# Towards e<sup>-</sup> scattering on exotic nuclei

F. Flavigny (LPC Caen)

on behalf of the working group



1

# Outline

### Why using electrons to probe (exotic) nuclei?

- The e<sup>-</sup> probe for nuclei
- Ilustration of past achievements and link with new physics cases
  - Elastic → Bubble nuclei
  - Inelastic  $\rightarrow$  N=50 physics / Shape coexistence
  - Quasi-free → Short range correlations
  - And much more

### How to perform e<sup>-</sup>-RI collisions?

- Luminosity requirements
- Techniques:
  - Trap (SCRIT)
  - Collider (ELISE)
- Existing (pre)design study (ETIC)

• EM interaction well-known and weak

 $\rightarrow$  e<sup>-</sup> penetrate deeply without absorption

- Single Virtual-photon exchange (good approx.)
  - Momentum transfer q =  $1/\lambda$ E<sub>e</sub>=500 MeV –  $\lambda$  ~ 0.5 fm scale





• EM interaction well-known and weak

 $\rightarrow$  e<sup>-</sup> penetrate deeply without absorption

- Single Virtual-photon exchange (good approx.)
  - Momentum transfer q =  $1/\lambda$ E<sub>e</sub>=500 MeV -  $\lambda$  ~ 0.5 fm scale



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega \, dE} = \frac{4\pi}{M_T} \, \sigma_{Mott} \left[ \left( \frac{q_\lambda^2}{q^2} \right)^2 S_L(q,\omega) + \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{q_\lambda^2}{q^2} + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) S_T(q,\omega) \right]$$

Nuclear response surfaces or Dynamic structure functions

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} \omega \rightarrow Exc. \, Energy \\ q \rightarrow r \end{array}\right]$$

• EM interaction well-known and weak

 $\rightarrow$  e<sup>-</sup> penetrate deeply without absorption

- Single Virtual-photon exchange (good approx.)
  - Momentum transfer q =  $1/\lambda$ E<sub>e</sub>=500 MeV -  $\lambda$  ~ 0.5 fm scale





• EM interaction well-known and weak

 $\rightarrow$  e<sup>-</sup> penetrate deeply without absorption

- Single Virtual-photon exchange (good approx.)
  - Momentum transfer q =  $1/\lambda$ E<sub>e</sub>=500 MeV -  $\lambda$  ~ 0.5 fm scale





[Donelly and Walecka, ARNPS 25, 329 (1975)]

# **Elastic scattering**

For ( $\omega=0$ ) and J<sup> $\pi$ </sup>=0<sup>+</sup> states:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{eA \to eA} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{Mott} |F_c(\vec{q})|^2 \qquad F_c(\vec{q}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \rho_c(\vec{r}) e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} d^3r$$

### $\rho_c(r) \rightarrow$ Tool to probe several basic features of nuclear structure

Nuclear saturation, extension, binding and surface tension
 Oscillations --> shell structure and many-body correlations





# **Elastic scattering**

For ( $\omega=0$ ) and J<sup> $\pi$ </sup>=0<sup>+</sup> states:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{eA \to eA} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{Mott} |F_c(\vec{q})|^2 \qquad F_c(\vec{q}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \rho_c(\vec{r}) e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} d^3r$$

### $\rho_c(r) \rightarrow$ Tool to probe several basic features of nuclear structure



### Prediction

### **Central depletion** of $\rho_{ch}(r)$

[Dechargé et al. 2003, Bender & Heenen 2013] [Khan et al. 2008, Grasso et al. 2009,...]



# PredictionInterpretationCentral depletion of $\rho_{ch}(r)$ QM effect[Dechargé et al. 2003, Bender & Heenen 2013]<br/>[Khan et al. 2008, Grasso et al. 2009,...] $\ell = 0$ orbitals radially peaked at r = 0<br/> $\ell \neq 0$ orbitals suppressed at small r



### **Prediction** Interpretation Consequence **Central depletion** of $\rho_{ch}(r)$ **QM** effect **Spin-Orbit interaction** [Dechargé et al. 2003, Bender & Heenen 2013] $\ell$ = 0 orbitals radially peaked at r = 0 $V_q^{so}(\vec{r},\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ W_1 \nabla \rho_q(\vec{r}) + W_2 \nabla \rho_{\bar{q}}(\vec{r}) \right] \sigma \wedge \vec{p}$ [Khan et al. 2008, Grasso et al. 2009,...] $\ell \neq 0$ orbitals suppressed at small r 0.1 36S 0.1 **(b)** <sup>34</sup>Si (a) ] 0.08 0.08 (-2p) $\rho^{\ell_j}$ (r) [fm<sup>-3</sup>] $\rho^{\ell_j}(\mathbf{r})$ [fm<sup>-3</sup>] s<sub>1/2</sub> in $s_{1/2}$ orbital 0.06 0.06 total <sup>s</sup>1/2 total 0.04 0.04 $p_{3/2}$ $p_{3/2}$ d<sub>5/2</sub> d<sub>5/2</sub> 0.02 0.02 d<sub>3/2</sub> d<sub>3/2</sub> 0 0 3 2 5 0 1 4 0 2 3 4 5 r [fm] r [fm]

# PredictionInterpretationConsequenceCentral depletion of $\rho_{ch}(r)$ QM effectSpin-Orbit interaction[Dechargé et al. 2003, Bender & Heenen 2013]<br/>[Khan et al. 2008, Grasso et al. 2009,...] $\ell = 0$ orbitals radially peaked at r = 0<br/> $\ell \neq 0$ orbitals suppressed at small r $V_q^{SO}(\vec{r}, \vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ W_1 \nabla \rho_q(\vec{r}) + W_2 \nabla \rho_{\bar{q}}(\vec{r}) \right] \sigma \land \vec{p}$



### Indirect/model dependent signature

Exp. data: [Thorn et al. 1984] [Eckle et al. 1989] [Burgunder et al. 2014]



### **Direct/Unambiguous signature of central depletion**



Clear differences in  $|F(\theta)|^2$  for  $\theta > 50^\circ$ 

### **Transition charge densities in N=50 isotones**

[Schwenker et al., PRL 50, 17 (1983)]



### Initial interpretation:

- Weak-coupling: [<sup>88</sup>Sr; 2+]⊗ π 2p<sub>1/2</sub>
- Similar shapes/conf. for 2<sup>+</sup> states

# Inelastic scattering : Collectivity & Conf. Mixing



surface oscillation

- Orth. Comb:  $[2p_{3/2}^{-1}2p_{1/2}]_{2+} \& [1f_{5/2}^{-1}2p_{1/2}]_{2+}$ ٠
- Localization of nucleons inv. in collectivity ٠



# Inelastic scattering : Collectivity & Conf. Mixing



Tool to probe collectivity precisely  $\rightarrow$  Shape coex/transition region, island of inv., etc)

# (e,e'p) Quasi-free scattering



### Information on:

- Nuclear (hole) spectral function
  - (s.p. energies, mom. dis, Spec. Fac.)
  - in medium modification of nuc. prop.
  - access to deeply-bound states



# (e,e'p) Quasi-free scattering



### Information on:

- Nuclear (hole) spectral function
  - (s.p. energies, mom. dis, Spec. Fac.)
  - in medium modification of nuc. prop.
  - access to deeply-bound states
- → Better sensitivity to details of s.p. w.f ... not only asymptotic tail

$$P(r) = \frac{1}{\Delta r} (\sigma_{r-\Delta r/2} - \sigma_{r+\Delta r/2})$$



# (e,e'p) Quasi-free scattering : Short Range Correlations

[Dickhoff and Barbieri, PPNP 52 377 (2004)]





- Repulsive hard-core + tensor → high-mom. correlations
- Stable nuclei:
  - 20% of nucleons are concerned
  - with 90% of neutron-proton pairs

[Subedi et al., Science 320 (2008)]

How does these correlations evolve for exotic nuclei with asymetric n/p ratios?

# Intermediate conclusion

### And much more to look at...

### • Halo nuclei , clustering, etc.

- Fission studies (precise control of E\*)
- Collectivity / Photonuclear reactions (PDR, GDR, etc..)
   +Scattering on Magnetic currents
- Better controlled mechanisms (ideal coulex, controlled FSI)
- Solid Theoretical support (Structure and Reaction)

- Polarised e<sup>-</sup> beam applications
- Hadron physics at low Q<sup>2</sup>
- R&D on medical applications with HI e- beam

### **Complementarity/Link with**

[O.Sorlin et al.]
[B. Jurado et al ]
[G. Duchêne et al.]
[F. Gulminelli et al.]
[A. Lopez-Martens et al.]
[A. Matta et al.]
[F. Nowacki et al.]
[M. Grasso et al.]
[M. Bender et al.]

Other GTs

- 1. Vast physics program spanning most of the interests of our community
- 2. ..and extendable to interest of other communities
- 3. Based on very **solid grounds** (theoretical and experimental)

# **Context and Luminosity requirements**



Reaction	Deduced quantity	Target Nuclei	Luminosity [cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]
Elastic scattering at small q	r.m.s. charge radii	Light	$10^{24}$
		Medium	
First minimum in elastic form	Density distribution with 2	Light	$10^{28}$
factor	parameters	Medium	$10^{26}$
		Heavy	10 <sup>24</sup>
Second minimum in elastic form	Density distribution with 3	Medium	10 <sup>29</sup>
factor	parameters	Heavy	$10^{26}$
Inelastic scattering	Position, width, strength, decays	Medium	10 <sup>28</sup>
Pygmy/Giant resonances		Heavy	$10^{28}$
Quasi-free scattering	SF, spectral strength	Light	10 <sup>29</sup>

Required luminosities for different electron scattering studies, adapted from [Antonov et al., NIMA 637, 60 (2011)]

# **ELISE project @ FAIR**



A. Antonov et al., NIMA 637 60 (2011)

e-RIB Collider configuration

NESR (0.74 GeV/nucleon) + EAR (0.5 GeV)

→ Targeted luminosity ~ 10<sup>28</sup> (cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>)

Excluded from funded part of FAIR modularized start version (module 4)

- ✓ Variety of RIB from fragmentation
- ✓ Target and scattered particle detectable
- ✓ Narrow interaction region / good overlap
- X Pre-cooling before NESR (1.5 s)
- X Requires very-high res. Spectrometer
- X Not FAIR priority Very long term
- X Requires Full FAIR operation Limited BT

# SCRIT (Self-Containing RI Target) @ RIKEN





- **Pioneering** proof-of-concept
- ~ 2-3 Phys. + 2-3 tech/Eng. (~3 FTE)
- Recycling of an existing (small) ring + ISOL source
- Achieved luminosity is ~ 3x10<sup>27</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> stable Xe
- e<sup>-</sup> beam properties ← > Ion-trapping properties

Wakasugi et al., NIMA 532, 216 (2004) Wakasugi et al., PRL 100, 164801 (2008) Suda et al, PRL 102, 102501 (2009) Wakasugi et al., NIMB 317, 668 (2013) Tsukada et al., PRL 118, 262501 (2017)

# **French initiatives**



• ERL more advantageous but more recent → Requires **R&D and Demonstrator** 

# **French initiatives**



## PERLE@IJCLAB

[PERLE CDR, JPG 45 (2018) 065003.]

### ERL demonstrator for LHeC

- « A facility to develop energy recovery , multi-turn, large current, large energy »
- Direct overlap with nuclear physics requirements
- Combination with ALTO-like RIB source  $\rightarrow$  First physics program (reduced luminosity)

# **French initiatives**



### **Opportunity of synergy with particle physics and between national facilities**

**Physics Case ? YES** – Looks vast, extendable Need more work **Challenging/Difficult ? YES** - No pain No gain ...help welcome Workshop in 2020 **Plan/Strategy/Synergies**? (ESNT) **YES** – preliminary but not insane