Etat et Perspectives de la Physique des Particules

Samira Hassani CEA-Saclay, IRFU-DPhP June 20th, 2019



DÉPARTEMENT

Physique des deux Infinis





Outline

1. Introduction to Particle Physics

2. What did we learn from Large Hadron Collider data?

3. What is next?

Particle Physics (en très bref)

Study the elementary particles (e.g. the building blocks of matter: electrons and quarks) and the forces that control their behaviour at the most fundamental level.



Elementary Particles







Particles



- The electrons and the quarks are the elementary particles of matter
- Study the fundamental laws of nature on scales down to 10⁻¹⁶ cm
 - insight also into the structure and evolution of the Universe
 - from the very small to the very big ...

The Fondamental Forces of Nature





Strong Nuclear Forceholds nuclei together





7

holds planets and stars together

Samira Hassani

7 Electromagnetism

7 gives light, radio, holds atoms together

Weak Nuclear Force

7 gives radioactivity





5

Forces Carriers

The exchange of Particles is responsible for the Forces





The Standard Model in Particle Physics

A crowning achievement of 20th Century Science





- Over the last 100 years: combination of Quantum Mechanics and Special Theory of relativity along with all new particles discovered has led to Standard Model of Particle Physics
- The new (final?) Periodic Table of fundamental elements
- The SM has been tested thousands of times, to excellent precision.
- All particles foreseen by the SM have been observed.
- A major step forward was made in July 2012 with the discovery of the Higgs boson

The Origin of Particle Masses



 $Mass(top) = \sim 350000 \text{ x Mass(electron)}$

- Why particles (and matter) have masses (and so different masses) ?
- The mass mystery could be solved with the « Brout-Englert-Higgs mecanism » (theory 1964): 10⁻¹¹ s after Big Bang, "Higgs field" became active and *particles acquired masses* proportional to strength of interactions with Higgs field



A world without "Higgs" would be a very strange one! Atoms may not exist, and the Universe would be very different...

But, several open questions remain...



 Astrophysics/cosmological measurements show that most matter in the universe is NOT in this table : What is this Dark Matter?





But, several open questions remain...



But, several open questions remain...



Astrophysics/cosmological measurements show that most matter in the universe is **NOT in this table** : What is this Dark Matter?



- Why is there so little antimatter in the
- Are there other forces in addition to the
- Are there additional (microscopic) space



- **Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP)** : a state of matter where quarks and gluons move freely over distances large in comparison to the typical size of a hadron
- Study the properties of nuclear matter under extreme conditions in **heavy ions collisions**
 - Quantify the properties of the Quark-Gluon Plasma
 - Shed light on the evolution of the early Universe



How to produce particles in lab ?



2010: a new era in fundamental research





LHC ring: 27 km circumference ALICE

ALICE

vrin S

Where did P2I labs contribute?



The Context of LHC in P2I

- Early and strong support to LHC in the P2I labs (since Lausanne workshop 1984)
- Contributions to the conception, design and technological choices of LHC experiments
- Continuous efforts, strong involvement and extreme dedication by the teams in:
 - 20 years of detector and physics simulations (historical contributions to the Higgs studies)
 - 15 years of detector construction and test beams
 - 8 years of world-wide computing data challenges
 - 3 years of detectors commissioning
 - 9 years of data taking with wealth of physics results
- **Participation to the physics and detector coordination of the experiments**: data preparation, computing , performance convenors, physics convenors, analysis review chairs, publications committee,...
- Many physicists, engineers and technicians from P2I labs are involved in the LHC program. Many PhD thesis/year

LHC data





Total integrated luminosity Run 2 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 5$ TeV: ALICE: ~ 1.3 nb⁻¹ ATLAS, CMS: ~ 2.4 nb⁻¹ Goal for Run 2 was ~1 nb⁻¹

Run 1 + Run 2: ATLAS, CMS: ~189 fb⁻¹ (goal was 150); LHCb: ~10 fb⁻¹

Luminosity = # events/cross section/time

Observation of New Boson (Higgs) at CERN







Peak ("resonance") at mass_{$\gamma\gamma$} around 125 GeV (~130 x proton mass) indicates the production of a **new particle**

Higgs re-discovery@13 TeV



- Excellent detector resolution.
- Strong contributions of LAL and IRFU teams in detector calibration and data analyses.

Higgs Decay



Higgs Production



Higgs : what did we learn ?



- The newly found boson has properties as expected for a Standard Model Higgs
- We continue to look for anomalies, i.e. unexpected decay modes or couplings, multi-Higgs production, heavier Higgses, charged Higgses...

Higgs Results @ 13 TeV



- **H\rightarrowbb observed** with more than 5 σ in both experiments
- **Observation of ttH production**: combination of all Higgs decay channels and combination with the 7/8 TeV data of Run-1 \rightarrow 6.3 σ significance

Higgs : Coupling vs Particle mass



• Observation of good agreement with the Standard Model within uncertainties across 3 orders of magnitude in particle mass.

Precision Measurements : W mass



- The relation between W, top, and Higgs masses provides stringent test of the Standard Model consistency and is sensitive to new Physics.
- The result is consistent with the SM expectation.
- The measurement required an accurate calibration of the detector response.

Standard Model Measurements



Agreement with theory across orders of magnitude is impressive.

Exploring the unknown



SUSY searches: NULL so far...

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits 1 T							TeV		ATLAS Preliminary $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8, 13$ TeV	
	Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	$E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	∫£ dt[fb	Mass I	imit	\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 TeV	$\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$	Reference
Inclusive Searches	$\tilde{q}\tilde{q},\tilde{q}{ ightarrow}q\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$	0 mono-jet	2-6 jets 1-3 jets	Yes Yes	36.1 36.1	<i>q̃</i> [2×, 8× Degen.] <i>q̃</i> [1×, 8× Degen.]	0.9 0.43 0.71	1.55	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) < 100 GeV$ $m(\tilde{q}) \cdot m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 5 GeV$	1712.02332 1711.03301
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$	0	2-6 jets	Yes	36.1	ğ ğ	Forbidden	2.0 0.95-1.6	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) < 200 GeV$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 900 GeV$	1712.02332 1712.02332
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q\bar{q}(\ell\ell)\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	3 e,μ ee,μμ	4 jets 2 jets	- Yes	36.1 36.1	ge Be		1.85 1.2	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) < 800 GeV$ $m(\tilde{g}) - m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 50 GeV$	1706.03731 1805.11381
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow qqWZ\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0 3 <i>e</i> , µ	7-11 jets 4 jets	Yes	36.1 36.1	ig ge	0.98	1.8	$m(\tilde{\chi}^0_1)$ <400 GeV $m(\tilde{g})$ - $m(\tilde{\chi}^0_1)$ =200 GeV	1708.02794 1706.03731
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow t t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0-1 e,μ 3 e,μ	3 <i>b</i> 4 jets	Yes -	36.1 36.1	ĩ ğ ğ		2.0 1.25	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})$ <200 GeV $m(\tilde{g})$ - $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})$ =300 GeV	1711.01901 1706.03731
3 rd gen. squarks direct production	$\tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1 {\rightarrow} b\tilde{\chi}_1^0/t\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$		Multiple Multiple Multiple		36.1 36.1 36.1	\tilde{b}_1 Forbidden \tilde{b}_1 Foi \tilde{b}_1 Foi	0.9 bidden 0.58-0.82 bidden 0.7	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 200$	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}300~GeV,~BR(b\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}1\\ {=}300~GeV,~BR(b\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}BR(t\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}){=}0.5\\ {\rm GeV},~m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}){=}300~GeV,~BR(t\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}){=}1 \end{array}$	1708.09266, 1711.03301 1708.09266 1706.03731
	$\tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}_1,\tilde{\imath}_1\tilde{\imath}_1,M_2=2\times M_1$		Multiple Multiple		36.1 36.1		0.7		$m(ilde{\chi}_1^0)$ =60 GeV $m(ilde{\chi}_1^0)$ =200 GeV	1709.04183, 1711.11520, 1708.03247 1709.04183, 1711.11520, 1708.03247
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow Wb \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or $t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ $\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{H} LSP$	0-2 <i>e</i> , μ 0	0-2 jets/1-2 Multiple Multiple	b Yes	36.1 36.1 36.1		1.0 0.4-0.9 0.6-0.8	$m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})=150$ $m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})=300$	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=1 \text{ GeV}$ $0 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm})-m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=5 \text{ GeV}, \tilde{r}_{1} \approx \tilde{r}_{L}$ $0 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm})-m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=5 \text{ GeV}, \tilde{r}_{1} \approx \tilde{r}_{L}$	1506.08616, 1709.04183, 1711.11520 1709.04183, 1711.11520 1709.04183, 1711.11520
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1$, Well-Tempered LSP		Multiple		36.1	ĩ,	0.48-0.84	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=150$	GeV, m $(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$ -m $(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ =5 GeV, $\tilde{t}_1 \approx \tilde{t}_L$	1709.04183, 1711.11520
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0 / \tilde{c} \tilde{c}, \tilde{c} \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	20	Yes	36.1		0.85		$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0 \text{ GeV}$ $m(\tilde{r}_1,\tilde{c})-m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=50 \text{ GeV}$	1805.01649 1805.01649
	ž. ž. v ž. v h	0 1-2 e u	mono-jet	Yes	36.1	<i>t</i> 1 7	0.43		$m(\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{c}) - m(\tilde{\lambda}_1') = 5 \text{ GeV}$	1711.03301
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ via WZ	2-3 e, µ ee, µµ	-	Yes	36.1	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_{0}^{0}$ $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_{0}^{0}$ 0.17	0.6	mį	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV, $m(r_{1})-m(r_{1})=180$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})-10$ GeV	1403.5294, 1806.02293
	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ via Wh	<i>ℓℓ/ℓγγ/ℓbb</i>	-	Yes	20.3	$ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} = 0.17 $ $ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} = 0.26 $			$m(\tilde{x}_1) - m(\tilde{x}_1) = 10 \text{ GeV}$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 0$	1501.07110
EW irect	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp} / \tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau} \nu(\tau \tilde{\nu}), \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\tau} \tau(\nu \tilde{\nu})$	2 τ	-	Yes	36.1		0.76	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm})-m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=1$	$\begin{array}{l} h(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 0, \ m(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\nu}) = 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}) + m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ 00 \ GeV, \ m(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\nu}) = 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}) + m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \end{array}$	1708.07875 1708.07875
d L	$\tilde{\ell}_{L,R}\tilde{\ell}_{L,R}, \tilde{\ell} {\rightarrow} \ell \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 e, μ 2 e, μ	0 ≥ 1	Yes Yes	36.1 36.1	 <i>ℓ</i> <i>ℓ</i> 0.18 	0.5		$m(\tilde{\ell}_1^0)=0$ $m(\tilde{\ell})-m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=5 \text{ GeV}$	1803.02762 1712.08119
	$\tilde{H}\tilde{H},\tilde{H}{ ightarrow}h\tilde{G}/Z\tilde{G}$	0 4 <i>e</i> , µ	$\geq 3b$ 0	Yes Yes	36.1 36.1	<i>H</i> 0.13-0.23 <i>H</i> 0.3	0.29-0.88		$ BR(\tilde{\chi}^0_1 \to h\tilde{G}) = 1 \\ BR(\tilde{\chi}^0_1 \to Z\tilde{G}) = 1 $	1806.04030 1804.03602
Long-lived particles	$Direct\tilde{\chi}_1^*\tilde{\chi}_1^-$ prod., long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$	Disapp. trk	1 jet	Yes	36.1	$ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} 0.15 $	0.46		Pure Wino Pure Higgsino	1712.02118 ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-019
	Stable \tilde{g} R-hadron	SMP	-	-	3.2	ğ		1.6	-0	1606.05129
	Metastable \tilde{g} R-hadron, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ GMSB $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow q \tilde{G}$ long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2γ	Multiple	Yes	32.8 20.3	$\tilde{g} = [\tau(\tilde{g}) = 100 \text{ ns}, 0.2 \text{ ns}]$ $\tilde{\chi}_{0}^{0}$	0.44	1.6 2.4	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 100 \text{ GeV}$	1710.04901, 1604.04520 1409.5542
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow eev/e\mu v/\mu\mu v$	displ. ee/eµ/µ	μ-	-	20.3	ğ	0.11	1.3 e	$< c\tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) < 1000 \text{ mm, m}(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 1 \text{ TeV}$	1504.05162
	LFV $pp \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e\mu/e\tau/\mu\tau$	εμ,ετ,μτ	-	-	3.2	ν _τ		1.9	λ'_{311} =0.11, $\lambda_{132/133/233}$ =0.07	1607.08079
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp} / \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow WW/Z\ell\ell\ell\ell\nu\nu$	4 e,μ	0 E lorgo <i>B</i> i	Yes	36.1	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} [\lambda_{i33} \neq 0, \lambda_{12k} \neq 0]$	0.82	1.33	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=100 \text{ GeV}$	1804.03602
NdB	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow qq\chi_1, \chi_1 \rightarrow qqq$	0 4	Multiple	els -	36.1	$\tilde{g} = [m(\mathcal{X}_1)=200 \text{ GeV}, 1100 \text{ GeV}]$ $\tilde{g} = [\mathcal{X}''_{112}=2e-4, 2e-5]$	1.0	1.3 1.9	m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$)=200 GeV, bino-like	ATLAS-CONF-2018-003
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \to tbs / \tilde{g} \to t\tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to tbs$		Multiple		36.1	$\tilde{g} = [\lambda_{323}'' = 1, 1e-2]$		1.8 2.1	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ =200 GeV, bino-like	ATLAS-CONF-2018-003
	$\tilde{t}\tilde{t}, \tilde{t} \rightarrow t\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow tbs$	0	Multiple	h -	36.1	$g [A_{323}^{-}=20.4, 10.2]$	0.55 1.0		$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\prime})=200 \text{ GeV}, \text{ bino-like}$	ATLAS-CONF-2018-003
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\ell$	2 e,µ	2 b	-	36.1	<i>t</i> ₁ [99, 03]	0.01	0.4-1.45	$BR(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow be/b\mu) > 20\%$	1710.05544
*Only	a selection of the available ma	es limite on	now state	os or	1	0 ⁻¹		· · · · ·	Maga angle (T-\/)	I
Uniy	a selection of the available ma	100 11111111111111111111111111111111111	iew sidle	5 01		0			wass scale [IEV]	

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. Many of the limits are based on simplified models, c.f. refs. for the assumptions made.

Tests of Lepton Universality

• Test of the universality of leptonic couplings (e/ μ) by comparing the rates of B⁰ \rightarrow K* $\ell^+ \ell^-$ (R_{K*})

$$R_{\mathcal{K}^{(*)}} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to \mathcal{K}^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B \to \mathcal{K}^{(*)}e^+e^-)} \stackrel{\text{SM}}{=} 1.0$$



 $R_{K^*} = 0.66^{+0.11}_{-0.07} \pm 0.03 \text{ for } 0.045 < q^2 < 1.1 \text{ GeV}^2, \sim 2.2 \sigma \text{ from SM};$ $R_{K^*} = 0.69^{+0.11}_{-0.07} \pm 0.05 \text{ for } 1.1 < q^2 < 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2, \sim 2.4 \sigma \text{ from SM};$

• Puzzling results from the LHCb experiment → Update eagerly awaited !!

Samira Hassani

??



Quarkonia : Nuclear modification factor R_{AA}



- Nuclear modification factor R_{AA} compares Pb-Pb to p-p : 1 means no medium effect
- Less suppression observed at LHC compared to RICH
- Charm regeneration observed at LHC: mainly at low p_T.

LHC Roadmap to achieve Full Potential



- All LHC experiments plan upgrades for either 2019-2020 or 2024-2026 for the High Luminosity LHC upgrade (ATLAS, CMS and LHCb, ALICE)
- Approved LHC program to collect 3000 fb⁻¹ in total with the LHC (HL-LHC)
 - Maximize the reach for searches and for precision measurements (eg Higgs)
- LHC will run till ~2037
 - Only ~5% of the collisions delivered so far...
- Then ...?

Detector upgrades



Detector upgrades

- Detector upgrades are planned so as to maintain or improve on the present performance as the instantaneous luminosity increases
 - Improve trigger capabilities
 - better discriminate the desired signal events from background as early as possible in trigger decision
 - Upgrade and/or replace detectors as they e.g.
 - Cannot handle higher rate due to bandwidth limitations
 - Suffer from radiation damage making them less efficient



CMS event with 78 interactions per bunch crossing

Detector upgrades















- 1. Granularity in the trigger scheme of the LArg calorimeter (Run 3)
- 2. New Small Wheel (Run 3)
- 3. Granularity in tracking (ITK HL-LHC, Run 4)
- 4. New Electronics for LArg (HL-LHC, Run 4)
- 5. Timing (HGTD HL-LHC, Run 4)
- 1. ECAL Barrel electronics (Run 4)
- 2. ECAL Barrel Laser Monitoring (Run 4)
- 3. Endcap Calorimeter electronics (Run 4)
- 4. Mip timing detector(Run 4)
- 1. 40 MHz readout of the full detector (Run 3)
- 2. Full reconstruction at the trigger level (Run 3)
- 3. New ECAL (granularity and timing, Run 4)

- 1. New readout electronic for the Muon Tracker (Run 3)
- 2. Muon Forward Tracker (Run 3/4)

Physics cases at HL-LHC



- Evidence for di-Higgs production, 50% precision on self-coupling.
- Few % precision on Higgs boson couplings.
- Establish $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ observation.
- Increased discovery potential for many models.

European Strategy for Particle Physics

Conclusion in 2012

- Highest priority is exploitation of the LHC including luminosity upgrades
- Europe should be able to propose an ambitious project after the LHC
 - Either high energy proton collider (FCC-hh) with lepton collider (FCC-ee) as potential intermediate step
 - Or high energy linear lepton collider (CLIC)
- Europe welcomes Japan to make a proposal to host **ILC**

New process from 2019-2020



Considered High Energy Frontier Collider

Circular colliders:

- FCC (Future Circular Collider)
 - FCC-hh: 100 TeV proton-proton cms energy, ion operation possible ٠
 - FCC-ee: Potential intermediate step 90-350 GeV lepton collider ٠
 - FCC-he: Lepton-hadron option ٠
 - HE-LHC: Stronger magnets in LHC tunnel
- Great technological challenges for CEPC / SppC (Circular Electron-positron Collider/Super Proton-proton Collider) accelerators and detectors
 - CepC : e⁺e⁻ 90 240 GeV cms
 - SppC : pp 70 TeV cms

Linear colliders

- ILC (International Linear Collider): e⁺e⁻ 500 GeV cms energy, Japan considers hosting project
- CLIC (Compact Linear Collider): e⁺e⁻ 380 GeV 3 TeV cms energy, CERN hosts collaboration

Mentioned

- Muon collider
- Plasma acceleration in linear collider
- Photon-photon collider ٠
- LHeC

Samira Hassani

P21 labs deeply involved

Future Circular Colliders (FCC)

International FCC collaboration (CERN as host lab) to study:

• pp-collider (FCC-hh)

 main emphasis, defining infrastructure requirements

16 T →100 TeV pp in 100 km

- ~100 km tunnel infrastructure in Geneva area, site specific
- **e+e- collider (FCC-ee)**, as potential first step
- **HE-LHC** with FCC-hh technology
- p-e (FCC-he) option



Precision on Higgs boson couplings

inspired from

FCC-ee TDR (2018)

<u>arxiv:1710.07621v4</u> <u>arXiv:1812.01644</u>								
		HL-LHC	ILC		CLIC	FCC-ee		CEPC
√s	(GeV)	14000	250	+500	380	90-240	+365	90-250
L	(ab-I)	3	2	+4	0.5	5	+1.5	5
Years		13	15	+10	7	3	+6	7
ZZ	(%)	3.5	0.38	0.30	0.4	0.25	0.22	0.25
ww	(%)	3.5	1.8	0.4	0.8	1.3	0.46	1.2
тт	(%)	6.5	1.9	0.8	2.7	1.4	0.8	1.4
tt	(%)	4.2	-	-	-	-	3.3(*)	-
bb	(%)	8.2	1.8	0.6	1.3	1.4	0.7	1.3
сс	(%)	-	2.4	1.2	4. I	1.8	1.2	1.8
gg	(%)	-	2.2	1.0	2.1	1.7	0.9	1.4
YY	(%)	3.6	1.1(*)	1.0(*)	-	4.7	1.3(*)	4.7
Гн	(%)	50	3.9	1.7	4.7	2.8	1.5	2.6
exo	(%)	-	<1.6	<1.3	<0.7	<1.2	<1.0	<1.2

(*) incorporating **HL-LHC** results



Summary: Physics landscape by 2019

- The Puzzle: The Standard Model is not the ultimate theory of particle physics, because of the many outstanding questions :
 - Nature of Dark Matter ? Matter versus antimatter ?...
- On the other hand, NO evidence of New Physics
 - If New Physics exists at the TeV scale and is discovered at 13 TeV centreof-mass in the future, its spectrum should be quite heavy and it will require a lot of luminosity and energy to study it in detail.
 Future machine : decisions expected in the next years.

"Prediction is very difficult, especially about the future"

Niels Bohr

Samira Hassani

40

Backup

How many Higgs bosons do we have?

Every fb ⁻¹ of pp collision at 13 TeV	Н→үү	H→ZZ	H→WW	Н→тт	H→bb
Produced	130	1,500 (7)	12,000 (280)	3,500	32,000 (310)
Selected	46	1.5	42	17	66

Assuming $m_H = 125.09 \text{ GeV}$ from Run 1 ATLAS-CMS combined measurement Number in brackets: for $H \rightarrow ZZ$ it indicates $H \rightarrow 4I$ (I=e, μ). For $H \rightarrow WW$ it is $H \rightarrow ev\mu v$. For $H \rightarrow bb$, it is VH where vector boson decays to electrons, muons, and/or neutrinos

- With every fb⁻¹ of 13 TeV pp collision data, the SM predicts about 56,000 Higgs bosons produced
- Analyses discussed today will select about 170 in every fb⁻¹
 - Large bkg. at LHC introduces difficulty in trigger and analyses: need to stick to relatively clean signatures (leptonic decay; associated production e.g. VH with V→leptons; high-p_T phase-space regions)

Higgs Production and Decay



Collider Choices

• Hadron collisions: compound particles

- Protons or ions
- Mix of quarks, anti-quarks and gluons: variety of processes
- Parton energy spread
- QCD processes large background sources
- Hadron collisions \Rightarrow large discovery range
- Lepton collisions: elementary particles
 - Electrons, positrons and muons
 - Collision process known
 - Well defined energy
 - Less background
 - − Lepton collisions ⇒ precision measurements
- Photons also possible





• Observation

• Observation