PBH

A common origin for Baryons and Dark Matter?

PBH

Sébastien Clesse, Louvain (CURL) & Namur (naXys) University



Dark Matter is made of very strange guys. They

- dont' interact with normal guys, except gravitationally
- don't emit light
- move slowly
- don't interact with themselves, or only slighly (core-cusp)
- are born in the early Universe
- don't like to live with normal guys in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)
- In total, they weight five times normal guys, Ω_{DM} = 5.5 Ω_b





- dont' interact with normal guys, except gravitationally
- don't emit light
- move slowly
- don't interact with themselves, or only slighly (core-cusp)
- are born in the early Universe
- don't like to live with normal guys in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)
- In total, they weight five times normal guys, Ω_{DM} = 5.5 Ω_{b}





First, they exist!

- dont' interact with normal guys, except gravitationally
- don't emit light
- move slowly
- don't interact with themselves, or only slighly (core-cusp)
- are born in the early Universe
- don't like to live with normal guys in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)
- In total, they weight five times normal guys, Ω_{DM} = 5.5 Ω_b





First, they exist! UICCI
dont' interact with normal guys,

- ${\cal O}{\cal K}$ except gravitationally
 - don't emit light
 - move slowly
 - don't interact with themselves, or only slighly (core-cusp)
 - are born in the early Universe
 - don't like to live with normal guys in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)
 - In total, they weight five times normal guys, Ω_{DM} = 5.5 Ω_b





*First, they exist!*dont' interact with normal guys,
OK except gravitationally

- don't emit light
 - move slowly
 - don't interact with themselves, or only slighly (core-cusp)
 - are born in the early Universe
 - don't like to live with normal guys in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)
 - In total, they weight five times normal guys, Ω_{DM} = 5.5 Ω_{b}





*First, they exist!*dont' interact with nor

dont' interact with normal guys,
 OK except gravitationally

- don't emit light
- $\stackrel{\bullet}{\mathcal{OK}}$ move slowly
 - don't interact with themselves, or only slighly (core-cusp)
 - are born in the early Universe
 - don't like to live with normal guys in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)
 - In total, they weight five times normal guys, Ω_{DM} = 5.5 Ω_{b}





First, they exist!

dont' interact with normal guys,
 OK except gravitationally

- don't emit light

don't interact with themselves, or only slighly (core-cusp)

- are born in the early Universe
- don't like to live with normal guys in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)
- In total, they weight five times normal guys, Ω_{DM} = 5.5 Ω_b





First, they exist!

- dont' interact with normal guys,
 OK except gravitationally
- don't emit light

• don't interact with themselves, \mathcal{OK} or only slighly (core-cusp)

- are born in the early Universe
 primordial (PBH) don't like to live with normal guys
- don't like to live with normal guys in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)
- In total, they weight five times normal guys, Ω_{DM} = 5.5 Ω_{b}
- When they form structures, the expansion of the Universe accelerates (Dark Energy)



First, they exist!

- dont' interact with normal guys,
 OK except gravitationally
- don't emit light

• don't interact with themselves, \mathcal{OK} or only slighly (core-cusp)

- are born in the early Universe *primordial (PBA)* don't like to live with normal guys
 in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)
- In total, they weight five times ? normal guys, Ω_{DM} = 5.5 Ω_{b}
- When they form structures, the expansion of
- ? the Universe accelerates (Dark Energy)



First, they exist!

• dont' interact with normal guys, *OK* except gravitationally

- $\overset{\bullet}{\mathcal{OK}}$ don't emit light

• don't interact with themselves, \mathcal{OK} or only slighly (core-cusp)

- are born in the early Universe *primordial (PBH)* don't like to live with normal guys
 in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)
- In total, they weight five times ? normal guys, Ω_{DM} = 5.5 Ω_{b}
- When they form structures, the expansion of
- ? the Universe accelerates (Dark Energy)



Fine-tuning problem? Do they pass astro/cosmo constraints?











PBH formation at QCD phase transition

- Sound speed/equation of state reduction during QCD (cross-over) transition
- Boosted PBH formation in the range 0.1-5 msun (assuming a nearly flat power spectrum)
- Second peak at 5-30 Msun



K. Jedamzik, astro-ph/9605152 Cardal & Fuller, astro-ph/9801103 Byrnes et al., 1801.06138

Carr, SC, Garcia-Bellido, Kuhnel, in preparation

PBH formation at QCD phase transition

- Sound speed/equation of state reduction during QCD (crossover) transition
- Boosted PBH formation in the range 0.1-5 msun (assuming a nearly flat power spectrum)
- Second peak at 5-30 Msun
- nearly scale-invariant spectrum with $n_s = 0.97$ works fine



Cardal & Fuller, astro-ph/9801103

Byrnes et al., 1801.06138

Carr, SC, Garcia-Bellido, Kuhnel, in preparation

Let's take a light spectator field during inflation (like the QCD axion)...

Stochastic quantum fluctuations $\Delta a_{qu} \simeq H_{inf}/2\pi$ dominate over the classical dynamics and do not affect the expansion.

N>60 e-folds before the end of inflation:

Coarse-grained multiverse



...and see how this field evolves in our Universe patch during inflation

...and see how this field evolves in our Universe patch during inflation



...and see how this field evolves in our Universe patch during inflation



These regions will generate curvature fluctuation <u>after inflation</u> and form PBH with different masses slow-roll $\delta N \sim I$

Stochastic spectator landscape after inflation...

δN~

0.0000

 -2×10^{18}

 -1×10^{18}

δΝ~Ι

Fast roll, $\partial N \sim 0$ no additional curvature

fluctaution



slow-roll δN∼l 52-1

These regions will collapse and form PBHs of different masses



0

a [GeV]

Super-horizon fluctuation

the stochastic spectator

 1×10^{18}

 $a \rangle$

 2×10^{18}

 $\simeq a_{\rm end}$

above threashold



PBH

At horizon re-entry (quark-hadron epoch) these fluctautions collapse into PBH

A fl B Ie

which produces sort of (primordial supernovae)



A. Gravitational collapse of a curvature fluctuation at horizon re-entry

B. Around collapsing regions, shock waves lead to Hot-Spot EW Baryogenesis with n_b/n_γ > I

C. After radiating at speed of light, baryon asymetry $n_b/n_\gamma = 6 \times 10^{-10}$



~10.000 km

0

jets producing > TeV collisions +parton showers

PBH

All the (Sakharov) conditions are met for Hot-Spot Electroweak Baryogenesis around PBH!

Carr, SC, Garcia-Bellido, 1904.02129 Garcia-Bellido, Carr, SC, 1904.11482

- C and CP violation (the one in the standard model CKM matrix)
- Baryon number violation (sphaleron transitions, from >TeV collisions)
- interactions out of thermal equilibrium (PBH collapse)

Eletroweak baryogenesis: need of exotic physics.

Hot-spot Electroweak Baryogenesis: PBH provide the ingredients and one naturally has the correct baryon-to-photon ratio if PBH are the DM

All the (Sakharov) conditions are met for Hot-Spot Electroweak Baryogenesis around PBH!

Carr, SC, Garcia-Bellido, 1904.02129 Garcia-Bellido, Carr, SC, 1904.11482

- C and CP violation (the one in the standard model CKM matrix)
- Baryon number violation (sphaleron transitions, from >TeV collisions)
- interactions out of thermal equilibrium (PBH collapse)

Eletroweak baryogenesis: need of exotic physics.

Hot-spot Electroweak Baryogenesis: PBH provide the ingredients and one naturally has the correct baryon-to-photon ratio if PBH are the DM

Works for any PBH-DM model!!! In the stochastic spectator scenario: no parameter tuning, but anthropic selection argument

our patch $\langle a \rangle \simeq a_{\rm end}$ radiation dominated Universe Shorter Silk damping scale, overabundance of DM subhaloes, all the baryons are accreted by PBH

And here is the expected mass function:



PBH mass M $[M_{\odot}]$

Before 2016, it did not...



Does our preferred PBH model pass the current astro/cosmo limits? However, the status today has changed! Ali-Haimoud & Kamionkowski 1612.05644 Macho/EROS 0.1 $\Omega PBH/\Omega DM$ 0.01

0.1

 $M_{\rm PBH}/M_{\rm sun}$

0.001

 10^{-4}

 10^{-5}

10⁻⁵

0.001

Limits for for a monochromatic mass function!

10

Planck

1000

Does our preferred PBH model pass the current astro/cosmo limits? However, the status today has changed! Poulin, Serpico, Calore, SC, Kohri 1707.04206 Macho/EROS 0.1 $\Omega PBH / \Omega DM$ 0.01 0.001 **Planck** disk accretio 10^{-4} Planck 10⁻⁵ 0.001 0.1 10 1000 10⁻⁵ $M_{\rm PBH}/M_{\rm sun}$

However, the status today has changed!



Limits for for a monochromatic mass function!

Does our preferred PBH model pass the current astro/cosmo limits? However, the status today has changed! A. Green, 1705.10818 1707.04206 Macho/EROS wide binaries 0.1 $\Omega PBH / \Omega DM$ 0.01 Non-realistic assumptions behind Macho/EROS limits: I. PBH uniformly distributed (no clustering) 0.001 2. Monochromatic mass function 3. Galactic halo: simple cored isothermal sphere ~ $(R_c^2+R_0^2)/(R_c^2+R^2)$ 4. Single local circular speed: 220 km/s 10^{-4} 5. twelve steps selection of candidates and 4 events rejected for bad reasons (second microlensing a few years after) 10⁻⁵ 0.001 0.1 10 1000 10⁻⁵

 $M_{\rm PBH}/M_{\rm sun}$

However, the status today has changed!



However, the status today has changed!



However, the status today has changed!



However, the status today has changed!



For an extended mass function, one needs to find the equivalent mass for each probe and compare to the monochromatic limit (Bellomo et al, 1709.07467)

BUT: this approach neglects backreactions from PBH of different masses !!!


Niikura et al., 1901.07120







Niikura et al., 1904.07789



Niikura et al., 1904.07789



Spatial correlations in CIB and X-ray background

Spatial correlations in CIB and X-ray background

LIGO gravitational wave detection, primordial black holes and the near-II cosmic infrared background anisotropies

A. Kashlinsky¹,

ABSTRACT

LIGO's discovery of a gravitational wave from two merging black holes (BHs) of similar masses rekindled suggestions that primordial BHs (PBHs) make up the dark matter (DM). If so, PBHs would add a Poissonian isocurvature density fluctuation component to the inflation-produced adiabatic density fluctuations. For LIGO's BH parameters, this extra component would dominate the small-scale power responsible for collapse of early DM halos at $z \gtrsim 10$, where first luminous sources formed. We quantify the resultant increase in high-z abundances of collapsed halos that are suitable for producing the first generation of stars and luminous sources. The significantly increased abundance of the early halos would naturally explain the observed source-subtracted near-IR cosmic infrared background (CIB) fluctuations, which cannot be accounted for by known galaxy populations. For LIGO's BH parameters this increase is such that the observed CIB fluctuation levels at 2 to 5 μ m can be produced if only a tiny fraction of baryons in the collapsed DM halos forms luminous sources. Gas accretion onto these PBHs in collapsed halos, where first stars should also form, would straightforwardly account for the observed high coherence between the CIB and unresolved cosmic X-ray background in soft X-rays. We discuss modifications possibly required in the processes of first star formation if LIGO-type BHs indeed make up the bulk or all of DM. The arguments are valid only if the PBHs make up all, or at least most, of DM, but at the same time the mechanism appears inevitable if DM is made of PBHs.

I 605.04023 I 709.02824 5-sigma detection

Niikura et al., 1904.07789



Critical radius of ultra-faint dwarf galaxies













And solve the Core-Cusp problem (including for UFDG) for free: PBH behave like a self-interacting DM model

 $\sim 0.1 - 1 \,{\rm cm}^2/{\rm g}$ $m_{\rm PBH}$



And solve the Core-Cusp problem (including for UFDG) for free: PBH behave like a self-interacting DM model

 $\sim 0.1 - 1 \,{\rm cm}^2/{\rm g}$ $m_{\rm PBH}$



And solve the Core-Cusp problem (including for UFDG) for free: PBH behave like a self-interacting DM model

 $\sim 0.1 - 1 \,{\rm cm}^2/{\rm g}$ $m_{\rm PBH}$

Niikura et al., 1904.07789



) Explain the masses, rates and eff. spins of LIGO/Virgo BH

• S. Bird et al., 1603.00464

Monochromatic spectrum, extended halo mass function

 $\tau_{\rm merg} \sim 2 f_{\rm HMF} f_{\rm DM} \left(M_{\rm crit.halo} / 400 M_{\odot} \right)^{-11/21} \,{\rm Gpc}^{-3} {\rm yr}^{-1}$

Most mergings come from mini-halos

• S. Bird et al., 1603.00464

Monochromatic spectrum, extended halo mass function

 $\tau_{\rm merg} \sim 2 f_{\rm HMF} f_{\rm DM} \left(M_{\rm crit.halo} / 400 M_{\odot} \right)^{-11/21} \,{\rm Gpc}^{-3} {\rm yr}^{-1}$

Most mergings come from mini-halos

S.C., J. Garcia-Bellido, 1603.05234
Broad mass spectrum, natural clustering scale

$$\tau_{\rm merg} \sim f_{\rm DM} 10^{-8} \delta_{\rm PBH}^{\rm loc.} \, {\rm Gpc}^{-3} {\rm yr}^{-1}$$

e.g. Ultra-Faint Dwarf Galaxies

• S. Bird et al., 1603.00464

Monochromatic spectrum, extended halo mass function

 $\tau_{\rm merg} \sim 2 f_{\rm HMF} f_{\rm DM} \left(M_{\rm crit.halo} / 400 M_{\odot} \right)^{-11/21} \,{\rm Gpc}^{-3} {\rm yr}^{-1}$

Most mergings come from mini-halos

S.C., J. Garcia-Bellido, 1603.05234
Broad mass spectrum, natural clustering scale

 $\tau_{\rm merg} \sim f_{\rm DM} 10^{-8} \delta_{\rm PBH}^{\rm loc.} \, {\rm Gpc}^{-3} {\rm yr}^{-1}$

e.g. Ultra-Faint Dwarf Galaxies

M. Sasaki et al., 1603.08338
Monochromatic spectrum, BH binaries from Early Universe

 $\tau_{\rm merg} \sim f_{\rm DM} 10^4 {\rm Gpc}^{-3} {\rm yr}^{-1}$

PBH cannot be the Dark Matter BUT: (recent developments: Raidal et al, 1812.01930)

• S. Bird et al., 1603.00464

Monochromatic spectrum, extended halo mass function

 $\tau_{\rm merg} \sim 2 f_{\rm HMF} f_{\rm DM} \left(M_{\rm crit.halo} / 400 M_{\odot} \right)^{-11/21} \,{\rm Gpc}^{-3} {\rm yr}^{-1}$

Most mergings come from mini-halos

• S.C., J. Garcia-Bellido, 1603.05234



e.g. Ultra-Faint Dwarf Galaxies

es from Early Universe

PBH cannot be the Dark Matter BUT: (recent developments: Raidal et al, 1812.01930)



Niikura et al., 1904.07789



Origin of IMBH and SMBH



PBH could explain the relation between IMBH/SMBH mass and the mass of the host haloes



PBH could explain the relation between IMBH/SMBH mass and the mass of the host haloes

Niikura et al., 1904.07789



Origin of IMBH and SMBH

Could they be black holes? Yes !!!

First, they exist!

• dont' interact with normal guys, *OK* except gravitationally

- don't emit light \mathcal{OK}
- move slowly \mathcal{OK}

• don't interact with themselves, \mathcal{OK} or only slighly (core-cusp)

 are born in the early Universe primordial (PBH)
don't like to live with normal guys
OK in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)

- In total, they weight five times normal guys, $\Omega_{DM} = 5.5 \Omega_b$ $\mathcal{OK}, + matter antimatter asymetry$
- When they form structures, the expansion of \mathfrak{D}_{o} they pass \mathcal{Y}_{e}
- ? the Universe accelerates (Dark Energy)



Fine-tuning problem? No

astro/cosmo constraints?

Could they be black holes? Yes !!!

First, they exist!

• dont' interact with normal guys, *OK* except gravitationally

> Conclusion: PBH might be the best motivated (both theoretically and observationally) Dark Matter model on the market! Don't forget them!

OK in overcrowded flats (dwarf sph.)

- In total, they weight five times normal guys, $\Omega_{DM} = 5.5 \Omega_b$ \mathcal{OK} , + matter antimatter asymetry

OK, + matter antimatter asymetryGine-tuning problem? No.When they form structures, the expansion of Do they passYesthe Universe accelerates (Dark Energy)astro/cosmo constraints?

And Dark Energy????

Very crazy idea: There exists a long-standing natural explaination to this coincidence problem: backreactions!

Can gravitational backreactions from non-linear structures mimic Dark Energy?

- in LCDM: impossible due to CMB constraints on the power spectrum (Gaussian perturbations)
- in PBH-DM: a stochastic spectator generates a double peak in the statistical distribution of curvature perturbations.
 Rare large fluctuations exist! (without spoiling CMB)



Thank you!

Rethinking Dark Matter interactions:



Rethinking Dark Matter interactions: **PBH formation**



CMB distortions, ultra-compact mini halos Detectable GW background by Pulsar Timing Arrays (SKA) / LISA

Rethinking Dark Matter interactions: merging of PBH

GW from BH mergers detected by LIGO, constraints from Dark Radiation, detectable SGWB by LISA



Clue I: LIGO merger rates compatible with PBH-DM Bird et al ; S.C., J. Garcia-Bellido ; M. Sasaki, T. Suyama, S.Yokoyama, March 2016 Clue II: Low spin and mass of black hole progenitors Next step: Black hole below Chandrasekhar mass (ET), SGWB

Rethinking Dark Matter interactions: Gravitational scattering



Clue 3: observations of faint dwarf galaxies and their star clusters

Rethinking Dark Matter interactions: accretion onto PBH

Clue 5: Correlations between X-ray and infrared backgrounds **Clue 6:** Observations of early super-massive BH



Could explains the mass-to-light ratios in dwarf galaxies, missing stellites, super-massive black holes...

S.C., J. Garcia-Bellido, 1711.10458; SciAm, July 2017 Rethinking Dark Matter interactions: microlensing surveys



Clue 7: between 15% and 35% of sub-solar compact objects in galactic halos

Exciting times, multiple probes, some clues in observations, upcoming experiments will challenge the scenario...

