Calibration of the Hubble diagram



LSST and Dark Energy

- 2 complementary pillars
 - Weak lensing probes the growth of structure through the evolution of matter distribution
 - SNe Ia probes the expansion rate through the distanceredshift relation
- Substantial metrology challenges associated to both



Cosmological constraints from the Hubble diagram

$$\begin{split} d_l(z) &= \frac{c}{H_0} \int \sqrt{\frac{dz}{\Omega_m (1+z)^3 + (1-\Omega_m)(1+z)^{3(1+w}}}} \\ f &\sim \frac{1}{d_l^2} \\ R &= \frac{f(z=0.05)}{f(z=0.6)} \\ \frac{1}{R} \frac{dR}{dw} &= 0.3 \end{split} \\ \end{split}$$

Supernovae need accurate broadband flux calibration

 $M(G) + \alpha X_1$

* 50 36

The Hubble diagram

- Comparison of flux at different redshifts
- **Color** is an important correction
- M ~ B 3 * (B-V) Error in relative distance modulus is roughly:
 2g - 3r - 2i + 3z
 (Dependent on redshift repartition, SN model...)

Another factor ~3 between error on relative distance modulus and systematic error on w (Dark energy equation of state parameters) 1 mmag on flux ------ 1% on w



Supernovae in LSST need mmag accuracy in color



Full computation of the expected Dark Energy Figure of Merit (**FoM**) As a function of uncertainties on **filters** and **flux calibration** for a **realistic LSST** survey

- At 1% calibration the supernovae survey does not bring much to cosmology
- 1 mmag required to extract most of the statistical power of LSST

F. Hazenberg et al. 2018 (DESC note)

Broadband fluxes in varying passbands

$$f = \int T(\lambda) S(\lambda) d\lambda$$

Composite Transmission (contribution from atmosphere, instrument, filters, detector ...) : $T(\lambda) = A(\lambda)F(\lambda)O(\lambda)\epsilon(\lambda)$

To some level, everything moves. The passband can be parametrized by its moments:

At zeroth order the zero point

At first order the central wavelength

At second order the passband width

$$Z = \int T(\lambda) d\lambda$$
$$\bar{\lambda} = \int \lambda T(\lambda) d\lambda$$
$$\Delta \lambda = \int (\lambda - \bar{\lambda})^2 T(\lambda) d\lambda$$

Old-School strategy (SNLS)

- Assume something about the wavelength shape of the passbands
- Homogenize zero point across focal plane using specific observation
- Transfer zero point to science fields using specific observations

3 major shifts : I

- Data redundancy and statistics in modern survey is sufficient to infer part of the calibration parameters from the science data themselves:
 - SDSS: Padmanaban 2008 (2%)
 - Pan-Starrs: Schlafly et al. 2012 (.7%)
 - DES: Burke et al. 2018 (.3%)
 - Gaia, ZTF LSST
- Solve at least uniformity and transfer
- Solve chromatic variations due to atmosphere in last version

3 major shifts : II

- Most modern surveys have serious hardware to measure the integrated passband
 - SNLS: DICE
 - SDSS: Doi et al. 2010
 - Pan-Starrs: Tonry et al. 2012
 - DES: Lasker et al. 2019
 - LSST CBP (ZTF, GAIA)

Major shifts number 3

- Switching to a laboratory flux standard
 - SCALA (POWER)
 - NISTSTAR (?)
 - STARDICE (POWER)
 - CBP (POWER)