### Theory of Lepton Flavour and CP

C. Hagedorn

CP<sup>3</sup>-Origins, University of Southern Denmark

GDR Neutrino Meeting, 29.10.-30.10.2019, Bordeaux, France





- ways to correlate leptonic mixing angles and CP phases
- possibilities to fix neutrino masses in addition
- options to relate leptonic CP violation to the quark CP phase

### **Experimental data**

Summary of current knowledge about lepton mixing

$$||U_{\mathsf{PMNS}}|| \approx \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0.82 & 0.55 & 0.15\\ 0.31 & 0.60 & 0.74\\ 0.48 & 0.58 & 0.66 \end{array}\right) \quad [\mathsf{NO}]$$

and hint for CP violation:  $\delta \approx 222^{\circ}$  ,  $\alpha = ?$  ,  $\beta = ?$ 

(NuFIT ('19))

## **Experimental data**

#### Summary of current knowledge about neutrino masses



Their ordering is unknown, although NO seems preferred. (NuFIT ('19))

Their absolute scale is also unknown.

(Planck ('18))



### Examples:

- flavour symmetry  $G_f$  and its breaking as explanation
- flavour symmetry  $G_f$  and CP and its breaking as explanation

Flavour symmetry  $G_f$  and its breaking as explanation

(Lam ('07,'08), Blum/H/Lindner ('07))



 $U_{\mathsf{PMNS}} = U_e^{\dagger} U_{\nu}$ 

Note: Masses do not play a role in this approach.

You expect to fix

- the 3 lepton mixing angles
- the Dirac phase  $\delta$

up to permutations of rows and columns of the PMNS mixing matrix, since masses are not fixed.

You expect to fix

- the 3 lepton mixing angles
- the Dirac phase  $\delta$

up to permutations of rows and columns of the PMNS mixing matrix, since masses are not fixed.

Example for  $G_f$  for  $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ ,  $\theta_{23} \neq \frac{\pi}{4}$ 

(de Adelhart Toorop/Feruglio/H ('11))

- $G_f = \Delta(384)$
- $G_e = Z_3$
- $G_{\nu} = Z_2 \times Z_2$

(de Adelhart Toorop/Feruglio/H ('11))

$$||U_{\mathsf{PMNS}}|| \approx \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0.81 & 0.58 & 0.11 \\ 0.31 & 0.58 & 0.75 \\ 0.50 & 0.58 & 0.65 \end{array}\right)$$

#### and $\sin \delta = 0$

This result is generic. Non-trivial values of  $\delta$ 

from combination of flavour and CP symmetries.

With less stringent assumptions on flavour (and residual) symmetries non-trivial CP violation can also be achieved.

(See e.g. Hernandez/Smirnov ('12))

Flavour symmetry  $G_f$  and CP and its breaking as explanation (Feruglio/H/Ziegler ('12))  $G_f$  and CP  $\checkmark$ charged leptons neutrinos  $G_{\nu} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{CP}$  $G_e$  $U_{\nu} = \Omega_{\nu} R(\theta) K_{\nu}$  $U_e$  $\checkmark$ 

 $U_{\mathsf{PMNS}} = U_e^{\dagger} \Omega_{\nu} R(\theta) K_{\nu}$ 

Note: Masses do not play a role in this approach.

#### You expect to express

- the 3 lepton mixing angles
- all CP phases  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$

in terms of one single real parameter  $\theta$  and up to permutations of rows and columns of the PMNS mixing matrix, since one has one  $Z_2$  only and masses are not fixed.

#### You expect to express

- the 3 lepton mixing angles
- all CP phases  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$

in terms of one single real parameter  $\theta$  and up to permutations of rows and columns of the PMNS mixing matrix, since one has one  $Z_2$  only and masses are not fixed.

Example: study of series of  $G_f$  with CP

(H/Meroni/Molinaro ('14))

- $G_f = \Delta(3 n^2), \Delta(6 n^2)$  and CP
- $G_e = Z_3$
- $G_{\nu} = Z_2 \times \mathsf{CP}$

4 different types of mixing patterns with different characteristics

(H/Meroni/Molinaro ('14))

- fix  $\theta$  and choice of  $Z_2$  to accommodate lepton mixing angles
- large  $\delta$  follows

 $|\sin \delta| \gtrsim 0.71$ 

• Majorana phases  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  depend on CP symmetry X(s) only

$$|\sin \alpha| = |\sin \beta| = |\sin 6 \phi_s|$$
 with  $\phi_s = \frac{\pi s}{n}$  and  $s = 0, ..., n-1$ 

(H/Meroni/Molinaro ('14))

- fix  $\theta$  and choice of  $Z_2$  to accommodate lepton mixing angles
- large  $\delta$  follows

 $|\sin \delta| \gtrsim 0.71$ 

• Majorana phases  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  depend on CP symmetry X(s) only

$$|\sin \alpha| = |\sin \beta| = |\sin 6 \phi_s|$$
 with  $\phi_s = \frac{\pi s}{n}$  and  $s = 0, ..., n-1$ 

Correlations among lepton mixing angles are

$$\sin^2 \theta_{12} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1 - 3 \, \sin^2 \theta_{13}}{1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13}} \right)$$

and

$$\sin^2 \theta_{23} \approx \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{2} \cos\left(\frac{3\pi s}{8}\right) \sin \theta_{13}$$

(H/Meroni/Molinaro ('14))

- fix  $\theta$  and choice of  $Z_2$  to accommodate lepton mixing angles
- large  $\delta$  follows

 $|\sin \delta| \gtrsim 0.71$ 

• Majorana phases  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  depend on CP symmetry X(s) only

$$|\sin \alpha| = |\sin \beta| = |\sin 6 \phi_s|$$
 with  $\phi_s = \frac{\pi s}{n}$  and  $s = 0, ..., n-1$ 

There are also sum rules for the CP phases

$$\left(1-2\,\sin^2\theta_{23}\right)^2\approx 8\,\sin^2\theta_{13}\,\cos^2\delta$$

and

$$|\sin \alpha| = |\sin \beta| \approx \left|\sin \delta \left(\frac{1-2\sin^2 \theta_{23}}{\sqrt{2}\sin \theta_{13}}\right)\right|$$

(H/Meroni/Molinaro ('14))

- fix  $\theta$  and choice of  $Z_2$  to accommodate lepton mixing angles
- large  $\delta$  follows

 $|\sin \delta| \gtrsim 0.71$ 

• Majorana phases  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  depend on CP symmetry X(s) only

$$|\sin \alpha| = |\sin \beta| = |\sin 6 \phi_s|$$
 with  $\phi_s = \frac{\pi s}{n}$  and  $s = 0, ..., n-1$ 

for	m_Q	•
IUI	n = 0	•

S	$\sin^2  heta_{13}$	$\sin^2  heta_{12}$	$\sin^2 heta_{23}$	$\sin\delta$	$\sin\alpha = \sin\beta$
s = 1	0.0220	0.318	0.579	0.936	$-1/\sqrt{2}$
	0.0220	0.318	0.421	-0.936	$-1/\sqrt{2}$
s = 2	0.0216	0.319	0.645	-0.739	1
s = 4	0.0220	0.318	0.5	∓1	0



### Unflavoured leptogenesis

(H/Molinaro ('16))

- consider scenario with flavour and CP symmetry and 3 RH neutrinos  $N_i$  forming 3
- baryon asymmetry of the Universe

 $Y_B = (8.65 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-11}$  (Planck ('15))

is generated through unflavoured leptogenesis

### Unflavoured leptogenesis

(H/Molinaro ('16))

- consider scenario with flavour and CP symmetry and 3 RH neutrinos  $N_i$  forming 3
- $Y_B$  is generated through unflavoured leptogenesis
- we assume

$$-Y_D \,\overline{l} \,H^c N - \frac{1}{2} \overline{N^c} M_R N$$

### **Unflavoured leptogenesis**

(H/Molinaro ('16))

- consider scenario with flavour and CP symmetry and 3 RH neutrinos N<sub>i</sub> forming 3
- $Y_B$  is generated through unflavoured leptogenesis
- we assume

$$-Y_D \,\overline{l} \,H^c N - \frac{1}{2} \overline{N^c} M_R N$$

- Dirac Yukawa coupling  $Y_D$  invariant under flavour and CP
- RH neutrino mass matrix  $M_R$  invariant under residual symmetry  $G_{\nu}$

### Unflavoured leptogenesis

(H/Molinaro ('16))

- consider scenario with flavour and CP symmetry and 3 RH neutrinos  $N_i$  forming 3
- $Y_B$  is generated through unflavoured leptogenesis
- we assume
  - Dirac Yukawa coupling  $Y_D$  invariant under flavour and CP
  - RH neutrino mass matrix  $M_R$  invariant under residual symmetry  $G_{\nu}$
  - crucial ingredient: corrections  $\delta Y_D$  to  $Y_D$

### Unflavoured leptogenesis

(H/Molinaro ('16))

- consider scenario with flavour and CP symmetry and 3 RH neutrinos  $N_i$  forming 3
- $Y_B$  is generated through unflavoured leptogenesis
- we assume
  - Dirac Yukawa coupling Y<sub>D</sub> invariant under flavour and CP
  - RH neutrino mass matrix  $M_R$  invariant under residual symmetry  $G_{\nu}$
  - crucial ingredient: corrections  $\delta Y_D$  to  $Y_D$

#### Why?

- otherwise no CP asymmetry is achieved
- always arise in explicit models

#### **Unflavoured leptogenesis**

(H/Molinaro ('16))



For further works on flavour and CP symmetries and leptogenesis see e.g. *Mohapatra/Nishi ('15), Chen/Ding/King ('16*).

Examples:

- flavour symmetry  $G_f$  (and CP) and its breaking
- modular invariance

Use flavour symmetry  $G_f$  (and CP) and its breaking to also constrain neutrino mass spectrum, either one massless neutrino *(Joshipura/Patel ('13,'14))* or a pair of degenerate neutrinos *(Joshipura/Patel ('14,'15,'18))* 

Assume in the following neutrinos are Majorana particles.

(Joshipura/Patel ('13,'14))

#### One massless neutrino

- requires that residual symmetry for neutrinos is larger than  $Z_2$
- leads thus to  $G_f$  being a subgroup of U(3) rather than SU(3)
- is, however, difficult to reconcile with acceptable lepton mixing

(Joshipura/Patel ('13,'14))

#### One massless neutrino

- requires that residual symmetry for neutrinos is larger than  $Z_2$
- leads thus to  $G_f$  being a subgroup of U(3) rather than SU(3)
- is, however, difficult to reconcile with acceptable lepton mixing
- I.e. only for
  - $G_f = [[432, 239]]$
  - $G_e = Z_4$
  - $G_{\nu} = Z_4$

a mixing pattern close to experimental data follows.

(Joshipura/Patel ('13,'14))

The mixing pattern is

$$||U_{\mathsf{PMNS}}|| \approx \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0.77 & 0.61 & 0.18\\ 0.5 & 0.40 & 0.77\\ 0.40 & 0.68 & 0.61 \end{array}\right)$$

and  $\delta$  is trivial. Neutrinos are normally ordered with  $m_1 = 0$ .

Degenerate neutrinos always require some correction.

Use modular invariance to fix neutrino masses and lepton mixing (Feruglio ('17), Criado/Feruglio ('18))

- consider globally supersymmetric theory
- impose modular invariance on this theory, meaning
  - the modulus  $\tau$  transforms as

$$\tau \rightarrow \gamma \tau = \frac{a \tau + b}{c \tau + d}$$
 with  $ad - bc = 1$ 

• chiral superfields  $\phi^{(I)}$  transform as

$$\phi^{(I)} \rightarrow (c \tau + d)^{k_I} \rho^{(I)}(\gamma) \phi^{(I)}$$

with  $k_I$  being the weight,  $\rho^{(I)}$  the representation of  $\Gamma_N = \Gamma/\Gamma(N)$ 

(Feruglio ('17), Criado/Feruglio ('18))

- the superpotential has weight zero and is invariant under  $\Gamma_N$
- Yukawa couplings must be modular forms (in a representation  $\rho_f$ , with certain (even) weight  $k_f$  and of level N)

$$f_i(\gamma \tau) = (c \tau + d)^{k_f} \rho_f(\gamma)_{ij} f_j(\tau)$$

(Feruglio ('17), Criado/Feruglio ('18))

- the superpotential has weight zero and is invariant under  $\Gamma_N$
- Yukawa couplings must be modular forms (in a representation  $\rho_f$ , with certain (even) weight  $k_f$  and of level N)

$$f_i(\gamma \tau) = (c \tau + d)^{k_f} \rho_f(\gamma)_{ij} f_j(\tau)$$

Choose

- N, e.g. N = 3, meaning  $\Gamma_3 \simeq A_4$
- representations  $\rho^{(I)}$  of different chiral superfields
- additional fields (flavons), if needed
- weights  $k_I$  of different chiral superfields

(Feruglio ('17), Criado/Feruglio ('18))

Example:

- charged leptons:  $L \sim (\mathbf{3}, -1)$ ,  $e_i^c \sim ([\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1''}, \mathbf{1'}], -2)$ ,  $h_d \sim (\mathbf{1}, 0)$
- flavon  $\varphi \sim (\mathbf{3}, 3)$  needed for charged lepton masses
- postulate:  $\langle \varphi \rangle \propto (1,0,\epsilon)$

$$M_e \propto \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & 0 & c \epsilon \\ a \epsilon & b & 0 \\ 0 & b \epsilon & c \end{array}\right)$$

(Feruglio ('17), Criado/Feruglio ('18))

Example:

- charged leptons:  $L \sim (\mathbf{3}, -1)$ ,  $e_i^c \sim ([\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1''}, \mathbf{1'}], -2)$ ,  $h_d \sim (\mathbf{1}, 0)$
- flavon  $\varphi \sim (\mathbf{3}, 3)$  needed for charged lepton masses
- postulate:  $\langle \varphi \rangle \propto (1,0,\epsilon)$
- results: charged lepton masses adjusted by 3 couplings, small contribution to  $U_{\rm PMNS}$

(Feruglio ('17), Criado/Feruglio ('18))

Example:

- neutrinos get mass from Weinberg operator
- neutrinos:  $L \sim (\mathbf{3}, -1)$ ,  $h_u \sim (\mathbf{1}, 0)$
- couplings of Weinberg operator are modular forms with weight 2 and of level 3,
  i.e. 3 holomorphic functions Y<sub>i</sub> of τ that fulfil constraint Y<sub>2</sub>(τ)<sup>2</sup> + 2Y<sub>1</sub>(τ) Y<sub>3</sub>(τ) = 0

$$M_{\nu} \propto \begin{pmatrix} 2Y_{1}(\tau) & -Y_{3}(\tau) & -Y_{2}(\tau) \\ -Y_{3}(\tau) & 2Y_{2}(\tau) & -Y_{1}(\tau) \\ -Y_{2}(\tau) & -Y_{1}(\tau) & 2Y_{3}(\tau) \end{pmatrix}$$

with e.g.  $Y_1(\tau) = 1 + 12 q + 36 q^2 \dots$  and  $q = e^{i 2 \pi \tau}$ .

(Feruglio ('17), Criado/Feruglio ('18))

Example:

- neutrinos get mass from Weinberg operator
- neutrinos:  $L \sim (\mathbf{3}, -1)$ ,  $h_u \sim (\mathbf{1}, 0)$
- couplings of Weinberg operator are modular forms with weight 2 and of level 3,
  i.e. 3 holomorphic functions Y<sub>i</sub> of τ that fulfil constraint Y<sub>2</sub>(τ)<sup>2</sup> + 2Y<sub>1</sub>(τ) Y<sub>3</sub>(τ) = 0
- postulate:  $\langle \tau \rangle \approx 0.0117 + i \, 0.9948$
- results: neutrino masses are inversely ordered, all mixing angles are in agreement with data,  $\delta$  as well and Majorana phases are also fixed

(Feruglio ('17), Criado/Feruglio ('18))

### Example:

	best value
$r\equiv  \Delta m^2_{sol}/\Delta m^2_{atm} $	0.0302(11)
$m_{3}/m_{2}$	0.0150(5)
$\sin^2  heta_{12}$	0.304(17)
$\sin^2 heta_{13}$	0.0217(8)
$\sin^2 heta_{23}$	0.577(4)
$\delta/\pi$	1.529(3)
$lpha_{21}/\pi$	0.135(6)
$lpha_{31}/\pi$	1.728(18)

Modular symmetries have been combined with CP (Novichkov et al. ('19)).









Same flavour symmetry  $G_f$  and CP for leptons and quarks



(H/König ('18))

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

Same flavour symmetry  $G_f$  and CP for leptons and quarks

(H/König ('18))

Interesting result:

CP violation among quarks is correlated with lepton sector

$$\left|\sin\delta^{q}\right| \approx \left|\sin\left(\frac{\pi \,\boldsymbol{k}}{8} + \frac{3 \,\pi \,\boldsymbol{s}}{8} \mp \frac{\pi}{16} + \psi_{d,13}\right)\right|$$

where

- input from lepton sector
  - i) k = 0 is needed for getting TB mixing after 1st step
  - ii) s = 7 is selected by data on  $\theta_{23}$
- $\mp \frac{\pi}{16}$  refers to 2 choices  $G_{d,1}^{\pm} = Z_{16}$
- $\psi_{d,13}$  arises as free parameter from breaking of  $G_{d,1}^{\pm}$

Same flavour symmetry  $G_f$  and CP for leptons and quarks

(H/König ('18))

Interesting result:

CP violation among quarks is correlated with lepton sector

$$\left|\sin\delta^{q}\right| \approx \left|\sin\left(\frac{\pi k}{8} + \frac{3\pi s}{8} \mp \frac{\pi}{16} + \psi_{d,13}\right)\right|$$

Use k = 0 in order to formulate this expression as sum rule involving  $\delta^q$ ,  $\theta_C$  and  $\delta$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ 

$$|\sin \delta^{q}| \approx \left|\sin \delta \,\cos\left(\theta_{C} \mp \psi_{d,13}\right) \pm \left(\frac{1-2\,\sin^{2}\theta_{23}}{2\sqrt{2}\,\sin\theta_{13}}\right)\,\sin\left(\theta_{C} \mp \psi_{d,13}\right)\right|$$

and for vanishing  $\psi_{d,13}$ 

$$|\sin \delta^{q}| \approx \left|\sin \delta \cos \left(\theta_{C}\right) \pm \left(\frac{1-2 \sin^{2} \theta_{23}}{2 \sqrt{2} \sin \theta_{13}}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{C}\right)\right|$$

Same flavour symmetry  $G_f$  and CP for leptons and quarks

(H/König ('18))

Interesting result: CP violation among quarks is correlated with lepton sector

 $\left|\sin\delta^{q}\right| \approx \left|\sin\left(\frac{\pi k}{8} + \frac{3\pi s}{8} \mp \frac{\pi}{16} + \psi_{d,13}\right)\right|$ 

• for k = 0, s = 7 and  $\psi_{d,13} = 0$  we obtain  $J^q_{CP} \approx 3.29 \times 10^{-5}$  for  $G^+_{d,1}$   $J^q_{CP} \approx 2.79 \times 10^{-5}$  for  $G^-_{d,1}$ which should be compared to *(PDG ('18))*  $J^q_{CP} = (3.18 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-5}$ 

• for small  $\psi_{d,13}$  best fit value of  $J_{CP}^q$  can be achieved

## Conclusions

- lepton mixing angles can be understood with the help of flavour symmetries
- extensions with CP allow to constrain all leptonic CP phases
- further symmetries can fix neutrino masses as well
- eventually, CP violation among leptons and quarks can be related