\uparrow\uparrow) + \vec{E}(\uparrow\downarrow))$$

 $H = -\vec{\mu}_n \cdot \vec{B} - \vec{d}_n \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{hf_n}{2}$

First limitation Magnetic field fluctuations

$$\frac{\mathrm{h} f_n (\uparrow\uparrow)}{\mathrm{h} f_n (\uparrow\downarrow)} = 2 \vec{\mu}_n \cdot \vec{B}(\uparrow\uparrow) + 2 \vec{d}_n \cdot \vec{E}(\uparrow\uparrow) \\
\frac{\mathrm{h} f_n (\uparrow\downarrow)}{\mathrm{h} f_n (\uparrow\downarrow)} = 2 \vec{\mu}_n \cdot \vec{B}(\uparrow\downarrow) - 2 \vec{d}_n \cdot \vec{E}(\uparrow\downarrow) \\
\frac{\mathrm{h} (f_n (\uparrow\uparrow) - f_n (\uparrow\downarrow))}{\mathrm{h} (f_n (\uparrow\uparrow) - f_n (\uparrow\downarrow))} = 2 \vec{\mu}_n \cdot (\vec{B}(\uparrow\uparrow) - \vec{B}(\uparrow\downarrow)) - 2 \vec{d}_n \cdot (\vec{E}(\uparrow\uparrow) + \vec{E}(\uparrow\downarrow))$$



Mercury co-magnetometer (1998)

$$R = \frac{f_n}{f_{Hg}} = \frac{\gamma_n B_n}{\gamma_{Hg} B_{Hg}} = \frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_{Hg}}$$

Cesium magnetometer array (2009)



$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{h} \ f_n \ (\uparrow\uparrow) &=& 2 \ \vec{\mu}_n . \vec{B}(\uparrow\uparrow) &+& 2 \ \vec{d}_n . \vec{E}(\uparrow\uparrow) \\ \mathrm{h} \ f_n \ (\uparrow\downarrow) &=& 2 \ \vec{\mu}_n . \vec{B}(\uparrow\downarrow) &-& 2 \ \vec{d}_n . \vec{E}(\uparrow\downarrow) \\ \hline \mathrm{h}(f_n \ (\uparrow\uparrow) - \ f_n \ (\uparrow\downarrow)) &=& 2 \vec{\mu}_n . \left(\vec{B}(\uparrow\uparrow) - \vec{B}(\uparrow\downarrow) \right) &-& 2 \vec{d}_n . (\vec{E}(\uparrow\uparrow) + \vec{E}(\uparrow\downarrow)) \\ \end{array}$$



$$R = \frac{f_n}{f_{Hg}} = \frac{\gamma_n B_n}{\gamma_{Hg} B_{Hg}} = \frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_{Hg}}$$

$$d_{\rm n} = \frac{\pi h f_{\rm Hg}}{4|E|} \left(\mathcal{R}_{\uparrow\downarrow}^T - \mathcal{R}_{\uparrow\uparrow}^T + \mathcal{R}_{\uparrow\downarrow}^B - \mathcal{R}_{\uparrow\uparrow}^B \right)$$

nEDM 2005-2020

- -> most stringent upper limit to date
- -> reduce by factor 5 systematic error budget

$$d_n = (0.0 \pm 1.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-26} \text{ e.cm.}$$

n2EDM 2012-

-> phase one : gain an order of magnitude in sensitivity

-> phase two : gain again an order of magnitude in sensitivity Design strategy in a nutshell:

- To push up the statistic
 - -> make your spectrometer bigger
- To push down the systematic error

-> make your spectrometer smaller and/or improve your magnetic field









- Proposed by Lee and Yang in 1956 to restored parity in the weak interaction: introduction of a parity-conjugated copy of weakly interacting particles.
- In 1966, Kobzarev, Okun and Pomeranchuk: the mirror particles do not interact with « normal » particles via weak, strong and electromagnetic interactions. Within the mirror world the mirror particles interact among themselves via mirrored interaction: mirror magnetic fields exist (assuming the mirror world does exists).
- Mirror matter is a dark matter candidate
- Changes the effective neutron lifetime (nucleosynthesis) and maybe even the baryogenesis
- Impact on some experimental issue such as neutron lifetime crisis and reactor anomaly
- The mixing between the two worlds can be probe via oscillation of neutral particles into/from its mirror partner.



MERCI

The quarks' EDM (Phys.Rev.Lett.78:4339-4342,1997)

* One loop level (single boson exchange), no change in quark flavor, each CKM matrix element is accompanied by its complex conjugate; no T-violating complex phase can arise

* Two loop level, individual diagrams have complex phases and contribute to the EDM. However, the sum over all quark flavors in the intermediate states leads to the accidental vanishing of the EDM



$$d_d = -0.7 \times 10^{-34} \ e \ cm$$

 $d_u = -0.15 \times 10^{-34} \ e \ cm$



25

$$H = -\vec{\mu}_n \cdot \vec{B} - \vec{d}_n \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{hf_n}{2}$$



We have this quantity, that is breaking P, T and CP symmetries.

What is it interesting for?







The Ramsey's method of separated oscillating fields

The axion is a well motivated dark matter candidate Axion density relative to the critical density of the universe

$$\Omega_a \approx \left(\frac{6\,\mu \mathrm{eV}}{m_a}\right)^{\frac{7}{6}} \approx \Omega_m = 0.23 \ (m_a \approx 20 \ \mu eV)$$

Entire dark matter density



The theory is quite predictive

Essentially all of the physics of the axion depends on a large unknown energy scale f_a , at which Peccei-Quinn symmetry is broken.

The axion has a two photons coupling, and g_{γ} is model dependent.



Matter/Antimatter Asymmetry of the Universe



$$\eta = \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{n_{\gamma}}$$

- (1) You prepare the system in thermal equilibrium with $A_{B\overline{B}} = \frac{N_B - N_{\overline{B}}}{N_B + N_{\overline{B}}} \approx 0$

(2) Baryogenesis happens.

(3) You find the system in thermal with

$$A_{B\overline{B}} = \frac{N_B - N_{\overline{B}}}{N_B + N_{\overline{B}}} \approx 1, \eta \approx 0$$

Can we say anything general about what happens in Step 2?

The neutron EDM (from quarks' EDM)

Naive (valence) approach:

$$d_n = \frac{4}{3}d_d - \frac{1}{3}d_u \le 10^{-34} \text{ e. cm}$$

The neutron EDM (from "long" distance effect)

The largest Standard Model contribution to d_n comes not from quark EDMs, but from a four-quark operator generated by a so-called "strong penguin" diagram. This is enhanced by long distance effects, namely the pion loop, and it has been estimated that this mechanism

$$d_n \approx 10^{-32} e.cm$$

The neutron EDM is essentially free of SM background!



Annals of Physics 318 (2005) 119–169 31