50 ans de l'école de Gif

École Polytechnique, Sept. 2019

Jean Iliopoulos

ENS, Paris

50 ans de l'école de Gif

École Polytechnique, Sept. 2019

Jean Iliopoulos

ENS, Paris

HAPPY BIRTHDAY

FIFTY YEARS THAT CHANGED OUR PHYSICS

A most exciting and rewarding period in Physics.

Many Schools and Meetings in High Energy Physics were established in France during the fifties and the sixties.

- Les Houches Summer School
- Cargège Summer School
- The Moriond winter meetings
- The School at Gif

. . .

Many Schools and Meetings in High Energy Physics were established in France during the fifties and the sixties.

- Les Houches Summer School
- Cargège Summer School
- The Moriond winter meetings
- The School at Gif

They were often substitutes for the lack of specialised education in the French University system, but they also reflected the rapid evolution of the field.

Many Schools and Meetings in High Energy Physics were established in France during the fifties and the sixties.

- Les Houches Summer School
- Cargège Summer School
- The Moriond winter meetings
- The School at Gif

. . .

They were often substitutes for the lack of specialised education in the French University system, but they also reflected the rapid evolution of the field.

They followed different trajectories and evolved differently.

Initially it aimed at teaching theoretical High Energy Physics to young experimentalists, however the choice of the subjects was sometimes strange. It reflected the confusion of the community : a revolution was taking place, but it took some time for people to realise it.

Initially it aimed at teaching theoretical High Energy Physics to young experimentalists, however the choice of the subjects was sometimes strange. It reflected the confusion of the community : a revolution was taking place, but it took some time for people to realise it.

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

1969 : SU(3), Multiperipheral Models, Regge Poles, ...

Initially it aimed at teaching theoretical High Energy Physics to young experimentalists, however the choice of the subjects was sometimes strange. It reflected the confusion of the community : a revolution was taking place, but it took some time for people to realise it.

1969 : SU(3), Multiperipheral Models, Regge Poles, ...

1970 : Particle production at H.E., Strong Int. Thermodynamics, Peripherality and Duality, **Spin**??

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

Initially it aimed at teaching theoretical High Energy Physics to young experimentalists, however the choice of the subjects was sometimes strange. It reflected the confusion of the community : a revolution was taking place, but it took some time for people to realise it.

1969 : SU(3), Multiperipheral Models, Regge Poles, ...

1970 : Particle production at H.E., Strong Int. Thermodynamics, Peripherality and Duality, Spin ??

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

1971 : A most strange session Mathematical Physics ...

Initially it aimed at teaching theoretical High Energy Physics to young experimentalists, however the choice of the subjects was sometimes strange. It reflected the confusion of the community : a revolution was taking place, but it took some time for people to realise it.

1969 : SU(3), Multiperipheral Models, Regge Poles, ...

1970 : Particle production at H.E., Strong Int. Thermodynamics, Peripherality and Duality, **Spin**??

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

1971 : A most strange session Mathematical Physics ...

Notorious absents : Weak Interactions, Neutrinos, CPV,

Initially it aimed at teaching theoretical High Energy Physics to young experimentalists, however the choice of the subjects was sometimes strange. It reflected the confusion of the community : a revolution was taking place, but it took some time for people to realise it.

1969 : SU(3), Multiperipheral Models, Regge Poles, ...

1970 : Particle production at H.E., Strong Int. Thermodynamics, Peripherality and Duality, **Spin**??

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

1971 : A most strange session Mathematical Physics ...

Notorious absents : Weak Interactions, Neutrinos, CPV,

1973 : The Standard Model ...

Initially it aimed at teaching theoretical High Energy Physics to young experimentalists, however the choice of the subjects was sometimes strange. It reflected the confusion of the community : a revolution was taking place, but it took some time for people to realise it.

1969 : SU(3), Multiperipheral Models, Regge Poles, ...

1970 : Particle production at H.E., Strong Int. Thermodynamics, Peripherality and Duality, **Spin**??

1971 : A most strange session Mathematical Physics ...

Notorious absents : Weak Interactions, Neutrinos, CPV,

1973 : The Standard Model ...

From this time on the picture changes : the courses cover all subjects in the main stream of Particle Physics.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

The analytic S-matrix theory The dominant subject

The analytic S-matrix theory The dominant subject

 Symmetries and Current Algebras, Weak Interactions and CP-violation
 Secondary subjects

- The analytic S-matrix theory The dominant subject
- Symmetries and Current Algebras, Weak Interactions and CP-violation
 Secondary subjects

Notice the absence of Quantum Field Theory A totally marginal subject

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ()

▶ The construction of the Standard Electroweak Model

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

► The construction of the Standard Electroweak Model

► The renormalisation group and QCD

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- ► The construction of the Standard Electroweak Model
- The renormalisation group and QCD
- ► The importance of anomalies

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- ► The construction of the Standard Electroweak Model
- The renormalisation group and QCD
- ► The importance of anomalies
- The need to go beyond

- ► The construction of the Standard Electroweak Model
- The renormalisation group and QCD
- ► The importance of anomalies
- The need to go beyond
- They are all covered in the GIF School

The Electroweak Standard Model

I. THE WEAK INTERACTIONS. PHENOMENOLOGY Fermi 1933

The Electroweak Standard Model

I. THE WEAK INTERACTIONS. PHENOMENOLOGY Fermi 1933

The Fermi theory of the weak interactions was phenomenologically very successful

$$\mathcal{L}_W = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} J^{\mu}_{(w)}(x) J^{\dagger}_{(w)\mu}(x)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The Electroweak Standard Model

I. THE WEAK INTERACTIONS. PHENOMENOLOGY Fermi 1933

The Fermi theory of the weak interactions was phenomenologically very successful

$$\mathcal{L}_W = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} J^{\mu}_{(w)}(x) J^{\dagger}_{(w)\mu}(x)$$

But it was a non-renormalisable theory, Fierz 1936

$$d\sigma(\bar{\nu}+p
ightarrow n+e^+)=rac{G_F^2}{2\pi^2}p_{
u}^2d\Omega$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● の < ○

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A & \sim & C_0^1(G_F\Lambda^2) & +C_1^1G_FM^2 \\ & + & C_0^2(G_F\Lambda^2)^2 & +C_1^2G_FM^2(G_F\Lambda^2) & +C_2^2(G_FM^2)^2 \\ & + & \dots \\ & + & C_0^n(G_F\Lambda^2)^n & +C_1^nG_FM^2(G_F\Lambda^2)^{n-1} & +\dots \\ & + & \dots \end{array}$$

Effective coupling constant : $\lambda = G_F \Lambda^2$

$$A \sim \lambda^n + G_F M^2 \lambda^{n-1} + \dots$$

 $A \sim$ "leading" + "next-to-leading" + ...

The Theory is valid up to a scale $\sim \Lambda$

$$G_F \Lambda^2 \sim 1 \Rightarrow \Lambda \sim 300 \text{ GeV}$$

BUT PRECISION MEASUREMENTS CAN DO BETTER

B.L. Joffe and E.P. Shabalin (1967)

At leading order

Limits on Parity and Strangeness violation in strong interactions

 ${\it G_F}\Lambda^2 << 1 \Rightarrow \Lambda \sim 3 \,\, {\rm GeV}$

BUT PRECISION MEASUREMENTS CAN DO BETTER

B.L. Joffe and E.P. Shabalin (1967)

At leading order

Limits on Parity and Strangeness violation in strong interactions

 $G_F \Lambda^2 << 1 \Rightarrow \Lambda \sim 3 \text{ GeV}$

• At next-to-leading order Limits on $K^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ mass difference $G_F \Lambda^2 << 1 \Rightarrow \Lambda \sim 3 \text{ GeV}$

Example :

 Assume the approximate invariance of the strong interactions under chiral SU(3) × SU(3)

Example :

 Assume the approximate invariance of the strong interactions under chiral SU(3) × SU(3)

Assume an explicit breaking via a (3,3) term.
 Like a quark mass term

Example :

 Assume the approximate invariance of the strong interactions under chiral SU(3) × SU(3)

Assume an explicit breaking via a (3,3) term.
 Like a quark mass term

The leading divergences respect all the strong interaction symmetries

Cl. Bouchiat, J. I., J. Prentki 1968

Example :

 Assume the approximate invariance of the strong interactions under chiral SU(3) × SU(3)

Assume an explicit breaking via a (3,3) term.
 Like a quark mass term

The leading divergences respect all the strong interaction symmetries Cl. Bouchiat, J. I., J. Prentki 1968

Following this line attempts were made to "determine" the properties of the weak interactions, for example to calculate the value of the Cabibbo angle. *Gatto, Sartori, Tonin; Cabibbo, Maiani; Gell-Mann, Goldberger, Kroll, Low* In principle, the same formalism can be used for the next-to-leading divergences, those which produce FCNC. (G.I.M.)

- In principle, the same formalism can be used for the next-to-leading divergences, those which produce FCNC. (G.I.M.)
- At this point, however, the paradigm gradually changed from symmetries and currents to the quark model.



・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト・
Intermezzo

Two seemingly disconnected contributions :

Two seemingly disconnected contributions :

 Spontaneous symmetry breaking in the presence of gauge interactions
 Brout and Englert; Higgs; Guralnik, Hagen and Kibble 1964

Two seemingly disconnected contributions :

 Spontaneous symmetry breaking in the presence of gauge interactions
 Brout and Englert; Higgs; Guralnik, Hagen and Kibble 1964

 A model for leptons Weinberg 1967; Salam 1968 Two seemingly disconnected contributions :

 Spontaneous symmetry breaking in the presence of gauge interactions
 Brout and Englert; Higgs; Guralnik, Hagen and Kibble 1964

- A model for leptons Weinberg 1967; Salam 1968
- Both went totally unnoticed

The Electroweak Standard Model

II. THE WEAK INTERACTIONS. FIELD THEORY Developed in parallel, kind of a sub-culture

Both, the phenomenological approach and the field theory approach, aimed at controlling the divergences of perturbation theory. In the first, you do not know the fields, you do not know the interactions. In the second you start from a given field theory.

 Use scalar intermediate bosons Kummer, Segré 1965
 The V-A structure is an accident of the lowest order.

Use scalar intermediate bosons
 Kummer, Segré 1965
 The V-A structure is an accident of the lowest order.

Introduce "physical" unstable particles with negative metric, but try to "confine" the violation of unitarity to very short times.

Lee, Wick 1968

- Use scalar intermediate bosons
 Kummer, Segré 1965
 The V-A structure is an accident of the lowest order.
- Introduce "physical" unstable particles with negative metric, but try to "confine" the violation of unitarity to very short times.

Lee, Wick 1968

The electrodynamics of charged vector bosons ξ-limiting formalism Lee and Yang; Lee 1962

<ロ> <個> < 国> < 国> < 国> < 国> < 国</p>

Massive Yang-Mills; Trial and error strategy. Veltman

- Massive Yang-Mills; Trial and error strategy. Veltman
- Find the Feynman rules for gauge invariant theories. Feynman; Faddeev, Popov; 't Hooft

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

- Massive Yang-Mills; Trial and error strategy. Veltman
- Find the Feynman rules for gauge invariant theories. Feynman; Faddeev, Popov; 't Hooft

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Combine with scalar fields. 't Hooft, Veltman

- Massive Yang-Mills; Trial and error strategy. Veltman
- Find the Feynman rules for gauge invariant theories. Feynman; Faddeev, Popov; 't Hooft

- Combine with scalar fields. 't Hooft, Veltman
- Prove renormalisability 't Hooft, Veltman 1971 Then all hell broke loose !

- Massive Yang-Mills; Trial and error strategy. Veltman
- Find the Feynman rules for gauge invariant theories. Feynman; Faddeev, Popov; 't Hooft
- Combine with scalar fields. 't Hooft, Veltman
- Prove renormalisability 't Hooft, Veltman 1971 Then all hell broke loose !
- ► Formal Ward Identities. *Slavnov*; *Taylor*; *Lee*, *Zinn-Justin*

- Massive Yang-Mills; Trial and error strategy. Veltman
- Find the Feynman rules for gauge invariant theories. Feynman; Faddeev, Popov; 't Hooft
- Combine with scalar fields. 't Hooft, Veltman
- Prove renormalisability 't Hooft, Veltman 1971 Then all hell broke loose !
- ► Formal Ward Identities. Slavnov; Taylor; Lee, Zinn-Justin
- In the same family of gauges you find renormalisable gauges and unitary gauges. 't Hooft, Veltman

- Massive Yang-Mills; Trial and error strategy. Veltman
- Find the Feynman rules for gauge invariant theories. Feynman; Faddeev, Popov; 't Hooft
- Combine with scalar fields. 't Hooft, Veltman
- Prove renormalisability 't Hooft, Veltman 1971 Then all hell broke loose !
- ► Formal Ward Identities. *Slavnov*; *Taylor*; *Lee*, *Zinn-Justin*
- In the same family of gauges you find renormalisable gauges and unitary gauges.
 't Hooft, Veltman

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

Understand why it works. Becchi, Rouet, Stora; Tyutin

Contrary to what you may think, the study (rather the re-birth) of the renormalisation group was not initially motivated by the SLAC results on DIS.

A short history

• The RG equation was first written down by Stückelberg and Petermann in 1953

$$[M\frac{\partial}{M}+\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}+\gamma_m m\frac{\partial}{\partial m}-n\gamma]\Gamma^{(2n)}(p_1,...,p_{2n};m,\lambda;M)=0$$

It was meant to clarify the meaning of the subtraction in the renormalisation procedure

• Gell-Mann and Low in 1954 observed that it can be used to study the asymptotic behaviour of the theory, but, in the late sixties, the emphasis was to use the equation $\beta=0$ for QED as an eigenvalue equation to determine α

• In the very late sixties Callan and Symanzik wrote an independent equation, which was *the broken scale invariance Ward identity*

$$\left[m_R\frac{\partial}{\partial m_R} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_R} + n\gamma\right]\Gamma_R^{(2n)} = m_R^2 \ \delta \ \Gamma_{\phi^2 R}^{(2n)}$$

• In the very late sixties Callan and Symanzik wrote an independent equation, which was *the broken scale invariance Ward identity*

$$\left[m_R\frac{\partial}{\partial m_R} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_R} + n\gamma\right] \Gamma_R^{(2n)} = m_R^2 \ \delta \ \Gamma_{\phi^2 R}^{(2n)}$$

• These two equations, which have a totally different physical content, share a common property : *they both describe the response of the system under the change of a dimensionfull parameter* \Rightarrow They can be used to study the asymptotic behaviour of the theory.

• In the very late sixties Callan and Symanzik wrote an independent equation, which was *the broken scale invariance Ward identity*

$$\left[m_R\frac{\partial}{\partial m_R} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_R} + n\gamma\right] \Gamma_R^{(2n)} = m_R^2 \,\,\delta \,\,\Gamma_{\phi^2 R}^{(2n)}$$

• These two equations, which have a totally different physical content, share a common property : *they both describe the response of the system under the change of a dimensionfull parameter* \Rightarrow They can be used to study the asymptotic behaviour of the theory.

• Two physical applications :

(i) Phase transitions and critical phenomena *(Kadanoff, Fischer, Wilson)*

(ii) Scaling properties in DIS \Rightarrow Asymptotic freedom and QCD (*Gross, Politzer, Wilcek*)

QCD has been enormously successful

In perturbation



QCD has been enormously successful

In the non-perturbative region



SQC.

$U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3) \rightarrow U(1)_{\mathrm{em}} \times SU(3)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

$U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3) \rightarrow U(1)_{\mathrm{em}} \times SU(3)$

 Gauge theories describe ALL interactions among elementary particles (?)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

$U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3) \rightarrow U(1)_{\mathrm{em}} \times SU(3)$

- Gauge theories describe ALL interactions among elementary particles (?)
- Dynamics=Geometry "Let no one ignorant of geometry enter my door", Platon

An obscure higher order effect determines the structure of the world.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

An obscure higher order effect determines the structure of the world.

The mathematical consistency of a gauge field theory is based on the strict respect of the underlying Ward identities. This can be roughly translated into saying that the corresponding currents should be conserved.

An obscure higher order effect determines the structure of the world.

- The mathematical consistency of a gauge field theory is based on the strict respect of the underlying Ward identities. This can be roughly translated into saying that the corresponding currents should be conserved.
- ► The weak currents have a vector and an axial part. We know that, in general, we cannot enforce the conservation of both.

$$\partial^{\mu} j^{(5)}_{\mu}(x) = rac{e^2}{8\pi^2} \epsilon_{
u
ho\sigma\tau} F^{
u
ho}(x) F^{\sigma au}(x)$$



(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

An obscure higher order effect determines the structure of the world.

- The mathematical consistency of a gauge field theory is based on the strict respect of the underlying Ward identities. This can be roughly translated into saying that the corresponding currents should be conserved.
- ► The weak currents have a vector and an axial part. We know that, in general, we cannot enforce the conservation of both.

$$\partial^{\mu} j^{(5)}_{\mu}(x) = rac{e^2}{8\pi^2} \epsilon_{
u
ho\sigma\tau} F^{
u
ho}(x) F^{\sigma au}(x)$$



• Anomaly cancellation condition $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{i} Q_{i} = 0$

An obscure higher order effect determines the structure of the world.

The presence of anomalies is a general feature of gauge theories, including gravitation

An obscure higher order effect determines the structure of the world.

The presence of anomalies is a general feature of gauge theories, including gravitation

Anomalies should be cancelled at all levels

An obscure higher order effect determines the structure of the world.

- The presence of anomalies is a general feature of gauge theories, including gravitation
- Anomalies should be cancelled at all levels
- For the Standard Model, once the τ lepton was found, we could predict the existence of the b and t quarks

An obscure higher order effect determines the structure of the world.

- The presence of anomalies is a general feature of gauge theories, including gravitation
- Anomalies should be cancelled at all levels
- For the Standard Model, once the τ lepton was found, we could predict the existence of the b and t quarks
- The discovery of a very special anomaly cancellation in string theories, established the super-string theory as the only viable candidate for a quantum gauge theory of all interactions (Green and Schwarz, 1983)

 \cdots and <code>BEYOND</code>



- $\cdots \text{ and } \mathsf{BEYOND}$
 - With the discovery of the BEH scalar boson the Standard Model is complete
THE STANDARD MODEL

- $\cdots \text{ and } \mathsf{BEYOND}$
 - With the discovery of the BEH scalar boson the Standard Model is complete

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

It is no more The Standard Model

THE STANDARD MODEL

- $\cdots \text{ and } \mathsf{BEYOND}$
 - With the discovery of the BEH scalar boson the Standard Model is complete

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- It is no more The Standard Model
- But The Standard Theory

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

► The Standard Theory has been enormously successful



- ► The Standard Theory has been enormously successful
- It contains 17 + ··· arbitrary parameters (masses and coupling constants) and they have all been determined experimentally

- The Standard Theory has been enormously successful
- It contains 17 + ··· arbitrary parameters (masses and coupling constants) and they have all been determined experimentally
- ► This number is irreducible Any relation of the form $\lambda = f(g)$ will not be respected by renormalisation

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Our confidence in this theory is fully justified by its successes in predicting new phenomena and its impressive agreement with experiment :

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Our confidence in this theory is fully justified by its successes in predicting new phenomena and its impressive agreement with experiment :

▶ The discovery of weak neutral currents (CERN 1973)

Our confidence in this theory is fully justified by its successes in predicting new phenomena and its impressive agreement with experiment :

- ▶ The discovery of weak neutral currents (CERN 1973)
- The discovery of charmed particles (SLAC-Brookhaven 1974-1976)

Our confidence in this theory is fully justified by its successes in predicting new phenomena and its impressive agreement with experiment :

- ▶ The discovery of weak neutral currents (CERN 1973)
- The discovery of charmed particles (SLAC-Brookhaven 1974-1976)
- The discovery of QCD and asymptotic freedom (SLAC-··· 1973-···)

Our confidence in this theory is fully justified by its successes in predicting new phenomena and its impressive agreement with experiment :

- ▶ The discovery of weak neutral currents (CERN 1973)
- The discovery of charmed particles (SLAC-Brookhaven 1974-1976)
- The discovery of QCD and asymptotic freedom (SLAC-··· 1973-···)

The discovery of the gauge bosons (CERN 1983)

Our confidence in this theory is fully justified by its successes in predicting new phenomena and its impressive agreement with experiment :

- ▶ The discovery of weak neutral currents (CERN 1973)
- The discovery of charmed particles (SLAC-Brookhaven 1974-1976)
- The discovery of QCD and asymptotic freedom (SLAC-··· 1973-···)
- The discovery of the gauge bosons (CERN 1983)
- ▶ The discovery of *b* and *t* flavours (FermiLab, LEP)

Our confidence in this theory is fully justified by its successes in predicting new phenomena and its impressive agreement with experiment :

- ▶ The discovery of weak neutral currents (CERN 1973)
- The discovery of charmed particles (SLAC-Brookhaven 1974-1976)
- The discovery of QCD and asymptotic freedom (SLAC-··· 1973-···)
- The discovery of the gauge bosons (CERN 1983)
- ▶ The discovery of *b* and *t* flavours (FermiLab, LEP)
- ► The discovery of the BEH boson (CERN 2012)

In addition, it shows an impressive agreement with experiment in a very large number of detailed measurements.

For the first time we check weak interactions at the level of radiative corrections

The Standard Theory has become a high precision theory

Given this impressive success... What does Beyond mean?

Given this impressive success... What does Beyond mean?

Or, What is wrong with the Standard Theory??

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

- Given this impressive success... What does Beyond mean?
- Or, What is wrong with the Standard Theory??

(ロ)、

► I. General questions

- Given this impressive success... What does Beyond mean?
- Or, What is wrong with the Standard Theory??

- ► I. General questions
- ► II. Specific points

The origin of dark matter

- The origin of dark matter
- ▶ Why are electric charges quantised?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

- The origin of dark matter
- ▶ Why are electric charges quantised?
- Why three families? Rabbi's question : Who ordered that?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

- The origin of dark matter
- Why are electric charges quantised?
- Why three families? Rabbi's question : Who ordered that?
- Why the largely separated masses?

- The origin of dark matter
- Why are electric charges quantised?
- Why three families? Rabbi's question : Who ordered that?
- Why the largely separated masses?
- Are neutrino masses part of the Standard Model?

- The origin of dark matter
- Why are electric charges quantised?
- Why three families? Rabbi's question : Who ordered that?
- Why the largely separated masses?
- Are neutrino masses part of the Standard Model?

Where is Gravity?

- The origin of dark matter
- Why are electric charges quantised?
- Why three families? Rabbi's question : Who ordered that?
- Why the largely separated masses?
- Are neutrino masses part of the Standard Model?

- ► Where is Gravity?

The example of charm

Precision measurements at a given energy scale allow to guess new Physics at the next energy scale



Example : Yukawa's prediction of the π meson in 1934 The range of nuclear forces is of order 1 fermi (~ 10⁻¹³cm). The Physics was correct, the details were not !!

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

Example : The prediction for charmed particles in 1969

The absence, with very high accuracy, of certain weak decays

In the same way New Physics was predicted for LHC

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへの

Consider any 4-dim renormalisable theory.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Consider any 4-dim renormalisable theory.

Integrate over all modes of the fields with energy above a given scale M.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Consider any 4-dim renormalisable theory.

Integrate over all modes of the fields with energy above a given scale M.

• M does not have to correspond to a physical scale.

Consider any 4-dim renormalisable theory.

- Integrate over all modes of the fields with energy above a given scale M.
- M does not have to correspond to a physical scale.
- You obtain an effective theory in terms of the « light » modes.

Consider any 4-dim renormalisable theory.

- Integrate over all modes of the fields with energy above a given scale M.
- M does not have to correspond to a physical scale.
- You obtain an effective theory in terms of the « light » modes.
- The general form of this theory will be an infinite sum of terms :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}} = \sum_{i} C_{i}(g, M) O_{i}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Remarks :

• This expansion is valid irrespectively of whether the initial theory was "fundamental" or "effective".

• The operators O_i are all monomials in the fields and their derivatives compatible with the symmetries of the original quantum field theory.

• If the original theory was renormalisable, the c-number functions C_i can be computed order by order in perturbation.

• Their dependence on M can be deduced from dimensional analysis. If d_i is the dimension of the operator O_i , the corresponding coefficient is proportional to M to the power $(4 - d_i)$.

- "Irrelevant" operators : $d_i > 4$
- "Marginal" operators : $d_i = 4$
- Dominant" operators : $d_i < 4$
- In the Standard Model the only dominant operator is the scalar boson mass ! $O_{\phi^2} = \phi^2$ with $d = 2 \Rightarrow C_{\phi^2} \sim M^2$
- Can we make the corresponding coefficient equal to zero? Yes, but we must introduce New Physics.


This time the argument failed.





This time the argument failed.

We were expecting new physics to be around the corner..... But we see no corner



This time the argument failed.

We were expecting new physics to be around the corner..... But we see no corner

(ロ)、

Or, maybe the corner is further away !

High precision measurements



High precision measurements

The muon
$$g - 2$$

A persistant discrepancy between theory and experiment of order 3σ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

High precision measurements

• The muon g - 2

A persistant discrepancy between theory and experiment of order 3σ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Anomalies in B-decays

High precision measurements

• The muon g - 2

A persistant discrepancy between theory and experiment of order 3σ

- Anomalies in B-decays
- Various other "small" effects

Neutrino masses and oscillations

Neutrino Physics





Fundamental Questions addressed by Diverse Neutrino Program

- What is the origin of neutrino mass?
- How are the neutrino masses ordered?
 - · Oscillation experiments
- What is the absolute neutrino mass scale?
 - Beta-decay spectrum
 - Cosmic surveys
- Do neutrinos and anti-neutrinos oscillate differently?
 - · Oscillation experiments
- Are there additional neutrino types and interactions?
 - Oscillation experiments
 - Cosmic surveys
- Are neutrinos their own anti-particles?
 - Neutrinoless double-beta decay



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Neutrino masses and oscillations

My conclusion :

• A data-driven subject in which theorists have not played the major role.

• Substantial improvement in precision could be expected during the coming years.

• The significance of such improvements is not easy to judge.

• So far no real illumination came from leptons to be combined with the quark sector for a more complete theory of flavour

The trouble is that I do not see how this could change!

The easy answer : We need more data

Two problems : (i) We do not know what kind of data (ii) They may not come for quite a long time

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

A rather frustrating problem !

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 少々で

The Future of Particle Physics will undoubtedly be bright, but....

The Future of Particle Physics will undoubtedly be bright, but....

▶ I will not learn the answer

- The Future of Particle Physics will undoubtedly be bright, but....
- I will not learn the answer
- We have a very successful Standard Theory and we will leave the problem of its completion to the younger generation.....