QED-correction enhancements from non-local effects (M. Beneke, C. Bobeth, R. Szafron, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 011801)

Robert Szafron



8 July 2019

Paris

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q (1/41

Outline

This lecture is a short introduction to method of regions and SCET with the emphasis on some applications to QED in flavour physics

- Two aspects of QED corrections
- Methods of regions: basics
- ▶ Methods of regions: power-enhancement in $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$
- ▶ Soft-Collinear Effective Field Theory (SCET)
- ▶ Hadronic matrix elements
- Sudakov resummation

QED corrections

$$\Delta E_n \sim \frac{2e^2}{3\pi\hbar c^3} \int_0^K dk \sum_n \frac{|v_{nm}|^2 (E_n - E_m)}{E_n - E_m + k}$$

・ロ ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ う へ へ 3/41

QED in Flavor physics

QED effects can be divided into two classes of effects:

- ► Ultra-soft photons (sometimes simply called soft photons) Based on eikonal approximation, well understood, under the assumption that $\Delta E \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$
- Non-universal corrections
 Hard, hard-collinear, collinear, and soft

Both effects are important - even with strong cut on real photons ΔE , the virtual corrections can resolve the structure of the meson! Virtual photons can couple to initial and final state and may have wave-lengths smaller than the typical meson size $\sim 1/\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ○ ○ ○ 4/41

We refer to photons with energy $k \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ as soft Photons with momentum $k \sim \Delta E$ are ultra-soft

Ultra-soft photons

- ▶ Numerically important, but very easy to compute
- Based on eikonal approximation: spin universal

Note $k^{\mu} \ll p^{\mu}, m$

 General all-order solution is well known
 [see eg. S. Weinberg, The Quantum theory of fields. Vol. 1: Foundations]

$$\Gamma_{\beta\alpha} \to \mathcal{F}(A(\alpha \to \beta)) \left(\frac{\Delta E}{\Lambda}\right)^{A(\alpha \to \beta)} \Gamma^{\Lambda}_{\beta\alpha} \approx \left(\frac{\Delta E}{\Lambda}\right)^{A(\alpha \to \beta)} \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}$$

Note that Λ should be at most $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ or m

$$A(\alpha \to \beta) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{nm} \frac{e_n e_m \eta_n \eta_m}{\beta_{nm}} \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta_{nm}}{1-\beta_{nm}}\right)$$

Should be included in experimental analysis, but not interesting from theory perspective. It is important to avoid double counting



Virtual corrections above ultra-soft scale

There are several kinematical and dynamical scales relevant to $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$:

- \blacktriangleright m_B the hard scale given by kinematics
- $\blacktriangleright \ m_b \sim m_B$ heavy quark mass expansion parameter for the b quark HQET
- ► Λ_{QCD} soft scale, typical momentum of the quarks in the meson (or inverse radius of the meson)
- ▶ $m_{\mu} \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ collinear scale, muon mass acts as a regulator for collinear divergences

To compute corrections: *expand* the amplitude in $\lambda^2 = \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_B} \sim \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{m_b}$ We need a more systematic approach than eikonal (soft) expansion! Different logarithms appear

$$\ln \frac{m_{\mu}}{\Delta E};$$
 $\ln \frac{m_B}{m_{\mu}};$ $\ln \frac{m_B}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}};$...

Mixed QED-QCD logs are important! Expansion parameter is $\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \times \log^2$ rather than just $\frac{\alpha}{\pi}$

How to go beyond ultra-soft photon approximation in a systematic way? We need the method of regions

Method of Regions



・ロ ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 王 ・ ・ 王 ・ う へ で 7/41

Method of regions and Effective Field Theory

Method of regions can be applied to expand diagrams and it is useful to set-up the effective field theory

Advantages:

- ▶ systematic expansion allows for a good control of the theory accuracy
- resulting expressions are simpler than in the full theory
- allows for factorization and resummation of the large logarithms
- ▶ allows to exploit perturbative QCD and uniquely define non-perturbative objects

Appropriate EFT is SCET \otimes HQET: needs energetic modes in the low-energy EFT \rightarrow EFT cannot be obtained by integrating out complete fields but only certain modes \rightarrow needs different fields to describe different modes and resulting theory is a non-local QFT

[M. Beneke, V. A. Smirnov, Asymptotic expansion of Feynman integrals near threshold, hep-ph/9711391]

Consider a simple (euclidean) integral

$$I = \int d^{4-2\epsilon} k \frac{1}{(k^2 + m^2)(k^2 + q^2)}$$
$$\sim \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^2 + m^2)(k^2 + q^2)}$$

◆□▶ ◆ ●▶ ◆ \exists ▶ ◆ \exists ▶ \exists ₽ \$\Display\$ \Display\$ \$\Display\$ \$\Displa

Consider a simple (euclidean) integral

$$\begin{split} I &= \int d^{4-2\epsilon} k \frac{1}{(k^2 + m^2)(k^2 + q^2)} \\ &\sim \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^2 + m^2)(k^2 + q^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2 - q^2} \int_0^\infty dk \, k^{3-2\epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{k^2 + q^2} - \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} \right] \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆ ●▶ ◆ \exists ▶ ◆ \exists ▶ \exists ₽ \$\Display\$ \Display\$ \$\Display\$ \$\Displa

Consider a simple (euclidean) integral

$$\begin{split} I &= \int d^{4-2\epsilon} k \frac{1}{(k^2 + m^2)(k^2 + q^2)} \\ &\sim \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^2 + m^2)(k^2 + q^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2 - q^2} \int_0^\infty dk \, k^{3-2\epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{k^2 + q^2} - \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} \right] \end{split}$$

Use (n is assumed to be odd)

$$\int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^{n-2\epsilon}}{k^2+M^2} = i^{n+1} M^{n-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} + \ln M + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \right)$$

< □ ▶ < **□ ▶** < \\ = ▶ < \\ = ▶ ○ Q (~ 9/41)

Consider a simple (euclidean) integral

$$\begin{split} I &= \int d^{4-2\epsilon} k \frac{1}{(k^2 + m^2)(k^2 + q^2)} \\ &\sim \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^2 + m^2)(k^2 + q^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2 - q^2} \int_0^\infty dk \, k^{3-2\epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{k^2 + q^2} - \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} \right] \end{split}$$

Use (n is assumed to be odd)

$$\int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^{n-2\epsilon}}{k^2 + M^2} = i^{n+1} M^{n-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} + \ln M + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \right)$$

$$I \sim \frac{1}{2\epsilon} + \frac{m^2 \ln m - q^2 \ln q}{q^2 - m^2} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} - \ln m + \frac{q^2}{m^2} \ln\left(\frac{q}{m}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{q^4}{m^4}\right)$$

for $q^2 \ll m^2$

< □ ▶ < **□ ▶** < \\ = ▶ < \\ = ▶ ○ Q (~ 9/41)

 $q \ll \Lambda \ll m$

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^2+m^2)(k^2+q^2)} \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk\right) \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^2+m^2)(k^2+q^2)} \end{split}$$

 $q \ll \Lambda \ll m$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ ■ → ▲ ■ → ○ へ ○ 10/41

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^2+m^2)(k^2+q^2)} \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk\right) \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^2+m^2)(k^2+q^2)} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^2}{m^2}\right)^n}{m^2(k^2+q^2)} + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^2}{k^2}\right)^n}{(k^2+m^2)k^2} \end{split}$$

 $q \ll \Lambda \ll m$

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})(k^{2}+q^{2})} \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk\right) \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})(k^{2}+q^{2})} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} dk - \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk\right) \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} \\ &+ \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} dk - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk\right) \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} \end{split}$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ ■ → ▲ ■ → ○ へ ○ 10/41

 $q \ll \Lambda \ll m$

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})(k^{2}+q^{2})} \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk\right) \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})(k^{2}+q^{2})} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} dk - \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk\right) \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} \\ &+ \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} dk - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk\right) \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} - \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}}} - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}}} - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}}} - \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{$$

Scaleless contribution

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})(k^{2}+q^{2})} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} - \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{m} \end{split}$$

Scaleless contribution

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})(k^{2}+q^{2})} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} - \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} + \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} \\ &- \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{m} \end{split}$$

Scaleless contribution

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})(k^{2}+q^{2})} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} - \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} - \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{m} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} + \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} \\ &- \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^{2}k^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{m} \end{split}$$

After exchanging summation and integration, the last integral is of the from

$$\int_0^\infty dk k^{2m-2n+1-2\epsilon} = 0$$

Regions and scaling

Expanded integrals have homogeneous scaling Region I: $k \sim q$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)^{n}}{m^{2}(k^{2}+q^{2})} \sim \frac{q \times q^{3} \times q^{2n}/m^{2n}}{m^{2} \times q^{2}} \sim \frac{q^{2n+2}}{m^{2n+2}}$$

Region II: $k \sim m$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon} \left(-\frac{q^{2}}{k^{2}}\right)^{n}}{(k^{2}+m^{2})k^{2}} \sim \frac{m \times m^{3} \times q^{2n}/m^{2n}}{m^{2} \times m^{2}} \sim \frac{q^{2n}}{m^{2n}}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ • • • ● ● ● 12/41

Recovering the full result

Reminder

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^{n-2\epsilon}}{k^2 + M^2} &= i^{n+1} M^{n-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} + \ln M + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \right) \\ I &\sim \frac{1}{2\epsilon} + \frac{m^2 \ln m - q^2 \ln q}{q^2 - m^2} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} - \ln m + \frac{q^2}{m^2} \ln \left(\frac{q}{m} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{q^4}{m^4} \right) \\ \text{Leading power} \left(\frac{q^2}{m^2} \right)^0, \text{ only region } k \sim m \text{ contributes :} \\ &\int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^2 + m^2)k^2} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} - \ln m \\ \text{Next-to-leading power} \left(\frac{q^2}{m^2} \right)^1 \\ \vdots \\ &\int_0^\infty dk \frac{-q^2 k^{3-2\epsilon}}{(k^2 + m^2)k^4} = \frac{q^2}{m^2} \left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon} - \ln m \right) \\ &\int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^{3-2\epsilon}}{m^2(k^2 + q^2)} = \frac{q^2}{m^2} \left(-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} - \ln q \right) \end{split}$$

Sum of the regions:

$$\frac{q^2}{m^2}\ln\left(\frac{q}{m}\right)$$

How to apply this technique to leptonic decay of B_s is solved in B_s .

QED corrections for $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$: regions and origin of power-enhancement



In the SM the process is

► loop suppressed (FCNC)

$$Br(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{64\pi^3} f_{B_s}^2 \tau_{B_s} m_{B_s}^3 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^2}{m_{B_s}^2}} \times \left|\frac{2m_{\mu}}{m_{B_s}} C_{10}\right|^2$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ = → ◆ = ・ ○ へ ○ 15/41

In the SM the process is

- ▶ loop suppressed (FCNC)
- helicity suppressed (scalar meson decaying into energetic muons, vector interaction)

$$Br(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{64\pi^3} f_{B_s}^2 \tau_{B_s} m_{B_s}^3 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^2}{m_{B_s}^2}} \times \left|\frac{2m_{\mu}}{m_{B_s}} C_{10}\right|^2$$

In the SM the process is

- ▶ loop suppressed (FCNC)
- helicity suppressed (scalar meson decaying into energetic muons, vector interaction)
- ▶ purely leptonic final state allows for a precise SM prediction, QCD contained in the meson decay constant f_{B_s}

$$Br(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{64\pi^3} f_{B_s}^2 \tau_{B_s} m_{B_s}^3 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^2}{m_{B_s}^2}} \times \left| \frac{2m_{\mu}}{m_{B_s}} C_{10} \right|^2$$

In the SM the process is

- ▶ loop suppressed (FCNC)
- helicity suppressed (scalar meson decaying into energetic muons, vector interaction)
- ▶ purely leptonic final state allows for a precise SM prediction, QCD contained in the meson decay constant f_{B_s}

$$Br(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{64\pi^3} f_{B_s}^2 \tau_{B_s} m_{B_s}^3 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^2}{m_{B_s}^2}} \times \left|\frac{2m_{\mu}}{m_{B_s}} C_{10}\right|^2$$

Let use use method of regions to compute



Kinematics

The two-body decay $B_s(p_B) \rightarrow \ell(p_\ell)\bar{\ell}(p_{\bar{\ell}})$ implies lepton energies $E_\ell = E_{\bar{\ell}} = m_B/2$ Auxiliary light-cone vectors

$$n_{+}^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 1) \qquad n_{-}^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, -1)$$

$$n_{+}^{2} = n_{-}^{2} = 0 \qquad n_{+} \cdot n_{-} = 2$$

$$p^{\mu} = (n_{+}p)\frac{n_{-}^{\mu}}{2} + p_{\perp}^{\mu} + (n_{-}p)\frac{n_{+}^{\mu}}{2}$$

$$p^{2} = n_{+}p n_{-}p + p_{\perp}^{2}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Kinematics

The two-body decay $B_s(p_B) \rightarrow \ell(p_\ell)\bar{\ell}(p_{\bar{\ell}})$ implies lepton energies $E_\ell = E_{\bar{\ell}} = m_B/2$ Auxiliary light-cone vectors

$$\begin{aligned} n_{+}^{\mu} &= (1,0,0,1) & n_{-}^{\mu} &= (1,0,0,-1) \\ n_{+}^{2} &= n_{-}^{2} &= 0 & n_{+} \cdot n_{-} &= 2 \\ p^{\mu} &= (n_{+}p)\frac{n_{-}^{-\mu}}{2} + p_{\perp}^{\mu} + (n_{-}p)\frac{n_{+}^{-\mu}}{2} & \\ p^{2} &= n_{+}p \, n_{-}p + p_{\perp}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

Partonic level:

$$b(p_b) + q(l_q) \rightarrow \ell(p_\ell) + \bar{\ell}(p_{\overline{\ell}})$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} p_b = m_b v + l_b & l_b \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD} & l_q \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD} \\ n_+ p_\ell \sim m_b & n_- p_\ell \sim \frac{m_\ell^2}{m_b} & p_\ell^\perp \sim m_\ell \\ n_- p_{\overline{\ell}} \sim m_b & n_+ p_{\overline{\ell}} \sim \frac{m_\ell^2}{m_b} & p_{\overline{\ell}}^\perp \sim m_\ell \end{array}$

Regions

Unlike in the previous example, the loop integrals are not spherically symmetric \rightarrow different components can have different scaling!

Scaling parameter
$$\lambda^2 = \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{m_b} \sim \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_b}$$

$$k = (n_+k, k_\perp, n_-k)$$

mode	relative scaling	absolute scaling	virtuality k^2
hard	(1, 1, 1)	$\left(m_b,m_b,m_b ight)$	m_b^2
hard-collinear	$(1,\lambda,\lambda^2)$	$(m_b, \sqrt{m_b \Lambda_{ m QCD}}, \Lambda_{ m QCD})$	$m_b \Lambda_{ m QCD}$
anti-hard-collinear	$(\lambda^2, \lambda, 1)$	$(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}, \sqrt{m_b \Lambda_{\rm QCD}}, m_b)$	$m_b \Lambda_{ m QCD}$
collinear	$(1, \lambda^2, \lambda^4)$	$(m_b,m_\mu,m_\mu^2/m_b)$	m_{μ}^2
anticollinear	$(\lambda^4,\lambda^2,1)$	$(m_\mu^2/m_b,m_\mu,m_b)$	m_{μ}^2
soft	$(\lambda^2,\lambda^2,\lambda^2)$	$(\Lambda_{ m QCD},\Lambda_{ m QCD},\Lambda_{ m QCD})$	$\Lambda^2_{ m QCD}$

Note: collinear+soft = hard-collinear!

Q_9 operator insertion



Regions

- hard-collinear
- ▶ collinear
- ▶ soft (no power enhancement)

$$\int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \overline{u}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right) \left(-ieQ_{\ell}\right) \gamma_{\mu} i \frac{\not k + \not p_{\ell} + m_{\ell}}{(k+p_{\ell})^{2} - m_{l}^{2} + i0} \gamma_{\nu} v\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right) \\ \times \frac{-i}{k^{2} + i0} \\ \times \overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right) \left(-ieQ_{s}\right) \gamma^{\mu} i \frac{-\not l_{q} - \not k + m_{q}}{(l_{q} + k)^{2} - m_{q}^{2} + i0} \gamma^{\nu} P_{L} u\left(p_{b}\right)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Simplifications and expansions for collinear and hard-collinear regions We choose $p_{\ell}^{\perp} = 0$ and use equation of motion

$$\overline{u} \left(p_{\overline{\ell}} \right) \gamma^{\mu} \left(\not\!\!{k} + \not\!\!{p}_{\ell} + m_{\ell} \right) = \overline{u} \left(p_{\overline{\ell}} \right) \left(\gamma^{\mu} \not\!\!{k} + 2p_{\ell}^{\mu} \right)$$
$$\overline{v} \left(l_{q} \right) \gamma^{\mu} \left(- \not\!\!{l}_{q} - \not\!\!{k} + m_{q} \right) = \overline{v} \left(l_{q} \right) \left(- \gamma^{\mu} \not\!\!{k} - 2l_{q}^{\mu} \right)$$

Simplifications and expansions for collinear and hard-collinear regions We choose $p_{\ell}^{\perp} = 0$ and use equation of motion

$$\begin{split} \overline{u}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\gamma^{\mu}\left(\not\!\!{k}+\not\!\!{p}_{\ell}+m_{\ell}\right) &= \overline{u}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\left(\gamma^{\mu}\not\!\!{k}+2p_{\ell}^{\mu}\right)\\ \overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right)\gamma^{\mu}\left(-\not\!\!{l}_{q}-\not\!\!{k}+m_{q}\right) &= \overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right)\left(-\gamma^{\mu}\not\!\!{k}-2l_{q}^{\mu}\right) \end{split}$$

Expansion of the spinor

$$\overline{u}\left(p_{\ell}\right) = \overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right) \left[1 + \frac{\not{\!\!\!/}_{+}}{2} \frac{m_{\ell}}{n_{+} p_{\ell}}\right]$$

Projection property of collinear spinor

$$\overline{u}_c \frac{\not{n}_-}{2} = 0 \qquad \qquad \overline{u}_c \frac{\not{n}_+ \not{n}_-}{4} = \overline{u}_c$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → ○ へ ○ 19/41

Simplifications and expansions for collinear and hard-collinear regions We choose $p_{\ell}^{\perp} = 0$ and use equation of motion

$$\begin{split} \overline{u}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\gamma^{\mu}\left(\not\!\!{k}+\not\!\!{p}_{\ell}+m_{\ell}\right) &= \overline{u}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\left(\gamma^{\mu}\not\!\!{k}+2p_{\ell}^{\mu}\right)\\ \overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right)\gamma^{\mu}\left(-\not\!\!{l}_{q}-\not\!\!{k}+m_{q}\right) &= \overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right)\left(-\gamma^{\mu}\not\!\!{k}-2l_{q}^{\mu}\right) \end{split}$$

Expansion of the spinor

$$\overline{u}\left(p_{\ell}\right) = \overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right) \left[1 + \frac{\not{\!\!\!/}_{+}}{2} \frac{m_{\ell}}{n_{+} p_{\ell}}\right]$$

Projection property of collinear spinor

$$\overline{u}_c \frac{\not\!\!\!/_-}{2} = 0 \qquad \qquad \overline{u}_c \frac{\not\!\!\!/_+ \not\!\!\!/_-}{4} = \overline{u}_c$$

Dirac matrices

$$k = n_{+}k \frac{n_{-}}{2} + k_{\perp} + n_{-}k \frac{n_{+}}{2} =$$

$$\gamma^{\mu} = \frac{n_{-}}{2}n_{+}^{\mu} + \gamma^{\mu}_{\perp} + \frac{n_{+}}{2}n_{-}^{\mu}$$

$$n_{\pm}^{2} = 0 \qquad \qquad n_{\pm}\gamma^{\mu}_{\perp} = -\gamma^{\mu}_{\perp}n_{\pm}$$

Simplifications and expansions for collinear and hard-collinear regions We choose $p_{\ell}^{\perp} = 0$ and use equation of motion

$$\begin{split} \overline{u}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\gamma^{\mu}\left(\not\!\!{k}+\not\!\!{p}_{\ell}+m_{\ell}\right) &= \overline{u}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\left(\gamma^{\mu}\not\!\!{k}+2p_{\ell}^{\mu}\right)\\ \overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right)\gamma^{\mu}\left(-\not\!\!{l}_{q}-\not\!\!{k}+m_{q}\right) &= \overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right)\left(-\gamma^{\mu}\not\!\!{k}-2l_{q}^{\mu}\right) \end{split}$$

Expansion of the spinor

$$\overline{u}\left(p_{\ell}\right) = \overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right) \left[1 + \frac{\not{\!\!\!/}_{+}}{2} \frac{m_{\ell}}{n_{+} p_{\ell}}\right]$$

Projection property of collinear spinor

$$\overline{u}_c \frac{\not\!\!\!/_-}{2} = 0 \qquad \qquad \overline{u}_c \frac{\not\!\!\!/_+ \not\!\!\!/_-}{4} = \overline{u}_c$$

Dirac matrices

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{k} &= n_{+}k\frac{\mathbf{m}_{-}}{2} + \mathbf{k}_{\perp} + n_{-}k\frac{\mathbf{m}_{+}}{2} = n_{+}k\frac{\mathbf{m}_{-}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \\ \gamma^{\mu} &= \frac{\mathbf{m}_{-}}{2}n_{+}^{\mu} + \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} + \frac{\mathbf{m}_{+}}{2}n_{-}^{\mu} \\ \mathbf{m}_{\pm}^{2} &= 0 \qquad \qquad \mathbf{m}_{\pm}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} = -\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\mathbf{m}_{\pm} \\ \mathbf{m}_{\pm} + \mathbf{m}$$

Expansions of the numerator

For the power-enhanced parts, numerators in both regions are the same

Expansions of the numerator

For the power-enhanced parts, numerators in both regions are the same

Lepton part – we are looking for terms with an odd number of γ_{\perp}

$$\begin{split} \overline{u}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\left(\gamma^{\mu}\not{k}+2p_{\ell}^{\mu}\right)\gamma^{\nu}v\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right) &\to \overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\frac{m_{\ell}}{n_{+}p_{\ell}}\frac{\not{k}_{+}}{2}\gamma^{\mu}n_{+}k\frac{\not{k}_{-}}{2}\gamma^{\nu}v_{\overline{c}}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\\ &=-\frac{m_{\ell}n_{+}k}{n_{+}p_{\ell}}\overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\frac{\not{k}_{+}}{2}\frac{\not{k}_{-}}{2}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}v_{\overline{c}}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\\ &=-\frac{m_{\ell}n_{+}k}{n_{+}p_{\ell}}\overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}v_{\overline{c}}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right) \end{split}$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → ○ へ ○ 20/41
Expansions of the numerator

For the power-enhanced parts, numerators in both regions are the same

Lepton part – we are looking for terms with an odd number of γ_{\perp}

$$\begin{split} \overline{u}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\left(\gamma^{\mu}\not{k}+2p_{\ell}^{\mu}\right)\gamma^{\nu}\upsilon\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right) &\to \overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\frac{m_{\ell}}{n+p_{\ell}}\frac{\not{k}_{+}}{2}\gamma^{\mu}n_{+}k\frac{\not{k}_{-}}{2}\gamma^{\nu}\upsilon_{\overline{c}}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\\ &=-\frac{m_{\ell}n_{+}k}{n+p_{\ell}}\overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\frac{\not{k}_{+}}{2}\frac{\not{k}_{-}}{2}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}\upsilon_{\overline{c}}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\\ &=-\frac{m_{\ell}n_{+}k}{n+p_{\ell}}\overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}\upsilon_{\overline{c}}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right) \end{split}$$

Quark Part – we take the leading part

$$\overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right)\left(\gamma^{\mu}\not\!\!{k}+2l_{q}^{\mu}\right)\gamma^{\nu}P_{L}u\left(p_{b}\right)\rightarrow-n_{+}k\,\overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right)\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}\frac{\not\!\!{k}_{-}}{2}P_{L}u\left(p_{b}\right)$$

Expansions of the numerator

For the power-enhanced parts, numerators in both regions are the same

Lepton part – we are looking for terms with an odd number of γ_{\perp}

$$\begin{split} \overline{u}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\left(\gamma^{\mu}\not{k}+2p_{\ell}^{\mu}\right)\gamma^{\nu}\upsilon\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right) &\to \overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\frac{m_{\ell}}{n+p_{\ell}}\frac{\not{k}_{+}}{2}\gamma^{\mu}n_{+}k\frac{\not{k}_{-}}{2}\gamma^{\nu}\upsilon_{\overline{c}}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\\ &=-\frac{m_{\ell}n_{+}k}{n+p_{\ell}}\overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\frac{\not{k}_{+}}{2}\frac{\not{k}_{-}}{2}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}\upsilon_{\overline{c}}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\\ &=-\frac{m_{\ell}n_{+}k}{n+p_{\ell}}\overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right)\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}\upsilon_{\overline{c}}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right) \end{split}$$

Quark Part – we take the leading part

$$\overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right)\left(\gamma^{\mu}\not\!\!\!k+2l_{q}^{\mu}\right)\gamma^{\nu}P_{L}u\left(p_{b}\right)\rightarrow-n_{+}k\,\overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right)\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}\frac{\not\!\!\!h_{-}}{2}P_{L}u\left(p_{b}\right)$$

Let us define

$$\frac{m_{\ell}(n_{+}k)^{2}}{n_{+}p_{\ell}} \left[\overline{u}_{c}\left(p_{\ell}\right) \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{\perp}^{\nu} v_{\overline{c}}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right) \right] \left[\overline{v}\left(l_{q}\right) \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{\perp}^{\nu} \frac{\not{n}_{-}}{2} P_{L} u\left(p_{b}\right) \right] \equiv \frac{m_{\ell}(n_{+}k)^{2}}{n_{+}p_{\ell}} \times T$$

$$(l_q + k)^2 - m_q^2 = k^2 + n_+ k n_- l_q + \dots$$

$$(p_\ell + k)^2 - m_l^2 = k^2 + n_- k n_+ p_\ell + \dots$$

Note that both denominators have hard-collinear virtuality $\sim \lambda^2 m_b^2$

$$(l_q + k)^2 - m_q^2 = k^2 + n_+ k n_- l_q + \dots$$

$$(p_\ell + k)^2 - m_l^2 = k^2 + n_- k n_+ p_\ell + \dots$$

Note that both denominators have hard-collinear virtuality $\sim \lambda^2 m_b^2$

$$4\pi\alpha Q_{\ell}Q_{s}m_{\ell}T\int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}}\frac{(n_{+}k)^{2}}{n_{+}p_{\ell}}\frac{1}{k^{2}}\frac{1}{k^{2}+n_{+}kn_{-}l_{q}}\frac{1}{k^{2}+n_{+}p_{l}n_{-}k}$$

$$(l_q + k)^2 - m_q^2 = k^2 + n_+ k n_- l_q + \dots$$
$$(p_\ell + k)^2 - m_l^2 = k^2 + n_- k n_+ p_\ell + \dots$$

Note that both denominators have hard-collinear virtuality $\sim \lambda^2 m_b^2$

$$4\pi\alpha Q_{\ell}Q_{s}m_{\ell}T \int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{(n_{+}k)^{2}}{n_{+}p_{\ell}} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+n_{+}kn_{-}l_{q}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+n_{+}p_{\ell}n_{-}k}$$

Counting $d^{d}k = \frac{1}{2}dn_{+}kdn_{-}kd^{d-2}k_{\perp} \sim 1 \times \lambda^{2} \times \lambda^{2}$
$$\int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{(n_{+}k)^{2}}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+n_{+}kn_{-}l_{q}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+n_{+}p_{\ell}n_{-}k} \sim \lambda^{4} \times \lambda^{-2} \times \lambda^{-2} \times \lambda^{-2} = \lambda^{-2}$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ ■ → ◆ ■ ・ ● ● ● ○ 21/41

$$(l_q + k)^2 - m_q^2 = k^2 + n_+ k n_- l_q + \dots$$
$$(p_\ell + k)^2 - m_l^2 = k^2 + n_- k n_+ p_\ell + \dots$$

Note that both denominators have hard-collinear virtuality $\sim \lambda^2 m_b^2$

$$4\pi\alpha Q_{\ell}Q_{s}m_{\ell}T \int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{(n_{+}k)^{2}}{n_{+}p_{\ell}} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+n_{+}kn_{-}l_{q}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+n_{+}p_{l}n_{-}k}$$

Counting $d^{d}k = \frac{1}{2}dn_{+}kdn_{-}kd^{d-2}k_{\perp} \sim 1 \times \lambda^{2} \times \lambda^{2}$
$$\int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{(n_{+}k)^{2}}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+n_{+}kn_{-}l_{q}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+n_{+}p_{\ell}n_{-}k} \sim \lambda^{4} \times \lambda^{-2} \times \lambda^{-2} \times \lambda^{-2} = \lambda^{-2}$$

$$\begin{split} &\int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{(n+k)^2}{k^2} \frac{1}{k^2 + n_+ k n_- l_q} \frac{1}{k^2 + n_+ p_\ell n_- k} \\ &= \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{-(n+k)^2}{n_+ k n_- l_q} \left[\frac{1}{k^2 + n_+ k n_- l_q} - \frac{1}{k^2} \right] \frac{1}{k^2 + n_+ p_\ell n_- k} \\ &= \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{n_+ p_\ell}{2n_- l_q} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 - \ln \left(\frac{n_- l_q n_+ p_\ell}{\mu^2} \right) \right]_{\epsilon = 0} \right]$$

The only difference to the hard-collinear case is the expansion of the denominators

$$(l_q + k)^2 - m_q^2 = n_+ k n_- l_q + \dots$$

 $(p_\ell + k)^2 - m_l^2 = k^2 + 2p_\ell k$

Note that the quark propagator has hard-collinear virtuality but the lepton is collinear!

The only difference to the hard-collinear case is the expansion of the denominators

$$(l_q + k)^2 - m_q^2 = n_+ k n_- l_q + \dots$$

 $(p_\ell + k)^2 - m_l^2 = k^2 + 2p_\ell k$

Note that the quark propagator has hard-collinear virtuality but the lepton is collinear!

$$4\pi\alpha Q_{\ell}Q_{s}m_{\ell}T\int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}}\frac{(n+k)^{2}}{n+p_{\ell}}\frac{1}{k^{2}}\frac{1}{n+kn-l_{q}}\frac{1}{k^{2}+2p_{\ell}k}$$

The only difference to the hard-collinear case is the expansion of the denominators

$$(l_q + k)^2 - m_q^2 = n_+ k n_- l_q + \dots$$
$$(p_\ell + k)^2 - m_l^2 = k^2 + 2p_\ell k$$

Note that the quark propagator has hard-collinear virtuality but the lepton is collinear!

$$4\pi\alpha Q_{\ell}Q_{s}m_{\ell}T\int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}}\frac{(n+k)^{2}}{n+p_{\ell}}\frac{1}{k^{2}}\frac{1}{n+kn_{-}l_{q}}\frac{1}{k^{2}+2p_{\ell}k}$$

Counting

$$\int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{(n_+k)^2}{n_+p_\ell} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{1}{n_+kn_-l_q} \frac{1}{k^2 + 2p_\ell k} \sim \lambda^8 \times \lambda^{-4} \times \lambda^{-2} \times \lambda^{-4} = \lambda^{-2}$$

The only difference to the hard-collinear case is the expansion of the denominators

$$(l_q + k)^2 - m_q^2 = n_+ k n_- l_q + \dots$$

 $(p_\ell + k)^2 - m_l^2 = k^2 + 2p_\ell k$

Note that the quark propagator has hard-collinear virtuality but the lepton is collinear!

$$4\pi\alpha Q_{\ell}Q_{s}m_{\ell}T\int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}}\frac{(n+k)^{2}}{n+p_{\ell}}\frac{1}{k^{2}}\frac{1}{n+kn_{-}l_{q}}\frac{1}{k^{2}+2p_{\ell}k}$$

Counting

$$\int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{(n_+k)^2}{n_+ p_\ell} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{1}{n_+ k n_- l_q} \frac{1}{k^2 + 2p_\ell k} \sim \lambda^8 \times \lambda^{-4} \times \lambda^{-2} \times \lambda^{-4} = \lambda^{-2}$$

$$\int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\left(n+k\right)^2}{n+kn-l_q} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{1}{k^2+2p_\ell k} = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{n+p_\ell}{2n-l_q} \left[-\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 + \ln\left(\frac{m_\mu^2}{\mu^2}\right) \right]$$

C_9 diagram – result

Add both regions

$$\begin{split} & i\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}Q_{\ell}Q_{s}\frac{m_{\ell}}{2n-l_{q}}T\times\left\{\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}+2-\ln\left(\frac{n-l_{q}n+p_{\ell}}{\mu^{2}}\right)\right]+\left[-\frac{1}{\epsilon}-1+\ln\left(\frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)\right]\right\}\\ &=i\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}Q_{\ell}Q_{s}\frac{m_{\ell}}{2n-l_{q}}T\times\left[1-\ln\left(\frac{n-l_{q}n+p_{\ell}}{m_{\ell}^{2}}\right)\right] \end{split}$$

- Poles cancel collinear contribution is UV-divergent but IR finite; hard-collinear is IR divergent but UV finite
- We get a logarithm of the ratio of hard-collinear scale to the collinear scale
- Explicit dependence on the soft quark momentum correction is sensitive to the structure of the meson
- ▶ Factor $\frac{m_{\ell}}{2n-l_q}$ is responsible for power-enhancement, comes from the hard-collinear quark propagator

How to deal with $n_{-}l_{q}$? We need an EFT

SCET approach to QED corrections

$$\frac{1}{in_+\partial}\phi(x) = -i\int_{-\infty}^0 du\,\phi(x+un_+)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



EFT approach to systematically integrate-out different scales

 Operatorial definitions allow to separate non-perturbative input from perturbative corrections



EFT approach to systematically integrate-out different scales

- Operatorial definitions allow to separate non-perturbative input from perturbative corrections
- Renormalization Group technique can be used to perform resummation



EFT approach to systematically integrate-out different scales

- Operatorial definitions allow to separate non-perturbative input from perturbative corrections
- Renormalization Group technique can be used to perform resummation
- Objects have well-defined counting in λ and their computation is typically simpler than in the full theory



EFT approach to systematically integrate-out different scales

- Operatorial definitions allow to separate non-perturbative input from perturbative corrections
- Renormalization Group technique can be used to perform resummation
- Objects have well-defined counting in λ and their computation is typically simpler than in the full theory
- It is more intuitive than the full theory

Short and long-distance contributions: SCET_I

Hard modes have typical fluctuations at distances $\sim 1/m_b \ll 1/\sqrt{m_b \Lambda_{\rm QCD}}$ typical size of fluctuations of the hard-collinear modes

They modify Wilson coefficients of the $SCET_I$ operators



Note that $n_+p_{\rm hc} \sim n_+p_{\rm h}$ thus SCET_{II} is non-local along n_+ direction

Short and long-distance contributions: $SCET_{II}$

Hard-collinear modes have typical fluctuations at distances $\sim 1/\sqrt{m_b\Lambda_{\rm QCD}} \ll 1/\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ typical size of fluctuations of the soft and collinear modes

They modify Wilson coefficients of the $SCET_{II}$ operators



Note that $n_{-}p_{\rm s} \sim n_{-}p_{\rm hc}$ thus SCET_{II} soft sector is non-local along n_{-} direction

Short and long-distance contributions: $SCET_{II}$

Hard-collinear modes have typical fluctuations at distances $\sim 1/\sqrt{m_b\Lambda_{\rm QCD}} \ll 1/\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ typical size of fluctuations of the soft and collinear modes

They modify Wilson coefficients of the $SCET_{II}$ operators



Note that $n_{-}p_{\rm s} \sim n_{-}p_{\rm hc}$ thus SCET_{II} soft sector is non-local along n_{-} direction

What is SCET?

SCET is an EFT which describes energetic particle. Here we need $\mathrm{SCET}_{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{SCET}_{\mathrm{II}}$

- Each mode has its own field, e.g. in SCET_I we have hard-collinear and soft modes
- Modes are separated in virtuality in SCET_I but not in SCET_{II}
- Lagrangian has expansion in λ such that it reproduces expansion by regions



$$\mathcal{L}_{C}^{(0)} = \bar{\xi}_{C} \left[in_{-}D + i\not\!\!D_{\perp} \frac{1}{in_{+}D} i\not\!\!D_{\perp} \right] \frac{\not\!\!n_{+}}{2} \xi_{C}$$

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_C^{(0)} + \mathcal{L}_{\overline{C}}^{(0)} + \dots - \text{only soft fields mediate interactions between collinear and anticollinear sectors}$

$$\begin{aligned} n_{+}D &= n_{+}\partial - ieQ_{\xi}n_{+}A_{C} \sim 1 \\ D_{\perp}^{\mu} &= \partial_{\perp}^{\mu} - ieQ_{\xi}n_{+}A_{\perp C}^{\mu} \sim \lambda \\ n_{-}D &= n_{-}\partial - ieQ_{\xi}n_{-}A_{C} - ieQ_{\xi}n_{-}A_{s}(x_{-}) \sim \lambda^{2} \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \text{Soft modes are multipole expanded} \\ \phi_{C}(x)\phi_{s}(x) \rightarrow \\ \phi_{C}(x)\phi_{s}(x_{-}) + \dots; x_{-} &= \frac{n_{-}^{\mu}}{2}n_{+}x \end{aligned}$$

Decoupling transformation – physical picture



Hard-collinear modes and soft modes do not interact at leading power in λ expansion – soft radiation is described by soft Wilson lines

 $\label{eq:Factorization of the amplitude:} [hard - collinear] \times [anti - hard - collinear] \times [soft]$

Soft Wilson line

$$Y_{\xi\pm}(x) = \exp\left[ie Q_{\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, n_{\mp} A_s(x+sn_{\mp})\right]$$

allows to remove LP soft interactions.

Soft Wilson line

$$Y_{\xi\pm}(x) = \exp\left[ie Q_{\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, n_{\mp} A_s(x+sn_{\mp})\right]$$

allows to remove LP soft interactions. How does the decoupling work?

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{n}_{-\partial}Y_{\xi+}(x) &= \mathbf{n}_{-\partial}\exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,\mathbf{n}_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right] \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,\mathbf{n}_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{n_{-}})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\mathbf{n}_{-}\partial\,\mathbf{n}_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-}) \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,\mathbf{n}_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\,\mathbf{n}_{-}A_{s}(x) \end{split}$$

<□▶ < @▶ < 差▶ < 差▶ 差 の Q (~ 30/41)

Soft Wilson line

$$Y_{\xi\pm}(x) = \exp\left[ie Q_{\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, n_{\mp} A_s(x+sn_{\mp})\right]$$

allows to remove LP soft interactions. How does the decoupling work?

$$\begin{split} n_{-}\partial Y_{\xi+}(x) &= n_{-}\partial \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right] \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{n_{-}})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}dsn_{-}\partial\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-}) \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\,n_{-}A_{s}(x) \end{split}$$

 $\xi_C \to Y_{\xi+}(x_-)\xi_C$

Soft Wilson line

$$Y_{\xi\pm}(x) = \exp\left[ie Q_{\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, n_{\mp} A_s(x+sn_{\mp})\right]$$

allows to remove LP soft interactions. How does the decoupling work?

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{n}_{-}\partial Y_{\xi+}(x) &= \mathbf{n}_{-}\partial \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,\mathbf{n}_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right] \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,\mathbf{n}_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{n_{-}})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\mathbf{n}_{-}\partial\,\mathbf{n}_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-}) \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,\mathbf{n}_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\,\mathbf{n}_{-}A_{s}(x) \end{split}$$

$$\xi_C \to Y_{\xi+}(x_-)\xi_C$$

◆□ → < □ → < Ξ → < Ξ → Ξ < の へ @ 30/41</p>

 $\bar{\xi}_C n_- D\xi_C \to \bar{\xi}_C Y_{\xi+}^{\dagger}(x_-) n_- DY_{\xi+}(x_-) \xi_C$

Soft Wilson line

$$Y_{\xi\pm}(x) = \exp\left[ie Q_{\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, n_{\mp} A_s(x+sn_{\mp})\right]$$

allows to remove LP soft interactions. How does the decoupling work?

$$\begin{split} n_{-}\partial Y_{\xi+}(x) &= n_{-}\partial \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right] \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{n_{-}})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}dsn_{-}\partial\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-}) \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\,n_{-}A_{s}(x) \end{split}$$

$$\xi_C \to Y_{\xi+}(x_-)\xi_C$$

$$\begin{split} \bar{\xi}_C n_- D\xi_C &\to \bar{\xi}_C Y_{\xi+}^{\dagger}(x_-) n_- DY_{\xi+}(x_-) \xi_C \\ &= \bar{\xi}_C Y_{\xi+}^{\dagger}(x_-) \left[n_- \partial - ieQ_{\xi} n_- A_C - ieQ_{\xi} n_- A_s(x_-) \right] Y_{\xi+}(x_-) \xi_C \end{split}$$

Soft Wilson line

$$Y_{\xi\pm}(x) = \exp\left[ie Q_{\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, n_{\mp} A_s(x+sn_{\mp})\right]$$

allows to remove LP soft interactions. How does the decoupling work?

$$\begin{split} n_{-}\partial Y_{\xi+}(x) &= n_{-}\partial \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right] \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{n_{-}})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}dsn_{-}\partial\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-}) \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\,n_{-}A_{s}(x) \end{split}$$

$$\xi_C \to Y_{\xi+}(x_-)\xi_C$$

$$\begin{split} \bar{\xi}_C n_- D\xi_C &\to \bar{\xi}_C Y_{\xi+}^{\dagger}(x_-) n_- DY_{\xi+}(x_-) \xi_C \\ &= \bar{\xi}_C Y_{\xi+}^{\dagger}(x_-) \left[n_- \partial - ieQ_{\xi} n_- A_C - ieQ_{\xi} n_- A_s(x_-) \right] Y_{\xi+}(x_-) \xi_C \\ &= \bar{\xi}_C Y_{\xi+}^{\dagger}(x_-) Y_{\xi+}(x_-) \left[n_- \partial - ieQ_{\xi} n_- A_C \right] \xi_C \end{split}$$

Soft Wilson line

$$Y_{\xi\pm}(x) = \exp\left[ie Q_{\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{0} ds \, n_{\mp} A_s(x+sn_{\mp})\right]$$

allows to remove LP soft interactions. How does the decoupling work?

$$\begin{split} & n_{-}\partial Y_{\xi+}(x) = n_{-}\partial \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right] \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{n_{-}})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}dsn_{-}\partial\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-}) \\ &= \exp\left[ie\,Q_{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}ds\,n_{-}A_{s}(x+sn_{-})\right]ie\,Q_{\xi}\,n_{-}A_{s}(x) \end{split}$$

$$\xi_C \to Y_{\xi+}(x_-)\xi_C$$

$$\begin{split} \bar{\xi}_C n_- D\xi_C &\to \bar{\xi}_C Y_{\xi+}^{\dagger}(x_-) n_- DY_{\xi+}(x_-)\xi_C \\ &= \bar{\xi}_C Y_{\xi+}^{\dagger}(x_-) \left[n_- \partial - ieQ_{\xi} n_- A_C - ieQ_{\xi} n_- A_s(x_-) \right] Y_{\xi+}(x_-)\xi_C \\ &= \bar{\xi}_C Y_{\xi+}^{\dagger}(x_-) Y_{\xi+}(x_-) \left[n_- \partial - ieQ_{\xi} n_- A_C \right] \xi_C \\ &= \bar{\xi}_C \left[n_- \partial - ieQ_{\xi} n_- A_C \right] \xi_C \end{split}$$

$SCET_I$ operators

We have seen that hard-collinear quark leads to power-enhancement Hence, we match weak EFT operators on SCET operator with hard-collinear quark field

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{9} = \left(\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}b\right)\sum_{\ell}\left(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}\ell\right)$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{9}^{I}(s,t) = g_{\mu\nu}^{\perp}\left[\overline{\chi}_{C}(sn_{+})\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}P_{L}h_{v}(0)\right]\left[\bar{\ell}_{C}(tn_{+})\gamma_{\perp}^{\nu}\ell_{\overline{C}}(0)\right]$$

Matching equation

$$Q_9 = \int ds dt H_9(s,t) \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_9^{\mathrm{I}}(s,t)$$

At leading order

$$H_{9}(s,t) = \delta(s)\,\delta(t)$$

Note that hard-collinear and hard-anticollinear field interact only through soft interaction which can be removed at leading power through decoupling transformation

$\mathrm{SCET}_{\mathrm{I}}$ diagrams

Computing following loops we can reproduce the result obtained by expansion by regions



Soft-collinear power-suppressed interaction in $SCET_I$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi q}^{(1)} = \bar{q} \, W_c^{\dagger} i \not\!\!D_{\perp} \, \xi - \bar{\xi} \, i \not\!\!\!D_{\perp} W_c q$$

[M. Beneke, M. Garny, R. Szafron, J. Wang, JHEP 1811 (2018) 112 contains Feynman rules for SCET]

$$\sum_{\xi}^{\bar{q}} \sum_{p}^{p'} \underbrace{\overset{\leftarrow k}{\longrightarrow}}_{p} a_{c}^{\mu a} \quad ig_{s}t^{a} \begin{cases} 0 & \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{0}) \\ \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} - \frac{\not{p}_{\perp}}{n_{+}p}n_{+}^{\mu} & \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \end{cases}$$

Quark propagator

$$\frac{in_+k}{k^2+i\varepsilon}\frac{n_-}{2}$$

$\mathrm{SCET}_{\mathrm{II}}$

Hard-collinear modes are integrated-out \to soft and collinear modes do not interact (because $p_{\rm c}+p_{\rm s}\sim p_{\rm hc})$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_{m\chi}^{A1}(v) &= \left[\overline{q}_s(vn_-)Y(vn_-,0)\frac{\not{h}_-}{2}P_Lh_v(0)\right] \left[Y_+^{\dagger}Y_-\right](0) \left[\overline{\ell}_c(0)(4\,m_\ell P_R)\,\ell_{\overline{c}}(0)\right] \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{A}\chi}^{B1}(v,t) &= \left[\overline{q}_s(vn_-)Y(vn_-,0)\frac{\not{h}_-}{2}P_Lh_v(0)\right] \left[Y_+^{\dagger}Y_-\right](0) \left[\overline{\ell}_c(0)(2\mathcal{A}_{c\perp}(tn_+)P_R)\ell_{\overline{c}}(0)\right] \end{aligned}$$

In practice it is more convenient to work with Fourier-transformed operator

$$\mathcal{J}_{i}^{A1}\left(\omega\right) = \int \frac{dv}{2\pi} \, e^{i\,\omega\,v} \, \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_{i}^{A1}(v),$$

- Matching coefficient depend on ω which is just n_{-l_q} in the expansion by regions!
- Soft Wilson lines $\left[Y_{+}^{\dagger}Y_{-}\right](0)$ appear after decoupling soft photons from the leptons

Evaluate matrix element in SCET_I

$$\left\langle A\left(p'\right)\ell\left(p\right)\overline{\ell}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\right|\int d^{4}xT\left\{\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(u\right),\mathcal{L}_{\xi q}^{\left(1\right)}\left(x\right)\right\}\left|b\left(p_{b}\right)q\left(l_{q}\right)\right\rangle$$

Evaluate matrix element in SCET_I

$$\left\langle A\left(p'\right)\ell\left(p\right)\overline{\ell}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\right|\int d^{4}xT\left\{\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(u\right),\mathcal{L}_{\xi q}^{\left(1\right)}\left(x\right)\right\}\left|b\left(p_{b}\right)q\left(l_{q}\right)\right\rangle$$

which gives

$$\sim \left[\overline{u_c}\left(p\right)\gamma_{\mu}^{\perp}v\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\right] \left[\overline{v}\left(l_q\right)\left(ieQ_q\right) \not \epsilon_{\perp}\left(p'\right)\frac{\not h_{-}}{2}\frac{-i}{n_{-}l_q}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}P_L u_h\left(p_b\right)\right]$$

Evaluate matrix element in SCET_{I}

$$\left\langle A\left(p'\right)\ell\left(p\right)\overline{\ell}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\right|\int d^{4}xT\left\{\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(u\right),\mathcal{L}_{\xi q}^{\left(1\right)}\left(x\right)\right\}\left|b\left(p_{b}\right)q\left(l_{q}\right)\right\rangle$$

which gives

$$\sim \left[\overline{u_c}\left(p\right)\gamma_{\mu}^{\perp}v\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\right] \left[\overline{v}\left(l_q\right)\left(ieQ_q\right) \not \in_{\perp}\left(p'\right)\frac{\not n_{-}}{2}\frac{-i}{n_{-}l_q}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}P_L u_h\left(p_b\right)\right]$$

On the other hand, the same matrix element for $SCET_{II}$ operator gives

$$\sim \delta(\omega - n_{-}l_{q}) \left[\overline{u_{c}}(p) \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp} v(p_{\overline{\ell}}) \right] \left[\overline{v}(l_{q})(ie) \not\in_{\perp} \left(p' \right) \frac{\not h_{-}}{2} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_{L} u_{h}(p_{b}) \right]$$

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ · りへで 34/41

Evaluate matrix element in SCET_{I}

$$\left\langle A\left(p'\right)\ell\left(p\right)\overline{\ell}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\right|\int d^{4}xT\left\{\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(u\right),\mathcal{L}_{\xi q}^{\left(1\right)}\left(x\right)\right\}\left|b\left(p_{b}\right)q\left(l_{q}\right)\right\rangle$$

which gives

$$\sim \left[\overline{u_c}\left(p\right)\gamma_{\mu}^{\perp}v\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\right] \left[\overline{v}\left(l_q\right)\left(ieQ_q\right) \not \epsilon_{\perp}\left(p'\right)\frac{\not h_{-}}{2}\frac{-i}{n_{-}l_q}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}P_L u_h\left(p_b\right)\right]$$

On the other hand, the same matrix element for $SCET_{II}$ operator gives

$$\sim \delta(\omega - n_{-}l_{q}) \left[\overline{u_{c}}(p) \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp} v(p_{\overline{\ell}}) \right] \left[\overline{v}(l_{q})(ie) \not\in_{\perp} \left(p' \right) \frac{\not h_{-}}{2} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_{L} u_{h}(p_{b}) \right]$$

Thus matching coefficient is

$$\sim \frac{Q_q}{\omega} \sim \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

Note that the quark current is the same as in the collinear region. The rest of the diagram can be reproduced by taking the collinear matrix element

Evaluate matrix element in $SCET_I$

$$\left\langle A\left(p'\right)\ell\left(p\right)\overline{\ell}\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\right|\int d^{4}xT\left\{\mathcal{O}_{9}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(u\right),\mathcal{L}_{\xi q}^{\left(1\right)}\left(x\right)\right\}\left|b\left(p_{b}\right)q\left(l_{q}\right)\right\rangle$$

which gives

$$\sim \left[\overline{u_c}\left(p\right)\gamma_{\mu}^{\perp}v\left(p_{\overline{\ell}}\right)\right] \left[\overline{v}\left(l_q\right)\left(ieQ_q\right) \not =_{\perp}\left(p'\right)\frac{\not =_{\perp}}{2}\frac{-i}{n_{-}l_q}\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu}P_L u_h\left(p_b\right)\right]$$

On the other hand, the same matrix element for $SCET_{II}$ operator gives

$$\sim \delta(\omega - n_{-}l_{q}) \left[\overline{u_{c}}(p) \gamma_{\mu}^{\perp} v(p_{\overline{\ell}}) \right] \left[\overline{v}(l_{q})(ie) \not\in_{\perp} \left(p' \right) \frac{\not h_{-}}{2} \gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} P_{L} u_{h}(p_{b}) \right]$$

Thus matching coefficient is

$$\sim \frac{Q_q}{\omega} \sim \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

Note that the quark current is the same as in the collinear region. The rest of the diagram can be reproduced by taking the collinear matrix element We turned n_l_q into ω . Where are the hadronic effects?
Hadronic matrix elements and double logarithmic resummation



The full amplitude is a convolution of a matching coefficient $\sim 1/\omega$ with the matrix element of SCET_II operator

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left\langle \ell_c \bar{\ell}_{\bar{c}} \middle| \mathcal{J}_i(\omega) \middle| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle$$

 $= \left\langle \ell_c \right| \text{[collinear]} \left| 0 \right\rangle \left\langle \overline{\ell_c} \right| \text{[anticollinear]} \left| 0 \right\rangle \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left\langle 0 \right| \text{[soft]} (\omega) \left| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle$

The full amplitude is a convolution of a matching coefficient $\sim 1/\omega$ with the matrix element of SCET_II operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &\sim \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left\langle \ell_c \bar{\ell}_{\overline{c}} \middle| \mathcal{J}_i(\omega) \middle| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \ell_c \middle| \text{ [collinear] } \middle| 0 \right\rangle \left\langle \overline{\ell}_{\overline{c}} \middle| \text{ [anticollinear] } \middle| 0 \right\rangle \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left\langle 0 \middle| \text{ [soft] } (\omega) \middle| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

In QCD we define the B-meson light-cone distribution amplitude (LCDA) $\phi_+(\omega)$ which is a universal, non-perturbative object ("wave-function of the quark") defined as a non-local soft matrix element

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \overline{q}_s(vn_-) Y(vn_-, 0) \not n_- \gamma_5 h_v(0) \left| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle \equiv -i f_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \phi_+(\omega) \right\rangle$$

The full amplitude is a convolution of a matching coefficient $\sim 1/\omega$ with the matrix element of SCET_II operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &\sim \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \langle \ell_c \bar{\ell}_{\overline{c}} | \mathcal{J}_i(\omega) | \overline{B}_q(p) \rangle \\ &= \langle \ell_c | \text{ [collinear] } | 0 \rangle \langle \bar{\ell}_{\overline{c}} | \text{ [anticollinear] } | 0 \rangle \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \langle 0 | \text{ [soft] } (\omega) | \overline{B}_q(p) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

In QCD we define the B-meson light-cone distribution amplitude (LCDA) $\phi_+(\omega)$ which is a universal, non-perturbative object ("wave-function of the quark") defined as a non-local soft matrix element

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \overline{q}_s(vn_-) Y(vn_-, 0) \not n_- \gamma_5 h_v(0) \left| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle \equiv -i f_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \phi_+(\omega) \right\rangle$$

Neglecting QED corrections of LCDA, the amplitude is proportional to (logarithmic) moments of LCDA

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \phi_+(\omega)$$

The full amplitude is a convolution of a matching coefficient $\sim 1/\omega$ with the matrix element of SCET_II operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &\sim \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left\langle \ell_c \bar{\ell}_{\overline{c}} \middle| \mathcal{J}_i(\omega) \middle| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \ell_c \middle| \left[\text{collinear} \right] \middle| 0 \right\rangle \left\langle \bar{\ell}_{\overline{c}} \middle| \left[\text{anticollinear} \right] \middle| 0 \right\rangle \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left\langle 0 \middle| \left[\text{soft} \right] (\omega) \middle| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

In QCD we define the B-meson light-cone distribution amplitude (LCDA) $\phi_+(\omega)$ which is a universal, non-perturbative object ("wave-function of the quark") defined as a non-local soft matrix element

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \overline{q}_s(vn_-) Y(vn_-, 0) \not n_- \gamma_5 h_v(0) \left| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle \equiv -i f_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \phi_+(\omega) \right\rangle$$

Neglecting QED corrections of LCDA, the amplitude is proportional to (logarithmic) moments of LCDA

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \phi_+(\omega)$$

This is justified for power-enhanced corrections since they are already α suppressed. What if we want to go beyond leading order in α or consider non-enhanced corrections?

Hadronic matrix element

Soft matrix element

$$\frac{\langle 0 | \overline{q}_s(vn_-)Y(vn_-,0) \not p_- \gamma_5 h_v(0) [Y_+^{\dagger} Y_-](0) | \overline{B}_q(p) \rangle}{\langle 0 | [Y_+^{\dagger} Y_-](0) | 0 \rangle}$$

$$\equiv -i \mathscr{F}_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \Phi_+(\omega)$$

- $\Phi_+(\omega)$ is the B-meson light-cone distribution amplitude generalized to QED for $B_s \to \ell^+ \ell^- C_9$ contribution
- ▶ Wilson lines $[Y_+^{\dagger} Y_-](0)$ are process dependent, consequence of soft photon decoupling
- $Y(vn_-, 0)$ is a gauge link generalized to QED. It ensures gauge invariance and is constructed from soft Wilson lines
- ▶ We also need to define new, process dependent decay constant

$$\frac{\left\langle 0 \big| \overline{q}_s(0) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 h_v(0) \left[Y_+^{\dagger} Y_- \right](0) \big| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle}{\left\langle 0 \big| \left[Y_+^{\dagger} Y_- \right](0) \big| 0 \right\rangle} = i \mathscr{F}_{B_q} m_{B_q} v^{\mu},$$

Factor $\langle 0 | [Y_+^{\dagger} Y_-](0) | 0 \rangle$ is introduced to properly subtract IR divergences.

Hadronic matrix element process dependence

If we consider $B_s \to \gamma \gamma$ or $B_s \to \nu \overline{\nu}$

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \overline{q}_s(vn_-) Y(vn_-, 0) \not\!\!/_{-} \gamma_5 h_v(0) \left| \overline{B}_q(p) \right\rangle \equiv -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) \right\rangle d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} m_{B_q} m_{B_q} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \, \Phi^0_+(\omega) d\omega = -i \mathscr{F}^0_{B_q} m_{B_q} m_{B_q$$

no extra Wilson lines appear

For charged meson decay, we would define

$$\frac{\left\langle 0 \left| \vec{q}_{s}^{\prime}(vn_{-}) \tilde{Y}(vn_{-},0) \#_{-} \gamma_{5} h_{v}(0) Y_{+}(0)^{\dagger} \right| \overline{B}_{u}(p) \right\rangle}{\left\langle 0 \left| Y_{v}(0) Y_{+}^{\dagger}(0) \right| 0 \right\rangle} \equiv -i \mathscr{F}_{B_{u}}^{\pm} m_{B_{u}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \, e^{-i\omega v} \Phi_{+}^{\pm}(\omega),$$

where $Y_v(0)$ carries total charge of the quarks.

At leading logarithmic accuracy we can still resum the QED logs without knowing QED corrections to the LCDA

Cusp anomalous dimension and resummation

For non-enhanced amplitude perform tree-level matching on SCET_{I} operator

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_m = m_\ell \left[\overline{q}_s(0) \, P_R \, h_v(0) \right] \left[\overline{\ell}_C(0) \, \gamma_5 \, \ell_{\overline{C}}(0) \right],$$

with matching coefficient

$$H_m(\mu_b) = \mathcal{N} \, \frac{2 \, C_{10}(\mu_b)}{m_b}$$

and then we match on $SCET_{II}$ operator

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_m^{A1} = m_\ell \Big[\overline{q}_s(0) P_R h_v(0) \Big] \Big[Y_+^{\dagger} Y_- \Big](0) \Big[\overline{\ell}_c(0) \gamma_5 \, \ell_{\overline{c}}(0) \Big]$$

which has the same Wilson coefficient SCET operators typically have cusp anomalous dimension

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}H_m(\mu) = \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}\ln\frac{m_{B_q}}{\mu}H_m(\mu)$$

Resummation

The RGE at LL reads

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}H_m(\mu) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{\pi} 2Q_\ell^2 \ln \frac{m_{B_q}}{\mu}H_m(\mu)$$

Similar cusp anomalous dimension appear also for power-enhanced operators

$$H_m(\mu) = H_m(\mu_b) \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{\pi} Q_\ell^2 \ln^2 \frac{\mu_b}{\mu_c}\right]$$

This can be combined with ultra-soft photon correction (evolved to the collinear scale!), at the level of the decay width to give

$$\Gamma[B_q \to \mu\bar{\mu}](\Delta E) = \Gamma^{(0)}[B_q \to \mu\bar{\mu}] \left(\frac{2\Delta E}{m_{B_q}}\right)^{-\frac{2\alpha_{\rm em}}{\pi} \left(1 + \ln\frac{m_{\mu}^2}{m_{B_q}^2}\right)}$$

Summary

- Mesons are not point-like, eikonal approximation is not enough because there can be large virtual corrections. We need to include QED corrections which depend on the structure of the meson.
- Method of regions allows to identify relevant physical degrees of freedom and determine that size of the corrections
- ▶ EFT is needed to properly define hadronic matrix elements, and allow to perform resummation (both QED and QCD)

Method of regions and EFT approach allow to quantify the error related to yet unevaluated corrections