





Final State Interactions in 3-body decay: challenges and future

Patricia C. Magalhães Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica (ITA) p.magalhaes@cern.ch

Collaborators:

I. Bediaga, A dos Reis, J. Miranda and D. Torres Machado (CBPF)

- T. Frederico (ITA); M. Robilotta (USP);
- J. Rademacker and K. Petridis (Bristol)

XV International Workshop on Hadron Physics - 13/09/2021



context

- Multi-body hadronic decays of B and D mesons
 - are sensitive to strong phases
- signature of two-body resonances on data





- $\rightarrow \quad \text{light scalars in (2001/2002)} \\ \sigma [f_0(500)] \text{ and } \kappa [K_0^*(700)]$
 - → exotics, tetra-quarks, pentaquark,...

→ simple models (only focus on two-body resonances)
are not enough to explain data anymore
$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{256\pi^3 M^3} |\mathcal{M}|^2 dm_{ij}^2 dm_{jk}^2$$
theoretical challenge !

FSI challenges and future

Hadron 2020(1) - Brazil

CP asymmetry



• Ist observation in charm $\overset{\mbox{\tiny CCD}}{\longrightarrow}$ 2019 $A_{cp}(D^0 \to K^+K^-) - A_{cp}(D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)$

 \rightarrow CPV on charm three-body?

FSI challenges and future

Hadron 2020(1) - Brazil

can lead to new physics

- 3-body effects expected to be smaller in B
- B phase-space → + FSI possibilities



Three-body heavy meson decay Dynamics



standard approach

common cartoon to described 3-body decay



= $\sum_{k} A_k(s_{12}, s_{23})$ • isobar model widely used by experimentalists:

- (2+1) approximation \rightarrow ignore the interaction with 3rd particle (bachelor)
- $A = \sum c_k A_k$; + NR coherent sum of amplitude's in different parcial waves



- sum of BW violates two-body unitarity (close Rs in the same channel scalars)
- resonance's mass and width are processes dependent

Hadron 2020(1) - Brazil

Models available

- movement to use better 2-body (unitarity) inputs in data analysis
- "K-matrix" : $\pi\pi$ S-wave 5 coupled-channel modulated by a production amplitude sused by Babar, LHCb, BES III Anisovich PLB 653(2007)
- rescattering $\pi\pi \to KK$ contribution in LHCb $\begin{cases} B^{\pm} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{\pm} & \text{PRD101} (2020) & 012006; \\ \text{PRL 124} (2020) & 031801 \\ B^{\pm} \to K^{-}K^{+}\pi^{\pm} & \text{PRL 123} (2019) & 231802 \end{cases}$ Pelaez, Yndurain PRD71(2005) 074016

hew parametrization Pelaez, Rodas, Elvira Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019) 12, 1008

- \rightarrow still not enough to fully described data
- from theory: list of scalar and vector form factors

 $<\pi\pi|0>$ Moussallam EPJ C 14, 111 (2000); Daub, Hanhart, and B. Kubis JHEP 02 (2016) 009. Hanhart, PL B715, 170 (2012).

Dumm and Roig EPJ C 73, 2528 (2013).

 $< K\pi | 0 >$ Moussallam EPJ C 53, 401 (2008) Jamin, Oller and Pich, PRD 74, 074009 (2006) Boito, Escribano, and Jamin EPJ C 59, 821 (2009).

- < KK|0 > Fit from 3-body data PCM, Robilotta + LHCb JHEP 1904 (2019) 063
- no data extrapolate from unitarity model Albaladejo and Moussallam EPJ C 75, 488 (2015). quark model with isospin symmetry Bruch, Khodjamirian, and Kühn, EPJ C 39, 41 (2005)

FSI challenges and future

Hadron 2020(1) - Brazil



- we need non-perturbative meson-meson interactions up to.... 3 GeV
- extend 2-body amplitude theory validity

Ropertz, Kubis, Hanhart EPJ Web Conf. 202 (2019) 06002

PCM, A.dos Reis, Robilotta PRD 102, 076012 (2020)

Tool kit for meson-meson interactions in 3-body decay ⁹

• Any 3-body decay amplitude

MAGALHAES, A.dos Reis, Robilotta PRD 102, 076012 (2020)



provide the building block A

- includes multiple resonances in the same channel (as many as wanted)
- free parameter (massas and couplings) to be fitted to data.
 - \rightarrow Available to be implement in data analysis!!

full $\pi\pi$ 3 coupled-channel amplitude

3 resonances: mx=0.98, my=1.37, mz=1.7 GeV



 α and β are couplings of Rz



- Extra res do not disturb the low-energy! ≯
- parameter should be fixed by data

· α= 0 β=0

Three-body Models

• Three-body FSI (beyond 2+1)



shown to be relevant on charm sector



Three-body Models

Three-body FSI (beyond 2+1)



Hadron 2020(1) - Brazil

CPV at low and high mass

• What are the mechanisms behind these CPV patterns?

LHCb PRD90 (2014) 112004

FSI challenges and future

Big picture

massive phase-space localized Asymmetry

 $A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(M \to f) - \Gamma(\bar{M} \to \bar{f})}{\Gamma(M \to f) + \Gamma(\bar{M} \to \bar{f})}$

• condition to CPV:

2 \neq amplitudes, SAME final state, \neq strong (δ_i) and weak (ϕ_i) phases $\langle f \mid T \mid M \rangle = A_1 e^{i(\delta_1 + \phi_1)} + A_2 e^{i(\delta_2 + \phi_2)}$ $\langle \bar{f} \mid T \mid \bar{M} \rangle = A_1 e^{i(\delta_1 - \phi_1)} + A_2 e^{i(\delta_2 - \phi_2)}$

 $\Gamma(M - A f B - T f M = A \bar{f} e^{i(\delta_1 + \phi_1)} T + M 2 e^{i(\delta_2} | \langle \bar{f}^2 \rangle T | \bar{M} \rangle |^2 = -4A_1 A_2 \sin(\delta_1 - \delta_2) \sin(\phi_1 - \phi_2) A(B \rightarrow f) = A_1 e^{i(\delta_1 - \phi_1)} + A_2 e^{i(\delta_2 - \phi_2)} A(B \rightarrow f) = A_1 e^{i(\delta_1 - \phi_1)} + A_2 e^{i(\delta_2 - \phi_2)} A(\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{f}) = A_1 e^{i(\delta_2 - \phi_2)} A(\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{f}) =$

not enough

hadronic interactions as source of strong phase

 $2 \operatorname{S1n}$ Hadron 2020 (3) Brazil

rescattering as a CPV mechanism

• CPT must be preserved

• confirmed by LHCb Amplitude Analysis $B^{\pm} \to \pi^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{\pm}$ and $B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}K^{-}K^{+}$

PRD101 (2020) 012006; PRL 124 (2020) 031801 PRL 123 (2019) 231802

CPV: amplitude analysis $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{\pm}$

recent Amplitude analysis $B^{\pm} \to \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{\pm}$ prd101 (2020) 012006; prl 124 (2020) 031801

- $(\pi^{-}\pi^{+})_{S-Wave}$ 3 different model:
 - $rightarrow \sigma$ as BW (!) + rescattering;
 - P-vector K-Matrix;
 - binned freed lineshape (QMI);

Contribution	Fit fraction (10^{-2})	$A_{CP} (10^{-2})$	B^+ phase (°)	B^- phase (°)
Isobar model				
$ ho(770)^{0}$	$55.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 2.5$	$+0.7 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.6$	_	
$\omega(782)$	$0.50 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05$	$-4.8 \pm 6.5 \pm 3.8$	$-19\pm 6\pm 1$	$+8\pm 6\pm 1$
$f_2(1270)$	$9.0\ \pm 0.3\ \pm 1.5$	$+46.8 \pm 6.1 \pm 4.7$	$+5\pm$ $3\pm$ 12	$+53\pm2\pm12$
$\rho(1450)^{0}$	$5.2\ \pm 0.3\ \pm 1.9$	$-12.9 \pm 3.3 \pm 35.9$	$+127\pm 4\pm 21$	$+154\pm 4\pm 6$
$ ho_{3}(1690)^{0}$	$0.5\ \pm 0.1\ \pm 0.3$	$-80.1 \pm 11.4 \pm 25.3$	$-26\pm7\pm14$	$-47\pm18\pm~25$
S-wave	$25.4\ \pm 0.5\ \pm 3.6$	$+14.4 \pm 1.8 \pm 2.1$		
Rescattering	$1.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.5$	$+44.7 \pm 8.6 \pm 17.3$	$-35\pm$ $6\pm$ 10	$-4\pm$ $4\pm$ 25
σ	$25.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 5.0$	$+16.0 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.2$	$+115\pm2\pm14$	$+179\pm1\pm95$
K-matrix				
$ ho(770)^{0}$	$56.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 3.4$	$+4.2 \pm 1.5 \pm 6.4$		
$\omega(782)$	$0.47 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03$	$-6.2 \pm 8.4 \pm 9.8$	$-15\pm 6\pm 4$	$+8\pm 7\pm 4$
$f_2(1270)$	$9.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 2.5$	$+42.8 \pm 4.1 \pm 9.1$	$+19\pm$ $4\pm$ 18	$+80\pm 3\pm 17$
$\rho(1450)^{0}$	$10.5\ \pm 0.7\ \pm 4.6$	$+9.0\pm\ 6.0\pm47.0$	$+155\pm5\pm29$	$-166\pm~4\pm~51$
$ ho_3(1690)^0$	$1.5\ \pm 0.1\ \pm 0.4$	$-35.7 \pm 10.8 \pm 36.9$	$+19\pm$ $8\pm$ 34	$+5\pm$ $8\pm$ 46
S-wave	$25.7\ \pm 0.6\ \pm 3.0$	$+15.8 \pm 2.6 \pm 7.2$		
QMI				
$ ho(770)^{0}$	$54.8 \pm 1.0 \pm 2.2$	$+4.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.8$		
$\omega(782)$	$0.57 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.17$	$-7.9 \pm 16.5 \pm 15.8$	$-25\pm 6\pm 27$	$-2\pm$ $7\pm$ 11
$f_2(1270)$	$9.6\ \pm 0.4\ \pm 4.0$	$+37.6 \pm 4.4 \pm 8.0$	$+13\pm 5\pm 21$	$+68\pm3\pm66$
$\rho(1450)^{0}$	$7.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 4.0$	$-15.5 \pm 7.3 \pm 35.2$	$+147\pm7\pm152$	$-175\pm5\pm171$
$\rho_3(1690)^0$	$1.0 \ \pm 0.1 \ \pm 0.5$	$-93.2 \pm \ 6.8 \pm 38.9$	$+8\pm10\pm~24$	$+36\pm26\pm~46$
S-wave	$26.8 \pm 0.7 \pm 2.2$	$+15.0 \pm 2.7 \pm 8.1$		—

• ANA for $B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} K^{-} K^{+}$ PRL 123 (2019) 231802

Contribution	Fit Fraction(%)	$A_{CP}(\%)$	Magnitude (B^+/B^-)	Phase ^[o] (B^+/B^-)
$K^{*}(892)^{0}$	$7.5\pm0.6\pm0.5$	$+12.3 \pm 8.7 \pm 4.5$	$0.94 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02$	0 (fixed)
			$1.06 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02$	0 (fixed)
$K_0^*(1430)^0$	$4.5\pm0.7\pm1.2$	$+10.4 \pm 14.9 \pm 8.8$	$0.74 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09$	$-176\pm10\pm16$
			$0.82 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.10$	$136\pm11\pm21$
Single pole	$32.3 \pm 1.5 \pm 4.1$	$-10.7 \pm 5.3 \pm 3.5$	$2.19 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.17$	$-138\pm7\pm5$
			$1.97 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.20$	$166 \pm 6 \pm 5$
$\rho(1450)^0$	$30.7 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.9$	$-10.9 \pm 4.4 \pm 2.4$	$2.14 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.07$	$-175\pm10\pm15$
			$1.92 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.07$	$140\pm13\pm20$
$f_2(1270)$	$7.5\pm0.8\pm0.7$	$+26.7 \pm 10.2 \pm 4.8$	$0.86 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.07$	$-106\pm11\pm10$
			$1.13 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05$	$-128\pm11\pm14$
Rescattering	$16.4\pm0.8\pm1.0$	$-66.4 \pm 3.8 \pm 1.9$	$1.91 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.06$	$-56\pm12\pm18$
			$0.86 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04$	$-81\pm14\pm15$
$\phi(1020)$	$0.3\pm0.1\pm0.1$	$+9.8 \pm 43.6 \pm 26.6$	$0.20 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$	$-52 \pm 23 \pm 32$
			$0.22 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04$	$107\pm33\pm41$

Patricia Magalhães

Hadron 2020(1) - Brazil

FSI challenges and future

 $m_{\rm low} \, [{
m GeV}/c^2]$

uation: Whe**re**we Alferner HB 13) and tige RBThe hereining a start with the matrix effective and with the matrix effective and with the matrix effective and the mat

 $= \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f$ The Trains Retween the interaction of the rate

Theoration between charge $\underbrace{\mathbf{T}}_{CP} (\mathcal{R}^{\pm} \mathcal{K}^{+\pi} \mathcal{K}^{\pi^{+}}) \pi^{-} \qquad \text{Theorsones up so between substantial theory of the solution of the$ dsymmetrypredictioner

symmetry, is applied any remains the weeks, change comparisie with mention errors and chia metrywarepresidigt strangeness. However prover and strangeness and the strangeness and minetavis Boyothet Bit monetork actured itt school at the state of the annels with and the stangeness applied on the

s and impossible goonspatible with the star X CENT (A LANG CE CAL Tespeciments Fruiton town of the state of the feature story of state of the feature story of state of the feature story of state of the feature of the feature story of state of the feature of the able 1 Krather with

on emission and the period of the storage of the s R^0 $B \rightarrow hhh, \text{ for } h \neq \Lambda$ alchanbessicemissibt the b complete

nallenges and future

Hadron 2020(1) - Brazil

U-spin symmetry and FSI with CPT Final Remarks

Tobias's seminar last week

NEW!

20

OAS study B^{\pm}_{TOWST} the kelevance \bar{K}^{0}_{O} , the \bar{K}^{0}_{O} , to $the global \pi^{0}_{CPV}$ dressed by the ratio of charge conjugate width differences and g $\Delta S \equiv 1$, $B^{\pm} \xrightarrow{K^{\pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{\pm} K^{0} \pi^{0} K^{\pm} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} K^{0} K^{0} K^{0} K^{\pm} K^{+} K^{-} K^{0}$ in comparison of our results with the experimental values in change $K \leftrightarrow \pi$ in decay channels is supported by the data. strictioner these strathest apply changes age of the strong interaction rk proeak transition vertex. $\Delta\Gamma_{CP}(\pi^{\pm}K^{+}K^{-}) + \Delta\Gamma_{CP}(\pi^{\pm}K^{0}\bar{K}^{0}) + \Delta\Gamma_{CP}(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) + \Delta\Gamma_{CP}(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}) = 0$ The proposed form to apply the U-spin symmetry, together wi $\operatorname{nstraim}^{(K^{\pm}_{\mathrm{fh}}\mathcal{C}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{fh}}}(\mathrm{fh}^{\pm}_{\mathrm{fh}}\mathcal{C}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{fh}}) + \operatorname{for}^{(K^{\pm}_{\mathrm{fh}}}(\mathrm{fh}^{\pm}_{\mathrm{SI}}), \operatorname{for}^{(h)}_{\mathrm{fh}}\mathcal{C}^{0}_{\mathrm{fh}}) + \operatorname{for}^{(h)}_{\mathrm{fh}}\mathcal{C}^{0}_{\mathrm{fh}}) + \operatorname{for}^{(h)}_{\mathrm{fh}}\mathcal{C}^{0}_{\mathrm{fh}} + \operatorname{for}^{(h)}_{\mathrm{fh}} + \operatorname{for}^{(h)}_{\mathrm{fh}} + \operatorname{for}^{(h)}_{\mathrm{fh}} + \operatorname{for}^{(h)}_{\mathrm{fh}} + \operatorname{for}^{(h)}_{\mathrm{fh}} + \operatorname{for}^{(h)}_{\mathrm{fh}} + \operatorname{f$ C_{P} 's of the charged three-body B decays, as one sees by co tias (28) Kand (29) Awith those extracted from the experimenta $\frac{\overline{\Delta\Gamma_{CP}(\pi^{\pm}K^{0}\bar{K}^{0})}}{\Delta\Gamma_{CP}(K^{\pm}\pi^{0}\pi^{0})} \approx 1^{-1} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_{A} = 1^{-1} \text{ and } = 1^{-1} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_{A} = 1^{-1} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_{A} = 1^{-1} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_{A} = 1^{-1} \text{ and } = 1^$ FSI challenges and future the near fultHadron 2020(1) - Brazi h (Run 2 and Retricia Magalhãea)

CPV high energy

- $\bullet B^+ \to K^- K^+ K^+$
 - analogue of $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow KK$ but with $D\bar{D} \rightarrow K^+K^-(\pi^+\pi^-)$

$$Br \left[B \to DD_s^* \right] \sim 1\%$$

$$\rightarrow 1000 \times Br \left[B \to KKK \right]$$

• change sign ~ $D\bar{D}$ open channel

charm rescattering in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$

22

Bediaga, Frederico, MAGALHAES - PLB 806 (2020) 135490

• high mass CPV study in $B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$

• include χ_{c0} (expected in Run II)

- Important data features
 - data shows a huge CP asymmetry around $m_{\chi_{c0}}^2 = 11.65 \, GeV^2$
 - wide CP asymmetry: same source for a nonresonant amplitude and χ_{c0}
 - \checkmark charm loop and χ_{c0}

Amplitude model

• Amplitude Model for $B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}\pi^{-}\pi^{+}$ high mass $m_{\pi\pi}^2 > 3 \, GeV^2$

$$A_{B^{\pm} \to \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{\pm}}(s_{12}, s_{23}) = A_{tree}^{\pm}(s_{12}, s_{23}) + A_{D\bar{D}}(s_{12}, s_{23})$$

• $A_{tree}^{\pm} = a_0 e^{\pm i\gamma}$: weak phase γ from the dominant $b \to u$ tree diagram

- → Nonresonant (only resonances tails)
- \rightarrow a_0 is complex (strong phase)

• $A_{D\bar{D}}$ charm rescattering with χ_{c0} : source of strong phase variation

Results

•
$$A_{B^{\pm} \to \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{\pm}}(s_{12}, s_{23}) = \underbrace{B^{+}}_{B^{+}} \underbrace{P^{0(-)}}_{\pi^{-}} \pi^{+}}_{D^{0(+)}} + a_{0} e^{\pm i\gamma}$$

 $a_{0} = 2 e^{i(\delta_{s} = 45^{\circ})}$

ullet the goal was to reproduce the main observed CPV characteristics —

- not the same binning and scale
- mimic some of the CPV pattern at high mass
- superposition of triangles and exited states can enlarge de CPV signature
- parameters have to be fitted to data

→ will be included in amplitude analysis of Run II data

Final remarks

- FSI play an important role in B and D hadronic decays
 - D decays: three-body effects are important for describe/understand data
 - Sextract 2-body information from data
 - \blacktriangleright important for precision and CPV searches
 - B decays: understand of CPV at low and high mass regions
 - $→ \pi \pi → KK$ rescattering dominates the global A_{CP} in B → hhh→ make predictions to neutron modes!
 - Charm rescattering triangles is an important mechanism interference produce similar CPV data signature
- two-body coupled-channel description is crucial!!!
 - tool kit for amplitude analysis with theoretically sound models to ANA
 - need to extend the energy range of meson-meson. Include the open charm

- Where phenomenology have to improve?
 - models need to connect the weak and strong description

models have to merge low and high FSI

Hadron 2020(1) - Brazil

Patricia Magalhães

Backup slides

FSI challenges and future

0(1) - Brazil

decay models available - weak sector

- QCD factorization approach \rightarrow factorize the quark currents (2+1) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\Delta B=1} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{p=\mu,c} V_{pq}^* V_{pb} \left| C_1(\mu) O_1^p(\mu) + C_2(\mu) O_2^p(\mu) + \sum_{i=3}^{10} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu) \right|$ challenging for 3-body + $C_{7\gamma}(\mu)O_{7\gamma}(\mu) + C_{8g}(\mu)O_{8g}(\mu)$ + h.c., not all FSI and 3-body NR scale issue with charm $\rightarrow \text{ex:} \quad B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \quad \text{how to describe it?}$ $A \sim \left\langle [\pi^+(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)] \ |(\bar{u}b)_{V-A}|B^- \right\rangle \left\langle \pi^-(p_1)|(\bar{d}u)_{V-A}|0 \right\rangle + \left\langle \pi^-(p_1)|(\bar{d}b)_{sc-ps}|B^- \right\rangle \left\langle [\pi^+(p_2)\pi^-(p_3)] \ |(\bar{d}d)_{sc+ps}|0 \right\rangle$ FF • naive factorization $\begin{cases} - \text{ intermediate by a resonance } R; \\ - FSI with scalar and vector form factors FF \end{cases}$ \rightarrow parametrizations for B and D \rightarrow 3h Boito et al. PRD96 | 13003 (2017) modern QDC factorization: different in each region improvement over (2+1)
 - -> introduce new non-perturbative strong phase

Klein, Mannel, Virto, Keri Vos JHEP10 117 (2017)

decay models available - weak sector

31

QCDF predictions

Branching Fraction (tree dominated decays)

	Theory I	Theory II	Experiment
$B^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ $\bar{B}^{0}_{d} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ $\bar{B}^{0}_{d} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$	5.43 + 0.06 + 1.45 (*) 7.37 + 0.86 + 1.22 (*) 0.33 + 0.11 + 0.42 - 0.08 - 0.17	5.82 + 0.07 + 1.42 (*) 5.70 + 0.70 + 1.16 (*) 5.70 - 0.55 - 0.97 (*) 0.63 + 0.12 + 0.64 - 0.10 - 0.42 BELLE CKM 14:	$5.59^{+0.41}_{-0.40}$ 5.16 ± 0.22 1.55 ± 0.19 0.90 ± 0.16
$B^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \rho^{0}$ $B^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \rho^{-}$ $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \rho^{-}$ $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \rho^{+}$ $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \rho^{\mp}$ $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \rho^{0}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{r} 9.84 \stackrel{+0.41}{_{-0.40}} \stackrel{+2.54}{_{-2.52}} (\star \star) \\ 12.13 \stackrel{+0.85}{_{-0.73}} \stackrel{+2.23}{_{-2.17}} (\star) \\ 13.76 \stackrel{+0.49}{_{-0.44}} \stackrel{+1.77}{_{-0.44}} (\star) \\ 8.14 \stackrel{+0.34}{_{-0.33}} \stackrel{+1.35}{_{-1.49}} (\star) \\ 21.90 \stackrel{+0.20}{_{-0.12}} \stackrel{+3.06}{_{-3.55}} (\dagger) \\ 1.49 \stackrel{+0.07}{_{-0.07}} \stackrel{+1.77}{_{-1.29}} \end{array}$	$8.3^{+1.2}_{-1.3}$ 10.9 ^{+1.4} 15.7 ± 1.8 7.3 ± 1.2 23.0 ± 2.3 2.0 ± 0.5
$\begin{split} B^- &\to \rho_L^- \rho_L^0 \\ \bar{B}^0_d &\to \rho_L^+ \rho_L^- \\ \bar{B}^0_d &\to \rho_L^0 \rho_L^0 \end{split}$	$\begin{array}{r} 18.42 \substack{+0.23 + 3.92 \\ -0.21 - 2.55 \\ 25.98 \substack{+0.85 + 2.93 \\ -0.77 - 3.43 \\ 0.39 \substack{+0.03 + 0.83 \\ -0.03 - 0.36 \end{array}} (\star \star)$	$\begin{array}{r} 19.06 \substack{+0.24 + 4.59 \\ -0.22 - 4.22 \\ 20.66 \substack{+0.68 + 2.99 \\ -0.62 - 3.75 \\ 1.05 \substack{+0.05 + 1.62 \\ -0.04 - 1.04 \end{array}} (\star\star)$	$22.8^{+1.8}_{-1.9} \\ 23.7^{+3.1}_{-3.2} \\ 0.55^{+0.22}_{-0.24}$

Theory I: $f_{+}^{B\pi}(0) = 0.25 \pm 0.05, A_{0}^{B\rho}(0) = 0.30 \pm 0.05, \lambda_{B}(1 \text{ GeV}) = 0.35 \pm 0.15 \text{ GeV}$ Theory II: $f_{+}^{B\pi}(0) = 0.23 \pm 0.03, A_{0}^{B\rho}(0) = 0.28 \pm 0.03, \lambda_{B}(1 \text{ GeV}) = 0.20_{-0.00}^{+0.05} \text{ GeV}$

not good agreement for Acp <--

Beneke Seminar at "Future Challenges in Non-Leptonic B Decays", Bad Honnef, 2016

→ good agreement for Br

Acp (penguin dominante decays)

f	NLO	NNLO	NNLO + LD	Exp
$\pi^- \bar{K}^{*0}$	$1.36^{+0.25}_{-0.26}{}^{+0.60}_{-0.47}$	$1.49_{-0.29}^{+0.27}{}^{+0.69}_{-0.29}{}^{+0.69}_{-0.56}$	$0.27^{+0.05}_{-0.05}{}^{+3.18}_{-0.67}$	-3.8 ± 4.2
$\pi^0 K^{*-}$	$13.85^{+2.40}_{-2.70}{}^{+5.84}_{-5.86}$	$18.16^{+3.11+7.79}_{-3.52-10.57}$	$-15.81^{+3.01}_{-2.83}{}^{+69.35}_{-15.39}$	-6 ± 24
$\pi^+ K^{*-}$	$11.18^{+2.00}_{-2.15}{}^{+9.75}_{-10.62}$	$19.70_{-3.80}^{+3.37}_{-11.42}^{+10.54}$	$-23.07_{-4.05}^{+4.35}{}^{+86.20}_{-20.64}$	-23 ± 6
$\pi^0 \bar{K}^{*0}$	$-17.23^{+3.33}_{-3.00}{}^{+7.59}_{-12.57}$	$-15.11_{-2.65}^{+2.93}_{-10.64}^{+12.34}$	$2.16^{+0.39+17.53}_{-0.42-36.80}$	-15 ± 13
$\delta(\pi \bar{K}^*)$	$2.68^{+0.72}_{-0.67}{}^{+5.44}_{-4.30}$	$-1.54_{-0.58}^{+0.45}{}^{+4.60}_{-9.19}$	$7.26^{+1.21}_{-1.34}{}^{+12.78}_{-20.65}$	17 ± 25
$\Delta(\pi \bar{K}^*)$	$-7.18^{+1.38}_{-1.28}{}^{+3.38}_{-5.35}$	$-3.45_{-0.59}^{+0.67}_{-4.95}^{+9.48}$	$-1.02^{+0.19}_{-0.18}{}^{+4.32}_{-7.86}$	-5 ± 45
$ ho^- \bar{K}^0$	$0.38^{+0.07}_{-0.07}{}^{+0.16}_{-0.27}$	$0.22^{+0.04+0.19}_{-0.04-0.17}$	$0.30^{+0.06}_{-0.06}{}^{+2.28}_{-2.39}$	-12 ± 17
$ ho^0 K^-$	$-19.31_{-3.61}^{+3.42}_{-8.96}^{+13.95}$	$-4.17^{+0.75+19.26}_{-0.80-19.52}$	$43.73_{-7.62}^{+7.07}{}^{+44.00}_{-7.62}$	37 ± 11
$\rho^+ K^-$	$-5.13\substack{+0.95\ +6.38\\-0.97\ -4.02}$	$1.50_{-0.27}^{+0.29}{}^{+8.69}_{-10.36}$	$25.93_{-4.90}^{+4.43}_{-75.63}^{+25.40}$	20 ± 11
$\rho^0 \bar{K}^0$	$8.63^{+1.59}_{-1.65}{}^{+2.31}_{-1.69}$	$8.99^{+1.66}_{-1.71}^{+3.60}_{-7.44}$	$-0.42^{+0.08}_{-0.08}{}^{+19.49}_{-8.78}$	6 ± 20
$\delta(\rho \bar{K})$	$-14.17_{-2.96}^{+2.80}_{-5.39}^{+7.98}$	$-5.67^{+0.96}_{-1.01}{}^{+10.86}_{-9.79}$	$17.80_{-3.01}^{+3.15}_{-62.44}^{+19.51}$	17 ± 16
$\Delta(\rho \bar{K})$	$-8.75_{-1.66}^{+1.62}_{-6.48}^{+4.78}$	$-10.84^{+1.98}_{-2.09}^{+11.67}_{-9.09}$	$-2.43^{+0.46}_{-0.42}^{+0.46}_{-19.43}^{+0.60}$	-37 ± 37

phenomenological $D\bar{D} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ amplitude

• unitary coupled-channel S-matrix = $\begin{pmatrix} \eta e^{2i\delta_1} & i\sqrt{1-\eta^2}e^{i(\delta_1+\delta_2)} \\ i\sqrt{1-\eta^2}e^{i(\delta_1+\delta_2)} & ne^{2i\delta_2} \end{pmatrix}$

 $\rightarrow \chi_{c0}$ (3414) : a pole bellow $D\bar{D}$ threshold in δ_2

- amplitude features:
 - χ_{c0} peak superposed to a wide bump below threshold;
 - zero at $D\bar{D}$ threshold

• phase jump at $D\overline{D}$ threshold

$D^0 \overline{D^0} \to K^+ K^-$ scattering amplitude

•
$$T_{\bar{D^0}D^0 \to KK}(s) = \frac{s^{\alpha}}{s_{th\,D\bar{D}}^{\alpha}} \frac{2\kappa_2}{\sqrt{s_{th\,D\bar{D}}}} \left(\frac{s_{th\,D\bar{D}}}{s+s_{QCD}}\right)^{\xi+\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{c+bk_1^2-ik_1}{c+bk_1^2+ik_1}\right) \left(\frac{\frac{1}{a}+\kappa_2}{\frac{1}{a}-\kappa_2}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ s < s_{th\,D\bar{D}}$$
 parameters
$$= -i \frac{2k_2}{\sqrt{s_{th\,D\bar{D}}}} \left(\frac{s_{th\,D\bar{D}}}{s+s_{QCD}}\right)^{\xi} \left(\frac{m_0}{s-m_0}\right)^{\beta} \left[\left(\frac{c+bk_1^2-ik_1}{c+bk_1^2+ik_1}\right) \left(\frac{\frac{1}{a}-ik_2}{\frac{1}{a}+ik_2}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ s \ge s_{th\,D\bar{D}}$$
 fix by data!

FSI challenges and future

Hadron 2020(1) - Brazil

Patricia Magalhães

Final Amplitude

FSI challenges and future

Hadron 2020(1) - Brazil