Benchmarking micro- and nanodosimetry spectra and free radicals simulated with GEANT4DNA and LPCHEM for ion beams

Y. Ali, L. Auzel, C. Monini, J.M. Létang, E. Testa, L. Maigne, M. Beuve.



Context

Biophysics models for treatment optimizations

For hadrontherapy applications, biological dose prediction will enhance treatments optimization.



Why using an approximative RBE value for ions isn't relevant?

What would be the tools for an accurate RBE estimation?

In which ways our work contribute to this purpose?



Biophysics Models

The biophysics models requires several types of data to lead to the relative biological effectiveness



 $\alpha,\,\beta$: constants of the linear and quadratic components of cell killing



z: specific energy deposited in a target N : targets distributed in sensitive volume



 \mathbf{Y} : yield of $\mathsf{OH}\cdot$ the sensitive volume

C. Monini et al. (2017)

Models implementations Our methodology

To estimate the biological effectiveness, Monte Carlo simulations go hand in hand with biological, physical, chemical data.



EXPERIMENT

Biological data

The survival fraction constants α and β are extracted from the cell survival fraction estimations.

Data tables

GEANTA

Biological, physical and chemical data are stored under data tables.

Physical data

The dose mean specific energy distribution, the restrictive specific energy are simulated for micrometric and nanometric targets.

Chemical data

The radiochemical species yields are simulated and calculated.

Data tables

GATE 8.2

Biological, physical and chemical data.

Biophysics Models

The models use the data tables data to then estimate the RBE.

Biological dose

Gate models the 3D biological dose distribution

Physical dose

Gate models the 3D physical dose distribution

Physical data simulation LPCHEM and G4DNA

We use simular simulation parameters for both LPCHEM and GEANT4DNA, however a few differences can be noted between the codes.

	Physics Models	Simulated interactions		Stored interactions	Energies	
		Electrons	Protons		Electrons	Protons
LPCHEM 1.11	CDW-EIS calculations	Ionizations Electronic excitation Vibrational excitation Attachment	lonization Excitation	80% of deposited energy, events relevant for the biological effect of radiation	10 keV to 100 keV	10 MeV to 250 MeV
G4DNA 10.5	G4DNA_Option0 G4DNA_Option 2 G4DNA_Option 6	Ionizations Electronic excitation Vibrational excitation Attachment	lonization Excitation	100% of deposited energy	10 keV to 100 keV	10 MeV to 100 MeV

TED Transfered Energy Deposition

A code to calculate specific and lineal energy distributions in micrometric and nanometric targets



Specific energy probability distribution

for 1 μ m micrometric and 10nm nanometric targets



Electrons 10 keV

BENCHMARKING MICRO- AND NANODOSIMETRY SPECTRA AND FREE RADICALS SIMULATED WITH GEANT4DNA AND LPCHEM FOR ION BEAMS

Electrons 100 keV

Specific energy probability distribution

for 1µm micrometric and 10nm nanometric targets



Protons 10 MeV

Protons 100 MeV

LPCHEM AND G4DNA Radiochemical products simulation

To estimate the type, the quantity of free radicals with the chemistry modules



Hydroxyl radical yield

OH radical products yield for a 100 eV deposited energy



Spreak out bragg peak Our methodology

Physical and chemical data have been simulated for monoenergetic beams, the next step will be to perform the same work for a clinical beam SOBP.



BENCHMARKING MICRO- AND NANODOSIMETRY SPECTRA AND FREE RADICALS SIMULATED WITH GEANT4DNA AND LPCHEM FOR ION BEAMS

Collaborations We would like to thank



THANK YOU.

BENCHMARKING MICRO- AND NANODOSIMETRY SPECTRA AND FREE RADICALS SIMULATED WITH GEANT4DNA AND LPCHEM FOR ION BEAMS