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## Matrix Element Method (MEM)

## Matrix Element Method integral

$$
P(x \mid \alpha)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\alpha}^{\text {vis }}} \int_{y} d \phi(y) \int_{q_{1}, q_{2}} d q_{1} d q_{2} \sum_{a_{1}, a_{2}} f_{a_{1}}\left(q_{1}\right) f_{a_{2}}\left(q_{2}\right)\left|M_{\alpha}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, y\right)\right|^{2} W(x \mid y)
$$

## Phase space parameterization

$$
d \phi(y)=\left(\prod_{i=3}^{N} \frac{d^{3} P_{i}}{2 E_{i}(2 \pi)^{3}}\right)(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{4}\left(P_{1}+P_{2}-\sum_{j=3}^{N} P_{j}\right)
$$
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## Advantages

- Exploits directly our knowledge of the SM
- Includes all detector effects (parametric way)
- No need for training ( $><$ multivariate methods)

Drawbacks

- Complex integration $\rightarrow$ MoMEMta
- Computation time $\rightarrow$ DNN

```
\(\rightarrow\) Link
```
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Separable sampling function $p(\vec{x})=p_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) p_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots p_{N}\left(x_{N}\right)$

Peak remapping

## Numerical integration

Back to the MEM

## Matrix Element Method integral

$$
P(x \mid \alpha)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\alpha}^{v_{s} / 5}} \int_{y} d \phi(y) \int_{q_{1}, q_{2}} d q_{1} d q_{2} \sum_{a_{1}, a_{2}} f_{a_{1}}\left(q_{1}\right) f_{a_{2}}\left(q_{2}\right)\left|M_{\alpha}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, y\right)\right|^{2} W(x \mid y)
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## Phase space parameterization
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Integration rule: Map every shark peak to one variable of integration Where do they come from ?

- Transfer function resolution :

$$
W(x \mid y)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} W^{E}\left(x^{i} \mid y^{i}\right) W^{\eta}\left(x^{i} \mid y^{i}\right) W^{\phi}\left(x^{i} \mid y^{i}\right)
$$

- Propagator enhancements $\left|M_{\alpha}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, y\right)\right|^{2}: X$

Example: Breit-Wigner resonances
In addition, need to integrate out the $\delta$ of the momentum conservation

## MoMEMta $C_{\operatorname{Lnk} k}$

A modular toolkit for the Matrix Element Method at the LHC

MoMEMta can perform the MEM integration almost out of the box

- C++ classes for each step of the MEM
- Configured via a Lua script
- Matrix element provided by MadGraph (via an included exporter Link)
- PDF from LHAPDF
- Integration with Cuba

Keyword: Modular $\rightarrow$ uses a structure of blocks - Link


- Integrates out the delta
- Removes the proton momentum fractions
- Removes one particle momentum (invisible)
- Integrates over the resonance
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## Di-Higgs production: $H(b \bar{b}) H(W(I \nu) W(I \nu))$

(1) Use the transfer function


Each block only requires a few lines of Lua code from the user!
$x$ (experimental) $\rightarrow \mathrm{y}$ (parton level)
(2) Apply Seconday Block D Removes $\left|p_{5}\right|$ or $\left|p_{6}\right|$ Integrates over $p_{56}$ (BW or NWA)
(3) Apply Seconday Block C Removes $\left|p_{4}\right|$ (because neutrino) Integrates over $p_{34}\left(\mathrm{BW}\right.$ at $\left.m_{W}\right)$
(9) Apply Main Block $B$ Removes $q_{1}, q_{2}, \overrightarrow{P_{2}}$ Integrates over $p_{1234}$ (BW or NWA) $\delta^{4}$ has been integrated out
(6) Specify the PDF scale (here $M_{H}$ )
(0) Link the ME

Needs a prior use of the ME exporter

## Application : discrimination


$\mathcal{D}_{ \pm}(x)=\frac{W\left(x \mid \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{W}^{+}\right)-W\left(x \mid \mathrm{t} \mathrm{W}^{-}\right)}{W\left(x \mid \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{W}^{+}\right)+W\left(x \mid \mathrm{t} \mathrm{W}^{-}\right)}$.


$$
\mathcal{D}_{\text {sig }}(x)=\left(1+\frac{P(x \mid \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~b} \overline{\mathrm{~b}})}{P(x \mid \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{H})}\right)^{-1}
$$
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Is a function of $x=P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ that can be learned by a DNN
Case study : $H \rightarrow Z A \rightarrow I l b b$ analysis, see

```
- Alessia's talk
```


$\sim 4 s /$ event

$\sim 15$ s / event

$\sim 10$ min / event / parameter

## DNN regression results



tit sample : Ratio MEM/DNN weight $t \bar{t}$



Signal sample : Ratio MEM/DNN weight signal ( $M_{H}=800 \mathrm{GeV}, M_{A}=400 \mathrm{GeV}$ )


On average, with a DNN a weight can be computed in $150 \mu s$ on CPU
Note that the signal $(H \rightarrow Z A)$ DNN is parametric in $M_{H}$ and $M_{A}$

## New available applications

## Likelihood with parameter scan

Log-Likelihood: Signal events with $M_{H}=300 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}=100 \mathrm{GeV}$ [Normalized]
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MoMEMta not directly applicable on LHC data analyses Example : classifier applied on Alessia's samples

- Marginal gain in performances
- Computation time comparison
- MoMEMta : ~ 3000 years (extrapolation)
- DNN : ~ 10 hours


## Take-home messages

## MoMEMta

- Modular and user-friendly (complexity hidden behind blocks)
- Customizable transfer functions
- Can use any LO model from MadGraph
$\Rightarrow$ MEM is now within reach of any physicist
with Deep Neural Networks (paper not out yet)
- Computation time gains: 4 to 6 orders of magnitude
- Allows parameters scans or up-down fluctuations
- Always converges
- Can be used on large datasets
$\Rightarrow$ MEM is now within reach of any physicist for LHC-scale analyses


## Thank you

## Back-up slides

## Main blocks cum




(e) MB E

(f) MB F

## Secondary blocks



