

1

ATLAS usage of GPU (within French groups)

Frédéric Derue, LPNHE Paris (on behalf of Computing ATLAS France group)

Workshop on GPU CC-IN2P3 Lyon, 4th April 2019

ATLAS and the Large Hadron Collider at CERN





ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-010





Why ATLAS is interested in HPC/GPUs ?

• Long term activities : High-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) Upgrade



- \circ the HL-LHC represents the ultimate evolution of LHC machine performance
- operation at up to L=7.5×10³⁴Hz/cm² (LHC run-2: 2×10³⁴) to collect
 - up to 3000 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity
 - > vast increase of statistical reach, but challenging experimental conditions
 - ➤ up to 200 p-p collisions per bunch crossing
 - mitigated by extensive upgrades of experiments during LS3

• Today and middle term activities

• Machine Learning : classification, regression, scan parameters Workshop on GPU, ATLAS usage of GPU, CC-IN2P3, 4th April 2019

Event processing at HL-LHC

Higher luminosity

more interactions per crossing

Increased event rate

Bigger and more complex events

ITk with>& 5B channels

a simulated tī event at average pile-up of 200 collisions per bunch crossing [*Upgraded Event displays*]



- Better physics performance
 o improved algorithms
- Better CPU efficiency
 - better software engineering

Reconstruction

 environment is challenging in terms of CPU time for reconstruction

Multithreaded running at the event level

- \circ exploit parallelism
- \circ technology watch

use diverse hardware architectures

CPU required in HS06×seconds to reconstruct an event in the ITk as a function of the average pile-up [*Itk pixel TDR plots*]



CPU projection for HL-LHC

• Fast vs Full simulation

Run 3: 50% of simulation with fast sim

Run 4: 75% of simulation with fast sim

Estimated CPU resources (in MHS06) needed for the years 2018 to 2032 for both data and simulation processing



ComputingandSoftwarePublicResults



2/3 of global CPU time for simulation



Using accelerators and HPC

- Supercomputers are evolving away from the « usual » hardware we have on WLCG resources
 - e.g Summit Power9 + Nvidia V100
 - other architectures becoming popular ARM
 - challenge of portability

ATLAS is efficicient at using various resources

- $\circ \text{ grid}$
- \circ cloud
- volunteer computing
- \circ HPC

• Use of GPU on WLCG grid

 Manchester WLCG site has currently 2 grid queues setup for GPUs

ATL-SOFT-SLIDE-2019-068



Using accelerators at triggering level

Conversion of significant part of ATLAS HLT code to GPU

- ported code can run significantly faster than on CPU
 ×5 for single E5-2695 vs Tesla K80
- \circ overall speed-up limited to <code>x1.4</code>
 - data transfer/conversion costs
 - acceleration only applied to part of the workload
- \circ NB GPU resource barely used (1 GPU per 60 CPUs)



Înd 1.4 1.3 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.4 1.1

20

10

0

30

No. Athena Processes

40

50

TriggerSoftwareUpgradePublicResults

Multi-Threaded Algorithms for GPGPU in the ATLAS High Level Trigger

ATL-DAQ-PROC-2016-045

Workshop on GPU, ATLAS usage of GPU, CC-IN2P3, 4th April 2019

Time per event 1.06 s

Time per event 1.02 s

60

Neural Networks for Online Electron Filtering

Studies done at UFRJ Rio / LPNHE (Werner Spolidoro Freund) \rightarrow see *this talk* by Werner at ILP ML workshop in November 2018 \rightarrow see *this poster* by Werner at Saas Fee March 2019

• Application for High Level Trigger / Fast Calo (electron selection)

• Neural Ringer applies ML to reduce CPU demand



Track+calo matching replace computation of shower shapes concentric rings are build for all calo layers compact cell information used to describe the event throughout of the calorimeter

• MLP training

 with simulated (2017 collision) data in 2017 (2018)

 computing resources from WLCG, Techlab (CERN) and from Advanced High-Performance Computational Center (NACAD) at COPPE/UFRJ

Results

- kept HLT signal efficiency unchanged after the switch in early 2017:
- estimated primary chain latency reduction: ~200 ms to ~100 ms;
- higher rejection power (~2-3X);
- estimated electron + photon slice:
 - ~1/4 latency reduction;
- \circ 20' to train 1 simple model on GTX 1080ti
- 100 (initializations)*10 (cross-validation sorts)*36 (phase spaces)=36k tunings
 ==> 720k' ~1.5 year

Track reconstruction

Tracking in a nutshell

- \circ particle trajectory bended in a solenoidal magnetic field
- curvature is a proxy to momentum
- \circ particle ionizes silicon pixel and strip
- thousands of sparse hits ; lots of hit pollution from low momentum, secondary particles





- Explosion in hit combinatorics in both seeding and stepping pattern recognition
- Highly computing consuming task in extracting physics content from LHC data

Standard solutions

- track trigger implementation for trigger upgrades development on-going
- dedicated hardware is the key to fast computation.
- not applicable for offline processing unless by adopting heterogeneous hardware.

Workshop on GPU, ATLAS usage of GPU, CC-IN2P3, 4th April 2019

Machine learning

on going *TrackML challenge* (D. Rousseau et al.)

Simulation evolution : from full sim to fast chain

At least 1/4 of CPU to be used for Full simulation

 tuning and improvement of simulation very important

Fast chain as a key ingredient

- \circ e.g Fast Calorimeter Simulation
- validation as « good for physics » is a major challenge

CPU time to simulate photons of 8 GeV, 65 GeV and 256 GeV in the range 0.20<|η|<0.25 using Geant4 (black), FCSV2 (red) and AF2 (blue open circle).





Deep generative models for fast shower simulation

Studies done at LAL (A. Ghosh, D. Rousseau)

 \rightarrow see *this talk* by Aishik at IN2P3 ML workshop in March 2018

+ *poster* at Saas Fee March 2019

+ details in this note ATL-SOFT-PUB-2018-001

• Showers computationnally expensive

cascade quantum showers are expensive for Geant4
 only final image is recorded

Compare two methods

- Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN)
- Variational Auto-Encoder combining deep learning with variational Bayesian methods

Simulation of images

- Train on Geant4 Monte-Carlo simulated single photon shower data
- Run on 3 GPU platforms (PRP-USA, Texas-Arlington, LLR-Palaiseau, CC-Lyon) for Lyon : 1 GPU per job with >50% GPU utilisation
- GAN training time: 2 days per training for 15k epochs
- GPU speed: 2x over CPU for Calo
- GAN generation time: 0.7ms/shower as FastCalo images on CPU with Keras+TF



PRE-SAMPLER

(7x2)

TLAS Simulation Work In Progre

BACK

4x11

MIDDLE

(7x11

STRIP

56x2

Deep generative models for fast shower simulation

Look at single photon showers at {1,2,4,8,16, 32, 65, 131, 262} GeV in barrel Assume Geant4 is ideal. Compare VAE, GAN to Geant4



GAN reproduces the detector resolution mean and $\sigma(E) \sim 10\% \sqrt{E}$

Flavour tagging of jets

• Flavour tagging

 \circ method to tag the origin of a jet of particles from quark hadronization :

b-, c-, light quark

• use specific properties : reconstruction of secondary vertices, soft-muons ...

 \circ can combine information through BDT, neural network, deep learning etc ...

• Hyper Parameter (HP) scan

- embarrassingly parallel workload and can be split in several independent jobs each running on a GPU
- optimisation is setup to scan 800 combinations spanning 6 HP dimensions (3 layers, learning rate, batch size and activation functions)
- the workload has been split in 10 jobs each with 80 combinations. Each job run on the same training and validation data. The input files, small json files containing the configuration for each combination, were replicated to the sites with GPUs using rucio.



Other studies in ATLAS French groups

- Increasing TileCal with ML, LPNHE/UFJR Rio, W. Freund [*link*]
 - increase granularity without changing the mechanical structure of the detector
 - process of acquiring data is very cpu demanding
 - use a multianode 8x8 signals
 - evaluation of of CNN x NMF MLP on original dataset : similar performance;
 - \circ increase stats with GAN
 - results (evaluated CNN only) suggest that a 2x granularity is feasible 4x in the barrel? To be investigated
- Discrimination of pile-up jets, LAPP, P. Zamolodtchikov, N. Berger, E. Sauvan) summer internship 2018
 use of Recursive Neural Network (RNN)
 using CC-IN2P3 GPU platform for training
- Analysis ttH(bb) with single lepton, CPPM (Ziyu Guo, Y. Coadou)
 - BDT used to reconstruct top and Higgs + discriminate signal/background (ttbb)
 aim to replace BDT by different neural networks
 - use GPU farm at computing department of Uiversity of Aix-Marseille
 - \rightarrow analysis time: 50890717 secondes

(1 year 224 days 18 minutes 37 seconds)

Conclusion

• General ATLAS usage of HPC and GPU

 usage of HPC resources is already a reality some site(s) even provide GPU farm on WLCG

 \circ will become crucial for HL-LHC

 \circ various usages already exist for GPU : trigger, simulation, ML

ATLAS usage by French groups

 \circ many different use cases linked to Machine Learning

- \circ studies are done on different platforms available
 - \rightarrow all groups have expressed (future) interest in using the GPU farm at CC-IN2P3
 - → need to collect all use cases and turn it into an official time request