

On the QED effects on R_K and R_{K^*}

based on 1605.07633 in collaboration with G.Isidori and A.Pattori

Marzia Bordone Universität Siegen

GDR-InF workshop: QED corrections to (semi-) leptonic B decays 09.07.2019 Which are the sources of LFUV contributions to $R_{K^{(*)}}$ in the SM?

- kinematics and form factor effects $\sim rac{m_\ell^2}{a^2}$
- naive estimation of QED corrections $\sim \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \log^2 \left(\frac{m_\ell^2}{q^2} \right)$ [T.Huber, T.Hurth, E.Lunghi T.Huber, E.Lunghi, M.Misiak, D.Wyler]
- interplay between kinematic effects and QED corrections

Can we trust the $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3}/10^{-4})$ uncertainties that are quoted in the literature?

semi-analytic calculation of radiative corrections

Calculation setup for the region $q^2 \in [1, 6]$ GeV²

- $B \to K^*\ell\ell(\gamma)$ and $B \to K\ell\ell(\gamma)$ decays can be treated in complete analogy (NB: real+virtual QED effects \Rightarrow IR safe observables)
- limit $m_\ell^2 \ll q^2$
- interested to extract log-enhanced terms $\sim \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{m_{\ell}^2}{q^2}\right)$ and $\sim \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \log^2\left(\frac{m_{\ell}^2}{q^2}\right)$
 - since they depend on m_ℓ they can be the only terms responsible of LFU violation
 - can be extracted from term associated with collinear and soft divergences due to the photon emission
- neglect $\mathcal{O}(\alpha/\pi)$ finite corrections (~ 0.2%)
- radiation from meson leg is negligible (not log-enhanced)

Calculation setup for the region $q^2 \in [1, 6]$ GeV²

- $B \to K^*\ell\ell(\gamma)$ and $B \to K\ell\ell(\gamma)$ decays can be treated in complete analogy (NB: real+virtual QED effects \Rightarrow IR safe observables)
- limit $m_\ell^2 \ll q^2$
- interested to extract log-enhanced terms $\sim \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{m_{\ell}^2}{q^2}\right)$ and $\sim \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \log^2\left(\frac{m_{\ell}^2}{q^2}\right)$
 - since they depend on m_ℓ they can be the only terms responsible of LFU violation
 - can be extracted from term associated with collinear and soft divergences due to the photon emission
- neglect $\mathcal{O}(\alpha/\pi)$ finite corrections (~ 0.2%)
- radiation from meson leg is negligible (not log-enhanced)

Main purpose: understand if QED effects are correctly taken in account in the experimental analysis + estimate residual th. error due to unknown finite corrections

 $\omega(x,x_\ell)$: probability density function that a dilepton system retains a fraction \sqrt{x} of its original invariant mass q_0^2 after bremstrahlung

$$\omega(x, x_{\ell}, \theta_K) = \omega_1(x, x_{\ell}, \theta_K)\theta(1 - x - x_*) + \omega_2(x, x_{\ell}, x_*)\delta(1 - x)$$

ω₁: real emission

- $x = q^2/q_0^2$ • $x_\ell = m_\ell^2/q_0^2$
- x_{*}: IR regulator
- θ_K : angle between $K^{(*)}$ and the photon

 $\omega(x,x_\ell)$: probability density function that a dilepton system retains a fraction \sqrt{x} of its original invariant mass q_0^2 after bremstrahlung

$$\omega(x, x_{\ell}, \theta_K) = \omega_1(x, x_{\ell}, \theta_K)\theta(1 - x - x_*) + \omega_2(x, x_{\ell}, x_*)\delta(1 - x)$$

- ω₁: real emission
- $\omega_2 {\rm :}$ soft emission and virtual corrections, obtained from

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}\cos\theta_{K} \int_{2x_{\ell}}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \,\,\omega(x, x_{\ell}, \theta_{K}) = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)$$

• $x = q^2/q_0^2$

•
$$x_\ell = m_\ell^2 / q_0^2$$

- x_{*}: IR regulator
- θ_K : angle between $K^{(*)}$ and the photon

Implementation of the radiator into the non radiative spectrum

Double-differential decay width

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}q_0^2\mathrm{d}x}\left(B\to K\ell\ell(\gamma)\right) = \mathcal{F}_K^{(0)}(q_0^2)\omega(x,x_\ell,\theta_K)$$

 $\mathcal{F}_{K}^{(0)}(q^{2})$: non radiative spectrum of the decay $B \to K \ell \ell$ To obtain the radiative-spectrum we need to perform the following convolution

$$\mathcal{F}_{K}^{\ell}(q^{2}) = \int_{q^{2}}^{q_{0,\max}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}q_{0}^{2}}{q_{0}^{2}} \ \mathcal{F}_{K}^{(0)}(q_{0}^{2}) \ \omega\left(\frac{q^{2}}{q_{0}^{2}}, \frac{2m_{\ell}^{2}}{q_{0}^{2}}, \theta_{K}\right)$$

where the kinematical region of integration depends on experimental cuts, namely $m_B^{\rm rec}$

Non-perturbative spectrum

$$C_9(q^2) = C_9^{\text{pert}} + \kappa_\Psi \frac{q^2}{q^2 - m_\Psi^2 + im_\Psi \Gamma_\Psi}$$

•
$$C_9^{\mathsf{pert}}$$
 ensures the behaviour at low q^2 region

- BW reproduces the presence of $J/\Psi,\,\kappa_{\Psi}$ normalised to ${\cal B}(B\to K^{(*)}J/\Psi)$
- relative phase between $C_9^{\rm pert}$ and BW doesn't affect the result
- we do not claim this is the "true" shape of the resonance, but still it is suitable toy to study the behaviour around the J/Ψ
- interference not included in PHOTOS-based models

$m_B^{ m rec}$: reconstructed mass of the B meson from charged tracks



• Key-variable: $m_B^{\rm rec}$, that determines the size of the effect of radiation we need to take in account

$m_B^{ m rec}$: reconstructed mass of the B meson from charged tracks



- Key-variable: $m_B^{\rm rec}$, that determines the size of the effect of radiation we need to take in account
- even with the looser cut $m_B^{\rm rec} = 4.880~{\rm GeV}$ the tail is safely above the interesting region $[1,6]~{\rm GeV}^2$

 $B \to K\ell\ell(\gamma)$ for 1 GeV² $\leq q^2 \leq 6 \text{ GeV}^2$



$m_B^{ m rec}$	$\ell = e$	$\ell = \mu$
$4.880 {\rm GeV}$	-7.60%	-1.8%
$5.175~{\rm GeV}$	-16.9%	-4.6%

 radiative correction can be sizable $B \to K \ell \ell(\gamma)$ for 1 GeV² $\leq q^2 \leq 6 \text{ GeV}^2$



$m_B^{ m rec}$	$\ell = e$	$\ell = \mu$
$4.880 {\rm GeV}$	-7.60%	-1.8%
$5.175~{\rm GeV}$	-16.9%	-4.6%

- radiative correction can be sizable
- due to the cuts applied in the analysis the overall effect is less important

 $B \to K\ell\ell(\gamma)$ for 1 GeV² $\leq q^2 \leq 6 \text{ GeV}^2$



$m_B^{ m rec}$	$\ell = e$	$\ell = \mu$
$4.880 {\rm GeV}$	-7.60%	-1.8%
$5.175~{\rm GeV}$	-16.9%	-4.6%

- radiative correction can be sizable
- due to the cuts applied in the analysis the overall effect is less important

- The estimate effect on R_K is: $\Delta R_K = 3\%$
- The effect is the same for R_{K*}
- Most important, we are in agreement at the ‰level with PHOTOS-based signal model
- The shift has already been taken in account in the analysis
- We associate a conservative error of ± 0.01

$q^2 \leq 1 ~{ m GeV}^2$

- 1. Kinematic effect are non universal for electron and muons and they may cause distortion
 - the radiator for QED corrections must include the complete mass dependence
 - the error due to the form factors is not negligible
- 2. Light-quark resonances $(\eta, f_0, ...)$ provide non-bremsstrahlung terms not included in PHOTOS-based signal model



$q^2 \leq 1 \,\, { m GeV}^2$

- 1. Kinematic effect are non universal for electron and muons and they may cause distortion
 - the radiator for QED corrections must include the complete mass dependence
 - the error due to the form factors is not negligible
- 2. Light-quark resonances $(\eta, f_0, ...)$ provide non-bremsstrahlung terms not included in PHOTOS-based signal model

Main results:

- The theory uncertainty on R_{K^*} increases to a few %
- $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$ negative shift in R_{K^*}



Non-bremsstrahlung effects



- The radiative tails is modified by the non-bremsstrahlung (direct emission) terms
- The effect is enhanced for the electron, due to kinematic effects and the cuts on m_{B}^{rec}
- Potentially sizeable effects due to

$$\mathcal{B}(B \to K^* \eta (\to e^+ e^- \gamma)) \sim 30\% \mathcal{B}(B \to K^* e^+ e^-, q^2 < 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2)$$



Given the cuts on q^2 we estimate a shift of $\Delta R_{K^*}\sim -0.017$ to which we assign an error of $\mathcal{O}(100\%)$

 $R_{K^*}[0.045, 1.1]^{SM} = 0.906 \pm 0.020_{QED} \pm 0.020_{FF}$

Non-bremsstrahlung effects



- The non-bremsstrahlung effects lead to a non-vanishing contribution to $R_{K^{\ast}}$ only near the threshold
- If we look at the region $q^2>0.1~{\rm GeV}^2$ all these effects are negligible, and

$$R_{K^*}[0.1, 1.1]^{SM} = 0.983 \pm 0.010_{QED} \pm 0.010_{FF}$$

We recommend for future analysis to take in account the $q^2 \in [0.1, 1.1]$ GeV²

• In the central region $q^2 \in [1.1,6]~{\rm GeV}^2$

 $R_{K^*} = 1.00 \pm 0.01$

where the uncertainty is driven by QED corrections

• In the
$$q^2 \in [0.045, 1.1]$$
 GeV² region

 $R_{K^*} = 0.91 \pm 0.03$

where the central value is shifted by the presence of non-bremsstrahlung contribution due to light resonances and the uncertainty is due to QED and non vanishing form factor effects

• Implementation of a "theory" Montecarlo

• Prediction for angular observables in $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$