Les expériences du future: détecteurs

1

École de GIF 2019, 2-6 Septembre 2019 École polytechnique - Palaiseau D. Contardo - IP2I CNRS/IN2P3

Programme scientifique de l'école

" Question ouvertes en physique des particules "

- 50 ans de l'école de Gif, J. Iliopoulos
- État de l'art expérimental et théorique, J. Zinn-Justin
- Questions ouvertes en physique des particlues, G. Servant
- Les expériences du futur:
 - Accélérateurs, Frank Zimmermann
 - Détecteurs, D. Contardo
 - Potentiel de physique, G. Hamel de Monchenault
- Évolution des outils:
 - Analyse par apprentissage automatique, D. Rousseau
 - Calcul parallèle et données massives, D. Chamont
- Les réponses venues d'ailleurs, C. Yèche

Future experiments: detectors

- Introduction
 - International context
 - General considerations to design a collider experiment
 - Upgrades of operating experiments and next generation at future colliders
- Detectors: designs and technologies, state of the art and trends for future
 - Tracking systems
 - Calorimeters
 - Gas detectors
 - Radiation detectors (RICH, TRT)
 - Minimum Ionizing Particle Time of Flight detectors
- Outlook
 - Some topics not covered
 - R&D programs

International context

International context: ESPP process

Process engaged by CERN to update the European Strategy for Particle Physics and make recommendations for the next generation of colliders

- Information available at: <u>https://cafpe.ugr.es/eppsu2019</u>
 - Inputs from all communities, Dec. 2018
 - Granada symposium, May 2019
 - Briefing book summary from Granada Symposium, Sept. 2019
- Strategy update submission to CERN Council, Mar. 2020
- Approval by CERN Council, May 2020

International context: future e-e collider proposals

 e^+-e^- collider projects, technologies and overall designs considered mature (R&D \simeq 5 years)

Linear Colliders: 1 Interaction Point

Circular Colliders: 2 Interaction Points







100 km, CEPC(ee) 240 GeV, SCRF

Technical dates, real start depends on approval time CepC planning seems optimistic

Planning (from projects)	СерС	ILC	CLIC	FCC-ee
Start construction	2022	2024	2026	2029
Start physics	2030	2033	2035	2039

https://indico.cern.ch/event/808335/contributions/3380835/attachments/1845110/3026925/Summary-Accelerators-Granada.pdf

International context: future h-h collider proposals

h-h collider projects, timeline/energy can be adjusted to SC magnet R&D progress



https://indico.cern.ch/event/808335/contributions/3365195/attachments/1842846/3038724/ESPP-Symp-2019-ay-190513bb.pdf

Future colliders: possible energy and luminosity scenarios



In above scenarios FCC-hh and SppC follow e-e colliders, they could technically start physics earlier

• FCC-hh luminosity scenario includes plan for concurrent operation with e-p, Pb-Pb, e-Pb beams

	√s	L /IP (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Int. L /IP(ab ⁻¹)	Comments
рр FCC-hh	100 TeV	5 x 10 ³⁴ 30	2.5 ab ⁻¹ 15	2+2 experiments Total ~ 25 years of operation
PbPb FCC-hh	√s _{NN} = 39 TeV	3 x 10 ²⁹	65 nb ⁻¹ /run	1 run = 1 month operation
<mark>ep</mark> Fcc-eh	3.5 TeV	1.5 10 ³⁴	2 ab ⁻¹	60 GeV e- from ERL Concurrent operation with pp for ~ 20 years
e-Pb Fcc-eh	$\sqrt{s_{eN}}$ = 2.2 TeV	0.5 10 ³⁴	1 fb ⁻¹	60 GeV e- from ERL Concurrent operation with PbPb

Future colliders: timeline considerations based on LHC experience



Future colliders: project timescales (few more scenarios)

Possible timelines for decisions



General considerations to design a collider experiment

Collider experiment design considerations: overall drivers

- Technical options and configurations that can achieve performance considering
 - Physics reach
 - Specific operating conditions
- Reliability, and margins of these technical options
 - For large scale production, long term operation
 - With respect to operation uncertainties, progress of data reconstruction & new physics ideas
- Redundancy in configuration
 - To mitigate possible unexpected failures or operation accidents
- Affordability and availability of the technical options and configurations
 - Within expected budget and schedule
- Maintainability and upgradability
 - During regular (Year End) accelerator Technical stops and Long shutdowns (need to consider activation in choice of detector materials, accessibility and appropriate tools...)

Collider experiment: generic design and specificities



- Magnet(s) choice drives the overall configuration of the detectors
- Choice of technologies is driven by performance optimization and experiment physics priorities
- An ideal detector is as hermetic as possible (crack-less), with largest possible η coverage and allowing maintenance where possible (inner/forward most radiation exposed areas particularly)

Collider experiments: from measurement to physics results



- 1) Tuning and calibration establish the detector response continuously according to beam, environmental conditions, and detector aging it requires instrumentation and monitoring tools
 - Operating parameters are tuned to maximize Signal to Noise ratio (S/N) (often at channel level)
 - Signal is calibrated across channels, they are aligned in space and time
- 2) Local reconstruction establish particle hits, it relates to intrinsic detector granularity and precision
 - Build cluster of channel's response considered to belong to one particle hit

Collider experiments: from measurements to physics results

- 3) Global reconstruction build particles (physics objects) it relates to technology performance, and detector configurations, individual and combined
 - Combine layers and all systems information to
 - Identify and isolate $e/\mu/\tau/\gamma$
 - Build hadron/gluon jets and total and missing energy (ν, dark matter)



4) Physics analyses establish the ultimate impact of detector performance on specific signals

- Reconstruct candidate decay of fundamental particles
 - W, Z, H, t, new particles...
- Extract signal from background, compare to theory and estimate uncertainties

Collider experiments: event selection and data reduction

To fit in computing capacity (storage and processing power), combinatorial background should be eliminated and physics events can be pre-scaled according to cross sections and interest, data from detectors can also be compressed in a two step process

1) Hardware trigger is needed if detectors can't be fully readout due to data transfer bandwidth limits (typically trackers)

- It is performed in electronics boards with FPGA to be fast $O(10) \mu s$, typical example based on CMS:
 - 40 MHz readout of selected calorimeters and muon information (all beam crossings but reduced granularity)
 - Simplified clusters and physics objects formation to select ≈ 100 kHz events for full readout applying energy threshold according to a pre-defined physics menu...
- 2) High level trigger select final events for registration and to reduce data size, typical example of CMS:
 - Online computing reconstruction in \simeq 30000 commercial CPU cores to select few kHz of events
 - Use all detectors (eg tracker) and full granularity data
 - Use still simplified reconstruction software to process events in O(100) ms



Collider experiments design considerations: simulation

- Design optimizations need careful simulation of their impact on performance up to physics result
 - Accurate description of detectors is needed in Monte Carlo GEANT simulation for design
 - and for corrections of apparatus effects in data (some default in description can be corrected with data)
- Accurate FLUKA simulation of beam backgrounds and irradiation (including beam pipe regions description) are mandatory to establish particle fluence and radiation dose maps



Description of the ATLAS Phase-2 tracker in simulation

Upgrades of operating collider experiments





CERN Large Hadron Collider

27 km accelerator ring p-p beams of 6.5 TeV collisions in 4 experiments And 6.5 x Z TeV Pb-Pb collisions in ALICE/ATLAS/CMS







LHC accelerator complex and beam conditions: past experience

Proton beams bunch trains are prepared in injection chain, accelerated to 6.5 TeV & collided every 25 ns in LHC



In 2018 LHC reached twice the original design luminosity at 2 x 10³⁴ cm² s⁻¹, with a record of 50% time available for physics data taking

Ideally x 2 design margins are needed for data rates and radiation tolerance It is not always possible w/o some performance degradation

$N_{events} = L \times \sigma \times \Delta t$

- L (luminosity) = $n_b N_b^2 f_{rev} / 4\pi \beta^* \varepsilon_n x R (cm^2 s^{-1})$
 - N_b/ϵ_n brightness is provided by injection chain
 - Beam focus β* and overlap R(Φ, β, ε_n, σ_n) at Interaction Point are provided by LHC)
- σ = cross section in cm⁻²
- Δt = time available for physics data taking (s)

Parameter	Design	2018
Bunch population N _b [10 ¹¹ p]	1.15	~1.2 (→ 1.4)
No. bunches per train	288	144
No. bunches	2808	2556
Emittance ε [mm mrad]	3.75	~2.2
Full crossing angle [µrad]	285	300 → 260
β* [cm]	55	$30 \rightarrow 27.5 \rightarrow 25$
Peak luminosity [10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]	1.0	~2

HL-LHC beam condition scenarios: critical parameters



Scenario	Nominal	(~140 PU)	Ultimate (~200 PU)			
	$L_{\rm int}$ [fb ⁻¹]	$ar{ ho}$ [mm ⁻¹]	$L_{\rm int}$ [fb ⁻¹]	$ar{ ho}$ [mm ⁻¹]		
Baseline	262	0.80	326	1.20		
8b+4e	199	0.82	243	1.17		
Flat	267	0.78	340	1.20		
No CC (flat)	249	1.03	293	1.58		

 $\bar{\rho}$ is an effective pile-up density integrated over luminous region and fill profiles. $L_{\rm int}$ [fb⁻¹] is estimated for 160 days operation, with a 50%-efficiency and a fill turn-around time ~ 1.5h.

HL-LHC beam condition scenarios: critical parameters



Luminosity is not limited by accelerator capability but by experiments ability to cope with collision pile-up It can be tuned to performance through the beam leveling process (all physics signals are not equally affected by PU) Elimination of collision pile-up needs detectors with high granularity and precision (including timing), and powerful tools

for hardware trigger and online/off-line event reconstruction



ATLAS and CMS experiments: different optimizations

ATLAS: 7000 t, Φ = 25 m, L = 45 m 0.5/1 T toroid barrel/endcap, 2T solenoid in ECAL cryostat



- Si-pixel, Si-strip, TRT tracker
- Liquid Argon sampling ECAL barrel & ECAL/HCAL endcaps
- Scintillating tiles + WLS + PM barrel HCAL
- Muons: DT-RPC / MDT-CSC-TGC in barrel/endcaps

CMS: 14000 t, Φ = 15 m, L = 30 m 3.8 T solenoid, Φ = 6 m, L = 13 m



- Si-pixel, Si-strip tracker
- Crystal homogenous ECAL endcap and barrel
- Scintillating tiles + WLS + HPD/PMT barrel/endcaps HCAL
- Si-strip pre-shower in front of ECAL endcap
- Muon: DT-RPC Barrel, CSC-RPC Endcap
- Cerenkov quartz fibers HCAL in forward region

ATLAS and CMS experiments: LS1 to LS2 upgrades

ATLAS: 7000 t, Φ = 25 m, L = 45 m 0.5/1 T toroid barrel/endcap, 2T solenoid in ECAL cryostat



- Si-pixel: one more barrel layer
- Liquid Argon new FE to provide full granularity in triggger Scintillating tiles + WLS + PM barrel HCAL
- Muons: new chambers at high η
- Trigger: new BE boards, hardware track trigger input in HLT

CMS: 14000 t, Φ = 15 m, L = 30 m 3.8 T solenoid, Φ = 6 m, L = 13 m



- Si-pixel replaced, one more layer/disk and new readout
- New SiPM photoconversion in HCAL
- Muon: new chambers at high $\boldsymbol{\eta}$
- Trigger: new BE boards and architecture (multiplexed)

ATLAS & CMS upgrades for HL-LHC: irradiation & particle rates

CMS fluence, rate, dose map for 5 x 10³⁴ cm² s⁻¹ and 3000 fb⁻¹ instantaneous/integrated luminosities



Upgrades

On-detector readout binary or with digitization and data compression increased bandwidth data transfer for full granularity readout in hardware trigger

New detectors probing current technology limits both for irradiation and data rates

CMS upgrades for HL-LHC: new systems



• Endcap layer: Low Gain Avalanche Diodes

27

• Extended coverage to $\eta \simeq 3.8$

ATLAS upgrades for HL-LHC: new systems

Trigger/DAQ https://cds.cern.ch/record/2285584

- Tracker readout at 1 MHz after 10 μs latency
- High Level Trigger input reduced to $\simeq 400 \text{ kHz}$ with track-trigger after $\simeq 30 \mu s$
- Register up to \simeq 10 kHz after HLT

Muon systems

https://cds.cern.ch/record/2285586

- New electronics
- New chambers in inner barrel
- Possible extension of coverage to η ~ 4

High Granularity Timing Detector https://cds.cern.ch/record/2623663

• Low Gain Avalanche Diodes $2.4 \lesssim \eta \lesssim 4$

New Tracker: <u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/2257755</u> <u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/2285585/</u>

- Rad. tolerant, high granularity and light
- Extended coverage to $\eta\simeq 4$

Liquid Argon and Tile calorimeter https://cds.cern.ch/record/2285583 https://cds.cern.ch/record/2285582

 New electronics for full granularity readout at 40 MHz

LHCb upgrades: new systems for installation during LS2

Collect 50 fb⁻¹ at 2 x 10³³ cm⁻² s⁻¹ in runs 3 and 4 (x 10 initial design luminosity)

- Software trigger operating at 40 MHz for 20 kHz of p-p events registered
- 4 TB/s (\simeq ATLAS/CMS at HL-LHC) to trigger computing farm





ALICE upgrades during LS2

Collect $\simeq 10 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ at 6 x 10²⁷ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (x 2 increase) in runs 3 and 4

 Register all Pb-Pb collisions ≈ 50 kHz with fast online calibration and reconstruction in FPGAs and GPUs for data compression, from 1 TBps to 50 GBps storage (≈ ATLAS/CMS at HL-LHC)



Belle-II upgrade at SuperKEKB

Collect $\simeq 50 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ (by 2027) at 8 x 10³⁵ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (x 40 KEKB)



Experiment concepts for future colliders

ILC, CLIC, FCC-ee, CepC: operation condition features

Data rates and irradiation are not an issue (orders of magnitude smaller than at LHC)

- Detectors can operate without trigger and relatively slow readout O(μs)
- CLIC is an exception needing $\simeq 1(5)$ ns precision in Calorimeter(Tracker) due to higher background
 - 100 kHz Z at Z-peak at FCC-ee could require on-line data compression
- At ILC and CLIC low frequency of bunch trains will allow power pulsing of electronics and airflow cooling
 - Challenges are in tracking and calorimetry precision

Beam parameters summary	IL	ILC CLIC			FCC-ee			СерС		
Energy (TeV)	0.25	0.5	0.38	1.5	3	0.091	0. 24	0.36	0.091	0.24
Luminosity (x 10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹) per IP	1.35	1.8	1.5	3.7	5.9	230	8.5	1.7	32	1.5
Bunch train frequency (Hz)	5	5		50						
Bunch separation (ns)	55	54	0.5		20	994	3000	25	680	
Number of bunches / train - beam	13	12	352 312		16640	393	48	12000	242	

Beam structure



ILC, CLIC, FCC-ee, CepC: detector concepts

Concepts are similar, optimized versus beam properties/background/maximum energy Supra-conducting solenoids 2 to 4 tesla, with sizes adapted to reach similar momentum precision Silicon and TPC/DC options for outer tracking and Dual and High Granularity options for calorimetry



FCC-hh - SPPC: operation condition features

- 30 GHz collisions, <1000> per bunch crossing \simeq 10 x data rates and 30 to 100¹ x irradiation at HL-LHC
- 100 MHz jets $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV} 400/120/11 \text{ kHz W/Z}/t$
- 200-300 TBps for Calo. & Muons, 800 TBps for Tracker (at BC rate) (~ x 50 LHC OL bandwidth for outer tracker) Challenges are in tracking, calorimetry and timing precision to mitigate collision pile-up in data transfer and event selection and reconstruction

Beam/collision parameters summary	HL-LHC	HE-LHC	FCC-hh	SppC	
Dipole field (T)	8	16	16	12	
Energy CM (TeV)	14	27	100	75	
Bunch spacing/rate (ns)/(MHz)	25/31.6	25/31.6	25/32.5	25	
Luminosity/IP (10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	5 (7.5)	16	30	10	
σ _{inel} (mb)	80	86	103	-	
Mean collisions/crossing (PU)	130 (200)	450	1000	300	
Line <pile-up> density (mm⁻¹)</pile-up>	1.2/1.8	3	8	-	
Time <pile-up> density (ps⁻¹)</pile-up>	0.3/0.3	1	2.4	-	
dN _{ch} /dη (η=0)	6	7.2	10.2	-	

1) From innermost barrel to forward calorimetry regions

FCC-hh, SppC: detector concept

Mix of ATLAS and CMS with size \simeq ATLAS

η coverage extended by 1-1.5 compared to LHC due energy boost \rightarrow up to O(x100) in irradiation



Some non-collider future experiments with challenging detectors

Lepton Flavor violation: MEG-II upgrade

- MEG-II is a detector at PSI for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$ decay measurement down to a 6 x 10⁻¹⁴ branching ratio
 - Start physics end 2019



Lepton Flavor violation: Mu3e upgrade

- Mu3e is a detector at PSI for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^- e^+$ decay measurement with acceptance down to 10 15 MeV
 - Start construction in 2020 and physics in 2022
 - First use of Monolithic Active pixels in HV-CMOS process¹, 4 layers, 80 x 80 μm² pixels, 0.1% X₀ per layer
 - Timing precision of 100(250) ps with scintillating fibers(tiles) + SiPM redout



Lepton Flavor violation: Mu2e and COMET experiment

- Mu2e is an experiment at FNAL for μ^2 + Al \rightarrow e² + Al measurement
 - Construction started, commissioning in 2022
 - Tracking with straw tubes Φ 5 mm, 100 μ m and 1ns resolutions
 - Homogenous calorimeter with CsI crystals in two disks, 10% energy resolution and 500 ps precision



- COMET is an experiment at JPARC with a similar concept as Mu2E
 - Construction started, 1st phase of data taking end 2020
 - Homogenous calorimeter with LYSO crystals

Neutrino experiments: Hyper-Kamiokande

- Hyper-Kamiokande, construction start in 2020 physics in 2027-28
 - 300 km from JPARC (Tokai, Japan) 650 m below surface
 - 60 m x 70 m, 260 ktons of water Cherenkov detector (x 10 Super-Kamiokande)
 - Inner detector with 40 000 PMT Φ = 50 cm, with improved PDE \simeq 30% and 2.6 ns time resolution, outer detector with 67000 PMT Φ = 20 cm









Neutrino experiments: Juno

- Jiangmen Underground Neutrino Observatory in China, start physics in 2021
 - 53 km from two nuclear power plant (under construction), 700 underground
 - 20 kt of liquid scintillator in a 35 m sphere
 - High energy resolution $\simeq 3\%$
 - Tracking veto , 3 layers of plastic scintillators
 - 35 ktv Water Cherenkov veto
 - 2000 PMT Φ 50 cm
 - Liquid scintillator readout with 75% coverage
 - 18000 PMT Φ 50 cm
 - MCP and Dynode
 - 25000 PMT Φ 7.5 cm



Neutrino experiments: ANNIE upgrade

- Accelerator Neutrino Neutron Interaction Experiment at FNAL Booster Neutrino Beam
 - 26 ton Gadolinium¹⁾ water loaded Cerenkov detector
 - Will be equipped with Large Area Picosecond Photodetectors
 - 20 x 20 cm² with Single Photon Time Resolution \simeq 60 ps, 1 cm position resolution



1) for neutron capture

Neutrino experiments: Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE)⁴⁴





- 4 x 12 kt LAr TPC 1.5 km underground, first module in 2024
 - 1 single and 3 double phase modules



- Multi Purpose Detector: HP gas Ar TPC + ECAL+ magnet
- 3D scintillating tracking

Neutrino experiments: Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE)⁴⁵

Double phase TPC, vertical drift 12 m

- TPC provide tracking and calorimetry
 - High field from grid at the liquid-vapor interface extract electron toward LEM amplification
- Scintillation light in the UV range is wave length shifted to visible at the surface of PMT to provide trigger t₀



6 x 6 x 6 m³ Dual Phase prototype ProtoDUNE is being commissioned at CERN

Dark Matter experiments: DarkSide-20k, DARWIN

Dark Matter search at Gran Sasso National Laboratory (Italy) - physics in 2022

- Double phase LAr TPC of 50 t
- 30 m² of cryogenic SiPMs readout



DARk matter WImp search with liquid xenoN (DARWIN) project

• Double phase LXe TPC of 40 t readout with PMTs

Summary before detector discussion

Overview of technologies and their application in detectors

A detector is particle physics interaction in matter, material science for production of signal and conversion in sensitive elements, electronics for amplification of signal and for transfer and processing, mechanics for structures, operation services and control

Technology/Detector	Tracker	Calorimeter	Muon	Neutrino/Dark Matter	PID Energy loss	Time of Flight		
Silicon sensors: Planar, 3D, MAPs, LGADS	Si-Strips/Pixel	Si-pads in HGC sampling			Low resolution	LGADs, Si-multilayers in HGC for shower deposit		
Gas detectors: CSC, DT, RPC, TPC, DC, MPGD (GEM/MicroMegas/THGEM)	TPC with MPGD readout, Drift Chambers	RPC/MM in Hadron HGC sampling	CSC, DT, RPC, GEM, MicroMegas	Surrounding detctors, Noble Gas liquide TPC	TPCs with MPGD readout	Multilayer RPCs, MM		
Scintillators: plastic/liquid/crystals	Fibres	Tiles/fibers in homogenous, sampling, dual readout		Liquid scintillator, tiles in some systems	Possible	Single or multilayers in Hadron HGC		
Liquid noble gas: Ar Kr, Xe		Liquid Ar sampling		Noble Gas liquid TPCs				
Cerenkov: Quartz, silica		Quartz fibers in dual readout		Pure water detector	RICH, TOP	Quartz fibers		
aerogel, pure water		calorimeters						
Photosensors: APDs, HPDs, SiPMs, PMTs		Depending on sensitive elements, B-Field		SiPMs, PMTs		Depending on sensitive elements, B-Field		
Front-End ASICS	High density, low power							
Data Transfer	High bandwidth, clock timing precision							
Back-End electronic	High bandwidth and processing power							
Power distribution	in situ DCDC conversion, serial distribution for Pixels, switchable for low frequency beams (ILC/CLIC))							
Mechanics	Ultralight and stable	Massive		Very massive and large				
Cooling	\simeq -30/40° operation	\simeq -30/40 $^{\circ}$ operation		Cryogeny		\simeq -30/40 $^{\circ}$ operation		