

# Study of energy shower profile and 1m3 energy resolution

#### Jan Blaha

Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules

> MicroMegas Physics Meeting LAPP, 22 Avril 2009

> > 1



# PART 1

# Study of energy shower profile



# **Calorimeter configuration**

#### **Properties of the absorber materials:**

absorber	Ζ	ρ <b>[g.cm</b> -3]	λ [g.cm <sup>-2</sup> ]	λ [cm]	1 abs. [cm]	80 planes [cm]
Fe	26	7.87	132.1	16.78	1.9	200
W	74	19.30	191.9	9.97	1.127	170.16
Pb	82	11.35	199.6	17.6	1.993	239.44

Calorimeter with Fe absorber:

Passive layer: 9  $\lambda$  (including 4 mm thick steel cover) Active layer: 6 mm (3 mm of gas)

Calorimeter with W and Pb absorbers: Passive layer: 9  $\lambda$ Active layer: 6 mm (3 mm of gas) + 4 mm of Al (32 cm in total (0.8 $\lambda$ ))

### Longitudinal profile – Fe Abs

#### Longitudinal energy and hit profile (Fe abs, 9 $\lambda$ )



22/04/09

DD

### Longitudinal profile – Fe Abs

#### Longitudinal energy (hit) profile and energy fraction in shower



### Lateral profile – Fe Abs

#### Lateral energy (hit) profile as an energy (hit) density vs radius



### Lateral profile – Fe Abs

#### Lateral energy (hit) profile and energy fraction in shower



J. Blaha

7

# Long. and lateral profile – Fe Abs

#### **Comparison of the long and lateral profiles for 1m3**



### Analog vs Digital – Fe Abs

#### **Comparison of the longitudinal energy (hit) profiles for different energies**



### Analog vs Digital – Fe Abs

#### **Comparison of the lateral energy (hit) profiles for different energies**





### Longitudinal profile

#### Longitudinal energy profile for different absorbers (Fe, W, Pb)





### Longitudinal profile

#### Longitudinal hit profile for different absorbers (Fe, W, Pb)





### **Lateral profile**

#### Lateral energy profile for different absorbers (Fe, W, Pb)



22/04/09



### **Lateral profile**

#### Lateral energy profile for different absorbers (Fe, W, Pb)





### **Mean shower radius**





# PART 2

# Study of 1m3 energy resolution (preliminary results)



### Configuration

- 1m3 calorimeter
- Fe absorber, 40 planes (4.5  $\lambda$ )
- No threshold for digital mode





### **Dep. energy distributions**





### **Hit distributions**





### Nb. of Hits vs dep. energy





### Nb. of Hits vs dep. energy



# **Energy resolution and linearity**

#### **Energy resolution**



#### Linearity



22/04/09



# **Summary and prospectives**

#### **Energy shower profile:**

- Longitudinal shower profile behaves as expected: similar profile for W and Pb (high Z materials) in comparison with Fe
- Lateral shower profile shows surprisingly similar behavior for Fe and W and slightly worsen behavior for Pb – this need to be explained
- Different shower profile behavior for higher energy in case of analog and digital mode has been found – this could be due to the saturation effect and need to be verified with in threshold study

#### **1m3 energy resolution:**

- Digital energy resolution has been found superior to analog contrary to the linearity which is better in analogue mode (comparable results were found for 8m3)
- Energy leakage plays important role and need to be take into account (leakage corrections?)
- Up to which energy we are interested?
- As in previous case, an optimal threshold(s) need to be found with respect to energy resolution and linearity performance

#### Next work:

Threshold study!